brought to you by T CORE

Powers in the Lucas sequence when the index is divisible by three

M^a Dolores López^a, Javier Rodrigo^b

^aDepartamento de Matemática e Informática Aplicadas a la Ingeniería Civil de la E.T.S.I. Caminos, Canales y Puertos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid <u>marilo.lopez@ upm.es</u> ^bDepartamento de Matemática Aplicada, E.T.S. de Ingeniería, Universidad Pontificia Comillas de Madrid

*Corresponding author: M^a Dolores López, Departamento de Matemática e Informática Aplicadas a la Ingeniería Civil de la E.T.S.I. Caminos, Canales y Puertos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, c/ Profesor Aranguren s/n, Madrid 28040, Spain Tf: 34- 913366669; Fax: 34-913366782

Abstract:

In this paper the *m*-powers with $m \ge 2$ included in the Lucas sequences when the index satisfies some conditions are found.

Keywords: Number theory, Lucas sequences, Diophantine equations.

1. Introduction

The Lucas and companion Lucas sequences have been widely studied in the scientific literature as a natural extension of the so called Fibonacci sequence. They are defined inductively by: $U_0^{P,Q} = 0$, $U_1^{P,Q} = 1$, $U_n^{P,Q} = PU_{n-1}^{P,Q} - QU_{n-2}^{P,Q}$ and $V_0^{P,Q} = 2$, $V_1^{P,Q} = P$, $V_n^{P,Q} = PV_{n-1}^{P,Q} - QV_{n-2}^{P,Q}$ respectively, where P,Q are integer numbers (the Fibonacci sequence is the particular case of $U_n^{P,Q}$ with P = 1, Q = -1. The indices P,Q will be omitted when they are not necessary).

Concretely, the search of the powers included in these sequences has deserved a special attention by the number theorist. The main results at this respect for U_n are:

- For odd relative primes P, Q, the only square in U_n with n > 2, $n \neq 6$ is $U_{12}^{1,-1} = 144$ (see Ribenboim, Bremner&Tzanakis)

- For *P* divisible by 4, there is no squares in $U_n^{P,-1}$ for positive, even numbers *n* when additional conditions on *P* are considered (Kagawa)

- The only powers in $U_n^{1,-1}$ with n > 2 are $U_6^{1,-1} = 8$ and $U_{12}^{1,-1} = 144$ (Bugeaud)

- The only power in $U_n^{2,-1}$ with n > 1 is $U_7^{2,-1} = 169$ (Cohn)

In this paper the case P > 0, Q = -1 is studied: it is proved that, for *n* divisible by three and satisfying some extra conditions, the powers in U_n are always attained for P = 1 and they are $U_6^{1,-1} = 8$ and $U_{12}^{1,-1} = 144$. To do this, the diophantine equation $U_n^{P,-1} = u^m$ with unknowns (n, P, u, m), $m \ge 2$, is related with these diophantine equations according to the different cases:

- The Catalan equation $x^m y^2 = 1$
- The Thue equation $2x^m y^m = 1$
- The equation $2x^2 y^4 = 1$

The following notation applies in the paper:

- N for the set of natural numbers
- GCD(a, b) for the greatest common divisor of the integer numbers a, b
- $a \mid b$ if the integer number a divides to the integer number b
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if a is congruent with b modulus m (that is to say, $m \mid b a$)

 $-\binom{n}{k}$ for the binomial coefficient.

- $e_p(n)$ for the exponent of the prime p in the factorization in prime numbers of the integer number n (if p is not a prime factor of n, it is assumed that $e_p(n) = 0$).

The following two identities for U_n , V_n , U_k , V_k are used along the paper (see Kagawa):

$$2^{\frac{n}{k}-1}\left(V_n + U_n \sqrt{P^2 + 4}\right) = \left(V_k + U_k \sqrt{P^2 + 4}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}} \text{ when } k \mid n \text{ and } k, n \text{ are positive numbers}$$
(1)

$$(V_k)^2 - (P^2 + 4)(U_k)^2 = 4(-1)^k$$
(2)

The paper is structured as follows: in section 2 the main result is stated and in section 3 some concluding remarks are given. Along section 2, k and n are positive integer numbers.

2. Development

To prove the result mentioned in the introduction, a lemma is needed: Lemma

If
$$k \mid n$$
, then $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \mid \frac{n}{k}$

Proof

First it is noted that if k | n, then $U_k | U_n$ (see for example Ribenboim), so $\frac{U_n}{U_k}$ is an

integer number and the statement makes sense. Now, the following cases appear: 1) If V_k is an odd number, then by expanding (1) it is obtained that:

$$2^{\frac{n}{k}-1}U_{n} = \frac{n}{k}V_{k}^{\frac{n}{k}-1}U_{k} + \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)V_{k}^{\frac{n}{k}-3}U_{k}^{3}\left(P^{2}+4\right) + \dots = U_{k}\left(\frac{n}{k}V_{k}^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + f\left(U_{k}\right)\right), \text{ where }$$

f(x) is a polynomial without independent term. Now, since $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) | U_k$,

$$GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \left| \frac{U_n}{U_k} = \frac{\frac{n}{k} V_k^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + f(U_k)}{2^{\frac{n}{k}-1}}, \text{ then } GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \text{ divides to } U_k$$
$$\frac{n}{k} V_k^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + f(U_k), \text{ so it divides to } \frac{n}{k} V_k^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + f(U_k) - f(U_k) = \frac{n}{k} V_k^{\frac{n}{k}-1}.$$

Since V_k is an odd number, it is satisfied that $GCD(V_k, U_k) = 1$ by identity (2) and then

$$GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \left| \frac{n}{k} \right|$$
 as desired.

2) If V_k and P are even numbers, then (1) and (2) yield respectively:

$$U_{n} = \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_{k}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} U_{k} + \left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \left(\frac{V_{k}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-3} U_{k}^{3} \frac{P^{2}+4}{4} + \dots = U_{k} \left(\frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_{k}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + g(U_{k})\right)$$
$$\left(\frac{V_{k}}{2}\right)^{2} - \frac{P^{2}+4}{4} U_{k}^{2} = (-1)^{k}$$

Where g(x) is a polynomial with integer coefficients and without independent term. The last identity implies that $GCD\left(\frac{V_k}{2}, U_k\right) = 1$.

Now, since
$$GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) | U_k$$
, $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) | \frac{U_n}{U_k} = \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + g(U_k)$, then

$$GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \quad \text{divides} \quad \text{to} \quad \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + g(U_k) - g(U_k) = \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1}, \quad \text{so}$$
$$GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \left| \frac{n}{k} \text{ also in this case because } GCD\left(\frac{V_k}{2}, U_k\right) = 1.$$

3) If V_k is an even number and P is an odd number, then (2) implies that U_k is an even number, so (2) can be rewritten as $\left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^2 - \left(P^2 + 4\right)\left(\frac{U_k}{2}\right)^2 = (-1)^k$ and $\frac{V_k}{2}$, $\frac{U_k}{2}$ have different parity. Identity (1) can be expressed in this case as:

$$\frac{V_n}{2} + \frac{U_n}{2}\sqrt{P^2 + 4} = \left(\frac{V_k}{2} + \frac{U_k}{2}\sqrt{P^2 + 4}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}}.$$
(3)

In an analogous way to case 1), it can be seen that $GCD\left(\frac{\frac{U_n}{U_k}}{2^{e_2\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}\right)}}, U_k\right)$ divides to $\frac{n}{k}$, so

in order to prove that $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right) \left| \frac{n}{k} \right|$, it is sufficient to see that $e_2\left(GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right)\right) \le e_2\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$. Three new cases appear:

- If $\frac{n}{k}$ is an odd number, then expanding the right side of (3) and equaling the terms with $\sqrt{P^2 + 4}$, it is obtained that:

$$\frac{U_n}{U_k} = \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + \left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-3} \left(\frac{U_k}{2}\right)^2 \left(P^2 + 4\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{U_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} \left(P^2 + 4\right)^{\left(\frac{n}{k}-1\right)\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ so } \frac{U_n}{U_k} \text{ is }$$

an odd number regardless $\frac{U_k}{2}$ is an odd number or $\frac{V_k}{2}$ is an odd number and then

$$e_2\left(GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right)\right) = 0 = e_2\left(\frac{n}{k}\right).$$

-If $\frac{n}{k}$ is an even number and $\frac{V_k}{2}$ is an odd number, then $\frac{U_k}{2}$ is an even number and it

is obtained in an analogous way than in the previous case that:

$$\frac{U_n}{U_k} = \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} + \left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \left(\frac{V_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-3} \left(\frac{U_k}{2}\right)^2 \left(P^2 + 4\right) + \dots + \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{U_k}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k}-2} \left(P^2 + 4\right)^{\left(\frac{n}{k}-2\right)\frac{1}{2}}$$

Now, it is a known fact that $\frac{\frac{n}{k}}{GCD\left(\frac{n}{k},i\right)}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, so $e_2\left(\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)\right) \ge e_2\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$ when *i* is an odd

number. Since $\frac{U_k}{2}$ is an even number, this implies that $e_2\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}\right) = e_2\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, so $e_2\left(GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right)\right) \le e_2\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$ as desired. -If $\frac{n}{k}$ and $\frac{V_k}{2}$ are even numbers, then $\frac{U_k}{2}$ is an odd number, so $e_2\left(GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_k}, U_k\right)\right) \le 1 \le e_2\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, as desired.

Now the theorem is stated:

Theorem

If $GCD\left(P, \frac{n}{2}\right) = 1$ when *n* is an even number, *n* has not prime factors congruent with 1 modulus 4 and it has the factor three, then the only powers included in U_n are $U_6^{1,-1} = 8$ and $U_{12}^{1,-1} = 144$

Proof

It is satisfied that
$$U_n = U_3 \frac{U_n}{U_3} = (P^2 + 1) \frac{U_n}{U_3}$$
, with $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right) \frac{n}{3}$ by the lemma.

Hence $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right)$ has not prime factors congruent with 1 modulus 4 since *n* has not prime factors congruent with 1 modulus 4 by hypothesis. It is also satisfied that $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right)$ has not prime factors congruent with 3 modulus 4 (otherwise, -1 would be a quadratic residue modulus a prime number that is congruent with 3 modulus 4). Therefore, $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right) = 1$ or 2 (since $P^2 + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$) when $P^2 + 1$ is an even number). So, if $U_n = u^m$ with $u, m \in N$, $m \ge 2$, then the following cases must be considered:

1) If
$$GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right) = 1$$
, then $U_n = \left(P^2 + 1\right)\frac{U_n}{U_3} = u^m$ implies that $P^2 + 1 = v^m$ with

 $v \in N$, so the Catalan equation $x^m - y^2 = 1$ has a solution in positive integers: (v, P), a contradiction (see Mihailescu)

2) If $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right) = 2$, then *n* must be an even number because $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right) = 2\left|\frac{n}{3}\right|$ by the lemma, so $U_n = U_2 U_3 \frac{U_n}{U_2 U_3} = P\left(P^2 + 1\right) \frac{U_n}{U_2 U_3}$. Now, $U_n = P\left(P^2 + 1\right) \frac{U_n}{U_2 U_3} = u^m$ implies that $P = w^m$ with $w \in N$, $P^2 + 1 = 2v^m$ with $v \in N$, because $GCD\left(\frac{U_n}{U_3}, P^2 + 1\right) = 2$ and $GCD\left(P, \frac{U_n}{U_2}\right) = 1$ by the lemma and the hypothesis $GCD\left(P, \frac{n}{2}\right) = 1$. Then it is satisfied that $2v^m - (w^2)^m = 1$, so the diophantine equation $2x^m - y^m = 1$ has a solution in positive integers: (v, w^2) . But for $m \ge 3$ the only solution in positive integers to this equation is x = y = 1 (see Darmon). This implies that $w^2 = 1$ and then $P = w^m = 1$ (*P* is a positive number). But in this case the only *m*-power with $m \ge 3$ in U_n for the required conditions on *n* is $U_6^{1,-1} = 8 = 2^3$, as stated in the introduction.

For m = 2 it is satisfied that $2v^2 - w^4 = 1$, so the diophantine equation $2x^2 - y^4 = 1$ has a solution in positive integers: (v, w). But the only solution in positive integers to this equation is x = y = 1 (see M. Le). This implies that $P = w^m = 1$. But in this case the only square in U_n for the required conditions on n is $U_{12}^{1,-1} = 144 = 12^2$, as stated in the introduction and the proof is finished.

3. Concluding remarks

A result about the powers in the Lucas sequences U_n has been stated for indices n divisible by three and satisfying certain conditions. This result is very general in the sense that it has been stated for *m*-powers for every $m \ge 2$, and without restrictions on the parameter P when n is an odd number. Therefore this paper complements previous

studies of Kagawa, Ribenboim about the squares in the Lucas sequences and of Bennett about the *m*-powers with $m \ge 3$ in the Lucas sequences.

A future line of work could be to relax the conditions on the index n in the theorem of section 2

References

1. M. A. Bennett, Powers in recurrence sequences: Pell equations, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 357 (2004), 1675–1691

- A. Bremner and N. Tzanakis, Lucas sequences whose 12th or 9th term is a square, J. Number Theory, 107 (2004), 215-227.
- Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte and S. Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations, I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers. Annals of Math. 163 (2006), 969-1018.
- Cohn, J. H. E. (1996). Perfect Pell powers. Glasgow Mathematical Journal 38 (1): 19–20.
- H. Darmon and L. Merel, Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat's Last Theorem, J. Reine Angew. Math. 490 (1997), 81–100.
- Kagawa, T. and Terai, N.: Squares in Lucas sequences and some Diophantine equations. Manuscripta Math. 96, 195–202 (1998).
- 7. M. Le. On the diophantine equation $(x^m + 1)(x^n + 1) = y^2$. Acta arithmetica, LXXXII.1, 17-26, 1997.
- Mihailescu, P. Primary ciclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's conjecture; J. Reine angew.Math. 572, 167-195, 2004.
- P. Ribenboim and W. L. McDaniel, The square terms in Lucas sequences. J. Number Theory 58 (1996), 104–122.