

# **Offshore remote sensing of the ocean by stereo vision systems**

Guillermo Gallego<sup>1</sup>, Ping-Chang Shih<sup>2</sup>, Alvise Benetazzo<sup>3</sup>, Anthony Yezzi<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Fedele<sup>2</sup> (1) Univ. Politécnica de Madrid (Spain), (2) Georgia Institute of Technology (USA), (3) CNR – ISMAR Venice (Italy)

**Summary.** We develop a remote sensing imaging system for the stereoscopic measurement of ocean waves via variational methods. This technique is noninvasive and enables a better understanding of the space-time dynamics of ocean waves over an area rather than at selected point locations of traditional monitoring methods (buoys, etc.).

The wave surface is obtained as the minimizer of an energy functional that combines image observations and space-time smoothness priors. Two energy functionals are considered ("elevation" and "disparity"), yielding similar results. Multigrid methods are used to numerically solve the partial differential equations (PDEs) derived from the optimality conditions of the functional. Experiments were carried out to measure and analyze ocean waves from real data collected at two offshore platforms in the Black Sea (Crimean Peninsula) and the Northern Adriatic Sea (Venice coast, Italy).

## **1. Variational Stereo Methods**

**1.1** Ocean surface represented as an elevation map S(u, v) = (u, v, Z(u, v))**Energy functional to be minimized:** 

$$E(S, f) = E_{\text{data}}(S, f) + \alpha E_{\text{geom}}(S) + \beta E_{\text{rad}}(f),$$

• **Data fidelity**: measure photo-consistency of the video for a candidate surface.

$$E_{\text{data}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} E_i$$

• Regularizers: enforce spatia and temporal smoothness of the solution.

al 
$$E_{\text{geom}}(Z) = f$$
  
s  $E_{\text{rad}}(f) = f$ 

### **Minimization approach**:

- Obtain Euler-Lagrange eqs (Necessary optimality)  $\rightarrow$  set gradient descent PDEs
- Discretize and solve PDEs using 3-D multigrid methods.

	Focal length Distance to surface	ce Op
Non-linear term (data-fidelity) :	$N_c$	/ sur
	$g(Z,f) = \nabla f \cdot \sum  \mathbb{M}^i  \tilde{Z}_i^{-3} (I_i - f) (u)$	$-C_{i}^{1},$
	$\uparrow  i=1 \qquad \qquad \uparrow$	
	Radiance derivative Photometr	ic error

## Advantages of variational methods:

- $\checkmark$  Enforce continuity of the wave surface in space and time (no holes).
- Improve robustness: less sensitive to image matching problems.
- $\checkmark$  Provide dense surface reconstructions.
- $\checkmark$  Allow controllability/priors on the unknowns.
- $\checkmark$  Can incorporate global properties of wave heights (statistics, etc.).
- ✓ Imply less post-processing than traditional methods.

## **1.2 Another variational stereo method:**

- Ocean surface is represented as a **disparity map**  $\lambda$  (depth from the cameras).
- Energy functional with data fidelity and smoothness terms:

$$E'_{\text{data}}(\lambda) = \oint_T \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} (I_1(\mathbf{x}_1) - I_2(\mathbf{x}_2))^2 d\mathbf{x}_1 dt$$
  

$$E_{\text{smooth}}(\lambda) = \oint_T \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \lambda\|^2 d\mathbf{x}_1 dt,$$

- Same optimization approach: gradient descent PDE  $\rightarrow$  multigrid methods.
- Then, back-project matched image points and fit a surface through 3D points.





9) <u>http://www.gti.ssr.upm.es/~ggb/</u>

	$H_{m0}$ [m]	<i>H</i> <sub>1/3</sub> [m]	H <sub>max</sub> [m]	$T_p[s]$	$T_z$ [s]	Dir [° N]
CNR	_	0.47	0.68	_	2.91	_
WASS	0.45	0.41	0.83	4.34	3.09	$148.5\pm3$
CNR	1.13	1.09	2.03	4.59	3.51	$65.0\pm3$
WASS	1.15	1.10	2.18	4.83	3.62	$59.5\pm3$
CNR	2.23	2.16	3.80	6.37	4.62	$69.7\pm3$
WASS	2.17	2.16	3.95	6.36	4.85	$70.1\pm3$