Provided by Massey Research Online

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Impact of Paradise Shelducks (*Tadorna variegata*) on Pastoral Communities and their Role as Reservoirs of Agricultural Diseases

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Masters of Science in Conservation Ecology,

Massey University, Auckland.

Mark Pierre Delaney

Abstract

Since its habitat expansion, due to human land clearance for farmland, paradise shelducks (*Tadorna variegata*) have established a firm foothold in the New Zealand agricultural environment. Paradise shelducks feed primarily on agricultural pasture and consequently compete directly with livestock for resources. As a result many farmers consider paradise shelducks to be a pest. In addition, it is a common perception that paradise shelducks contaminate agricultural land with their faeces. Although there is a wealth of information on the impacts of waterfowl on agricultural industries and diseases associated with waterfowl, no studies have specifically looked at the potential impact paradise shelducks pose on New Zealand's agricultural practices. The aims of this study were to 1) determine the presence and prevalence of pathogenic microorganisms in paradise shelduck faeces and their associated environment, 2) evaluate the findings in terms of transmission routes and the relative risk to livestock and humans, 3) determine whether paradise shelducks have an affect on primary pasture production and composition, and 4) estimate the daily food intake rates of paradise shelducks.

This study was based on a population of paradise shelducks in Tawharanui Regional Park over each of four seasons from 2006-2007. The prevalence of pathogenic microorganisms was determined by paradise shelduck faecal surveys for selected bacteria and parasites. Surveys were conducted for flock birds and breeding pairs. Additionally, faecal samples of sympatric species and water troughs were analysed. The impacts of paradise shelducks on pastoral communities was assessed by means of an exclusion experiment, consisting of two types of exclosure; a 'closed' exclosure to exclude all animals including paradise shelducks, and an 'open' exclosure to exclude livestock, but to allow access for paradise shelducks. Daily food intake rates for paradise shelducks were estimated from observational foraging data and necropsies of paradise shelducks.

Results show that no isolates of Salmonella, Campylobacter Yersinia, Cyrptospordium or Giardia were found. Relatively low prevalences of non haemolytic and alpha haemolytic Streptococci, Enterococcus, Bacillus, Clostridium perfringens, Proteus mirablis, strongyle eggs and Coccidia eggs were found. Additionally, E. coli was consistently isolated from the faecal samples throughout the sampling period. However, the serotypes of the micro-organisms isolated were not determined, so no conclusions could be drawn in relation to their pathogenicity. Furthermore, no significant

correlations were found between the number of accumulated faeces sampled and the presence or prevalences of the micro-organisms isolated. It also appears that sampling during the driest times of the year will yield the highest presence of micro-organisms in paradise shelduck faeces. An array of micro-organisms, similar to those found in paradise shelduck faeces, were found in pukekos and house sparrow faeces as well as high contamination levels of faecal indicators in troughs. No conclusive transmission routes for the micro-organisms were found. Paradise shelducks were found to have a significant impact on pasture production and to selectively graze white clover (*Trifolium repens*). Furthermore, it was estimated that the paradise shelducks had a foraging intake rate of $104\pm15g/day$ of pasture dry matter. The results confirmed that paradise shelducks can have an affect on agricultural land. A more long term study in different regions is required to evaluate the full extent to which paradise shelducks affect agricultural production in New Zealand.

111

Acknowledgements

The first person I would like to thank is my supervisor, Associate Professor Dianne Brunton, for her advice, support and enthusiasm. I wish to also acknowledge Dr. Weihong Ji, Dr. Rosemary Barraclough, Dr. Nathalie Patenaude for their guidance.

I would also like to give a special thanks to Nicole Taylor and my parents, Terence and Delize Delaney for their support and patience.

Thanks to Fish & Game New Zealand and the Auckland Regional council who supported this project with funds. A special thanks to John Dyer and Tim Lovegrove for their help and advice. Additionally, I would like to thank Maurice Pucket, Colin Ward and TOSSI for their work at Tawharanui Regional Park.

Many thanks to everyone who helped me in the field and in catching those pesky ducks; Mark Casey, Nicholas East, Haden Henderson, Luis Otriz Catedral, Taneal Cope, Birgit Ziesemann, Mark Lowe, Mark Seabrook-Davison, Kevin Parker, Michael Anderson and Marleen Baling. Additional thanks goes to Ian and Tamsin Delaney, Brett 'Party-House' Hammond, Luke Meurant, Matt Payne and Sam Cox for his enthusiasm. A special thanks to Chris Wedding for all his help in the field and for the company up at Tawharanui.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF PLATES	
CHAPTER 1 : GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Diversity of Waterfowl in New Zealand	
1.2 THE SHELDUCK	
1.3 The Paradise Shelduck	
1.3.1 Annual Cycle	
1.3.3 Farmers and Public Perception of Paradise Shelducks	
1.4 OUTLINE OF THE PRESENT STUDY	9
1.5 References	12
CHAPTER 2 : MICRO-ORGANISMS OF PARADISE SHELDUCK FAECES AND THEIR	
EFFECTS ON AN AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENT	14
2.1 Abstract	15
2.2 Introduction	
2.2.1 Pathogenic micro-organisms associated with waterfowl	
2.2.2 Potential agriculture, livestock, economic and public health impacts	
2.3 BACKGROUND ON TARGETED PATHOGENIC MICRO-ORGANISMS	
2.3.1 Bacteria	
2.3.1.2 Campylobacter	
2.3.1.3 Yersinia	
2.3.1.4 Escherichia coli 2.3.1.5 Bacillus	
2.3.1.6 Streptococci	
2.3.1.7 Enterococcus	24
2.3.1.8 Clostridium	
2.3.2 Faecal parasites 2.3.2.1 Cryptosporidium	23
2.3.2.2 Giardia	
2.3.2.3 Ascarid	26
2.4 OBJECTIVES	
2.5 METHODS	27
2.5.1 Study Site	
2.5.2.1 Methods used by NZVP	
2.5.2.1.1 Bacteria isolation	31
2.5.2.1.1.1 Aerobic culture method	
2.5.2.1.1.2 Anaerobic culture method	
2.5.2.1.1.4 Antibiotic sensitivity testing.	
2.5.2.1.1.5 Ziehl-Neelsen stain	
2.5.2.1.2 Faecal parasite counts	
2.5.2.1.2.1 Ctyptosportduii	
2.5.2.1.2.3 Faecal egg count	34
2.5.3 Trough water samples	
Methods used by Watercare Services Ltd. Secherichia coli isolation and counts.	
2.5.3.1.2 Fecal coliform isolation and counts	
2.5.3.1.3 Salmonella isolation	
2.5.4 Statistical analysis	
2.6 Results	30

2.6.1	Faecal micro-organisms	3 <i>t</i>
2.6.1.1		
2.6.1.2		4
2.6.1.3	[42
2.6.1.4		44
2.6.1.5 2.6.1.6		46
2.6.1.7		······
2.6.1.8	Pukeko and house sparrow comparison	5'
2.6.2	Water troughs	5
2.7 Dis	CUSSION	
2.7.1	Salmonella	
2. 7 .2	Campylobacter	
2.7.3	Yersinia	
2.7.4	E. coli	
2.7.5	Additional bacteria	
2.7.6	Huia database	
2. 7. 7	Faecal parasites	
2.7.8	Flock size	
2.7.9	Seasonal effect	
2.7.10	Host species comparison	
2.7.11	Troughs	
2.7.12	Paradise shelduck as reservoirs	64
2.8 Cos	SCLUSIONS	66
2.9 Ref	FRENCES	69
	: PARADISE SHELDUCKS AS GRAZERS IN PASTORA	
	STRACL	
	RODUCTION	
	ECHVFS	
3.4.1	HODS Pasture trials	
3.4.2	Estimation of daily pasture intake	
3.4.3	Environmental conditions	
3.4.4	Statistical analysis	
	ULTS	ري
3.5.1	Bird species counts	
3.5.2	Environmental conditions	
3.5.3	Pasture primary production	
3.5.4	Pasture species composition	
3.5.5	Pasture intake rate	
	'USSION	
3.6.1	Pasture primary production	
3.6.2	Pasture species composition	
3.6.3	Pasture intake rate	
3.7 Con	ICLUSION	101
	ERENCES	
	: GENERAL CONCLUSION	
4.1 Ref	ERENCES	112
	I	
	II	
	V	
appendix \	/	

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. Possible transmission routes of exposure and dissemination of micro-organism pathogens between waterfowl and livestock.
Figure 2.2. (a) Map of New Zealand outlining the Hauraki Gulf. (b) Enlarged map of Auckland showing the Hauraki Gulf and Tawharanui Regional Park
Figure 2.3. (a) Map of Tawharanui Regional Park outlining the study area. (b) Enlarged map of study area showing Sites 1 (Pair Site), 2 and 3 (Flock Site) and trough locations
Figure 2.4. Mean total number of micro-organisms found in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling dates
Figure 2.5. Total number of micro-organisms found in each paradise shelduck faecal sample to number of faeces from different individuals per sample for all sampling dates
Figure 2.6. E. coli growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date
Figure 2.7. Mean <i>E. coli</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Letters represent significantly different means at a 0.05 level (Tukey test).
Figure 2.8. <i>E. coli</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to number of accumulated faeces per sample for a) all sampling dates, b) samples in the low season (May & August 2006) and c) samples in the high season (November 2006 & March 2007)
Figure 2.9. Non Haemolytic <i>Streptococci</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date
Figure 2.10. Mean Non Haemolytic <i>Streptococci</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Letters represent significantly different means at a 0.05 level (Tukey test)
Figure 2.11. Non Haemolytic <i>Streptococci</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to number of accumulated faeces per sample
Figure 2.12. Alpha Haemolytic <i>Streptococci</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Data points represent means ± SE
Figure 2.13. Mean Alpha Haemolytic <i>Streptococci</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Letters represent significantly different means at a 0.05 level (Tukey test)43
Figure 2.14. Alpha Haemolytic <i>Streptococci</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to number of accumulated faeces per sample
Figure 2.15. <i>Enterococcus</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Data points represent means ± SE
Figure 2.16. Mean <i>Enterococcus</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Letters represent significantly different means at a 0.05 level (Tukey test)
Figure 2.17. Enterococcus growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to number of accumulated faeces per sample
Figure 2.18. Bacillus growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date
Figure 2.19. Mean <i>Bacillus</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Letters represent significantly different means at a 0.05 level (Tukey test)
Figure 2.20. Bacillus growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to number of accumulated faeces per sample
vii

Figure 2.21. Proteus mirabilis growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Data points represent means: SE
Figure 2.22. Mean <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to sampling date. Letters represent significantly different means at a 0.05 level (Tukey test)
Figure 2.23. Proteus mirabilis growth values in paradise shelduck faecal samples to number of accumulated faeces per sample for a) all sampling dates. b) samples in the high season (November 2006 & March 2007).
Figure 2.24. Growth values of micro-organisms found in faecal samples of paradise shelducks, pukekos and house sparrows. Note: The paradise shelduck figures are the mean growth values of all the sampling groups for the May 2006 sampling date
Figure 2.25. Concentration of E. coli (cfu-100ml) found in water samples taken from water troughs at Tawharanui Regional Park
Figure 2.26. Concentration of faecal coliforms (cfu/100ml) found in water samples taken from water troughs at Tawharanui Regional Park.
Figure 3.1. Number (x: SE) of paradise shelducks observed at each of the three study sites (Figure 2.3) at Tawharanui Regional Park over the entire sampling period
Figure 3.2. Accumulated pasture dry matter (x : SE kg ha) for both closed and open exclosures over a four week growth period in each sampling month for flock and pair treatments
Figure 3.3. Total mean pasture species composition ("a) for both closed and open exclosures over a four week growth period in each sampling month for flock and pair treatment types90
Figure 3.4. Total mean pasture clover composition (^{0}a) for both closed and open exclosures over a four week growth period in each sampling month for flock and pair treatment types.

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Anatidae species found in New Zealand. 3
Table 2.1. Samples sent to NZVP for analysis. 30
Table 2.2. Quantitative growth values of bacteria isolates. 31
Table 2.3. Growth values for micro-organisms found in paradise shelduck faeces. 51
Table 2.4. Salmonella presence in water troughs at Tawharanui Regional Park. 54
Table 3.1. Testing methods for soil nutrient levels by NZLABS. 83
Table 3.2. Mean ±SE (n=4) number of birds observed in all three study sites combined at Tawharanui Regional Park over the entire sampling period.
Table 3.3. Mean ±SE (range) and ANOVAs (df) for environmental measures inside open and closed exclosures at Tawharanui Regional Park. 85
Table 3.4. Repeated measures analysis of variance (Pillai's Trace) for the effects of time, flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the net accumulated dry matter production. 86
Table 3.5. Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the net accumulated dry matter production for each week of the trial
Table 3.6. Repeated measures analysis of variance (Pillai's Trace) for the effects of time, flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the pasture composition (%) of ryegrass
Table 3.7. Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the pasture composition (%) of ryegrass. for each week of the trial
Table 3.8. Repeated measures analysis of variance (Pillai's Trace) for the effects of time, flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the pasture composition (%) of kikuyu
Table 3.9. Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the pasture composition (%) of kikuyu. for each week of the trial
Table 3.10. Repeated measures analysis of variance (Pillai's Trace) for the effects of time, flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the pasture composition (%) of clover.
Table 3.11. Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of flock size (flock or pair sites), season and exclosure type (open or closed) on the pasture composition (%) of clover. for each week of the trial.
Table 3.12. Mean ± SE amount of food ingested by paradise shelducks shot in the Auckland Region, mean ± SE foraging data for paradise shelducks at Tawharanui Regional Park and the estimated daily food intake for paradise shelducks

List of Plates

Plate 1.1. Photo by M. Delaney 2006.	1
Plate 2.1. Photo by M. Delancy 2006.	14
Plate 2.2. Paradise shelduck pair on water trough. Photo by M. Delaney 2006	35
Plate 3.1. Photo by M. Delaney 2006.	75
Plate 3.2, Showing a sampling site containing a set of exclosures; two closed exclosures and two open exclosures. Photo by M. Delaney 2006.	
Plate 4.1. Photo by M. Delaney 2006	107