Hindawi Publishing Corporation Advances in Acoustics and Vibration Volume 2012, Article ID 378361, 8 pages doi:10.1155/2012/378361

Research Article

Spectral Biomimetic Technique for Wood Classification Inspired by Human Echolocation

Juan Antonio Martínez Rojas,¹ Santiago Vignote Peña,² Jesús Alpuente Hermosilla,¹ Rocío Sánchez Montero,¹ Pablo Luis López Espí,¹ and Isaac Martínez Rojas²

¹ Department of Signal Theory and Communications, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad de Alcalá, Campus Universitario, Ctra. de Madrid-Barcelona, km 33.600, 28805 Alcalá de Henares, Spain

² Department of Forest Economy and Management, E.T.S.I. de Montes, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to Juan Antonio Martínez Rojas, juanan.martinez@uah.es

Received 16 April 2012; Revised 10 July 2012; Accepted 25 July 2012

Academic Editor: Toru Otsuru

Copyright © 2012 Juan Antonio Martínez Rojas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Palatal clicks are most interesting for human echolocation. Moreover, these sounds are suitable for other acoustic applications due to their regular mathematical properties and reproducibility. Simple and nondestructive techniques, bioinspired by synthetized pulses whose form reproduces the best features of palatal clicks, can be developed. The use of synthetic palatal pulses also allows detailed studies of the real possibilities of acoustic human echolocation without the problems associated with subjective individual differences. These techniques are being applied to the study of wood. As an example, a comparison of the performance of both natural and synthetic human echolocation to identify three different species of wood is presented. The results show that human echolocation has a vast potential.

1. Introduction

Acoustic techniques have been shown to be an invaluable tool for the study of many types of materials. Wood is an excellent material to test the limitations and potential of noninvasive acoustic methods due to its complexity and availability [1]. However, most currently used methods work in the ultrasonic range, [2, 3]. Studies of biological tissues in the audible range are scarce [4–6].

Measurement of acoustic properties of porous materials with impedance tubes is a powerful common procedure. Top quality comercial systems are available and several international standards are published [7]. Acoustic pulse reflectometry is a more recent technique for reconstructing the profile of ducts and other waveguides using pulse reflections from unknown duct geometries [8]. Other techniques have been developed for determining the properties of porous materials, mainly laser vibrometry and acoustic excitation based methods [9]. However, the use of impedance tubes is not suitable for real time monitoring of wood properties in harsh environments like sawmills or for inspecting large wood structures noninvasively.

Wood species classification using impedance tubes, acoustic pulse reflectometry in the audible range or laser vibrometry is not reported in the literature, as far as we know. As an alternative technique to echolocation pulses, stress wave analysis using acoustic impact has been successfully used by our group to classify some wood species [10]. However, scanning pulse-echo methods are more suitable to study large sections of wood, like pillars and beams.

Human echolocation enables the perception of objects through reflected echoes. Certain sounds are more appropriate for human echolocation as they produce clearer echoes. Previous studies have shown that the waveform of human palatal pulses resembles almost perfect damped sinusoidal functions, very similar to an ordinary Bessel pulse [11, 12]. Biomimetic approaches based on echolocation offer enough flexibility to inspect in a nondestructive way any kind of wood structure with very little computational effort, if only relative measures are needed. If absolute measurements of acoustic parameters are necessary or accurate response functions through deconvolution are to be interpreted, this method turns much more complex.

In this case, we are interested in studying the feasibility of human echolocation pulses to identify different wood veneers using as simple as possible calculations both in the time and frequency domain. More complex and powerful approaches to pulse shaping and processing, like chirping and impulse response calculations, have not been tried in order to keep the interpretation of the results and the comparison with real human performance as simple as possible. Only tone and amplitude variations among the reflected echoes from different wood surfaces are considered. Calculation of impulse response functions is not studied because participants in echolocations experiments are only trained to recognize tonal and timbral differences between echoes from different surfaces using a relative and comparative approach.

Our main objective is not to compete with well-tested acoustic nondestructive methods, but to prove that, in principle, there are enough tonal and timbral differences among the echoes from different surfaces, so that human echolocators would be able to use these variations to identify such surfaces. It is not difficult to train humans to distinguish surfaces with very different acoustic properties, like steel, glass, wood, and cork. If only natural clicks are used, there would be doubts about the reproducibility of the pulses being the cause of the timbral variations. To minimize this difficulty we have opted for a dual approach, analyzing echoes from both natural and synthetic pulses. A complete study of human performance using a statistically significant number of participants, both blind and sighted, is not the purpose of the present work and will be the subject of future research. In this work, we want to prove using both artificial and natural clicks that human echolocation could be used in principle to identify certain complex surfaces such as wood. Naturally we have used a limited number of wood species with similar finish, but different physical properties.

Obviously, natural pulses are very difficult to reproduce. Even if we use advanced mathematical techniques to try to mimic the worst behaved oscillations, precisely these pulses are the most affected by loudspeaker distortions. After many trials and different mathematical fitness approaches, we have opted for an optimal smooth function fit to a mean of the best behaved natural clicks.

In this work, the term *palatal click* is used in a general sense, because for human echolocation a detailed phonetic analysis of the sounds has not been performed. From a strict phonetic point of view, some of the produced sounds are not pure palatal clicks but alveopalatal or even more complex combinations, [13–15]. All participants in our study are native Spanish speakers, so they are asked only to make as clear palatal clicks as possible, trying not to touch the alveolar area with the tip of the tongue. It would be an unnecessary complication to train them to faithfully reproduce the correct palatal sounds found in Khoisan languages. Our guide in selecting "correct palatal" clicks has been the similarity of their waveforms with a damped sinusoidal curve, with minimal noise or perturbations. Although this is not a correct

phonetic criterion, it is a practical one for echolocation training purposes, as our previous works found [11, 12].

The studied surfaces where square wood veneers (side = 20 cm) from three different wood species: chestnut (*Castanea sativa*), cherry (*Prunus avium*), and sycamore maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus*). For wood species identification, both natural and artificial palatal pulses have been used. The results show that this acoustical identification technique is theoretically feasible with good performance. Some implications derived from this research applicable to natural human echolocation will be discussed.

2. Methodology and Experimental Procedure

The experimental setup was very similar to those described in previous studies [11, 12], but with some differences. The experiments were performed in a room with dimensions of approximately $15 \times 15 \times 3$ m. However, computer noise was not allowed, due to the objective nature of the measurements. The reverberation constant (30 dB below the level of the direct sound), RT_{30} , was 0.10 ± 0.01 s (average and standard deviation). It was obtained from 10 recordings of the sound of a loud impulsive noise. No sound processing or enhancement has been done to study the echo signals. Sound amplitudes are normalized to facilitate figure comparison.

Three different electret microphones, with nominal frequency response from 20 Hz to 20 kHz, and two sound cards were used to verify the waveform of the recorded sounds. The sound cards, one from Realtek and one from Creative Labs were used and compared. A previous calibration with pure sinusoidal tones from a function generator showed that no important errors were present in the recordings. The sampling frequency was set to 192 kHz in order to achieve the best available temporal resolution. The recording, analysis and figures were obtained with the *Praat* program [16].

In order to study the echoes from artificial clicks, a 60 W nominal peak power loudspeaker (Creative Labs) was vertically placed just in front of the wood veneer also placed vertically. A millimeter ruler was used to measure the distance between the veneer target and the loudspeaker. A series of test were made in order to determine the best distance for the recordings using the loudspeaker to full power. Distances less than 10 cm produced saturated recordings, but echoes degraded for distances larger than 25 cm. So, it was decided to make the measurements using a distance of 20 cm between the wood veneers and the loudspeaker. Microphones were placed at the same distance and in parallel with the loudspeaker, 5 cm laterally separated from it. Also, a distance of 20 cm was very convenient to make recordings using natural palatal clicks in the same conditions as the artificial ones. Participants' faces were placed just in front of the wood veneers trying to follow the same geometrical configuration than loudspeakers.

The veneer thickness was 0.5 mm approximately and their dimensions $20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}$. This area was selected because it was a good compromise between size and mechanical stability. Wood experts recommended us to use veneers of this size. Larger samples were prone to defects



FIGURE 1: Waveform of a natural palatal pulse.



FIGURE 2: Frequency spectrum of a natural palatal pulse.

and fractures, although it is desirable to have veneers as large as possible. This small thickness was selected to try to reduce the analysis to a 2D problem, as in wood audible sounds penetrate deeply. A full volumetric study in a such a complex material as wood would be certainly much more difficult. The measured acoustical parameters are related to the structure and other properties of these different woods.

Wood experts selected a total of 5 veneers from each wood species using visual criteria of lack of defects or fractures and uniformity. Grain direction was also preserved among samples as much as possible to discard any acoustical phenomena related with this property.

Acoustics in wood depends, at least, on wood density, porosity, water content, and quantity and quality of other impregnating substances (resins, tanins, etc.). Cherry and maple, in spite of their evident visual differences, share other relevant physical and chemical properties. Their density is 630 kg/m³ approximately, and their structure, porosity and impregnating substances are similar. Chestnut, however, is quite different. Its wood density is about 550 kg/m³. This implies that it has greater porosity. In addition, it contains more impregnating substances. In this study, the effect of water content was minimized using a controlled drying process.

Water content was controlled keeping the temperature constant during experiments (294 K). Water content was measured using a commercial moisture meter over thicker samples made from the same wood as the veneers and kept in the same conditions. A value of $(13 \pm 2)\%$ was obtained for all wood samples during the experiments. Wood veneer



FIGURE 3: Waveform of the synthetic palatal pulse used in this work.



FIGURE 4: Frequency spectrum of the synthetic palatal pulse used in this work.

moisture was not directly measured because they were too thin to be perforated by the moisture meter.

In order to generate artificial clicks, 50 natural palatal clicks were analysed. They were produced by 5 different people. In order to simplify the analysis, they were reduced to 10 using the following criteria.

- (i) Natural clicks should resemble a damped sinusoid as much as possible. Very high frequency oscillations superimposed to the main sinusoid are always different. Besides they are not reliable and difficult to reproduce.
- (ii) The clicks should be simple, no more than one pulse should be visible in an interval of 500 ms. This minimizes the risk of incorrect performances due to excessively fast tongue motion.
- (iii) The whole duration of the clicks should be between 100 and 200 ms. We consider this duration as the standard palatal click duration. Shorter and longer clicks tend to be irregular.

This does not mean that the discarded clicks would not be suitable for echolocation for mobility purposes. In such case, the exact form of the pulses is not critical because echolocators can use several different clicks to obtain information about their surroundings. In fact, due to natural factors, during real long time echolocation many clicks are a combination of palatal and alveopalatal sounds. A simple comparison of the timbral quality of the echoes is often enough for most purposes. However, in this case we should

Wood	Acoustic parameters (mean ± standard deviation)						
	T(ms)	NMax	MaxWA (n.u.)	MinWA (n.u.)			
Chestnut	16.72 ± 0.30	39.0 ± 1.7	0.070 ± 0.000	0.110 ± 0.000			
Cherry	17.13 ± 0.26	39.2 ± 0.5	0.070 ± 0.000	0.110 ± 0.000			
Maple	17.08 ± 0.46	35.8 ± 0.8	0.060 ± 0.005	0.100 ± 0.000			

TABLE 1: Summary of acoustic parameters of synthetic pulses from three different wood species.

T: time duration; NMax: number of maxima; MaxWA: maximum wave amplitude (normalized units); MinWA: minimum wave amplitude (normalized units).

TABLE 2: Summary of acoustic parameters of synthetic pulses from three different wood species.

Acoustic parameters (mean ± standard deviation)							
F1 (Hz)	F2 (Hz)	F3 (Hz)	RT (ms)	I (dB)			
59.8 ± 1.3	750 ± 0	1410 ± 0	0.16 ± 0.01	53.29 ± 0.09			
58.6 ± 0.9	795 ± 0	1455 ± 0	0.18 ± 0.02	52.78 ± 0.07			
58.6 ± 1.7	750 ± 0	1455 ± 0	0.17 ± 0.02	52.65 ± 0.06			
	F1 (Hz) 59.8 ± 1.3 58.6 ± 0.9 58.6 ± 1.7	Acoustic p $F1$ (Hz) $F2$ (Hz) 59.8 ± 1.3 750 ± 0 58.6 ± 0.9 795 ± 0 58.6 ± 1.7 750 ± 0	Acoustic parameters (mean \pm starF1 (Hz)F2 (Hz)F3 (Hz)59.8 \pm 1.3750 \pm 01410 \pm 058.6 \pm 0.9795 \pm 01455 \pm 058.6 \pm 1.7750 \pm 01455 \pm 0	Acoustic parameters (mean \pm standard deviation)F1 (Hz)F2 (Hz)F3 (Hz)RT (ms)59.8 \pm 1.3750 \pm 01410 \pm 00.16 \pm 0.0158.6 \pm 0.9795 \pm 01455 \pm 00.18 \pm 0.0258.6 \pm 1.7750 \pm 01455 \pm 00.17 \pm 0.02			

F1, F2, F3: frequency bands (Hz); RT: rise time (ms); I: intensity (dB).

demand the highest pulse purity for clicks in order to generate something similar to a standard palatal click.

The synthetic palatal pulses were produced with the aid of the Praat program. Ten selected clicks were individually fitted as described below. After each function was calculated, their peak amplitudes and duration were compared. An average function was generated by fine tuning of the results. The criterion used was that the total duration of the final standard click should be 200 ms and its amplitude was normalized to the unity.

Simple Fourier analysis had slow convergence so a large number of terms were necessary to reproduce the pulses. Due to this, we accelerated the convergence doing a least square fitting of the ten best behaved natural clicks to the product of a sinusoidal based series and a gaussian. However, the gaussian proved to have a too fast decaying. An exponent $t^{1.2}$ was finally the best fit. As a result of this analysis, it was found that a reasonable fit for well-behaved natural clicks was described by a function of the following form:

$$p(t) = -[0.1\sin(2\pi50t) + 0.01\sin(2\pi400t) + 1\sin(2\pi800t) + 0.002\sin(2\pi1400t) + 0.005\sin(2\pi1600t) + 0.002\sin(2\pi2000t) + 0.01\sin(2\pi2400t) + 0.01\sin(2\pi2500t) + 0.01\sin(2\pi2600t)]e^{-1000t^{1.2}},$$
(1)

where the frequencies are in Hz and time in seconds. A typical natural palatal click can be seen in Figures 1 and 2. The form of this synthetic pulse can be seen in Figure 3 and its frequency spectrum is shown in Figure 4.

The final fitting was a compromise between generality and accuracy, so no particular click is perfectly reproduced. In fact, the echoes from artificial sounds after being produced by loudspeakers and recorded by electret microphones showed no differences even when more terms in the series were introduced, so it was not necessary to increase the complexity of the fitting function, because the noticeable gain was negligible.

3. Results and Discussions

In the range from 1500 to 3000 Hz, some natural clicks have a flatter spectrum than artificial ones. Better fittings would require more terms in the series, but this accuracy would not be reflected in the experimental results because the used loudspeakers were not able to reproduce such accurate functions. No effort has been made to fit the spectra over 3000 Hz. Most of the relevant information from the pulses are below 3000 Hz and the intensity drops very quickly after this point. Moreover, the use of higher frequencies produced numerical instability and fitting problems, thus the use of this range of frequencies was the best option.

The following acoustical parameters were measured from the waveform and spectrum of every pulse: time duration, number of relative maxima, maximum wave amplitude, minimum wave amplitude, first frequency band, second frequency band, third frequency band, raise time from 0 to maximum amplitude and average intensity of the pulse. Acoustic intensity is calculated by the Praat program using the mean value of the intensity curve in dB, with values in dB SPL, dB relative to 2×10^{-5} Pa. The frequency bands are defined as the first three clearly resolved peaks in the audible spectrum. The frequency spectra were finally calculated using the FFT algorithm provided by the Praat program with a Hamming window and 1024 discretization points. The Praat manual specifies that the FFT is calculated after the time domain signal is zero-padded. The results for the frequency peaks did not change when different windows were tried.

The same parameters were used for both natural and synthetic pulses. The results for the echoes of synthetic palatal clicks are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The data are presented as mean and standard deviation. The repeatability

TABLE 3: Summary of acoustic parameters of natural pulses from three different wood species.

T: time duration; NMax: number of maxima; MaxWA: maximum wave amplitude (normalized units); MinWA: minimum wave amplitude (normalized units).

TT 4 0	c		1 C	1 1.00	1 .
ADIE / Nummar	v of acoustic	narameters of natural	nulcos trom	three ditterent	wood enocioe
IADLE 4. Juilliai	y of acoustic	parameters or matura	puises nom	unce unierent	wood species.

Wood		Acoustic parameters (mean ± standard deviation)							
	F1 (Hz)	F2 (Hz)	F3 (Hz)	RT (ms)	I (dB)				
Chestnut	48.8 ± 1.6	543 ± 40	1034 ± 9	0.76 ± 0.07	56.48 ± 2.06				
Cherry	52.8 ± 1.8	553 ± 52	940 ± 0	0.89 ± 0.12	51.63 ± 2.33				
Maple	64.8 ± 2.4	515 ± 0	1342 ± 23	0.95 ± 0.13	57.14 ± 2.98				

F1, F2, F3: frequency bands (Hz); RT: rise time (ms); I: intensity (dB).

of the pulses was excellent, differences in peak amplitude and time duration for the same sample were less than 5%. Criteria for time duration measurement was amplitude of the pulse being less than 1% over the ambient noise.

The results for the echoes from natural palatal pulses can be seen in Tables 3 and 4. Values of both reference pulses, without echoes, can be seen in Table 5.

In the case of synthetic echoes, the best acoustic parameters for species identification are the main frequencies of the spectra at frequencies below 1500 Hz. The first frequency band is almost the same in all cases. This is related to the fact that the underlying material structure of the three samples is similar, wood in this case. Chestnut and maple share the same second frequency band, while cherry and maple share the third one. This permits an unambiguous identification of the three veneers. However, as Figure 6 clearly shows, the structure of the frequency bands is more complex than these simple parameters suggest. A much more detailed identification is possible using the fine structure of the frequency spectra and their relative widths.

In the synthetic pulse case, the analysis of the waveform of the recorded echoes is not a good approach. Figure 5 shows that all waveforms have a similar appearance and that their characteristic parameters do not provide relevant information. Additionally, the main amplitude is displaced from the first peak to the fourth, as opposed to the natural echolocation pulse, which maintains the maximum at the first peak, as can be clearly seen in Figure 7. The peak structure of echoes produced by artificial clicks are more complex than the natural ones, perhaps due to the lack of damping present in human tissues. Some characterization and deconvolution of these effects are feasible, but this technique is based solely on relative measurements; thus, additional computational burden would be unnecessary for most practical applications.

Waveforms and spectra from natural palatal click echoes provides accurate identification information. Frequency bands are different in each veneer and their fine structure is more detailed than that of synthetic pulses. For a good species identification of the wood veneers, information can be extracted from a wider range, until the 5000 Hz, although the first main bands are sufficient. Relevant differences between natural and artificial clicks are seen in their waveforms. With natural pulses, all the veneers are clearly recognized, even not quantitatively measuring their acoustical parameters. This is explained by the contribution of a greater number of frequency bands in natural pulses than in the artificial clicks.

The sound reflected from the studied veneers shows a more complex behaviour than wood density alone would suggest. The results obtained with synthetic pulses are more consistent with the expected density functional dependence. Pulse duration, first and third frequency bands, and intensity, agree in both cherry and maple. The other acoustical paramaters show the opposite tendency or are not conclusive. The results for natural palatal clicks are not easily correlated with the underlying structure. The results differences between natural and artificial pulses could be due to a higher reproducibility of the synthetic sounds. However, the obtained statistical uncertainties show that this should not be such an important issue. The far greater timbral content of natural palatal clicks should explain most of the differences.

4. Implications of This Study to Human Echolocation

We have only proven, using both natural and artificial click echoes from thin wood veneers, that, a priori, human beings would have enough acoustical cues to distinguish several different wood surfaces by means of echolocation, mainly by timbral differences below 3000 Hz. Although we have analyzed only the lowest first three resolved frequency bands, natural click spectra shown in Figure 8 show that even more prominent differences exist in the 1000–3000 Hz band, which correspond to the optimum audible range for humans.

TABLE 5: Summary of acoustic parameters of natural and synthetic reference palatal clicks without echoes.

Click	Acoustic parameters								
	T	NMax	MWA	mWA	F1	F2	F3	RT	<i>I</i> (dB)
Natural	20	16	0.6	0.5	50	470	800	1	73
Synthetic	20	16	0.8	0.9	50	400	800	0.93	100

T: time duration; NMax, MWA: maximum wave amplitude (normalized units); mWA: minimum wave amplitude (normalized units); *F*1, *F*2, *F*3: frequency bands (Hz); RT: rise time (ms); *I*: intensity (dB). Both pulses are considered ideal, so no errors are shown.



(a) Synthetic echo waveform of a chestnut veneer from a distance of 20 cm



(b) Synthetic echo waveform of a cherry veneer from a distance of 20 cm



(c) Synthetic echo waveform of a maple veneer from a distance of $20\,\mathrm{cm}$

FIGURE 5: Waveforms of echoes from synthetic pulses from different wood veneers.

Any human being who can perceive these timbral differences should be able to identify certain wood veneers. This finding would be an outstanding achievement for a person acquainted with echolocation techniques. However, the short duration of the pulses, their reproducibility, and ambient noise make it a difficult task in real life situations.



(a) Synthetic echo spectrum of a chestnut veneer from a distance of 20 cm





(b) Synthetic echo spectrum of a cherry veneer from a distance of 20 cm

(c) Synthetic echo spectrum of a maple veneer from a distance of 20 cm

FIGURE 6: Frequency spectra of echoes from synthetic pulses from different wood veneers.

Thus, fairly advanced training would require in order to be able to identify wood veneers using human echolocation. Future studies using a large number of advanced echolocators and recordings will be necessary to test this hypothesis.

5. Conclusions

Palatal clicks could be used for surface characterization in the audible range, due to their mathematical and physical



(a) Natural palatal click echo waveform of a chestnut veneer from a distance of 20 $\rm cm$



(b) Natural palatal click echo waveform of a cherry veneer from a distance of 20 $\rm cm$



(c) Natural palatal click echo waveform of a maple veneer from a distance of 20 cm

FIGURE 7: Waveforms of echoes from natural palatal clicks from different wood veneers.

properties. The use of synthetic pulses with similar properties is an adequate tool for human echolocation research for two main reasons. Firstly, as shown in wood veneer identification, biomimetic applications with a clear and intuitive meaning are possible, so fair conclusions about the performance of an hypothetical ideal echolocator can be deduced.

Secondly, an objective comparative study of natural and artificial clicks is possible. Synthetic clicks are accurately reproducible and their main acoustic parameters can be easily tuned and modified. Thus, absolute performance of human echolocation can be objectively studied without subjective biases. Acoustical and psychoacoustical factors can be properly isolated and analyzed using this method.

Both natural and synthetic palatal clicks have the potential of high surface discrimination even in similar and



(a) Natural palatal click echo spectrum of a chestnut veneer from a distance of 20 cm



(b) Natural palatal click echo spectrum of a cherry veneer from a distance of 20 cm



(c) Natural palatal click echo spectrum of a maple veneer from a distance of 20 $\rm cm$

FIGURE 8: Frequency spectra of echoes from natural palatal clicks from different wood veneers.

complex materials, such as wood veneers. This encouraging result suggests that with proper training, human echolocation can be a very powerful aid for blind people.

References

- [1] V. Bucur, Acoustics of Wood, Springer, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [2] S. Kawamoto and R. S. Williams, "Acoustic emission and acousto- ultrasonic techniques for wood and wood based composites—a review," Tech. Rep. FPL-GTR-134, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory, Madison, Wis, USA, 2002.
- [3] F. C. Beall, "Overview of the use of ultrasonic technologies in research on wood properties," *Wood Science and Technology*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 197–212, 2002.

- [4] M. P. Jones and D. W. Thomas, "Acoustic characterisation of thoracic body tissues in the audible frequency range," *Journal* of *Biological Physics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 113–124, 1995.
- [5] D. T. Barry, S. R. Geiringer, and R. D. Ball, "Acoustic myography: a noninvasive monitor of motor unit fatigue," *Muscle and Nerve*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 189–194, 1985.
- [6] J. P. Mansfield, D. C. Shannon, and G. R. Wodicka, "Acoustic method to quantitatively assess the position and patency of infant endotracheal tubes: preliminary results in rabbits," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 26, pp. 354–361, 1999.
- [7] Acoustic Material Testing, 2011, http://www.bksv.com/Applications/MaterialTesting/AcousticMaterialTesting.aspx.
- [8] J. Agullo, S. Cardona, and D. H. Keefe, "Time-domain deconvolution to measure reflection functions for discontinuities in waveguides," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 1950–1957, 1995.
- [9] L. Jaouen, A. Renault, and M. Deverge, "Elastic and damping characterizations of acoustical porous materials: available experimental methods and applications to a melamine foam," *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 1129–1140, 2008.
- [10] J. A. M. Rojas, J. Alpuente, D. Postigo, I. M. Rojas, and S. Vignote, "Wood species identification using stress-wave analysis in the audible range," *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 934–942, 2011.
- [11] J. A. M. Rojas, J. Alpuente Hermosilla, R. Sánchez Montero, and P. L. López Espí, "Physical analysis of several organic signals for human echolocation: oral vacuum pulses," *Acta Acustica united with Acustica*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 325–330, 2009.
- [12] J. A. M. Rojas, J. Alpuente Hermosilla, R. Sánchez Montero, and P. L. López Espí, "Physical analysis of several organic signals for human echolocation: hand and finger produced pulses," *Acta Acustica united with Acustica*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 1069–1077, 2010.
- [13] A. L. Miller, J. Brugman, B. Sands, L. Namaseb, M. Exter, and C. Collins, "Differences in airstream and posterior place of articulation among Nuu clicks," *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 129–161, 2009.
- [14] A. Miller, J. Brugman, and B. Sands, "Acoustic and Auditory analyses of N—uu lingual and pulmonic stop bursts," in *Proceedings of the 16th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, J. Trouvain and W. Barry, Eds., pp. 769–772, Pirrot GmbH, Dudweiler, Germany, 2007.
- [15] A. Miller and S. Shah, "The acoustics of Mangetti Dune !Xung clicks," in *Proceedings of the 10th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association (Inter-Speech* '09), M. Uther, R. Moore, and S. Cox, Eds., pp. 2283–2286, Causal Productions, Brighton, UK, 2009.
- [16] P. Boersma and D. Weenink, "Praat: doing phonetics by computer (Version 5. 0. 24)," [Computer program], January 2011, http://www.praat.org/.