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#### Abstract

Highly correlated $a b$ initio calculations ( $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ ) are used to compute gas phase spectroscopic parameters of three isotopologues of the methyl acetate $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right.$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ ), searching to help experimental assignments and astrophysical detections. The molecule shows two conformers cis and trans separated by a barrier of $4457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The potential energy surface presents 18 minima that intertransform through three internal rotation motions. To analyze the far infrared spectrum at low temperatures, a three-dimensional Hamiltonian is solved variationally. The two methyl torsion barriers are calculated to be $99.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $413.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, for the cis-conformer. The three fundamental torsional band centers of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ are predicted to lie at $63.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 136.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and $175.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ torsion) providing torsional state separations. For the 27 vibrational modes, anharmonic fundamentals and rovibrational parameters are provided. Computed parameters are compared with those fitted using experimental data. © 2013 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4789413]


## INTRODUCTION

Methyl acetate $\left(\mathrm{MeOAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$ is a flammable liquid occasionally used as a solvent because of the low toxicity and fast evaporation rate. It is useful as the fast-evaporating component in high-low solvent systems of several polymers and resins. ${ }^{1}$ In gas phase, it represents a relevant prebiotic astrophysical molecule for which eventual detection can be expected given the capability of the new ALMA observatory.

To our knowledge, gas phase experimental studies of MeOAc as well as quantum chemical studies of the isolated species are not very frequent. ${ }^{2-11}$ Although, methyl acetate has not been already detected in astrophysical sources, new spectroscopic studies have been motivated by the setup of new radioastronomical observatories. ${ }^{3}$ The presence of MeOAc in gas phase astrophysical sources was postulated because related molecules such as methyl formate, acetic acid, and many other organic compounds containing methyl groups are listed as astrochemical species. MeOAc could be possibly synthesized through multiple reaction pathways from molecules already detected in hot cores, most notably from acetic acid and methanol. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{MeOAc}$ lines can contribute to the spectral confusion in hot core surveys. ${ }^{2}$

From 1956, the gas phase infrared and microwave spectra of methyl acetate have been measured. ${ }^{4-10}$ Structure and

[^0]harmonic frequencies have also been calculated using ab initio methods. ${ }^{3,4,11} \mathrm{MeOAc}$ shows two conformers cis and trans (which refer to the direction of the MeO bond with respect to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond), predicted to be different in energy ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}} \sim 8.5 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \sim 2970 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in Ref. 10), that can interconvert through the torsion of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond of the Ac group. In principle, because the corresponding torsional barrier is relatively high $\left(\sim 4500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, the analysis of the vibrational spectra of the most stable cis-conformer at low temperatures seems to be possible neglecting conformer intertransformation. Even in this more favourable case, the spectral analysis is arduous because the molecule shows two additional internal motions which are the torsions of the two methyl groups that confer non-rigid properties. They each interconvert nine minima of the potential energy surface. The corresponding torsional energy levels are very low and could be populated at the low temperatures of the hot cores. To help astronomical survey analysis, at least two-dimensional models considering the non-rigidity are necessary. ${ }^{3}$

Recently, Tudorie et al. ${ }^{3}$ have performed a fit of more than 800 microwave and millimeter-wave transitions of cismethyl acetate using the code BELGI-Cs-2Tops developed by Kleiner ${ }^{3}$ for molecules showing two inequivalent methyl rotors and a symmetry plane. This code for two inequivalent internal rotors follows another code for one internal top, BELGI-Cs (with a plane of symmetry) described in Ref. 12. They have determined the barrier heights to be $102 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C$\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ torsion) and $422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ torsion). Spectroscopic parameters calculated using second order perturbation theory developed for semi-rigid systems and a MP2/6-311++G**
anharmonic force field are in a good agreement with the fitting parameters. ${ }^{3}$ The BELGI-Cs-2Tops code ${ }^{3}$ has been also employed to help the analysis of the high-resolution infrared spectrum in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching region. ${ }^{4}$

In the present paper, highly correlated $a b$ initio methods are used to determine as many spectroscopic parameters as possible searching to help experimental assignments. To determine low energy levels of three isotopologues, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$, for which experimental data are available, ${ }^{3,5}$ we solve variationally a three-dimensional Hamiltonian whose independent coordinates are the two torsional $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ angles plus the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ torsional degree of freedom. This latter coordinate is essential in any model that attempts to describe excited states of each $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ top because of the proximity in energy of the three torsional fundamentals. Therefore, this model allows us to discuss previous assignments and to evaluate the effect of interactions between the central bond torsion and the methyl torsions. For these purposes, we have computed equilibrium structures and a three-dimensional potential energy surface. Symmetry considerations are taken into consideration to reduce computational expenses and for labeling the torsional levels. Since methyl acetate has same symmetry properties than ethyl-methyl-ether, we have used various subroutines recently developed. ${ }^{13,14}$ Our previous studies of molecules with methyl groups (acetic acid, ${ }^{15}$ glicolaldehyde, ${ }^{16}$ methyl formate, ${ }^{17,18}$ methanol, ${ }^{19}$ and dimethyl ether ${ }^{20,21}$ ) are employed in the discussion of this paper.

## COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

## Electronic structure calculations

All the electronic structure calculations have been performed with GaUSSIAN 09. ${ }^{22}$ Molecular geometries have been optimized using MP2 (second order Möller-Plesset theory) and CCSD (coupled cluster using both single and double substitutions). ${ }^{23} \mathrm{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ single point calculations (CCSD + triple excitations added non-iteratively ${ }^{24}$ ) have been achieved on the CCSD geometries to obtain more accurate energies. The Dunning's correlation consistent basis set cc-pVTZ has been employed in all the calculations. ${ }^{25}$

For the 27 vibrational modes, harmonic fundamental frequencies have been computed from MP2 and CCSD force fields. Anharmonic band centers are also determined from an MP2 anharmonic force field using second order perturbation theory and the algorithms implemented in GAUSSIAN 09.22

For the three torsional modes, the energy levels are calculated variationally with the code ENEDIM ${ }^{26}$ developed for previous studies of non-rigid molecules. ${ }^{15-17}$ Theoretical aspects employed in this code are detailed in Refs. 27-29.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## The structure of methyl acetate

MeOAc displays two conformers cis and trans with a plane of symmetry that interconvert through the internal rotation of the C-O single bond. In Tables I-III, their structural parameters, total electronic energies, relative energies, rota-

TABLE I. CCSD and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ total electronic energies ( E , in a.u.) and relative energies ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$, in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), CCSD/cc-pVTZ structural parameters, and rotational constants (in MHz ) and dipole moments ( $\mu$ in debye) of cis-methyl acetate. The numbering of the atoms is specified in Figure 2.

| $\alpha=0.0^{\circ}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}=10303.6279$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{1}=0.0^{\circ}$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}=4210.8384$ |  |
| $\theta_{2}=0.0^{\circ}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}=3104.3979$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-267.935357$ (CCSD) |  | $\mu=1.9973$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-267.976500(\mathrm{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}))$ |  | $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}=1.9774$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.0$ |  | $\mu_{\mathrm{b}}=0.2809$ |  |
|  |  | $\mu_{\mathrm{c}}=0.0$ |  |
| Bond distances (in $\AA$ ) |  | Planar angles (in deg) |  |
| O2C1 | 1.2027 | O 3 C 1 O 2 | 123.5 |
| O3C1 | 1.3448 | $\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C1O} 3$ | 110.9 |
| C4C1 | 1.5030 | C5O3C1 | 114.5 |
| C5O3 | 1.43 | H6C4C1 | 109.5 |
| H6C4 | 1.0848 | $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C} 1=\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C} 1$ | 109.7 |
| $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{C} 4=\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C} 4$ | 1.0889 | H9C5O3 | 105.7 |
| H9C5 | 1.0851 | $\mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3=\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{C} 5=\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{C} 5$ | 1.0876 |  |  |
| Dihedral angles (in deg) |  |  |  |
| C5O3C1C4 | 180.0 | H9C5O3C1 | 180.0 |
| H6C4C1O3 | 180.0 | H10C5O3H9 | 119.6 |
| H7C4C1H6 | 121.0 | H11C5O3H9 | - 119.6 |
| H8C4C1H6 | - 121.0 |  |  |

tional constants, dipole moments, and torsional barriers are shown. Figure 1 displays the two conformer interconversion process and Figure 2 represents the most stable cis-MeOAc structure and the three torsional coordinates $\alpha(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ torsion) and $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ (methyl group torsions). In both conformers, one of the hydrogen atoms of each methyl group lies in the molecular symmetry plane. The $\alpha$ coordinate can be identified with the $\beta=\mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{C} 4$ dihedral angle ( $\alpha=\beta-180^{\circ}$ ). Both conformers are asymmetric tops. The more asymmetric structure, the trans-, shows a significant dipole moment.

The $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ energy difference between the cis and trans geometries has been computed to be $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}=2723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. If the harmonic zero point vibrational energy (ZPVE) is taken into consideration, we obtain $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}=2604.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}$ $=2604.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}=2605.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the three isotopologues $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$, respectively. The cis $\rightarrow$ trans process transition state is placed at $\alpha=93^{\circ}$ and at $\sim 4500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ over the most stable geometry (see Table III and Figure 1). The transformation is accompanied by slight variations of $0.01 \AA$ of the lengths of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and significant changes of the planar and dihedral angles. The behavior of MeOAc is comparable to the methyl formate case for which it was found two conformers cis and trans for which $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}=1854 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the cis $\rightarrow$ trans barrier height $\mathrm{V}=4826 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{17} \mathrm{Al}-$ though $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}$ is relatively high, trans-methyl formate has been experimentally observed and tentatively detected in Sagittarius $\mathrm{B} 2(\mathrm{~N}) .{ }^{30}$

The two methyl torsional coordinates $\theta_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $\theta_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ are defined using six dihedral angles $\beta_{11}$ $=\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{O} 3, \beta_{12}=\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{O} 3, \beta_{13}=\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{O} 3$, and $\beta_{21}=\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{C} 1, \beta_{22}=\mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{C} 1, \beta_{23}=\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{C} 1$

TABLE II. CCSD and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ total electronic energies ( E , in a.u.) and relative energies $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$, in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$, CCSD/cc -pVTZ structural parameters, and rotational constants (in MHz), dipole moments ( $\mu$ in debye) and harmonic fundamentals ( $\mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of trans-methyl acetate. The numbering of the atoms is specified in Figure 2.

| $\alpha=180.0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | $A_{e}=9058.9379$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{1}=0.0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\theta_{2}=0.0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-267.922948$ (CCSD) $\quad \mu=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-267.964367$ (CCSD (T)) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=2723$ (CCSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=2663$ (CCSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond distances (in Å) |  |  |  |  | Planar angles (in deg) |  |  |  |  |
|  | O2C1 |  | 1.1980 |  |  |  |  | 118.9 |  |
|  | O3C1 |  | 1.3528 |  |  |  |  | 117.8 |  |
|  | C4C1 |  | 1.5106 |  |  |  |  | 120.0 |  |
|  | C 503 |  | 1.4218 |  |  |  |  | 107.9 |  |
|  | H6C4 |  | 1.0843 |  |  | C4C1 |  | 111.1 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{C} 4=\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C} 4$ |  | 1.0893 |  |  |  |  | 105.7 |  |
|  | H9C5 |  | 1.0854 |  | H10 | 1 C 503 |  | 111.7 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{C} 5=\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{C} 5$ |  | 1.0897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dihedral angles (in deg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C5O3C1C4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 180.0 |  |
|  | H6C4C1O3 |  | 180.0 |  |  |  |  | 118.4 |  |
|  | H7C4C1H6 |  | 120.0 |  |  |  |  | -118.4 |  |
|  | H8C4C1H6 |  | -120.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCSD harmonic frequencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu_{1}$ | 3196 | $\nu_{10}$ |  | 1312 | $\nu_{18}$ | 3150 | $\nu_{23}$ |  | 1076 |
| $\nu_{2}$ | 3175 | $\nu_{11}$ |  | 1211 | $\nu_{19}$ | 3134 | $\nu_{24}$ |  | 586 |
| $\nu_{3}$ | 3081 | $\nu_{12}$ |  | 1145 | $\nu_{20}$ | 1533 | $\nu_{25}$ |  | 237 |
| $\nu_{4}$ | 3063 | $\nu_{13}$ |  | 1024 | $\nu_{21}$ | 1505 | $\nu_{26}$ |  | 137 |
| $\nu_{5}$ | 1875 | $\nu_{14}$ |  | 817 | $\nu_{22}$ | 1193 | $\nu_{27}$ |  | 63 |
| $\nu_{6}$ | 1527 | $\nu_{15}$ |  | 591 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu_{7}$ | 1510 | $\nu_{16}$ |  | 484 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu_{8}$ | 1487 | $\nu_{17}$ |  | 334 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu_{9}$ | 1424 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. CCSD(T) vibrationally corrected potential energy parameters (in cm ${ }^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cis $\rightarrow$ trans process |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta H^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 2604.0 | 2605.1 |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\alpha=93^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  | 4460.7 | 4464.9 |
| cis-methyl acetate |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calc. | Expt. ${ }^{3}$ | Calc. | Calc. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | 99.2 | 102 | 96.4 | 99.7 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | 413.1 | 422 | 412.3 | 407.8 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | 515.2 |  | 511.7 | 510.6 |
| Dif | 2.9 |  | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| trans-methyl acetate |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Calc. | Calc. |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |  |  | 533.2 | 544.1 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |  |  | 569.9 | 561.6 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ |  |  | 1432.6 | 1434.9 |
| Dif |  |  | 329.5 | 329.2 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 180,0)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0) ; \mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,180)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0) ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ $=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 180,180)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0) ;$ Dif $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
following the recommendations of Ref. 29. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=\left[\left(\beta_{11}+\beta_{12}+\beta_{13}\right) / 3\right]^{\circ}-180.0^{\circ} \text { and } \\
& \theta_{2}=\left[\left(\beta_{21}+\beta_{22}+\beta_{23}\right) / 3\right]^{\circ}-180.0^{\circ} .
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 1. The cis-MeOAc $\rightarrow$ trans-MeOAc interconversion process (the energies are not vibrationally corrected).


FIG. 2. cis-MeOAc at the equilibrium geometry. Definition of the independent coordinates $\alpha, \theta_{1}$, and $\theta_{2}$.

The methyl torsional barriers $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ depend strongly on the $\alpha$ coordinate (see Table III and Figure 3) as well as the methyl interaction terms (see Figure 4). For the most stable cis-conformer, they have been determined to be $99.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$ and $413.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$ very close to the experimental values of Tudorie et al. ${ }^{3}$ ( $102 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). For the trans-conformer, they were computed to be $544.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$ and $571.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{3}(\mathrm{O}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ). These parameters can be compared with those of similar molecules. For example, for methyl formate, ${ }^{17}$ we have found a larger dependence of $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ with $\alpha$ than in MeOAc $\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\right.$ cis $)$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ $=9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (trans)). For acetic acid, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ was found to be $169 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and for acetaldehyde ${ }^{29}$ to be $392 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, whereas $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ was determined to be $378 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for methanol ${ }^{19}$ and to be $939 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for dimethyl ether. ${ }^{20,21}$

Therefore, for a realistic determination of the barriers, we have used a flexible model where three curvilinear internal coordinates are frozen, $\beta=\mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{C} 4, \beta_{11}$, and $\beta_{21}$, whereas a set of 24 coordinates are allowed to be relaxed. This allows us to take into consideration the interactions between torsions and high and medium frequency vibrational modes.


FIG. 3. Evolution of the methyl torsional barriers and the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and Dif parameters with the $\alpha$ coordinate. The barriers and parameters can be determined from the total electronic energies with the equations: $\mathrm{V}_{3}(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 180,0)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0) ; \mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,180)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0) ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ $=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 180,180)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0)$ and $\mathrm{Dif}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the torsional barriers with the conformer transformation. Barriers are calculated from the total electronic energies with the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) & =\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 180,0)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0) \text { and } \mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,180)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Two other parameters $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and Dif defined as: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ $=\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 180,180)-\mathrm{E}(\alpha, 0,0)$ and $\mathrm{Dif}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-$ $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, are also determined because they depend on the interactions between the two methyl groups. When Dif $\sim 0$, as it almost occurs with the cis-conformer when the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ torsion is not highly excited, both methyl groups rotate independently.

## Full dimensional anharmonic analysis

Tables II and IV collect the infrared band center positions of cis-MeOAc and trans-MeOAc derived from the full-dimensional analysis. For the most stable cis-conformer, harmonic, and anharmonic fundamental frequencies of three isotopologues have been determined with MP2/cc-pVTZ and harmonic ones at the CCSD/cc-pVTZ level. In Table IV, they are compared with previous experimental data. For the less stable trans-MeOAc, only MP2 harmonic frequencies corresponding to the most abundant isotopic variety are shown in Table II.

Calculated frequencies of cis-MeOAc are compared with gas phase experimental data from George et al. ${ }^{8}$ and Shimanouchi. ${ }^{5}$ Five modes are predicted to lie below $500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, three out-of-plane vibrations, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ torsion $\left(v_{27}\right)$, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ torsion $\left(v_{26}\right)$, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond torsion ( $\nu_{25}$ ), and two in-plane bending modes $\nu_{17}$ (COC bending) and $v_{16}$ (CCO bending). Their harmonic frequencies of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ have been found to be 43, 154, 182, 291, and $426 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with MP2/cc-pVTZ and $65,156,181,294$, and $431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with CCSD/cc-pVTZ (Table IV). The MP2


FIG. 4. The $\mathrm{A}_{33}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{-3-3}$ coefficients corresponding to the $\cos 3 \theta_{1} \cos 3 \theta_{2}$ and $\sin 3 \theta_{1} \sin 3 \theta_{2}$ terms of the 2D-potential energy surface evolution with the $\alpha$ coordinate.

TABLE IV. Harmonic ( $\omega$ ) and anharmonic (v) fundamental frequencies of cis-MeOAc (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| Sym ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mode ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Assign. | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MP2 $(\omega)$ | MP2 (v) | $\operatorname{CCSD}(\omega)$ | Expt. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | MP2 (v) | CCSD ( $\omega$ ) | Expt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | MP2 (v) | CCSD ( $\omega$ ) | Expt. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ | $\nu_{1}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{st}$ | 3225 | 3080 | 3195 | 3028 | 2310 | 2369 | 2275 | 3080 | 3195 | 3031 |
|  | $\nu_{2}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ st | 3222 | 3083 | 3188 | 3028 | 3083 | 3188 | 3032 | 2315 | 2368 | 2288 |
|  | $\nu_{3}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ st | 3102 | 2992 | 3083 | 2940 | 2148 | 2216 | 2087 | 2991 | 3083 | 2964 |
|  | $\nu_{4}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ st | 3097 | 3035 | 3082 | 2955 | 3045 | 3082 | 2967 | 2103 | 2208 | 2104 |
|  | $\nu_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ st | 1809 | 1780 | 1856 | 1747 | 1774 | 1853 | 1768 | 1776 | 1855 | 1769 |
|  | $\nu_{6}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ b | 1521 | 1504 | 1525 | 1455 | 1503 | 1525 | ... | 1089 | 1158 | 1106 |
|  | $\nu_{7}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~b}$ | 1490 | 1452 | 1501 | 1438 | 1039 | 1073 | 1086 | 1447 | 1494 | ... |
|  | $\nu_{8}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ b | 1484 | 1447 | 1492 | 1438 | 1465 | 1498 | 1439 | 1088 | 1096 | 1050 |
|  | $\nu_{9}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~b}$ | 1406 | 1371 | 1426 | 1372 | 1011 | 1052 | 1007 | 1371 | 1430 | 1375 |
|  | $\nu_{10}$ | Skel.def. | 1291 | 1246 | 1318 | 1249 | 1262 | 1335 | 1268 | 1269 | 1332 | 1268 |
|  | $\nu_{11}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{r}$ | 1219 | 1192 | 1234 | 1190 | 1194 | 1237 | 1160 | 950 | 976 | 985 |
|  | $\nu_{12}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{st}$ | 1096 | 1063 | 1114 | 1051 | 1089 | 1133 | 1049 | 1024 | 1051 | 1043 |
|  | $\nu_{13}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{r}$ | 999 | 986 | 1010 | 982 | 783 | 807 | 780 | 1069 | 1082 | 947 |
|  | $v_{14}$ | Skel.def. | 869 | 849 | 884 | 846 | 863 | 887 | 860 | 790 | 815 | 781 |
|  | $\nu_{15}$ | Skel.def. | 651 | 638 | 659 | 638 | 607 | 618 | 599 | 621 | 639 | 619 |
|  | $\nu_{16}$ | CCOb | 426 | 428 | 431 | 433 | 396 | 399 | 390 | 416 | 420 | 420 |
|  | $\nu_{17}$ | COCb | 291 | 276 | 294 | 302 | 278 | 280 | 298 | 271 | 272 | 270 |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\nu_{18}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ st | 3189 | 3049 | 3160 | 3002 | 3049 | 3160 | 3004 | 2290 | 2346 | 2263 |
|  | $\nu_{19}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ st | 3186 | 3055 | 3154 | 3002 | 2281 | 2334 | 2253 | 3054 | 3154 | 2994 |
|  | $\nu_{20}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~b}$ | 1506 | 1469 | 1509 | 1462 | 1468 | 1509 | ... | 1076 | 1092 | 1050 |
|  | $\nu_{21}$ | ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~b}$ | 1496 | 1453 | 1501 | 1445 | 1054 | 1082 | 1033 | 1449 | 1501 | ... |
|  | $\nu_{22}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{r}$ | 1192 | 1168 | 1202 | 1161 | 1168 | 1202 | 1181 | 914 | 932 | 908 |
|  | $\nu_{23}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{r}$ | 1073 | 1050 | 1088 | 1051 | 919 | 950 | 918 | 1049 | 1087 | 1015 |
|  | $\nu_{24}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ w | 611 | 608 | 621 | 609 | 526 | 537 | 525 | 602 | 618 | 600 |
|  | $\nu_{25}$ | Tor | 182 | 178 | 181 | $203{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 172 | 175 | 178 | 164 | 167 | 165 |
|  | $\nu_{26}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~T}$ | 154 | 121 | 156 | ... | 133 | 155 | ... | 107 | 116 | ... |
|  | $\nu_{27}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~T}$ | 43 | 76 | 65 | $\ldots$ | 53 | 48 |  | 75 | 65 | $\ldots$ |

${ }^{a} A b$ initio calculations of the harmonic and anharmonic frequencies by using MP2/cc-pVTZ and CCSD/cc-pVTZ levels of theory when indicated MP2 and CCSD, respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Symmetry of the vibrational modes in the Cs symmetry point group.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Assignment given to the vibrational modes: st, stretching; b, bending; r, rocking; T, torsion; w, wagging; skel.Def., skeleton deformation.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Gas phase data from Ref. 8.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Gas phase data from Ref. 5.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Solid state measurement from Ref. 8.
anharmonic fundamentals determined with second order perturbation theory (PT2) considering Fermi displacements are found at $76,121,178,276$, and $428 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, for $v_{27}, v_{26}, v_{25}$, $\nu_{17}$, and $\nu_{16}$, respectively.

In gas phase, experimental data are available for only three low frequency modes: the two bending modes $\nu_{16}$ and $\nu_{17}$ and the $\nu_{25}$ torsion. For the most abundant isotopologue, there is a good agreement between theoretical and experimental data for $\nu_{16}$, whereas $\nu_{17}$ and $\nu_{25}$ are underestimated. For the medium and high vibrational modes, the agreement is acceptable.

For the methyl group internal rotations, the PT2 model only represents a first approximation given the low torsional barriers. The model allows us to predict some spectroscopic parameters (rotational constants, centrifugal distortion constant, ${ }^{31}$ etc.) as those that are shown in Table V and compared with experimental fitted data from Tudorie et al. ${ }^{3}$

Although, the PT2 anharmonic analysis is not sufficient for a spectral analysis of a far infrared spectrum, it can be employed to predict interactions between the torsional modes and the remaining vibrational modes and to evaluate the validity of a reduced model in two or three-dimensions. For
the isotopologues $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH} 3$, Fermi displacements of the $2 \nu_{26}$ are expected given the proximity in energy of the $v_{17}$ fundamental. The torsional modes $\nu_{27}$ and $\nu_{25}$ seem to be free of resonances caused by the 24 small amplitude vibrations.

## The far infrared spectra (FIR)

To predict far infrared transitions of methyl acetate, we assume the independence of the three torsional modes with respect to the remaining vibrational modes. Thus, the torsional energy levels can be calculated by solving variationally a vibrational Hamiltonian depending on the three curvilinear coordinates $\alpha, \theta_{1}$, and $\theta_{2}$ : ${ }^{14,15,27-29}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)= & -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} B q_{i} q_{j}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)+V\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \\
& +V^{\prime}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)+V^{Z P V E}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \\
q_{i}, q_{j}= & \alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE V. Predicted spectroscopic properties (in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ of cis-methyl acetate and some of their deuterated isotopologues using second order perturbation theory calculated with MP2/cc-pVTZ.

|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calc. | Expt. ${ }^{36}$ | Calc. | Calc. |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\text {e }}$ | 0.340682 |  | 0.312864 | 0.321545 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.140789 |  | 0.125401 | 0.124536 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {e }}$ | 0.103443 |  | 0.094199 | 0.094497 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | 0.339180 | 0.34115(1) | 0.311207 | 0.319964 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | 0.139085 | $0.138914(2)$ | 0.123999 | 0.123164 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | 0.102405 | 0.102507(2) | 0.093316 | 0.093609 |
| $\mathrm{A}(\nu=25)$ | 0.339446 |  | 0.309926 | 0.318557 |
| $\mathrm{B}(\nu=25)$ | 0.138454 |  | 0.123841 | 0.123014 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\nu=25)$ | 0.102119 |  | 0.093454 | 0.093750 |
| $\mathrm{A}(\nu=26)$ | 0.337617 |  | 0.311336 | 0.320127 |
| $\mathrm{B}(\nu=26)$ | 0.138874 |  | 0.123530 | 0.122714 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\nu=26)$ | 0.102559 |  | 0.093094 | 0.093417 |
| $\mathrm{A}(\nu=27)$ | 0.340749 |  | 0.312269 | 0.321334 |
| $\mathrm{B}(\nu=27)$ | 0.138644 |  | 0.123619 | 0.122826 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\nu=27)$ | 0.102409 |  | 0.093348 | 0.093603 |
| к | $-0.6852$ |  | $-0.7146$ | $-0.7354$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{J}$ | $0.26482 \times 10^{-7}$ |  | $0.18711 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.21000 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{JK}$ | $0.1808 \times 10^{-6}$ |  | $0.3623 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.5138 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ | $0.7151 \times 10^{-7}$ |  | $-0.1504 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.1505 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\delta \mathrm{J}$ | $0.7612 \times 10^{-8}$ |  | $0.4909 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.5491 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\delta \mathrm{K}$ | $-0.6825 \times 10^{-7}$ |  | $-0.3075 \times 10^{-7}$ | $-0.5732 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Predicted displacements of the $2 \nu_{26}$ overtone and the $\nu_{17}$ fundamental by Fermi resonance |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu_{17}$ | 267 cm |  | $267 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \rightarrow 278 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $2 \nu_{26}$ | 231 cm |  | $255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \rightarrow 244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ | $\ldots$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The predicted centrifugal distortion constants are parameters of the reduced asymmetric Hamiltonian. ${ }^{31}$
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The values of the rotational constants are given after transformation into the PAM system.

In Eq. (1), $\mathrm{Bq}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ represents the kinetic energy parameters that can be identified with the $g_{q_{i} q_{j}}$ elements of the G matrix (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ):

$$
B_{i j}=1 / 2^{2} g_{i j}
$$

$\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{ZPVE}}$ are the three-dimensional potential energy surface, the pseudopotential and the zero point vibrational energy correction (for the definition of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ see Refs. 27 and 28). They can be determined from the energies, geometries, and harmonic frequencies, respectively.

All the parameters of the 3D-Hamiltonian can be determined accurately using highly correlated ab initio methods. For this purpose, we have selected a grid of 148 geometries defined for a set of discrete values of the dihedral angles C5O3C1C4 ( $\left.180^{\circ}, 160^{\circ}, \ldots, 20^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right), \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{O} 3\left(180^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}\right.$, $\left.0^{\circ},-90^{\circ}\right)$, and $\mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{C} 1\left(180^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ},-90^{\circ}\right)$. The grid
has been chosen following the recommendations of Smeyers and Villa. ${ }^{32}$ The 148 geometries are partially optimized with CCSD/cc-pVTZ where the remaining 24 coordinates are allowed to be relaxed in order to consider partially the vibrational interactions of the three torsional modes under study with the neglected 24 modes. Besides, the energies are refined performing single points $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{pVTZ}$ calculations.

The 3D-potential energy surface, $\mathrm{V}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ (3D-PES), has been determined through the fitting of the $148 \mathrm{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ energies to a symmetry adapted series which bear the totally symmetric representation of the Molecular Symmetry Group (MSG). $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ as well as the two isotopologues $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ can be classified in the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ point group and in the $G_{I 8} \mathrm{MSG}$ (see the Appendix, Table I in Ref. 33, and Ref. 34). The analytical form of the 3D-PES can be

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)= & \sum_{N=0}^{9} \sum_{M=0}^{2} \sum_{L=0}^{2} A_{N 3 M 3 L} \cos (N \alpha) \cos \left(3 M \theta_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 L \theta_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{N=0}^{9} A_{N-3-3} \cos (N \alpha) \sin \left(3 \theta_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \theta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{N=1}^{8} \sum_{M=0}^{2} A_{-N 3 M-3} \sin (N \alpha) \cos \left(3 M \theta_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \theta_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{N=1}^{8} \sum_{L=0}^{2} A_{-N-33} \sin (N \alpha) \sin \left(3 \theta_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 L \theta_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{V}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is the only Hamiltonian term in Eq. (1) that is isotopically invariant. See supplementary material where the computed 148 expansion coefficients $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{NML}}$ are provided. ${ }^{35}$ The pseudopotential $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ as well as the $\mathrm{B}_{\text {qiqj }}$ depend on the isotopic substitution. For each isotopologue and geometry, they can be calculated using the subroutine MATRIZG ${ }^{27,28}$ implemented in the code ENEDIM ${ }^{26}$ and fitted to equations formally identical to Eq. (2). For MeOAc, $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ is negligible in all the conformations and the kinetic parameters vary very slightly with the torsions. Their values (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) for $\alpha=0^{\circ}, \theta_{1}=0^{\circ}$, and $\theta_{2}=0^{\circ}$ are:

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha \alpha}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\theta 101}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\theta 2 \boldsymbol{\theta 2}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha \theta 1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha \theta 2}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\theta 1 \theta 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 1.7593 | 5.7193 | 6.3011 | -0.365 | $-1.0801$ | 0.5625 |
| $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 1.6655 | 3.0644 | 6.2845 | -0.3497 | -1.0408 | $0.5597{ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD} 3$ | 1.3609 | 5.6888 | 3.1903 | -0.2484 | -0.5169 | 0.4349 |

$\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{ZPVE}}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ represents a correction of the 3D-PES that usually shifts the torsional levels an amount of $\sim 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. With this correction, the contribution of the 24 neglected modes to the vibrational energy is taken into consideration. Otherwise, it is considered to be equal to zero since the geometry optimization minimizes it. For each isotopologue and for each MP2 geometry, $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{ZPVE}}\left(\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is determined from the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ harmonic frequencies $\omega_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{Z P V E}=\sum_{i=n+1}^{i=3 N-6} \frac{\omega_{i}}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is equal to the number of independent coordinates, the three torsional modes in the case of methyl acetate in this paper. The sum starts with $n+1$ because their contribution to the vibrational energy is considered explicitly in the variational calculations. Individual values of the ZPVE correction corresponding to the 148 conformations are fitted to totally symmetric series formally identical to Eq. (2).

The Hamiltonian was solved variationally using as trial functions symmetry eigenvectors corresponding to the $G_{18}$ MSG. An acceptable numerical convergence requires $65 \times 39$ $\times 39$ basis functions. The symmetry properties factorize the Hamiltonian matrix into blocks whose dimensions are 5493 $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) 5492\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ and $10985\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}, \mathrm{E}_{3}, \mathrm{E}_{4}, \mathrm{E}_{3}, \mathrm{E}_{4}\right)$. Large matrices are pre-diagonalized using contracted basis sets since solutions of a one-dimensional Hamiltonian depending on $\alpha$ are multiplied by symmetry eigenvectors depending on $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, to produce the trial function.

In Tables VI-VIII, the computed torsional energy levels and the FIR frequencies are shown for MeOAc and some of their deuterated isotopologues. In Table VI, the tunneling splittings of the levels that could be populated at low temperatures are also shown. All the energies are referred to the $\left(\mathrm{vv}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(000)$ ground state and are labeled using the $G_{18}$ symmetry group and three vibrational quanta $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}$ corresponding to the $\nu_{25}, \nu_{27}$, and $\nu_{26}$ modes. All of them are assigned to the cis-conformer because they are lying below $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\sim 2600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.

Below $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}$, each cis energy level splits into five components $\left(A_{i}(i=1,2), E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, E_{4}\right)$ (see Table VI), with degeneracies accounting for nine wave functions, because the cis conformer local potential energy surface presents nine minima derived from the two internal rotations of the methyl groups. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ first excited level (010) lies below the $\mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ barrier $\left(\sim 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$, see Table III), whereas (020) reaches the barrier top. Internal rotation splittings between A and E levels reach $11.81 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the ( 010 ) state and $26.42 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the (020) state for the most abundant isotopologue (they are $2.21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $9.41 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ and $11.59 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $26.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}\right)$. For the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ main species, the computed torsional splittings in the $\mathrm{vt}=0$ ground torsional state (000) agree very well with the values inferred from the experimental microwave data using the BELGI-Cs-2Tops code, which are $1.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the A-E $E_{1}$ splitting, $0.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $A-E_{2}$ and $1.16 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}_{4}$ splittings. ${ }^{3}$

For the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, the barrier height is $\sim 410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In this case, the first excited level (001) lies in the bottom of the well. Internal rotation splittings between A and E species are predicted to be $2.26 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}, 2.55 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ and $0.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$.

It is expected that some vibrational energies around $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and around $430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in Table VI would interact strongly with $\nu_{17}$ and $\nu_{16}$ bands, respectively, due to their proximity in energy and, hence, their vibrational term values could be slightly different from those presented in this work. A more general procedure should be used in order to solve these possible energy shifts although this is out of the aim of this work.

FIR band centers for the three isotopologues are shown in Table VII. The three fundamental frequencies $000 \rightarrow 100$ $\left(\nu_{25}\right), 000 \rightarrow 010\left(\nu_{27}\right)$, and $000 \rightarrow 001\left(\nu_{26}\right)$ have been determined to be $175.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 63.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $136.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 171.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 43.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $132.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$ and $151.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 63.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $105.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}\right)$. For $\nu_{25}$, these fundamentals can be compared with previous experimental frequencies ( $203 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}{ }^{8}$ and $178 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $165 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

TABLE VI. Torsional energy levels (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of cis-MeOAc.

| $v v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}$ | Symm. | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $0.00^{\text {a }}$ | $0.00^{\text {b }}$ | $0.00^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 1.08 | 0.13 | 1.08 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 1.09 | 0.13 | 1.08 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 1.09 | 0.13 | 1.08 |
| 010 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 63.74 | 43.59 | 63.03 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 51.93 | 41.37 | 51.44 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 63.74 | 43.60 | 63.03 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 51.93 | 41.38 | 51.44 |
|  | E4 | 51.93 | 41.38 | 51.44 |
| 020 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 87.35 | 71.33 | 86.87 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 113.77 | 80.74 | 113.64 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 87.35 | 71.34 | 86.87 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 113.77 | 80.74 | 113.64 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 113.77 | 80.74 | 113.64 |
| 001 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 136.09 | 132.88 | 105.46 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 137.98 | 135.26 | 105.79 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 135.72 | 132.71 | 105.45 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 136.73 | 134.91 | 105.78 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 136.73 | 134.91 | 105.78 |
| 100 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 175.81 | 171.47 | 151.39 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 178.62 | 170.26 | 149.55 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 175.75 | 171.39 | 151.39 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 178.56 | 170.20 | 149.55 |
|  | $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 178.56 | 170.20 | 149.55 |
| 011 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 196.9 | 178.9 | 167.0 |
| 030 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 216.9 | 137.2 | 219.4 |
| 040 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 221.5 | 136.7 | 232.2 |
| 021 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 229.4 | 206.8 | 190.8 |
| 110 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 246.5 | 217.3 | 206.7 |
| 002 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 248.0 | 246.6 | 199.0 |
| 120 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 271.9 | 248.3 | 249.1 |
| 101 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 304.5 | 298.2 | 255.4 |
| 012 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 309.5 | 275.3 | 259.0 |
| 220 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 333.0 | 274.8 | 283.3 |
| 200 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 348.6 | 339.7 | 301.3 |
| 041 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 351.9 | 291.5 | 335.7 |
| 031 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 358.6 | 318.7 | 323.5 |
| 003 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 361.7 | 360.2 | 281.0 |
| 111 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 376.6 | 344.8 | 309.7 |
| 004 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 381.8 | 379.2 | 326.8 |
| 140 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 394.1 | 314.6 | 353.8 |
| 130 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 395.8 | 312.4 | 369.1 |
| 121 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 405.1 | 375.6 | 351.7 |
| 102 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 415.0 | 408.5 | 348.1 |
| 013 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 424.3 | 382.6 | 345.2 |
| 210 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 424.6 | 387.7 | 386.9 |
| 023 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 437.8 | 379.6 | 363.1 |
| 220 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 452.5 | 421.7 | 406.6 |
| 014 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 456.6 | 400.9 | 395.2 |
| 024 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 463.0 | 401.4 | 417.6 |
| 201 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 470.9 | 460.5 | 404.0 |
| 032 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 471.8 | 419.5 | 416.0 |
| 042 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 473.3 | 407.2 | 432.3 |
| 050 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 480.9 | 262.9 | 478.2 |
| 060 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 481.0 | 261.5 | 478.3 |
| 112 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 487.6 | 442.3 | 401.1 |
| 131 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 510.3 | 441.2 | 443.3 |

TABLE VI. (Continued.)

| $\mathrm{vv}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}$ | Symm. | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 518.9 | 505.7 | 449.9 |
| 141 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 517.7 | 457.9 | 455.2 |
| 103 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 526.0 | 519.0 | 494.9 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ZPVE}=191.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ZPVE $=181.13 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{ZPVE}=160.43 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
for $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}{ }^{5}$ ). Unfortunately, for $\nu_{26}$ and $\nu_{27}$, there are not direct measures of far infrared bands in gas phase that permit us to evaluate the accuracy of our calculated values. For $\nu_{25}$, there is only a low resolution measurement in the solid phase and again no gas phase measurement so far. It has to be remarked that our PT2 fulldimensional calculations predict Fermi resonances between the $2 \nu_{26}$ overtone and the $\nu_{17}$ COC bending fundamental which are not considered in our 3D model. Finally we can also compare the $a b$ initio values of the FIR $000 \rightarrow$ $010\left(\nu_{27}\right)$ and $000 \rightarrow 001\left(\nu_{26}\right)$ band centers for the main isotopologue to the values extrapolated from our calculation using the BELGI-Cs-2Tops code which are $62.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $133.08 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. ${ }^{3}$ Those values represent only an extrapolation because neither rotational transitions within the first excited torsional states of any top nor far-infrared transitions have been analyzed so far. Nevertheless, they are quite close.

Table VII also shows several sequences between excited torsional levels and combination band centers probably visible at low temperatures. For $\nu_{25}, 100 \rightarrow 200$ and 200

TABLE VII. Far infrared transitions (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) connecting cis-methyl acetate non-degenerate levels.

| $\mathrm{vv}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{vv}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{Symm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $000 \rightarrow 100$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 175.8 | 171.5 | 151.4 |
| $100 \rightarrow 200$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 172.8 | 168.2 | 149.9 |
| $200 \rightarrow 300$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 170.3 | 166.0 | 148.0 |
| $000 \rightarrow 010$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 63.7 | 43.9 | 63.0 |
| $000 \rightarrow 030$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 216.9 | 137.2 | 219.4 |
| $010 \rightarrow 020$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 22.7 | 27.4 | 23.9 |
| $010 \rightarrow 040$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 157.8 | 92.8 | 169.2 |
| $020 \rightarrow 030$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 129.5 | 65.9 | 132.5 |
| $000 \rightarrow 001$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 136.1 | 132.9 | 105.5 |
| $001 \rightarrow 002$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 111.9 | 113.7 | 93.5 |
| $002 \rightarrow 003$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 113.7 | 113.6 | 82.0 |
| $100 \rightarrow 110$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 70.7 | 45.8 | 55.3 |
| $010 \rightarrow 110$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 182.8 | 173.5 | 143.7 |
| $020 \rightarrow 120$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 184.5 | 177.0 | 162.2 |
| $100 \rightarrow 101$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 128.7 | 126.7 | 104.0 |
| $001 \rightarrow 101$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 168.4 | 165.3 | 149.9 |
| $001 \rightarrow 011$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 60.8 | 46.0 | 61.5 |
| $010 \rightarrow 011$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 133.2 | 135.1 | 104.0 |
| $020 \rightarrow 021$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 142.0 | 135.5 | 103.9 |
| $110 \rightarrow 111$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 130.1 | 127.5 | 103.0 |
| $120 \rightarrow 121$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 132.2 | 127.3 | 102.6 |
| $101 \rightarrow 111$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 72.1 | 46.6 | 54.3 |
| $011 \rightarrow 111$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 179.7 | 165.9 | 142.7 |
| $021 \rightarrow 121$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 175.7 | 168.8 | 160.9 |

$\rightarrow 300$, determined at $172.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $170.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the most abundant isotopologue, present a standard anharmonic behavior. However, the methyl torsional barriers $\left(102 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{3}$ ) are rather low making really hard the labeling of the levels.

## A comparison between theoretical models and experimental data

Usually, the large amplitude vibrations of two methyl group systems are analyzed using two-dimensional effective Hamiltonians depending on the two internal rotations. Recently, Tudorie et al. ${ }^{3}$ have developed a fitting program for the analysis of the microwave and millimeter-wave spectra of molecules with two inequivalent methyl tops and a symmetry plane such as MeOAc. To compare our new ab initio results with the available fitted parameters, ${ }^{3}$ we have reduced our 3DPES ${ }^{35}$ to obtain a 2 D -surface, ${ }^{21}$ by freezing the $\alpha$ coordinate at $0^{\circ}$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)= & 269.642-206.919 \cos 3 \theta_{2}-13.447 \cos 6 \theta_{2} \\
& -50.081 \cos 3 \theta_{1}+0.707 \cos 3 \theta_{1} \cos 3 \theta_{2} \\
& -0.227 \cos 3 \theta_{1} \cos 6 \theta_{2}+0.548 \cos 6 \theta_{1} \\
& -0.36 \cos 6 \theta_{1} \cos 3 \theta_{2}+0.112 \cos 6 \theta_{1} \cos 6 \theta_{2} \\
& +6.489 \sin 3 \theta_{1} \sin 3 \theta_{2} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In Table VIII, the energy levels calculated from this 2DPES are shown. For this purpose, we have used the kinetic parameters, $\mathrm{B}_{\theta 1 \theta 1}=5.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{~B}_{\theta 1 \theta 2}=0.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{\theta 2 \theta 2}$ $=5.64 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ determined in two-dimensions with MATRIZ $\mathrm{G}^{26,27}$ from the CCSD/cc-pVTZ equilibrium geometry. Furthermore, the 2D-PES is reduced for obtaining energies with 1D-Hamiltonians involving only either $\theta_{1}$ or $\theta_{2}$ degrees of freedom following the same procedure.

Our 2D-PES compares well with the one of Tudorie et al., ${ }^{3}$ fitted using experimental data:

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)= & 258.418-207.548 \cos 3 \theta_{2}-47.344 \cos 3 \theta_{1} \\
& -3.526 \cos 3 \theta_{1} \cos 3 \theta_{2}+34.24 \sin 3 \theta_{1} \sin 3 \theta_{2} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE VIII. Non-degenerate torsional energy levels (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) calculated with different reduced models.

| Model <br> Independent variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\alpha, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ | $1 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> $\theta_{1}$ | $1 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{d}}$ <br> $\theta_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{D}^{3}$ <br> $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{vv}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}$ | Symmetry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 000 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 010 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 63.7 | 64.9 | 65.1 | $\ldots$ | 62.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 87.4 | 83.5 | 83.7 | $\ldots$ | 83.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 001 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 136.1 | 141.2 | $\ldots$ | 140.7 | 133.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 175.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 196.9 | 205.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 189.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 216.9 | 221.1 | 223.0 | $\ldots$ | 205.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 040 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 221.5 | 223.4 | 223.2 | $\ldots$ | 222.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 229.4 | 226.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 226.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]The corresponding levels (last column of Table VIII) were determined using the kinetic parameters of Tudorie et al. ${ }^{3}: \mathrm{F}_{1}=5.554669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1}=\mathrm{B}_{\theta 1 \theta 1}\right) ; \mathrm{F}_{12}=0.664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{F}_{12}=2 \mathrm{~B}_{\theta 1 \theta 2}\right) ; \mathrm{F}_{2}=5.523464 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{\theta 2 \theta 2}\right)$, computed by a least-squares fitting through BELGI-Cs-2Tops code and choosing, as a starting point in the fitting procedure, the values determined from the MP2/6-311+ $+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ equilibrium geometry (see Table VII of Ref. 3). All the energies listed on the last column of Table VII are for A species and for $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{K}$ $=0$ energy levels, and since there is so far no experimental data for excited torsional states in methyl acetate, these energies represent only extrapolations using the molecular parameters determined from the $v_{\mathrm{t}}=0$ fit.

With the exception of the $\sin 3 \theta_{1} \sin 3 \theta_{2}$ term coefficient $\left(\mathrm{A}_{0-3-3}\right)$, the good agreement between the two surfaces (Eq. (4) and (5)) and the kinetic parameters is evident. These two equations contain a different number of terms because the number of fitted coefficients was restricted by the set of available experimental lines that correspond to transitions involving a limited number of vibrational states. The ab initio calculations of this work predict values of the $\mathrm{V}_{6}$ coefficients of the $\cos 6 \theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ terms that are not negligible $\left(\mathrm{V}_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\left.=0.548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{~V}_{6}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=-13.447 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, which justify energy differences for the higher excited states. For further spectral analysis involving excited torsional states, it will be very useful to employ the $a b$ initio $\mathrm{V}_{6}$ parameters as starting point for the fitting procedure.

Differences for other terms are very small. For example, $\mathrm{A}_{033}$ differs $\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ but these differences can be related to the number of expansion terms, i.e., the coefficients $\mathrm{A}_{063}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{036}$ are different than zero in the $a b$ initio potential surface while they are fixed to zero in the fitted potential surface.

Differences between the $\mathrm{A}_{0-3-3}$ terms of Eq. (4) and (5) can be correlated to the interactions between the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ torsion and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ torsion (modes $\nu_{26}$ and $\nu_{25}$ ). $\mathrm{A}_{0-3-3}$, as well as $\mathrm{B}_{\theta 1 \theta 2}$, is responsible for the difference between the two methyl torsion fundamentals. Whereas Eq. (4) provides $(010)=64.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $(001)=141.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the levels determined using Eq. (5) are (010) $=62.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and (001) $=133.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A good agreement for ( 010 ) is obtained whereas (001) differs. However, the 3D energies, that retain the $\nu_{25}$ and $\nu_{26}$ interactions, $\left((010)=63.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $(001)$ $=136.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), are in a good agreement with the empirical results. Apart from that difference, differences among ab initio energies calculated with the 3D, 2D and 1D models are not important. It can be inferred that the three torsional modes are "almost independent" although, especially for high energies where resonances are more significant, the 3D-model results are the most reliable.

## CONCLUSIONS

Highly correlated $a b$ initio calculations have been used to compute gas phase spectroscopic parameters of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCD}_{3}$. MeOAc shows two conformers cis and trans separated by a barrier of $4457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Their energy difference $\Delta H^{\mathrm{e}}$ has been predicted to be $\sim 2600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. To analyze the far infrared spectrum at low temperatures, a 3D-Hamiltonian is solved variationally. The
two methyl torsion barriers are calculated to be $99.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $413.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ in a good agreement with available experimental data. The three fundamental torsional band centers of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ are predicted to lie at $63.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 136.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and $175.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ torsion) providing torsional state separations. These are relatively large for the first excited levels assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ torsion.

Differences between energies calculated with the 3Dmodel and 2D- and 1D-reduced models are not relevant. It can be inferred that the three torsional modes are "almost independent" although, especially for high energies where resonances are more significant, the 3D-model results are the most believable. In general, the agreement between the present pure $a b$ initio results and previous fitted parameters determined using an effective Hamiltonian is evident. For further analysis involving excited torsional states, it is recommendable to use the $\mathrm{V}_{6} a b$ initio coefficients as starting point for further fittings.
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## APPENDIX: CHARACTER TABLE OF THE $G_{18}$ GROUP ${ }^{33}$

|  | E | $2(456)$ | $2(123)$ | $2(123)(456)$ | $2(123)(465)$ | $9(23)(56)^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{1} \mathrm{xC}_{3}{ }^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | AA |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | AA |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 2 | 2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | EA |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 2 | -1 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | AE |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 2 | -1 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 0 | EE |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | EE |
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