## Towards Mapping Experience Design for the Internet of Things

M. T. Manrique\* \*\*, M. Wachowicz\*\*, T. Iturrioz\*, M. A. Manso\*

\* Technical University of Madrid

\*\*University of New Brunswick

## Extended Abstract

We are witnessing a fundamental transformation in how Internet of Things (IoT) is having an impact on the experience users have with data-driven devices, smart appliances, and connected products. The experience of any place is commonly defined as the result of a series of user engagements with a surrounding place in order to carry out daily activities (Golledge, 2002). Knowing about users' experiences becomes vital to the process of designing a map. In the near future, a user will be able to interact directly with any IoT device placed in his surrounding place and very little is known on what kinds of interactions and experiences a map might offer (Roth, 2015). The main challenge is to develop an experience design process to devise maps capable of supporting different user experience dimensions such as cognitive, sensory-physical, affective, and social (Tussyadiah and Zach, 2012). For example, in a smart city of the future, the IoT devices allowing a multimodal interaction with a map could help tourists in the assimilation of their knowledge about points of interest (cognitive experience), their association of sounds and smells to these places (sensory-physical experience), their emotional connection to them (affective experience) and their relationships with other nearby tourists (social experience).

This paper aims to describe a conceptual framework for developing a Mapping Experience Design (MXD) process for building maps for smart connected places of the future. Our MXD process is focussed on the cognitive dimension of an experience in which a person perceives a place as a "living

entity" that uses and feeds through his experiences. We want to help people to undergo a meaningful experience of a place through mapping what is being communicated during their interactions with the IoT devices situated in this place. Our purpose is to understand how maps can support a person's experience in making better decisions in real-time.

Our design proposal is based on the premise of cognitive adequacy of a map as raised by Strube (1992) and Klippel et al. (2005) which takes into account a certain correspondence between perceptions or internal representations (i.e. cognitive maps) and external environment (i.e. smart-connected places) for a given experience. Therefore, the proposed MXD process



Published in "Proceedings of the 1st ICA European Symposium on Cartography", edited by Georg Gartner and Haosheng Huang, EuroCarto 2015, 10-12 November 2015, Vienna, Austria consists of four phases that support an iterative process where the outcomes of one phase are used as an input for the next phase (*Figure 1*).

Concept	Exploration	Synthesis	Execution
Identify the potential users, activities hapenning in smart-connected places, and the role of IoT devices. Vision	Uncover the desirable contents of a map that can enhance a user experience of a smart-connected place.	Integrate the different interactions among users, IoT devices and maps according to a physical, temporal, and evolutionary context.	Engage the users with a prototype based on their common behaviour in real- time.
		Context	Users

Figure 1. Overview of the four phases of our mapping experience design process.

The proposed MXD process is currently being developed for enhancing a tourism experience in a city where the aim is to allow tourists improve their experience with smart-connected places and help them to make better decisions during their daily tourist activities. The preliminary results are promising towards making maps of the future that fulfill true user needs in an effective understandable way by enabling new experiences in an increasing-ly interconnected world. Our proposed MXD process is a new approach that has moved away from the origins of mapping design to communicate the cartographer's representation and interpretation.

## References

- Hanington B, Martin B (2012) Universal methods of design: 100 ways to research complex problems, develop innovative ideas, and design effective solutions. Rockport Publishers.
- Golledge RG (2002) The Nature of Geographic Knowledge. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 92(1) 1–14.
- Klippel A, Richter KF, Barkowsky T, Freksa C (2005) The cognitive reality of schematic maps. In Map-based mobile services (55-71). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Roth RE (2015) Interactivity and Cartography: A Contemporary Perspective on User Interface and User Experience Design from Geospatial Professionals. Cartographica: The International Journal for Geographic Information and Geovisualization 50(2) 94-115.
- Strube G (1992) The role of cognitive science in knowledge engineering. In Contemporary knowledge engineering and cognition, F. Schmalhofer, G. Strube, and T. Wetter, Eds. Berlin: Springer 161-174.
- Tussyadiah IP, Zach FJ (2012) The role of geo-based technology in place experiences. Annals of Tourism Research, 39(2) 780–800.