

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author. Fostering Self-Regulation and Deep Approaches to Learning: End-User Computing Courses in Higher Education

> A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

> > Master of Education (Special Education)

at Massey University, New Zealand

Maureen Jennifer O'Connor 2002

### Abstract

This thesis examines student approaches to learning and self-regulation within a higher education computing environment. Traditional end-user computing teaching methods emphasise a skills approach that does not encourage effective use of information technology as it evolves and does not consider how students approach their learning. This research was designed to promote student use of self-regulated learning to see if it would encourage deep approaches to learning.

The revised two-factor Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) was used to measure approaches to learning, at the beginning and end of a semester, to see if students' learning had shifted towards a deeper approach. The sample was taken from two enduser computing classes in a diploma programme. The teaching of strategies to foster self-regulatory practices was introduced. Focus group discussions were held at the beginning, middle and end of the study to record student perceptions of learning. Academic journals, recording student reflection, were collected.

The results from the R-SPQ-2F questionnaire showed no shift had occurred. The participants began the semester with a tendency toward a deeper learning approach, leaving little room for change. There was no difference found between approaches to learning of ethnic groupings. Qualitative results revealed deep and surface learning approaches are not necessarily mutually exclusive and may overlap, suggesting a combined approach. The course grades suggested that the adoption of teaching strategies fostering self-regulation helped student learning in the researched classes. A link was suggested between strategy use and student approaches to learning.

i

## Acknowledgements

Many people have contributed to this thesis. I would like to acknowledge the invaluable contributions of both Dr Ali St. George and Mandia Mentis in the supervision and compilation of this thesis. Their guidance and attention to detail was gratefully received. I would also like to thank my daughter, Kristie, who for most of her life has only ever known a mother who "studies". Without her continuing support and patience this project would not have been possible. My heart-felt thanks also goes to my brother Alan for his belief in me, to Trevor and Filomena for their help in proof-reading.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to Ronald James Burton who was here for the beginning of this project, but sadly not at the end.

To a father who would have been so proud.

ii

# **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1	Introduction 1
Chapter 2	Literature Review 5
Part One:	Approaches to Learning and Self-Regulation 5
2.1	Approaches to Learning and Study 5
2.2	Self-Regulated Learning 17
2.3	Linking Approaches and Self-Regulated Learning 24
2.4	Student Approaches to Learning and Self-Regulated Learning in a Computing Environment
Part Two:	Fostering Self-Regulation and Deep Approaches to Learning 30
2.5	Teaching Strategies to Foster Self-Regulation
2.6	Setting Learning Goals
2.7	Fostering the use of Learning Strategies
2.8	Planning for Assessments
2.9	Keeping an Academic Journal 39
2.10	Summary 41
2.11	Aims and Objectives 41
2.12	Main Aim 42
2.13	Specific Objectives 42
Chapter 3	Method 45
3.1	Research Design 45
3.2	Participants 46
3.3	The Context 48
3.4	Procedure 48
3.5	Teaching Strategies to Foster Self-Regulation 52
3.6	Validity and Reliability 56
3.7	Ethical Considerations

3.8	Quantitative Data Analysis	61
3.9	Qualitative Data Analysis	62

Chapter 4	Results and Discussion
Part One:	Quantitative Findings 65
4.1	Student Approaches to Learning 65
4.2	Student Approaches to Learning and Academic Grades
Part Two:	Qualitative Findings
4.3	Perceptions of Learning in a Computer Environment
4.4	Perceptions of Developing Self-Regulated Strategies
4.5	Link Between Strategy Use and Approaches to Learning
4.6	Limitations
4.7	Summary

Chapter 5	Conclusions	99
5.1	Conclusions	. 99
5.2	Implications and Recommendations for Practice	103
5.3	Implications and Recommendations for Research	104

References	References	5	105
------------	------------	---	-----

Appendices	
Appendix A	Revised Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) 119
	Answer Sheet for R-SPQ-2F 121
	Mark Sheet for R-SPQ-2F 122
Appendix B	Guidelines for Focus Group Discussions 123
Appendix C	Questions to Generate Discussion 124
Appendix D	Planning for Assessments (Spreadsheets) 126
	Planning for Assessments (Database) 127

Appendix E	Case Study	128
Appendix F	Guiding Questions for Students' Academic Journal	129
Appendix G	Information Sheets/ Consent Forms/ Confidentiality Agreement	130
Appendix H	Transcribed Focus Group Notes	143
Appendix I	Copy of Student's Journal	148

### List of Tables

Table 2.1	Motive and Strategy Components of Deep and Surface Approaches to Study	12
Table 2.2	Full Fee-Paying Students in New Zealand Public Tertiary Institutions by Region of Origin from 1994 – 2001	14
Table 2.3	Country of Origin of Asian Full Fee-Paying Students in New Zealand Public Tertiary Institutions	14
Table 2.4	Perceptions of Approaches to a Learning Task	30
Table 2.5	Two Perspectives of Teaching and Learning	32
Table 3.1	Ethnicity of Participants	48
Table 3.2	Developing Self-Regulated Practices	53
Table 4.1	Mean Scores for Deep and Surface Approaches	66
Table 4.2	Mean Scores by Ethnicity	67
Table 4.3	Individual Score for Participant XR	72
Table 4.4	Individual Score for Participants SW, AZ and CT	79
Table 4.5	Individual Score for Participant MR	84
Table 4.6	Individual Score for Participant DC	93
Table 4.7	Link Between Self or External Regulation and Deep and Surface Approaches to Learning	96

### **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1	The 3P Model of Teaching and Learning	8
Figure 2.2	Self-Regulation Cycle Phases	20
Figure 3.1	Timeline for Study Weeks 1-14	49
Figure 3.2	Process of Data Collection and Analysis	64
Figure 4.1	Average Mark for the Spreadsheet Test	69
Figure 4.2	Percentage of Students Obtaining A+/A Final Grade	70
Figure 4.3	Surface, Deep and Combined Approaches to Learning	85