

International Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology 2010

# PROPAGATION OF NUCLEAR DATA UNCERTAINTIES IN TRANSMUTATION CALCULATIONS USING ACAB CODE

O. Cabellos<sup>\*1,2</sup>, N. García-Herranz<sup>1,2</sup>, Carlos J. Diez de la Obra<sup>2</sup>, R. Alvarez-Cascos<sup>2</sup>, J. Sanz<sup>1,3</sup>, F. Ogando<sup>3</sup> and P. Sauvan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Fusión Nuclear, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28006 Madrid, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería Nuclear, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28006 Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería Energética, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED), 28004 Madrid, Spain

\*Corresponding author. E-mail : oscar.cabellos@upm.es

Received

Accepted for Publication

---

The assessment of the accuracy of parameters related to the reactor core performance (e.g. keff) and fuel cycle parameters (e.g. evolution/transmutation calculations) due to the uncertainties in the basic nuclear data (ND) is a critical issue. In performing this assessment, different error propagation techniques (adjoint/forward sensitivity analysis procedures and/or Monte Carlo technique) can be used to address by computational simulation the systematic propagation of uncertainty on the evaluation of the final responses. To perform this uncertainty evaluation the ENDF covariance files (variance/correlation in energy and cross-reactions-isotopes correlations) are required.

In this paper, we assess the impact of ND uncertainties on the isotopic prediction for a conceptual design of a modular European Facility for Industrial Transmutation (EFIT) for a discharge burnup of 150 GWd/tHM. The complete set of uncertainty data for cross sections (EAF2007/UN, SCALE6.0/COVA-44G), radioactive decay and fission yield data (JEFF-3.1.1) are processed and used in ACAB code.

---

KEYWORDS : Uncertainty propagation, uncertainty nuclear data, transmutation, ADS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An extensive work on ND needs for innovative power reactors and fuel cycles has been performed by a well-recognized expert group of OECD/NEA WPEC Subgroup 26 [1] and by a work package of the NUDATRA domain IP-EUROTRANS [2]. They have identified and independently corroborated a number of highest-priority isotopes/reactions for fast systems and waste minimization technologies. More recently, the ANDES project (*Accurate Nuclear Data for Nuclear Energy Sustainability*) within Euratom Call FP7-Fission-2009, has been guided by these studies for the selection of the specific actions of nuclear developments. In recent years, it has also been recognized by the ND international community that an additional effort for further provision of covariance data is needed using methodologies to evaluate the uncertainties and covariance matrices from experimental data and nuclear models.

One of the objectives in ANDES project is to improve inventory codes, such as ACAB code [3] (NEA-1839), to handle the complete set of uncertainty/covariance data (i.e. those of nuclear reactions, radioactive decay and fission

yield data). This paper presents the potential benefit of full uncertainty propagation techniques through the inventory calculations in transmutation systems.

## 2. PROBLEM DEFINITION

The basic characteristics of the industrial-scale transmutation facility EFIT [4] are: core cooled by pure lead, thermal power 400 MW, initial total mass of actinides 2.074 tonnes (21.7% MA, fuel initial composition shown in Table 1), and 150 GWd/tHM discharge burn-up corresponding to an equilibrium cycle (~778 irradiation days).

In the calculations, a constant neutron environment representative of the equilibrium cycle is assumed for all the irradiation period (spectrum average energy  $\langle E \rangle = 0.375$  MeV and flux intensity  $3.12 \cdot 10^{15}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>s). With this irradiation conditions, we reach a burn-up of 150 GWd/tHM at 778 days. The assumed neutron flux and spectrum have been taken from fully detailed 3D burn-up calculations performed with EVOLCODE2 code [5], and correspond to a representative cell in the inner part of the core at mid-burnup.

## 2.1 Basic Nuclear Data

The ND basic libraries used in this problem are the following: (i) the multigroup activation neutron cross-section basic library EAF\_N\_XS-2007 [6], (ii) the decay data basic library JEFF-3.1.1 [7], and (iii) the fission yield basic library, JEFF-3.1.1[7].

The decay and fission yield uncertainty data have been taken and processed from JEFF-3.1.1. The neutron cross-section uncertainty data have been taken from the EAF-2007/UN [6] library and SCALE6.0/COVA-44G [8]. EAF2007/UN contains uncertainty information for all the reactions and isotopes potentially present in the irradiated fuel. Its main characteristics are: (i) below 20 MeV, the energy spectrum is divided in three energy groups for nuclear reactions without threshold and in one group for reactions with threshold; (ii) neglecting all type of correlations between different isotopes and reactions, (iii) errors between all bands inside a certain energy group are 100% correlated, and errors between different energy groups are 0% correlated; iv) the uncertainty values stored in the library are  $\Delta_{j, \text{EAF}}^2$  ( $j$  is the energy group), where can be interpreted as the uncertainty (or relative error) in the standard or best-estimate cross section, stored in the corresponding standard activation library. For this analysis, the values of  $\Delta_{j, \text{EAF}}$  are taken as three times the experimental uncertainty, that is,  $\Delta_{j, \text{EAF}} = 3 * \Delta_{j, \text{EXP}}$ . ZZ-SCALE6.0/COVA-44G is a 44-group cross section covariance matrix library retrieved from the SCALE-6.0 package. This covariance library is based on several different uncertainty approximations with varying degrees of "fidelity" to the actual ND evaluation. The library includes evaluated covariances obtained from ENDF/B-VII, ENDF/B-VI, and JENDL3.3. Correlations between different isotopes and reactions are included.

## 3. Propagation of uncertainties: sensitivity analysis and Monte Carlo method

Let  $\mathbf{N}(t) = (N_1(t), N_2(t), \dots, N_M(t))^T$  be the nuclide composition of a material, consisting of  $M$  nuclides, at time  $t$ . The set of differential equations which describe the evolution of  $\mathbf{N}$  in a neutron field may be written in matrix notation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{N}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{N} = [\lambda]\mathbf{N} + [\sigma^{\text{eff}}]\Phi\mathbf{N} + [(\gamma\sigma_{\text{fiss}})^{\text{eff}}]\Phi\mathbf{N} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the transition matrix involving the  $M$ -by- $M$  matrix for the one-group effective cross sections  $[\sigma^{\text{eff}}]$ , one-group effective fission yield cross section  $[(\gamma\sigma_{\text{fiss}})^{\text{eff}}]$  and decay values  $[\lambda]$ .  $\Phi$  is the space-energy integrated

neutron flux. Given the initial nuclide density vector as  $\mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N}(0)$ , the solution is  $\mathbf{N}(t) = \exp(\mathbf{A}t)\mathbf{N}_0$ .

In this work, we assume no uncertainties in: (i) the initial nuclide density, (ii) the integrated neutron flux, (iii) and the flux spectrum. In summary, the sources of uncertainty in this transmutation calculation are only due to basic input ND.

For a given neutron environment, we can define the corresponding one-group effective cross sections,  $\sigma^{\text{eff}}$ . Let  $[\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_G]$  be the values of the flux corresponding to each energy group, the spectrum-averaged cross section is obtained as: 
$$\sigma^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\phi_1\sigma_1 + \phi_2\sigma_2 + \dots + \phi_G\sigma_G}{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_G}$$

Given  $\mathbf{V}$  the  $G$ -by- $G$  variance matrix of the relative cross sections vector, the variance  $\Delta^2$  of the relative spectrum-averaged cross section is  $\Delta^2 = \omega^T \mathbf{V} \omega$ ,

with  $\omega = [\frac{\phi_1}{\phi} \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma^{\text{eff}}}, \dots, \frac{\phi_G}{\phi} \frac{\sigma_G}{\sigma^{\text{eff}}}]^T$  and the total flux as

$\bar{\phi} = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_G$ . In inventory calculations, this procedure to derive one-group covariance data guaranties that the uncertainty on any nuclide density using different energy group structure remains constant.

The effective fission product yield  $\gamma^{\text{eff}}$ , defined as the spectrum-averaged fission yield for formation of nuclei  $i$  by fission in the nuclei  $j$  can be expressed as

$$\gamma_{j,i}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\gamma_1^{j,i} \sigma_1^{\text{fiss},j} \phi_1 + \dots + \gamma_G^{j,i} \sigma_G^{\text{fiss},j} \phi_G}{\sigma_1^{\text{fiss},j} \phi_1 + \dots + \sigma_G^{\text{fiss},j} \phi_G} \quad (2)$$

where,  $\gamma_g^{j,i}$  is the probability that a type- $i$  nuclide will be formed as a fission product by absorption of a neutron of energy group- $g$  by a nuclide of type- $j$ , and  $\sigma_g^{\text{fiss},j}$  is the microscopic fission cross section of type- $j$  nuclei for energy group- $g$ . The variance of this value is calculated weighting the variance of the fission yield as in Eq. 2.

Nuclear decay data (half lives and branching ratios) and its variance were processed directly from the nuclear decay data, JEFF-3.1.1.

### 3.1 Sensitivity/uncertainty analysis

We define a random vector  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\sigma^{\text{eff}}, \lambda, \gamma^{\text{eff}})$  containing all the cross sections, decays and fission yields involved in the problem. Each concentration at time  $t$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(t)$ , is a function of the random vector  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . The first order Taylor series provides a means of approximating  $\mathbf{N}(\sigma^{\text{eff}}, \lambda, \gamma^{\text{eff}})$  about  $(\hat{\sigma}^{\text{eff}}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma}^{\text{eff}})$ , that is assumed to be the best-estimated ND vector.

Let  $S$  be the M-by-R matrix containing the sensitivity coefficients ( $\rho_{ij}$ ) of the M-isotopic concentrations with respect to the one-group cross sections, fission yields and

decay data,  $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\hat{\alpha}_j}{\hat{N}_i} \left[ \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial \alpha_j} \right]_{\hat{\alpha}}$ . The variation in the

concentrations of the M nuclides can be expressed as  $N(\alpha) - N(\hat{\alpha}) \approx S\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon = \alpha - \hat{\alpha}$ . The variance of the nuclide concentrations can be evaluated as follows:  $\text{var}(N) \approx S [\text{COV } \alpha] S^T$ .

### 3.2 Monte Carlo method

Other methodology to perform uncertainty analysis is random simulation or Monte Carlo (MC) method. To apply this methodology it is necessary information about the joint probability distribution of the ND errors. Different assumptions can be made about the probability distribution; the simplest and more usual (in many other areas) is the normal distribution. An alternative distribution is the log normal, that is,  $\log(\alpha / \hat{\alpha}) \rightarrow N(0, V)$ , where  $V$  is the variance matrix of the ND relative error.

When correlations in cross sections between different isotopes and reactions are introduced, the usual Cholesky decomposition method is used. Letting  $P$  that verify  $V = PP^T$ , it can be proven that the same joint probability values are obtained as follows: (i) Generate  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots)^T$  independent  $N(0,1)$  random variables, (ii) define  $Y = PZ$ ,  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots)^T$ , and (iii) for every variable we estimate  $\alpha = \hat{\alpha} \cdot \exp(Y)$ .

The inventory code ACAB is used to propagate the overall ND set. To sample each ND component of  $\alpha$  separately, a sample size of 1000 histories was found appropriated.

## 4. Uncertainties in the isotopic inventory: impact of nuclear data uncertainties

In this section, we provide a confident set of uncertainty estimates for EFIT to a discharge burn-up of 150 GWd/tHM. A set of nuclides (light elements, actinides and fission products) were designated as the most relevant due to either transmutation or importance in the response functions (e.g. decay heat, neutron emission, public dose).

### 4.1 Actinides at the end of the irradiation

The nominal variation (without uncertainties) of the actinide concentrations at the end of irradiation is shown in Table 1. Uncertainty values of the final concentration due to decay data uncertainty ( $\lambda_s$ ), JEFF-3.1.1, and cross section (XS) uncertainty data, EAF2007/UN and SCALE6.0, are computed by MC approach.

**Table 1** Initial actinide composition (Ni), nominal variation ( $N_f - N_i$ ) and uncertainty (relative error in %) in the final concentration ( $N_f$ ) for actinides at 150 GWd/tHM.

Nuclide	$N_i$ (#atoms)	$N_f - N_i$ (#atoms)	$\lambda_s$	Uncertainty (%) due to:	
				XS EAF	XS SCALE
<sup>232</sup> U	-	4.37E+20	5.2	9.8	1.0
<sup>233</sup> U	-	1.57E+21	0.1	12.6	14.9
<sup>234</sup> U	7.67E+25	6.79E+25	0.0	4.6	1.9
<sup>235</sup> U	1.84E+25	1.83E+25	0.0	13.2	3.0
<sup>236</sup> U	2.54E+25	2.46E+25	0.0	1.8	2.3
<sup>237</sup> U	2.33E+18	4.07E+22	0.1	7.9	3.5
<sup>238</sup> U	1.30E+23	1.27E+23	0.0	1.3	2.2
<sup>237</sup> Np	2.25E+26	1.39E+26	0.0	6.1	1.4
<sup>238</sup> Np	6.07E+18	2.40E+23	0.1	7.8	1.8
<sup>239</sup> Np	2.75E+20	5.67E+20	0.2	16.3	15.9
<sup>238</sup> Pu	4.26E+26	3.99E+26	0.0	4.3	2.5
<sup>239</sup> Pu	5.21E+26	3.50E+26	0.0	4.8	1.3
<sup>240</sup> Pu	1.73E+27	1.44E+27	0.0	1.9	0.3
<sup>241</sup> Pu	3.13E+26	3.01E+26	0.0	8.3	0.9
<sup>242</sup> Pu	7.50E+26	6.77E+26	0.0	2.2	0.7
<sup>244</sup> Pu	1.55E+23	1.83E+23	0.0	4.0	2.2
<sup>241</sup> Am	3.50E+26	2.25E+26	0.0	7.0	2.0
<sup>242</sup> Am	3.81E+20	1.31E+23	0.2	8.6	2.6
<sup>242m</sup> Am	2.96E+25	1.81E+25	0.0	12.8	6.4
<sup>243</sup> Am	3.14E+26	2.78E+26	0.0	6.1	1.4
<sup>242</sup> Cm	3.17E+23	2.64E+25	0.1	10.4	3.4
<sup>243</sup> Cm	3.10E+24	3.64E+24	0.2	23.4	11.7
<sup>244</sup> Cm	2.67E+26	2.92E+26	0.0	6.2	3.1
<sup>245</sup> Cm	7.82E+25	7.57E+25	0.0	13.2	9.7
<sup>246</sup> Cm	5.20E+25	5.19E+25	0.0	7.3	3.5
<sup>247</sup> Cm	1.12E+25	1.11E+25	0.0	15.7	11.0
<sup>248</sup> Cm	8.33E+24	8.79E+24	0.0	6.6	4.3
<sup>249</sup> Bk	-	3.28E+23	1.0	20.2	17.3
<sup>249</sup> Cf	-	2.72E+23	1.1	20.4	17.9
<sup>250</sup> Cf	-	8.42E+22	0.4	30.6	24.2
<sup>251</sup> Cf	-	5.03E+21	0.3	44.0	30.3
<sup>252</sup> Cf	-	1.03E+20	0.3	56.4	35.6

Sensitivity calculations have been performed in order to identify the reactions whose cross section uncertainties have a major impact on the concentration uncertainty. Table 2 shows a summary of this calculation. Columns 2 and 3 show the one-group cross-section relative error from SCALE6.0 and EAF2007/UN. Following columns show the sensitivity coefficient ( $\rho_{ij}$ ) for the amount of nuclide- $j$  due to the relative changes in cross section- $i$ . In addition, total uncertainty of nuclide  $N_j$  is computed using these libraries.

**Table 2** Sensitivity coefficients ( $\rho_{ij}$ ), one- group XS relative error (in %) and total uncertainty (in %) of nuclides at 150 GWd/tHM.

Reaction	$\Delta$ XS rel. err. (%)		Sensitivity coefficient ( $\rho_{ij}$ )			
	EAF	SCALE	U <sup>234</sup>	U <sup>235</sup>	U <sup>236</sup>	...
U <sup>234</sup> (n, $\gamma$ )	38.9	6.9	-0.07	0.21	0.01	...
U <sup>234</sup> (n,fiss)	16.5	30.0	-0.06	-0.01	0.00	...
U <sup>235</sup> (n, $\gamma$ )	11.3	21.8	0.00	-0.11	0.09	...
U <sup>235</sup> (n,fiss)	12.9	0.4	0.00	-0.35	-0.02	...
U <sup>236</sup> (n, $\gamma$ )	8.9	3.1	0.00	0.00	-0.12	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...
U <sup>235</sup> (fiss- n, $\gamma$ )	-	-0.3				
Pu <sup>239</sup> (fiss- n, $\gamma$ )	-	0.2				
....		....				
Total uncertainty	SCALE		1.9	2.7	2.1	...
	EAF07		4.0	12.2	1.6	...

## 4.2 Fission products at the end of the irradiation

Uncertainty values of fission products due to decay data uncertainty and fission yield taken from JEFF-3.1.1, and cross section uncertainty data from EAF2007/UN and SCALE6.0 are computed by MC approach. Table 3 shows nuclides with a global uncertainty value above 6%.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have illustrated the potential benefit of full uncertainty propagation in transmutation calculations, and we have shown that: (i) decay uncertainties have a negligible effect on the isotopic prediction both in actinides and fission products, (ii) uncertainty in fission products due to fission yields remains below 10%, and (iii) larger uncertainties were found due to cross section uncertainties. Regarding uncertainty data for cross sections, we conclude that: (i) EAF2007 seems to be very conservative; (ii) the importance correlations between different isotopes and reactions are negligible. Total uncertainties obtained with the sensitivity methodology (Table 2) can be compared with those obtained with the MC methodology (Table 1). A very good agreement between both methodologies is found, demonstrating for this case the validity of the implicit linear approximation used in the sensitivity method. Calculations for extended irradiation time will be performed to assess the importance of ND uncertainties.

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partially supported by the CIEMAT/UNED collaboration and by the Spanish Nuclear Regulatory Council (CSN).

**Table 3** Uncertainty (relative error in %) in the final concentration ( $N_f$ ) for fission products at 150 GWd/tHM

Nuclide	$N_f$ (#atoms)	Uncertainty in % due to			
		$\lambda_s$	$\gamma_s$	XS EAF	XS SCALE
<sup>79</sup> Se	2.25E+23	0.00	5.9	4.3	1.6
<sup>93M</sup> Nb	1.81E+19	6.19	2.9	3.5	1.3
<sup>94</sup> Nb	1.39E+20	0.03	5.9	17.6	4.6
<sup>93</sup> Mo	1.45E+18	0.01	2.7	82.6	1.2
<sup>103</sup> Rh	5.52E+25	0.00	3.7	5.2	1.7
<sup>107</sup> Pd	3.52E+25	0.01	4.0	4.9	2.3
<sup>109</sup> Ag	2.09E+25	0.02	3.9	5.4	2.7
<sup>126</sup> Sn	2.02E+24	0.00	7.2	4.8	2.1
<sup>126</sup> Sb	2.90E+21	5.21	9.2	9.0	3.3
<sup>126M</sup> Sb	4.43E+18	1.05	7.5	16.4	1.9
<sup>129</sup> I	1.06E+25	0.07	4.1	4.7	2.1
<sup>149</sup> Sm	9.68E+24	0.00	3.6	6.8	4.5
<sup>150</sup> Sm	4.57E+24	0.01	3.0	11.0	7.7
<sup>151</sup> Sm	5.11E+24	0.05	4.2	10.9	6.7
<sup>152</sup> Sm	8.94E+24	0.01	3.1	6.6	4.0
<sup>151</sup> Eu	3.74E+22	6.66	3.8	9.8	6.5
<sup>153</sup> Eu	3.65E+24	0.01	4.4	14.6	5.2
<sup>155</sup> Gd	2.87E+23	0.26	7.1	7.8	3.8

## 7. REFERENCES

- [1] Salvatores M. et al. "OECD/NEA WPEC Subgroup 26 Final Report: Uncertainty and Target Accuracy Assessment for Innovative Systems Using Recent Covariance Data Evaluations" (2008).
- [2] J. Sanz, O. Cabellos, N. Garcia-Herranz et al., "Report of the numerical results from the evaluation of the nuclear data sensitivities, priority list and table of required accuracies for nuclear data", Deliverable D5.11, DM5 NUDATRA, EUROTRANS Project, FI6W-CT-2004-516520 (2009)
- [3] J. Sanz, O. Cabellos and N. Garcia-Herranz, "ACAB -2008: Activation Abacus Code V2008", NEA Data Bank NEA-1839, (2008).
- [4] Artioli C., Specification for EFIT core and fuel element. Deliverable 1.6, Domain DM1 DESIGN, EUROTRANS Project (FI6W-CT-2004-516520). (2006)
- [5] Álvarez-Velarde F., León P.T., González-Romero E.M., EVOLCODE2, a Combined Neutronics and Burn-up Evolution Simulation Code. Proc. 9th Information Exchange Meeting on Actinide and Fission Product P&T (Nîmes, France). ISBN 978-92-64-99030-2, NEA/OECD. (2007)
- [6] R.A. Forrest, The European Activation System: EASY-2007 overview. UKAEA, FUS 533, (2007).
- [7] A. Santamarina et al., "The JEFF-3.1.1 Nuclear Data Library", JEFF Report 22, OECD/NEA Data Bank, (2009)
- [8] ZZ SCALE6.0/COVA-44G, 44-group cross section covariance matrix library extracted from SCALE6.0, USCD1236/02 Package. OECD/NEA Data Bank.