POLYPROPYLENE FIBER-REINFORCED SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE CATHEDRAL OF LA LAGUNA, CANARY ISLAND, SPAIN

A. Pacios-Álvarez (1),

P. Carballosa-de-Miguel (2), J.P. Gutiérrez-Jiménez (2), P. Tanner (2)

(1) Industrial Engineering School, Technical University of Madrid, Spain

(2) Eduardo Torroja Institute for Construction Science, Spanish National Research Council

Abstract

High performance materials are needed for the reconstruction of such a singular building as a cathedral, since in addition to special mechanical properties, high selfcompactability, high durability and high surface quality, are specified. Because of the project's specifications, the use of polypropylene fiber-reinforced, self-compacting concrete was selected by the engineering office. The low quality of local materials and the lack of experience in applying macro polypropylene fiber for structural reinforcement with these components materials required the development of a pretesting program. To optimize the mix design, performance was evaluated following technical, economical and constructability criteria. Since the addition of fibers reduces concrete self-compactability, many trials were run to determine the optimal mix proportions. The variables introduced were paste volume; the aggregate skeleton of two or three fractions plus limestone filler; fiber type and dosage. Two mix designs were selected from the preliminary results. The first one was used as reference for self-compactability and mechanical properties. The second one was an optimized mix with a reduction in cement content of 20 kg/m³ and fiber dosage of 1 kg/m³. For these mix designs, extended testing was carried out to measure the compression and flexural strength, modulus of elasticity, toughness, and water permeability resistance.

Keywords: residual flexural tension strength, capillary water absorption, structural polypropylene fiber reinforced self-compacting concrete.

1 INTRODUCTION

Most important applications of fibers are generally intended to prevent or control the tensile cracking that occurs in concrete structures. Recently, structurally-efficient synthetic fibers have been developed to increase the toughness and/or load-carrying capacity after cracking.

These synthetic fibers have advantages over steel or other fibers in that they are corrosion-resistant and exhibit a high energy absorption capacity.

The purpose of the present study is to determine the optimum mix design of Polypropylene Fiber Reinforced Self-Compacting Concrete (PFR-SCC) and then to explore experimentally its cracking resistance and post-cracking behavior. The main reasons to consider PFR-SCC are the construction characteristics of the elements to be cast, such as their thickness (between 7 and 10 cm) and high durability (making it difficult to perform maintenance work).

1.1 State of the art

Synthetic fiber has been used to reinforce concrete in applications where steel fiber reinforcement has not been recommended due to durability problems. Although there is experience with vibrated concrete applications, no extensive studies have been found in which reinforcement with polypropylene fiber for structural functions has been used in self-compacting concrete. Table 1 summarizes the most relevant results of the present state of the art. Conventional concrete is reinforced with different dosages and types of fiber [1]. The reference characteristic of the concrete matrix is set by the compressive strength. The characteristics required in Annex 14 of the EHE-08 [2] for a fiber to be considered with a structural function is that the flexural strength $f_{R,1,k}$ be not less than 40% of the limit of proportionality and the $f_{R,3,k}$ be not less than 20% (close to F_{eq} (0 to 0.6) and F_{eq} (0.6 to 3)).

	Fiber 1		Fibe	Fiber 2		Fiber 3		
	(l/d) 54/0.069		(l/d) 44/0.44		(l/d) 35/0.20		20/0.20	
	4 kg/m ³	8 kg/m ³	4 kg/m ³	8 kg/m ³	4 kg/m ³	8 kg/m^3	4 kg/m ³	
R _{cm} 28 days	46.30	35.60	35.80	35.60	39.80	34.50	41.60	
U _(0-0,6) (kN/mm)	3.36	4.42	4.22	5.12	3.59	3.92	2.83	
U _(0,6-3) (kN/mm)	12.46	21.47	14.21	25.23	9.99	14.63	4.45	
Utotal (kN/mm)	15.82	25.89	18.42	30.35	13.58	18.55	7.28	
$F_{eq(0-0,6)}$	1.55	2.02	1.92	2.34	1.65	1.81	1.28	
(N/mm^2)								
$F_{eq(0,6-3)}$	1.44	2.46	1.62	2.88	1.15	1.69	0.50	
(N/mm^2)								
$\mathbf{F}_{\max}(\text{N/mm}^2)$	3.90	3.52	3.01	3.41	3.73	3.34	3.68	
D ₀	0.40	0.57	0.63	0.68	0.44	0.54	0.35	
D ₁	0.92	1.21	0.83	1.23	0.69	0.93	0.39	

Table 1. Literature review for PFR-SCC [1]

The values of $U_{0-0.6}$ and $U_{0.6-3}$ represent the energy absorbed up to the values of a crack opening at the specified intervals. $F_{eq (0 to 0.6)}$ and $F_{eq (0.6 to 3)}$ values represent the equivalent resistance calculated for UNI 11039. The values of D_0 and D_1 represent the Toughness Index for crack openings of 0.6 and 3.0 mm respectively.

According to Annex 14 of the EHE-08, all mix designs that are presented in Table 1 can be considered for structural functions, except the concrete that contains Fiber 4. It is important to note that the flexural test result of the UNI 11039 standard is 4 points while the flexural test result of the standard UNE-EN 14651 is 3 points.

1.2 Concrete Specifications

Considering the shape and size of the structure to be executed, and the amount of reinforcement in the joints, the self-compactability class was established as E2, $(650 \text{ mm} < df \le 750 \text{ mm})$. While a higher self-compacting class is acceptable, a concrete that has high fluidity and low viscosity may not have good fiber distribution and can have a lower surface quality due to entrapped air.

The concrete type was set by the technical office as HAF-35/P-1,5-2/AC-E2/10-45/IIa, where: HAF indicates fiber-reinforced concrete; 35 indicates the characteristic strength in N/mm²; P indicates that the reinforcement is polymeric fibers; 1.5-2 are the numerical values for residual flexural characteristic strength $f_{R,1,k} f_{R,3,k}$ in N/mm²; AC-E2 indicates the class of self-compactability determined from the slump test; 10-45 indicates the maximum aggregate size and the maximum length of fiber, both in mm; and IIa designates the environmental exposition (a minimum cement content of 275 kg/m³ and a maximum w/c ratio of 0.60). The cement content should not exceed an amount of 400 kg/m³ in order to avoid developing secondary defects.

2 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

2.1 Materials

The local materials used were cement (CEM II / A-P 42.5 R) and aggregates (4/10 and 0/4). Due to the small amount of fines in the local aggregates, other materials were selected for incorporation, if needed. They were fine aggregate (0/3 limestone) and filler.

The physical and mechanical properties of component material aggregates are listed in Table 2.

PROPERTIES	AGGREGATE SIZE FRACTION						
	4-10	0-4	0-3				
Specific gravity (g/cm ³)	2.52	2.67	2.72				
Fines content (%)	0.40	0.52	-				
Absorption (%)	4.5	2.9	3.5				
Sand equivalent	-	71	-				
Flakiness Index	5	-	-				
Resistance to wear	21	-	-				

Table 2. Physico-mechanical properties of aggregates

Admixtures (superplasticizer and polyfuntional) and polypropylene fiber were also components of this concrete (see Tables 3 and 4).

Туре	Length (mm)	Specific gravity (gr/cm ³)	Tensile strength (MPa)	Elongation (%)	Modulus of Elasticity (GPa)	Melting Point (°C)	Section
Fiber 1	50	0.88-0.92	275	24.4	1.6	165	plane
Fiber 2	44	0.91	400	7	6	280	undulated

Table 3: Properties of Polypropylene Fiber

 Table 4: Properties of Admixtures

Туре	рН 20°С	Density (gr/cm ³)	Solid content	Chloride content	Dosage range
Admixture 1	6.5 ± 1	0.88-0.92	(7 6) 22 ± 1	None	0.2-3
Admixture 2	8.5 ± 1	0.91	39	< 0.1	0.4-1

According to Annex 17 of EHE-08, the limit of fine limestone particles (the amount resulting from the addition of fine aggregate up to ϕ_{max} of 63 µm and limestone additive present in cement) is 250 kg/m³. This value can be increased with the Work Manager's agreement.

2.2 Mix design

Two fractions of aggregate and filler were used for the initial trial mixture. Later, the granular skeleton was modified by use of an additional fine aggregate in order to obtain a more compact matrix with a higher volume paste. The mixture ingredients for SCC are listed in Table 5.

	SCC1	SCC2	SCC3-SCC4*	SCC7**	SCC8***	SCC9
Cement 42,5R	380.00	380.00	400.00	380.00	380.00	380.00
(kg/m^3)						
Coarse Aggregate	513.91	605.24	419.41	563.00	553.00	553.00
4/10 (kg/m ³)						
Fine aggregate	1056.98	1003.01	1087.95	600.00	590.00	590.00
0/4 (kg/m ³)						
Fine aggregate 0/3				288.36	280.00	280.00
(kg/m^3)						
Filler (kg/m ³)	230.00	200.00	250.00	270.00	300.00	300.00
Water (kg/m ³)	185.00	180.00	190.00	211.00	211.00	211.00
Admixture 1	11.91	11.91	12.54	9.6	10.2	9.6
(kg/m^3)				(2.5%)	(2.7%)	(2.5%)
Admixture 2				1,44	1.44	1.44
(kg/m^3)				(0.37%)	(0.37%)	(0.37%)
Fiber 1 (kg/m ³)	7.00	7.00	7.00	6.00	6.00	
Fiber 2 (kg/m ³)						7.00
Paste Volume	40	39	43	45	46	46
w/c ratio (w/c+f)	0.49	0.47	0.47	0.55	0.55	0.55
	(0.30)	(0.31)	(0.29)	(0.32)	(0.31)	(0.31)

Table	5:	Initial	mix	design	for	PFR-SCC
1 aoic	J.	mmai	шпл	ucsign	101	II K-SCC

* fiber is added with moist aggregates in SCC3, and to the final fresh concrete in SCC4

** fiber is added to the final fresh concrete in SCC7

*** fiber is added with the moist aggregates in SCC8

In another attempt to improve the distribution of fibers, the mixing sequence was studied [3,4] and the mixing time increased. This additional mixing activated the polycarboxylate molecules and improved their orientation, thereby reducing the workability loss.

A total of nine mixtures were tested for self-compactability, although the results of only five are shown here.

2.3 Preliminary testing

Concretes were designed for adjusting self-compactability and residual flexural tension strength after 28 days. Tables 6 and 7 show the results of the trial mix design.

	SCC3 - SCC4	SCC7	SCC8	SCC9
Slump test				
Df (mn	n) 650	625	655	765
T ₅₀ (s) 3.41	2.8	2.0	2.0
T _f (s) 12.04	8.0	17.3	15.0
V- Funnel				
T _f (s) 11.87	4.0	10.4	9.0
J- Ring				
D _f (mn	n) 550	500	500	750
T ₅₀ (s)			2,3
T _f (s)			14,35
C _{BJ} (%	6)	0.49*	0.50*	0.85

 Table 6: Self-compactability properties of PFR-SCC

*The blocking that occurs is due to the flexibility of the polymer fiber and can be solved

	SCC3	SCC4	SCC7	SCC8	SCC9
Cement (kg/m ³)	400	400	380	380	380
Fiber dosage (Kg/m ³)	7 (Fibra1)	7 (Fibra1)	6 (Fibra1)	6 (Fibra1)	7 (Fibra2)
Fiber incorporation	initial	end	End	initial	end
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}$ (N/mm ²)	4.29 - 4.32	4.09 - 5.24	4.61 - 4.46	4.87 - 4.98	5.30 - 5.00
$f_{R,1}$ (N/mm ²)	1.53 - 2.07	1.73 - 2.02	1.67 – 1.70	1.53 - 2.02	1.70 - 1.90
$f_{R,3}$ (N/mm ²)	2.56 - 2.97	2.59 - 2.36	1.87 - 2.02	2.02 - 2.59	2.30 - 2.80

Table 7: Initial Mechanical properties PFR-SCC

In the preliminary studies, two beam specimens per batch were cast to determine the toughness and residual flexural strength of the concrete. The first observation was that concrete that has a higher cement content (SCC3 and SCC4) does not provide a higher $f_{R,L}$, as all of $f_{R,L}$'s are very close, and the critical value is $f_{R,1}$ (the residual flexural strength for a crack width of 0.5 mm). Although it is not reasonable to draw conclusions from the results of only two specimens, it appears that that there is less dispersion of $f_{R,L}$ when fibers are incorporated with the aggregates, and that, after the peak, $f_{R,1}$ values are more homogeneous when fibers are incorporated in the fresh concrete.

For self-compactability, SCC8 and SCC9 give the best results since T_{50} is about 2 s and T_F is about 10 s (denoting a material with viscosity that flows steadily). By incorporating the fibers

in the beginning, it was hoped to obtain a better distribution in the specimens. There were no differences in self-compacting or flow. For residual flexural strength, SCC4 and SCC8 give the best results. As a result of the trial batches, it was proposed that SCC4, SCC8 and SCC9 be subjected to further testing to measure the compression and flexural strength, modulus of elasticity, toughness, capillary water absorption and shrinkage. As always, fibers were incorporated in the fresh concrete.

3 FINAL EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To be able to manufacture all of the specimens that were used, a higher capacity mixer was employed (180 l). Self-compactability was used as the acceptance criterion and all mix design gave results in the same range as the preliminary testing, except SCC8, (the first batch of SCC8 was influenced by the change of mixer and had a slump flow of 900 mm).

3.2 Mechanical properties

The properties of compression strength, modulus of elasticity, flexural strength and residual flexural tensile strength were evaluated (UNE EN 12390-3; UNE 83316; UNE EN 12390-5; UNE EN 14651). Even though SCC4 and SCC9 have higher fiber contents than SCC8, their compression strength results are similar. The concrete with the highest number of fibers (SCC9 since the length is 44 mm, instead 50 mm) has the lowest strength and higher results scattering (see Figure 1). At day 1 is the SCC8 mix design with higher values and lowest scattering. All mix designs fulfill the compression strength specifications for concrete.



Figure 1: Compression strength and the Modulus of Elasticity

Figure 2: Flexural strength

Figure 2 shows the results for flexural strength. At early ages, SCC8 and SCC9 are the mix designs that have the best values, which are needed to help in the casting of the elements. At 28 days, is SCC4 the mix design with higher flexural strength, due to the contribution of the matrix as well as the contribution of fiber content (UNE EN 14651, instead of UNE EN 12390-5 was used to determine the flexural strength). However the scattering of the values is higher since the distribution of fibers is not so homogeneous.

The residual flexural strength can be seen in Figure 3. The post-cracking behavior of SCC8 and SCC9 is very similar, although SCC9 has higher fiber content than SCC8 (the fiber dosage is higher and the fiber length is smaller). However, the bonding strength between fiber 2 and the matrix seems to be worse than between fiber 1 and the matrix. All specimens have

load-bearing capacity after the matrix cracks due to the effect of fibers. The post-cracking behavior of SCC8 and SCC9 are similar at all CMOD's (0.05; 0.5 and 2.5). The dispersion of values is greater for SCC8 and is probably due to a non homogeneus fiber distribution (the first batch had a higher slump value than specified). The peak load is larger for the concrete that has a higher cement content. According to EHE-08, only SCC4 has an $f_{r,1}$ greater than 40% of $f_{r,L}$. Since the self-compacting specifications for SCC8 were not completely followed, lower residual flexuaral values were obtained than in the preliminary trial.



Figure 3: Residual Flexural Tensile Strength

3.3 Durability properties

As an indirect control of durability, the penetration of water under pressure, capilarity water absorption and shrinkage, were tested. The penetration of water under preassure did not give any quantitaive result due to the compactness of the material. Figure 4 shows the water absorption of two of the manufactured concretes. It can be seen that, for SCC8, after the initial 50 hours, a constant mass increment was achieved. However, for SCC4, a minimum of 175 hours is needed to obtain the maximun absorption value. Fresh SCC4 has a higher density than SCC8 and a lower air content, and a more refined microstructural pore net is expected due to the different volume of paste and granular skeleton. SCC4 has a *K value* of 9.529E-04 kg/m²min^{0,5}.



Figure 4: Capillary water absorption

Figure 5 shows the results for specimens under similar curing conditions as a construction site (wet and dry). When specimens are cured in a dry environment, specimens that showed higher capilary water absortion (e.g., SCC4) give higher values of length variation. However, when specimens have had seven days of wet curing, SCC4 is the concrete with the lowest values of

shrinkage, both at early and large ages, due to the increment of length during curing. As a result, curing is essential to control the variation in length of the elements and avoid early age cracking, especially while tensile strength is being developed.



Figure 5: Shrinkage of PFR-SCC

4 CONCLUSIONS

To optimize PRF-SCC, the mix design must fulfill self-compactability, mechanical and durability specifications. Volume paste regulates the flow and, thus, the fiber distribution. It has been determined that a minimum volume paste of 45% is needed to incorporate the maximum number of fibers. Since durability is also a subject, it is important to select a dense and compact matrix. Thus, the granular skeleton should also be optimized. From the preliminary trials, two mix designs for the same type of fiber were selected. The first was used as a reference for self-compactability and mechanical properties (SCC4). The second one (SCC8) was an optimized mix with a reduction of cement content of 20 kg/m³ and fiber dosage of 1 kg/m³. However, it was observed that, if self-compactability properties are not well controlled, the mechanical properties may differ from those of trial batches. Although SCC8 has very good results for durability specifications, its mechanical properties are lower than the initial ones. This should be taken into account when modifying the scale from laboratory to industrial site, to establish the proper acceptance criteria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been partially developed under grant BIA2007-62464 from the Ministry of Science and Innovation and Intramural grant 201060E118 from the High Council for Scientific Research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Martin Milla, R.; Fracture Properties of Fiber Reinforced Concrete, Final Project nº 06301640, ETSII, UPM, 2006.
- [2] EHE-08 Anejo 17. Recomendaciones para la utilización del hormigón autocompactante, Ministerio de Fomento, Madrid, 2008.
- Bezerra, E.M.; Joaquim, A.P.; Savastano Jr. H., John, V.M.; Agopyan, V. "The effect of different mineral additions and synthetic fibre contents on properties of cement based composites", Cem Concr Compos 28 (6) (2006) 555–563.
- [4] Fernàndez-Altable, V.; Casanova, I.; "Influence of mixing sequence and superplasticiser dosage on the rheological response of cement pastes at different temperatures", Cem Concr Res 36 (7) (2006) 1222–1230.