Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Emotion Recognition and Intellectual Disability: Development of the Kinetic Emotion
Recognition Assessment and Evaluation of the Emotion Specificity Hypothesis
A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
A thesis presented in partial fulliliment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Clinical Psychology
at Massey University, Albany,
New Zealand.
Zara Angela Godinovich
2017

#### **ABSTRACT**

Deficits in social adaptive functioning are a defining criterion of intellectual disability (ID) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), and a key predictor of social inclusion and subsequent quality of life (Kozma, Mansell, & Beadle-Brown, 2009). Impairment in facial emotion recognition is often cited as the component skill responsible for the social difficulties observed. This position has been formally conceptualised by the emotion specificity hypothesis (ESH; Rojahn, Rabold, & Schneider, 1995), which proposes that individuals with ID manifest a specific deficit in facial emotion recognition beyond that which can be explained by difficulties in general intellectual functioning. Despite apparent widespread acceptance, there is not yet sufficient evidence to substantiate these claims. Moore (2001) proposes that emotion perception capacities may be intact in people with ID, and that reported deficits are instead, due to emotion recognition tasks making extensive cognitive demands that disadvantage those with lesser cognitive abilities.

The aim of the present study was to clarify the nature of facial emotion recognition abilities in adults with mild ID. To this end, the Kinetic Emotion Recognition Assessment (KERA), a video-based measure of facial emotion recognition, was developed and a pilot study completed. The measure was designed to assess emotion recognition abilities, while attempting to reduce information-processing demands beyond those required to perceive the emotional content of stimuli. The new instrument was assessed for its psychometric properties in individuals with ID and neurotypical control participants. Initial findings supported the interrater reliability and overarching construct validity of the measure, offering strong evidence in favour of content, convergent and predictive validity. Item difficulty and discrimination analysis confirmed that the KERA included items of an appropriate level of difficulty to capture the range of emotion recognition capacities expected of individuals with mild ID.

The secondary focus of the study was to assess how subtle methodological changes in the assessment of emotion recognition ability may affect emotion recognition performance, and in turn provide insight into how we might reinterpret existing ESH literature. To this end, the KERA was also applied in an investigation of the potential moderating effects of dynamic cues and emotion intensity, in addition to the assessment of the ESH. The results offer strong evidence that individuals with ID experience relative impairment in emotion recognition abilities when compared with typically developing controls. However, it remains to be seen whether the observed difficulties are specific to emotional expression or associated with more generalised facial processing. Preliminary findings also suggest that like their typically developing peers, individuals with ID benefit from higher intensity emotional displays; while in contrast, they observe no advantage from the addition of movement cues. Finally, the overarching motivation for the reassessment and improved measurement of the ESH, was in the interests of improving real-world outcomes associated with emotion recognition capacities. Accordingly, emotion recognition data were also interpreted in the context of three measures of social functioning to explore the link between social competence and emotion recognition ability. Results indicated that emotion recognition abilities are linked to outcomes in social adaptive functioning, particularly for females.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The successful completion of this thesis is due, in no small part, to the people who have guided and shared my journey. First, I wish to thank all those who participated in this study, including the teachers, family, service providers and care staff who facilitated recruitment and participation. Thank you for your time and energy and sharing my enthusiasm for this project.

Thank you to my wonderful supervisors. To Dr Richard Fletcher, for providing invaluable theoretical input consistently from day one. Thank you for being so generous with your time and your persistence with this study. Your confidence has been a constant source of motivation throughout this project. Dr Ian de Terte, thank you for your clinical insights and your valuable feedback on written work. Your guidance and flexibility during the early stages of the project is also much appreciated. I could not have asked for two better supervisors, and I certainly hope that we have opportunity to collaborate again in the future. Others I thank are Michele Blick, who offered great support during the data collection phase of this project, and Harvey Jones of Massey University responsible for developing the computer software used in this study.

A special thank you to my family and friends, especially my partner Wilbur who has given so much so that I may complete my studies with as little stress and as much happiness as possible. I look forward to spending more time with you and many more adventures in the years ahead. Others I thank are my parents Marica and Tony, and grandparents Radica and Milan, for their ongoing care and interest in my work and general well-being. Also, Amanda for her words of wisdom and my in-laws Aubrey and Isabel for their gentle encouragement. I would also like to acknowledge my siblings and long-time friends who have patiently been there since I first decided I would like to spend another year (or two), at university. Zach, I cannot thank you enough for hours spent helping me transform a therapy room into a photo studio! Finally, thank you to my office buddies and friends made along the way, who have provided a great sense of community and comic relief. This journey would not have been the same without your friendship.

I would also like to acknowledge Massey University for their additional financial support by way of the Massey University Doctoral Scholarship and Targeted Doctoral Completion Scholarship. Thank you for your generous provision, it made a world of difference to the time and effort I was able to dedicate to this project.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V11
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES	Xii
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 1: DEFINING INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY	4
Defining the diagnostic parameters of the current thesis	4
Contemporary systems of classification: Major criteria	4
Subclassification and severity specifiers	7
Diagnostic parameters for the current thesis	9
Defining the aetiological parameters of the current thesis	9
The organic versus cultural-familial (nonspecific) division	9
Application of aetiological groupings in ESH research	11
Aetiological parameters for the current thesis	13
CHAPTER 2: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	14
What do facial expressions express?	14
Discrete emotion theories	14
Componential appraisal theories	16
Implications for the measurement of facial expression	18
CHAPTER 3: THE EMPIRICAL BASIS OF THE EMOTION SPECIFICITY	
HYPOTHESIS	21
Empirical basis of the emotion-specificity hypothesis in intellectual disability	21

An alternative explanation to the emotion specificity hypothesis	29
Available facial emotion databases and measures	34
CHAPTER 4: EMOTION PERCEPTION AND SOCIAL ADAPTIVE	
FUNCTIONING	38
Social adaptive functioning defined	39
Social adaptive functioning and the emotion specificity hypothesis	40
CHAPTER 5: OVERVIEW OF THE CURRENT STUDY	44
CHAPTER 6: METHOD	46
Stage 1 - Development of the KERA item pool and selection of a core set	46
Development of the corpus	46
Selection of a core item set	49
Stage 2- Pilot testing and item refinement of the KERA and assessment of the Em	notion
Specificity Hypothesis	53
Participants	53
Materials	63
Design and experimental procedures.	72
Statistical procedures.	78
CHAPTER 7: RESULTS	84
Preliminary data screening	84
Data integrity check	84
Statistical outliers	84
Missing data	85
Part 1. Evaluation of the psychometric properties of the KERA	89
Evaluation of reliability and reduction of items	89
Analysis of item difficulty and discrimination	92
Analysis of group score distributions	94

Validity assessment	96
Part 2. Investigating the nature of the emotion recognition abilities of people with ID	98
Objective 1: Re-evaluate the applicability of the Emotion Specificity Hypothesis for	
individuals with intellectual disability	98
Objective 2: Determine the effect of dynamic cues on facial emotion recognition	
performance in people with ID, relative to typically developing individuals	105
Objective 3. Investigate the effects of emotion intensity on emotion recognition	
performance in adults with ID relative to typically developing individuals	106
Objective 4. Explore the link between social adaptive functioning and emotion recogni	tion
abilities in adults with ID relative to mental age matched controls	107
CHAPTER 8: DISCUSSION	113
Overview	114
Summary of study aims and findings	114
The development and psychometric assessment of the Kinetic Emotion Recognition	
Assessment (KERA).	114
Investigating the nature the emotion recognition abilities in people with ID	120
Limitations and considerations for future research	127
Limitations	127
Future directions.	128
Executive summary	130
REFERENCES	133
APPENDIX A: Diagnostic And Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Fifth Edition C1	iteria
For Intellectual Disability	155
APPENDIX B: Gwet AC <sub>1</sub> Script File	156
APPENDIX C: FACS Action Unit Descriptors and Underlying Facial Musculature	158

APPENDIX D: Preliminary Item Level Inter-Rater Reliability Estimates Based on Nine
Independent Reviewers159
APPENDIX E-1: Intellectual Disability Services Recruitment Letter
APPENDIX E-2: Information and Consent Form for Participants with Intellectual Disability
APPENDIX E-3: Third Party Consent Form for Participants with Intellectual Disability 164
APPENDIX E-4: Information Sheet for Chronologically Age Matched Participants165
APPENDIX E-5: Consent Form for Chronologically Age Matched Participants168
APPENDIX E-6: School Recruitment Letter
APPENDIX E-7: Screening Phase Parent Information Sheet and Consent Form for Mental
Age Matched Participants172
APPENDIX E-8: Experimental Phase Parent Information Sheet for Mental Age Matched
Participants
APPENDIX E-9: Experimental Phase Parent Consent Form for Mental Age Matched
Participants
APPENDIX E-10: Experimental Phase Participant Information and Consent Form for Mental
Age Matched Participants177
APPENDIX F: Experimental Task Interface
APPENDIX G: Selected PoFA, NimStim and DaFEx Stimuli
APPENDIX H: Exemplar of the Colour Discrimination Task Animation at Five
Time-Points
APPENDIX I: Effect Size Interpretation Thresholds
APPENDIX J: Group Emotion Recognition Task Performance Organised by Task and
Emotion Category182

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6.1. Flow Chart Illustrating KERA Item Developmental, Psychometric Evaluation and
Application in the Testing of the Emotion Specificity Hypothesis47
Figure 7.1. Tukey-Style Box Plot Displaying the Distribution of KERA Full Scale Scores94
Figure 7.2. Tukey-Style Box Plot Displaying the Distribution of KERA Subscale Scores95
Figure 7.3. Emotion Recognition Task Total Performance Scores Organised by Experimental
Group
Figure 7.4. Group Emotion Recognition Task Performance Organised by Emotion
Category

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Current Study Research Aims
Table 3.1. Summary of Studies Meeting Rojan and Zaja's (2007) Minimum Criteria27
Table 6.1. EMFACS AUs for Discrete Emotions
Table 6.2. Index Group Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales – Second Edition Subscale and
Composite Scores
Table 6.3. Participant Intake Assessment and Screening Measures Organised by Experimental
Group
Table 6.4. Application of Experimental Measures Organised by Assessment Domain64
Table 6.5. Data Collection Procedures and Application of Assessment Measures Organised by
Experimental Group74
Table 6.6. Emotion Eliciting Scenarios70
Table 6.7. Altman's Kappa Benchmark Scale79
Table 7.1. Skewness and Kurtosis Indices for Individual Experimental Variable
Distributions87
Table 7.2. KERA Item Level Inter-Rater Reliability Estimates
Table 7.3. KERA Full Scale and Subscale Reliability Estimates and Corresponding Qualitative
Benchmarks90
Table 7.4. KERA Actor Demographic Characteristics and Item Intensity Ratings91
Table 7.5 KERA Descriptive Statistics Including Item Discrimination and Difficulty Indices
Stratified by Experimental Group93
Table 7.6. KERA Skewness and Kurtosis Values Stratified by Group90
Table 7.7. Pearson Correlations Between the KERA and the PoFA, NimStim and DaFEx97
Table 7.8. One-Way Repeated Measures ANOVA Results Comparing Group Effects for
Experimental Tasks
Table 7.9. Pairwise Comparisons of Task Performance Across Experimental Group102

Table 7.10. Friedman Test Results Comparing Group Effects for Emotion Specific Task	
Performance1	04
Table 7.11. Pairwise Comparisons of Emotion Category Task Performance Across	
Experimental Group1	05
Table 7.12. Within-Group Pairwise Comparisons of Task Performance on the KERA and	
KERA-Static1	06
Table 7.13. Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between Emotion Intensity and Group Emotion	n
Recognition Performance	07
Table 7.14. Group Mean Performance Scores on the Emotion Recognition Composite and	
Measures of Social Adaptive Functioning	09
Table 7.15. Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between the Emotion Recognition Composite	
Score and Measures of Social Adaptive Functioning	10
Table 7.16. Group Mean Performance Scores on the KERA and KERA-Static1	11
Table 7.17. Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between the KERA Total Score and Measures of	of
Social Adaptive Functioning1	12
Table 7.1. Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between the KERA-Static Total Score and	
Measures of Social Adaptive Functioning	12