

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Immobilization of
Kluyveromyces fragilis and Saccharomyces cerevisiae
in
Polyacrylamide Gel

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Technology in
Biotechnology at Massey University

JUDITH ANN DILLON

1980

84689_08

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page	i
Table of Contents	ii
Acknowledgements	v
Abstract	vi
List of Abbreviations	viii
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF THE RELEVANT LITERATURE	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Classification of Immobilization techniques	4
2.3 Advantages of Immobilization	5
2.4 Disadvantages of Immobilization	6
2.5 Relevant Properties of Yeast Cells	7
2.6 Properties of Immobilized Cells	8
2.6.1 Activity	8
2.6.2 Variations in Fermentation Properties	9
2.6.3 Kinetics	10
2.7 Entrapment in Polyacrylamide Gel	11
2.7.1 The Gel	11
2.7.2 The Effect of the Monomers	12
2.7.3 Protective Agents	12
2.7.4 Gel Optimization	13
2.7.5 Gel Configuration	15
2.8 Activation	16
2.9 Continuous Operation	16
2.10 Applications of Immobilized Cells	18
2.10.1 Degradation	19
2.10.2 Energy Production	20
2.10.3 Analytical Procedures	22
2.11 Conculsion	23
3. METHODS AND MATERIALS	24
3.1 Materials Sources	24
3.2 Microbiology	24
3.2.1 Culture Source and Description	24
3.2.2 Culture Maintenance	25
3.2.3 Inoculum Preparation	25
3.2.4 Culture Growth	25
3.2.5 Cell Harvest	25

3.3	Polyacrylamide Gel Immobilization Procedures	26
3.3.1	Immobilization in a Gel after Chibata <u>et al.</u> (1974)	26
3.3.2	Immobilization in a Gel as Used for Electrophoresis by Neuhoff (1973)	27
3.4	Fermentation Procedures	28
3.4.1	Batch Fermentations	28
3.4.1.1	Fermentations to Determine the Effects of Gel Components and the Activity of Cells in the Neuhoff (1973) Gel	28
3.4.1.2	Fermentations to Establish the Concentration of Gel Components for Maximum Immobilized Activity	29
3.4.1.3	Fermentations Involving the Repeated Use of Immobilized Activity	30
3.4.2	Continuous Fermentations	31
3.5	Rejuvenation Attempts	32
3.6	Gel Component Tests	33
3.6.1	Individual Gel Component Tests	33
3.6.2	Gel Component Tests with Protective Agents	33
3.6.3	Viability Tests	33
3.7	Analyses	34
3.7.1	Ethanol Analysis	34
3.7.2	Reducing Sugar Analysis	35
3.7.3	Dry Weight Determinations	35
3.8	Data Analysis	35
3.8.1	Measurement of Yeast Activity with Respect to Ethanol Production	35
3.8.2	Efficiency Calculations	36
3.8.3	Error Evaluation	36
3.8.3.1	Measured Errors	36
3.8.3.2	Culture Variation	37
4.	RESULTS	38
4.1	Determination of Cell Growth Curves	38
4.2	Fermentations at Optimized Gel Conditions	44
4.3	Fermentations with Cells Immobilized in the Electrophoretic Gel of Neuhoff (1973)	46
4.4	The Effect of Gel Components on Ethanol Production	49
4.5	The Effect of Protective Agents Against Acrylamide Damage	53
4.6	Factors Affecting Immobilized Activity	55
4.6.1	Immobilization of <u>K. fragilis</u> in Polyacrylamide Gel	55
4.6.1.1	Acrylamide Concentration for Maximum Immobilized Activity	55
4.6.1.2	BIS Concentration for Maximum Immobilized Activity	57
4.6.1.3	Yeast Cell Concentration for Maximum Immobilized Activity	59
4.6.1.4	The Effect of Substrate Concentration on Cell Activity	61

4.6.2	Immobilization of <u>S. cerevisiae</u> in Polyacrylamide Gel	62
4.6.2.1	Acrylamide Concentration for Maximum Immobilized Activity	62
4.6.2.2	BIS Concentration for Maximum Immobilized Activity	64
4.6.2.3	Yeast Cell Concentration for Maximum Immobilized Activity	64
4.6.2.4	The Effect of Substrate Concentration on Cell Activity	67
4.7	Investigation of the Repeated and Continuous Use of Immobilized Cells	72
4.7.1	Repeated Batch Experiments with <u>K. fragilis</u>	72
4.7.2	Rejuvenation of the Decayed Activity of Immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> Cells	72
4.7.3	The Reuse of Immobilized Cells Using Supplemented Fermentation Media	74
4.7.4	Continuous Ethanol Production from Whey by Immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> .	76
5.	DISCUSSION	82
5.1	The Growth of Free Cells Populations for Immobilization	82
5.2	Fermentation at Optimized Gel Conditions	83
5.2.1	The Chibata <u>et al.</u> (1974) Gel	83
5.2.2	The Neuhoff (1973) Gel	84
5.3	The Effects of Gel Components on Yeast Ethanol Producing Activity	84
5.3.1	The Effects of Individual Gel Components	84
5.3.2	Polymerisation of a Neuhoff (1973) Gel	85
5.3.3	Experimentation with Protective Agents	87
5.4	Acrylamide Gel Compositions for Maximum Immobilized Activity	88
5.4.1	Individual Gel Components	88
5.4.2	Modification of the Chibata <u>et al.</u> (1974) Gel	89
5.4.3	The Importance of Gel Modification	90
5.5	The Effect of Immobilization on the Reaction Kinetics	91
5.6	Repeated Batch Experiments	93
5.6.1	Introduction to Repeated Batch Experiments	93
5.6.2	Simple Substrate Media	93
5.6.3	Rejuvenation	94
5.6.4	Supplemented and Complex Substrate Media	94
5.7	Continuous Operation	96
5.7.1	Ethanol Production by Immobilized Cells	96
5.7.2	The Influence of Flowrate on Immobilized Activity	96
5.7.3	Reactor Stability	97
5.7.4	Ethanol Production from Whey	98
5.7.5	Possible Industrial Applications	99
5.7.6	Feasibility Analysis of Ethanol Production from Whey	99

CONCLUSION	102
BIBLIOGRAPHY	105
APPENDICIES	
1. Calculation of the theoretical height necessary for film diffusion in the Reactor	118
2. Ethanol Inhibition of <u>K. fragilis</u>	120
3. Estimate cost of ethanol production from whey	124

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank the following persons for their contribution to this study:

Dr G. Manderson for supervision of experimental work and of the compilation of this manuscript.

Dr R. Villet for supervision of experimental work.

Mr R. Jebson, Food Technology Department, Massey University, for helpful criticism of Appendix 3.

Mrs O. Vincent for typing this manuscript.

ABSTRACT

The search for new energy sources has indicated that biomass, in the form of green plant materials and biological wastes, can provide a perpetual energy source if converted to a useful form. This study investigated the production of ethanol by the fermentation of sugars using immobilized cells.

The experimental procedure involved the immobilization of two yeast species, Kluyveromyces fragilis NRRL Y 1109 and Saccharomyces cerevisiae NCYC 240, in polyacrylamide gel for the fermentation of lactose and glucose respectively. The gel methodology of two previous authors, Chibata et al. (1974) and Neuhoff (1973) was used. The former author's gel was used as a basis for batch experiments to determine the gel composition for maximum ethanol producing activity by both cell species as initial trials with this gel yielded encouraging results.

Variations in monomer, BIS and cell concentration revealed that a gel containing 15% ($\frac{w}{v}$) acrylamide, 1.5% ($\frac{w}{v}$) BIS and 25% ($\frac{w}{v}$) cells in addition to 0.6% ($\frac{w}{v}$) BDMAP and 0.25% ($\frac{w}{v}$) ammonium persulfate in tris-HCl buffer pH 7.1 polymerised at 0°C produced the greatest activity in immobilized K. fragilis cells with an activity retention for immobilization of 80%. The gel composition for greatest activity in immobilized S. cerevisiae cells differed only slightly from that above containing 20% ($\frac{w}{v}$) acrylamide, 1.6% ($\frac{w}{v}$) BIS and 40% ($\frac{w}{v}$) cells and resulted in a 46% activity retention for immobilization. Further experiments at various substrate concentrations indicated that the gel imposed small or negligible limitations on the diffusion of substrate and product.

Experiments to increase the cell activity retention for the immobilization of S. cerevisiae using the Neuhoff (1973) gel were unsuccessful but produced some important results. It was found that exposure to gel components, especially to the acrylamide monomer, reduced the ethanol producing ability and the viability of the cells. The general protective agents Tween 80, glycerol, gelatin and dithiothreitol proved ineffective. To minimize this damage to the cells the gels were polymerised at 0°C with rapid polymerisation being induced by high initiator and accelerant concentrations.

Repeated use of the immobilized cells indicated that the simple substrate medium, of the sugar in distilled water used previously, was not sufficient to maintain stable ethanol producing activity. Although trials involving supplementation with a salt solution were unsuccessful, the incorporation 0.5% ($\frac{W}{V}$) peptone in the medium and the use of protein-containing media, such as whey, was found to stabilize activity.

Experiments in continuous processing revealed that immobilized K. fragilis cells produced ethanol from deproteinised whey at an efficiency of 70 to 80% over extended periods with complete substrate utilization of full strength whey being achieved at flowrates of 0.15 SV. The half life of the activity of the immobilized cells was estimated to be at least 50 days.

The experimental results suggest that this approach to fermentation may be industrially acceptable for the production of ethanol. However, a costing exercise on the production of ethanol from whey indicates that unless the product is a highly priced commodity, such as a pharmaceutical, the process is unlikely to be economically feasible due to the high cost of the immobilization support monomer.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BDMAP	β -dimethylaminopropionitrile
BIS	N,N'-methylene-bisacrylamide
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Temperature in degrees celcius
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
g	Gravitational force
HEMA	2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate
hr	hour
Km	Michealis Constant
M	Molar
mA	milliampere
MEA	Malt Extract Agar
ml	millilitre
mM	milliMolar
NAD^+ , NADH	β -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
PDA	Potato Dextrose Agar
%	percentage
rpm	Rotational speed, revolutions per minute
SV	Space Velocity. Working volumes per hour
TEMED	N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-ethylenediamine
Vmax	Maximum velocity
$\% \left(\frac{V}{V} \right)$	component composition expressed as percentage volume per unit volume
$\% \left(\frac{W}{V} \right)$	component composition expressed as percentage weight per unit weight

LIST OF TABLES

I	Relationship between substrate flowrate parameters used in this study	32
II	Measured and predicted values of cell mass and ethanol concentration during growth of <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109	41
III	Measured and predicted values of cell mass and ethanol concentration during growth of <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240	44
IV	Ethanol production rates for free and immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 and <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 cells	46
V	Ethanol production by <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 and <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 cells after exposure to gel components	53
VI	Ethanol production activity and efficiency of free and immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells as a function of substrate concentration	62
VII	Ethanol production activity and efficiency for free and immobilized <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 as a function of substrate concentration	69
VIII	Repeated batchwise fermentation of immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 and <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 utilizing various fermentation media	75
IX	Ethanol production from deproteinized whey by immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 as a function of substrate flow-rate	78
X	Comparison of the gel compositions used in this study with gel compositions from the literature	90
A1	Cost factors	127

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Schematic diagram of the biochemical fuel cell of Karube <u>et al.</u> (1977a)	21
2.	Growth curve for <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109	39
3.	Logarithmic plot of growth curve of <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109	40
4.	Growth curve for <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240	42
5.	Logarithmic plot of growth curve of <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240	43
6.	Ethanol production by cells immobilized in the modified Chibata <u>et al.</u> (1974) gel	45
7.	Ethanol production by <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells immobilized in the Neuhoff (1973) gel	47
8.	Ethanol production by <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells exposed to gel components	50
9.	Ethanol production by <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 cells exposed to gel components	51
10.	Ethanol production by <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells after exposure to protective agents and acrylamide monomer	54
11.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) by immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 as a function of the concentration of acrylamide in the gel	56
12.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) by immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 as a function of BIS concentration in the gel	58
13.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) by immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 as a function of cell concentration in the gel	60
14.	Lineweaver-Burk plot of <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109	63
15.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) by immobilized <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 as a function of the concentration of acrylamide in the gel	65
16.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) by immobilized <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 as a function of BIS concentration in the gel	66
17.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) by immobilized <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240 as a function of cell concentration in the gel	68
18.	Lineweaver-Burk plot of <u>S. cerevisiae</u> NCYC 240	70
19.	Ethanol production activity (mMoles/hr) in repeated batchwise fermentations by <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells of 0.12 M lactose	73
20.	Effluent ethanol concentration as a function of time in a continuous reactor containing <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells	77
21.	Effluent ethanol concentration as a function of substrate flowrate in a continuous reactor containing immobilized <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells	79
A1	Reciprocal plot of the ethanol producing activity of free <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells as a function of initial product concentration in the medium	121

A2	Reciprocal plot of the ethanol producing activity of free <u>K. fragilis</u> NRRL Y 1109 cells as a function of initial product concentration in the medium	122
A3	Reciprocal plot of enzyme activity as a function of inhibitor concentration at two substrate concentrations (after Dixon, 1953)	123
A4	Reciprocal plot of enzyme activity as a function of inhibitor concentration at two substrate concentrations (after Dixon, 1953)	123
A5	Theoretical production levels from startup in a general multiple reactor system incorporating seven reactors (after Pitcher, 1975)	125
A6	Marshall and Stevens cost index for equipment costs from March 1976 until June 1979 including the projection for December 1979	129

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This study investigates an approach to industrial processing that may be applied to the energy production and waste disposal fermentations of the future, i.e. the use of immobilized cells.

The current energy crisis, which has been initiated by the diminishing supply of fossil fuels, has prompted a search for new energy sources. One plentiful and universal energy source is solar radiation. The collection of this radiation via plant photosynthesis provides a perpetual energy source in the form of plant biomass. Waste disposal can also be an energy producing process. The breakdown of biodegradable wastes, or biomass, can in some cases yield more energy than that required for the actual process.

A number of methods have been proposed for the conversion of the biomass to energy. Methane can be produced by pyrolysis, hydrogasification and anaerobic digestion. Some research has been directed towards the biological production of hydrogen and ethanol may be produced by fermentation of the sugars present.

The latter process is of interest in this study. With notable exceptions, such as the production of beer by continuous fermentation in New Zealand, fermentation traditionally has been a batch operation using intact cells or cell free extracts. In many cases the extent of processing has been limited by the high cost due to the catalyst being used only once and the labour intensive nature of the process. The significance of these factors may be reduced considerably by the use of continuous fermentation, a mode of operation made possible by the use of immobilized catalysts.

Recent developments in immobilization techniques have enabled the introduction of continuous processing in such areas as the production of pharmaceuticals and industrial chemicals, the treatment of specialized wastes and scientific analysis. A wide range of immobilization techniques have been used for both cells and enzymes. These techniques range from the mild adsorption, microencapsulation and entrapment procedures to more rigorous covalent and crosslinking procedures which may markedly change the properties of the cells and enzymes.

The most widely used method of whole cell immobilization, entrapment, was used in this study. This method of trapping cells in a polymer network is a stable form of immobilization which has a relatively mild effect on the cells. The polymer chosen for this study was polyacrylamide gel, a synthetic polymer which has been used for the successful immobilization of many enzymes, bacteria and fungi.

Energy production, in the form of alcohol fermentation from sugars, has been the topic of few investigations. In this study immobilized Kluyveromyces fragilis NRRL Y 1109 will be used for the production of ethanol from lactose and immobilized Saccharomyces cerevisiae NCYC 240 will be used to produce ethanol from glucose. For industrial processing it is envisaged that a supply of raw materials could be maintained using whey as a source of lactose and energy crops such as sugarbeet or wood as a source of carbohydrate.

The aim of this study was to assess the method of immobilization for each species and to determine its probable feasibility for industrial processing. Initially two similar polyacrylamide gel formulations were used for the immobilization of both cell species with the formulation producing the highest activity being used in further experiments to maximize this activity. Aspects of the enzymic reaction were also studied using immobilized cells in continuous reactors.