

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**INFLUENCE OF COMMERCIAL
PROTEASES ON THE PROTEOLYSIS OF
ENZYME MODIFIED CHEESE**

**A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of**

Master of Technology

in

Food Technology

**at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand.**

EMILY YI CHUAN CHEN

2000

Abstract

The influence of four commercial proteases, Protease A, Protease B, Protease C and a two enzyme blend Protease DE, on proteolysis in an enzyme modified cheese (EMC) base has been investigated. Also, a series of preliminary experiments to determine the basic characteristics of the four enzyme preparations in buffer systems has been undertaken.

Generally, the exopeptidase activity of the four enzyme preparations was more stable than the endopeptidase activity of the preparations. The highest enzyme activity for all preparations was given at pH 6.5 and Protease B was found to be sensitive to chelating agents. In addition, Protease B was found to contain at least two exopeptidases.

Residual protease activities in EMC using a 55% moisture cheese base were found to be 0.005%, 0.009%, 0.007% and 0.004% (w/v) for Protease A, Protease B, Protease C and Protease DE, respectively, following inactivation by heating at 95°C heating for 30 minutes.

Under the same incubation conditions (0.15% enzyme at 40°C for 24 h), Protease DE gave greater proteolysis than the three other enzymes and Protease B was the weakest protease. EMC digestion with a combination of proteases was different from that obtained with individual proteases. The combinations of Protease A/Protease C, Protease DE/Protease C, Protease B/Protease C and Protease DE/Protease A showed that the higher the proportion of the former protease in the combinations, the higher the amounts of total amino acids produced in the EMC. The combinations of Protease A/Protease B and Protease B/Protease DE gave greater amounts of total amino acids with the ratio of each enzyme close to 50:50 than with the individual enzymes. With respect to the molecular mass distribution of peptides in the various EMC digestions, Protease DE produced the greatest amount of peptides of 3 or fewer residues and Protease C gave the greatest amount of more medium sized peptides with 11-20 residues. Compared with Protease C, Protease A was more efficient in giving small peptides, while Protease B gave the lowest levels of medium and small peptides, but a high level of free amino acids.

In sensory testing, Protease DE produced EMC with a strong pungent and astringent flavour, Protease C gave bitterness, Protease A gave a sweet flavour at a low concentration but bitter flavours with a high concentration and Protease B produced more savoury flavour without bitterness.

Acknowledgments

I gratefully express my deep sense of appreciation and gratitude to my chief supervisor, Mr. Rod Bennett, and co-supervisor, Dr. Mike Taylor, for their encouragement, understanding, enthusiasm, discussions and valuable supervision throughout the duration of the research project and writing of the thesis. I would like to acknowledge my special thanks to Mr. Rod Bennett, for his arrangement of this project and for his helpful guidance during my postgraduate study at Massey University.

I would like to thank the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute for sponsorship of my research project. I am extremely grateful to the NZDRI for providing the bulk of the facilities, materials, analytical support, *etc*, for the research work. I would particularly like to deeply thank my chief supervisor, Dr. Julian Reid, and co-supervisor, Dr. Tim Coolbear at the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute, for their always being on hand to offer support and technical advice with endless patience. I also deeply thank their patience in discussions and correction of my English. Discussions with them have always been very effective and productive. I gratefully convey my thanks to Dr. Ross Holland and Dr. Vaughan Crow, for their enthusiasm to help, make suggestions and provide co-ordination in the sensory evaluation work. I also wish to thank the members of the MN section at NZDRI, for their warm encouragement, friendship and assistance which made the time enjoyable and memorable during my study in NZDRI.

I also wish to thank CM section, for their great assistance and warm friendship during my study in NZDRI. I would specially like to thank Dr. P. K. Samal and Nicky White for their help, and Mr. Graham Holdaway, Mr. Gary Taekema and Mr. Steve Boleyn, for their assistance in making EMC bases and keeping them under proper storage.

I also would like to express my sincere gratitude to Mrs. Christina Coker for her valuable advice, Mr. Dave Copestake for his technical assistance in amino acid analysis, Ms. Nicola Wallace for her great help in PAGE work and Mr. Rob Crawford for his statistical technical support.

I also thank Ms. Carolyn Reeves and Ms. Roxanne Brassington, CA section, NZDRI, for their assistance in making white sauce for sensory evaluation work.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my parents for their encouragement and constant moral support during my study.

Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2. Literature Review	3
2.1. CHEESE FLAVOUR DEVELOPMENT	3
2.1.1. <i>The compounds of cheese flavour development.....</i>	3
2.1.2. <i>The role of proteolysis in cheese flavour development</i>	6
2.2. TECHNOLOGY OF ENZYME MODIFIED CHEESE.....	9
2.2.1. <i>The production of enzyme modified cheese.....</i>	9
2.2.2. <i>Uses of enzyme modified cheese</i>	10
2.2.3. <i>The proteases involved in EMC production.....</i>	11
2.2.4. <i>Physical factors affecting enzyme activities.....</i>	12
2.2.5. <i>The defects of enzyme modified cheese.....</i>	14
2.3. ASSESSMENT OF CHEESE PROTEOLYSIS.....	16
2.3.1. <i>Solubility of peptides in various solvents or precipitants.....</i>	16
2.3.2. <i>Measurement of the liberation of reactive functional groups</i>	17
2.3.3. <i>Enzyme techniques.....</i>	21
2.3.4. <i>Various forms of chromatography.....</i>	22
2.3.5. <i>Various forms of electrophoresis.....</i>	24
2.4. OVERALL DISCUSSION	25
Chapter 3. Materials and Methods.....	26
3.1. ENZYME ASSAY	26
3.1.1. <i>Materials</i>	26
3.1.2. <i>Assay Methods.....</i>	27
3.2. HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (HPLC).....	30
3.2.1. <i>Size-exclusion HPLC.....</i>	30
3.2.2. <i>Reverse phase HPLC.....</i>	31
3.3. POLYACRYLAMIDE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS (PAGE).....	32
3.3.1. <i>Materials</i>	32
3.3.2. <i>Methods</i>	32
3.4. PREPARATION OF ENZYME MODIFIED CHEESE (EMC)	34
3.4.1. <i>Manufacture of EMC base.....</i>	34
3.4.2. <i>Determination of microbial contamination of EMC cheese base.....</i>	34
3.4.3. <i>Manufacture of EMC.....</i>	35
3.4.4. <i>Chemical composition analyses.....</i>	35

3.4.5. Microbial analyses	36
---------------------------------	----

Chapter 4. Comparison of *in vitro* methods for assay of commercial proteases 38

4.1. INTRODUCTION	38
4.2. EXOPEPTIDASE ASSAY USING AMINO ACID-DERIVED PNA SUBSTRATE.....	38
4.2.1. Methods	39
4.2.2. Results and discussion	39
4.3. ENDOPEPTIDASE ASSAY USING FITC- β -CASEIN.....	40
4.3.1. Methods	40
4.3.2. Results and discussion	41
4.4. PROTEINASE KIT ASSAYS	42
4.4.1. BODIPY protease assay.....	42
4.4.2. Bioquant protease assay	43
4.5. CONCLUSION.....	46

Chapter 5. Basic characteristics of commercial proteases in buffer systems 48

5.1. INTRODUCTION	48
5.2. TEMPERATURE OPTIMUM FOR ACTIVITY OF FOUR COMMERCIAL PROTEASES	48
5.2.1. Methods	48
5.2.2. Results and discussion.....	49
5.2.3. Conclusion.....	50
5.3. THE THERMOSTABILITY OF COMMERCIAL PROTEASES	51
5.3.1. Methods	51
5.3.2. Results and discussion.....	52
5.3.3. Conclusion.....	56
5.4. THE pH OPTIMUM ACTIVITY OF COMMERCIAL PROTEASES.....	56
5.4.1. Methods	56
5.4.2. Results and discussion.....	57
5.4.3. Conclusion.....	59
5.5. RELATIVE EXOPEPTIDASE ACTIVITIES OF COMMERCIAL PROTEASES	60
5.5.1. Methods	60
5.5.2. Results and discussion.....	60
5.5.3. Conclusion.....	61
5.6. INFLUENCE OF STORAGE TIME ON PROTEASE ACTIVITY	61
5.6.1. Methods	61
5.6.2. Results and discussion.....	62
5.6.3. Conclusion.....	63

5.7. OVERALL DISCUSSION.....	64
Chapter 6. Time / temperature parameters for inactivation of commercial proteases in EMC	65
6.1. INTRODUCTION	65
6.2. METHODS	65
6.2.1. Test tube assay for residual protease activity.....	65
6.2.2. Assay for residual enzyme activity using size exclusion HPLC	66
6.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	67
6.3.1. Residual exopeptidase activity.....	67
6.3.2. Residual endopeptidase activity (using Bioquant assay kit)	67
6.3.3. Residual enzyme activity measured by size exclusion chromatography (SE-HPLC)	68
6.4. OVERALL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	71
Chapter 7. Comparison of PAGE, SE-HPLC and RP-HPLC for the determination of proteolysis in EMC	73
7.1. INTRODUCTION	73
7.2. METHODS	73
7.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	74
7.3.1 PAGE	74
7.3.2 HPLC.....	76
7.4. OVERALL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	82
Chapter 8. HPLC analysis of proteolysis in EMC by different combinations of commercial proteases.....	83
8.1. INTRODUCTION	83
8.2. PROTEASE COMBINATIONS	83
8.3. PEPTIDE ANALYSIS BY SE-HPLC.....	84
8.3.1. Method.....	84
8.3.2. Results and discussion	85
8.4. AMINO ACID ANALYSIS BY RP-HPLC.....	98
8.4.1. Methods	98
8.4.2. Results and discussion	100
8.5. CONCLUSION.....	106

Chapter 9. Sensory impact of proteolysis in EMC produced with different combinations of commercial proteases.....	107
9.1. INTRODUCTION	107
9.2. METHODS	107
9.2.1. Preparation of EMC	107
9.2.2. Preparation of white sauce	108
9.2.3. Preparation of EMC flavour samples	108
9.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	109
9.4. CONCLUSION.....	110
Chapter 10. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	111

Reference

Appendices

Appendix 3.1.	Method for FITC- β -casein preparation
Appendix 3.2.	Microbial test results of EMC base prior to incubation
Appendix 3.3.	Microbial test results after EMC incubation for 48 h at 40°C
Appendix 3.4.	Chemical composition results of EMC base
Appendix 4.1.	The results of commercial protease assays using the BODIPY kits
Appendix 6.1.	Comparison of Protease A, Protease C and Protease DE inactivation in EMC at various temperatures
Appendix 7.1.	Alkaline urea PAGE results of EMC samples
Appendix 7.2.	SDS PAGE results of EMC samples
Appendix 7.3.	Low molecular mass SDS PAGE results of EMC samples
Appendix 7.4.	Calibration curve from standard protein solution
Appendix 8.1.	The profiles of amino acid for EMC bases digested with combination proteases
Appendix 8.2.	Amino acid flavour profiles of commercial protease combinations

List of Tables

Table 2.1.	Volatile flavour compounds identified in Cheddar cheese.....	4
Table 2.2.	Volatile compounds involved in flavour of various cheeses	5
Table 2.3.	Non-volatile compounds involved in flavour of cheeses.....	6
Table 2.4.	Taste descriptors and threshold values for taste perception of amino acids	8
Table 2.5.	pH optima ranges for several important enzymes	13
Table 2.6.	Temperature optima ranges of some important enzymes	14
Table 2.7.	Chromatographic methods.....	22
Table 3.1.	The two-solvent gradient used to elute compounds from the RP-HPLC column.....	32
Table 4.1.	Assay sensitivity and activity ratios for endopeptidase and exopeptidase of four commercial proteases	46
Table 5.1.	Thermostability (half-life) of exopeptidase activities of four commercial proteases	54
Table 5.2.	Thermostability (half-life) of endopeptidase activities of four commercial proteases	56
Table 5.3.	The exopeptidase activities of commercial proteases at various pH values	57
Table 5.4.	The exopeptidase activity of Protease B in various non-chelating buffers.....	58
Table 5.5.	The endopeptidase activities of commercial proteases at various pH values	58
Table 5.6.	The endopeptidase activity of Protease B in various non-chelating buffers.....	59
Table 5.7.	The relative exopeptidase activities of the commercial proteases toward different substrates.	60
Table 5.8.	Influence of storage at 4°C on exopeptidase stability.....	62
Table 5.9.	Influence of storage at 4°C on endopeptidase stability	63
Table 6.1.	Residual endopeptidase activity in heat-treated EMC samples	67
Table 6.2.	Areas of the early eluting peaks of SE-HPLC for EMC samples made with Protease B that have been heat treated.....	70
Table 6.3.	Percentage of initial enzyme activity remaining in EMCs after inactivation treatments.....	71
Table 7.1.	The percentage of intact β -casein in four EMC digestion samples using alkaline urea gel electrophoresis	76
Table 8.1.	The ratios of paired protease combinations used for EMC digestion.....	84
Table 8.2.	Predicted profile amino acid flavours of EMC digested with Protease DE and Protease B combinations.....	105

Table 9.1. Combinations of proteases selected for generation of sensory samples 107

Table 9.2. Sensory results for EMCs made with different commercial proteases or combinations of proteases 109

List of Figures

Figure 2.1.	Formation of flavour components from protein breakdown.....	7
Figure 2.2.	Outline of scheme for enzyme modified cheese production.....	10
Figure 2.3.	The pH activity curves of different microbial proteases	13
Figure 2.4.	Reaction of (a) 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulphonic acid, (b) ninhydrin, (c) fluorescamine and (d) o-phthalaldehyde with the α -amino group of an amino acid.	20-21
Figure 2.5	Schematic representation of SE-HPLC	23
Figure 4.1.	End-point assay for Protease A exopeptidase activity	40
Figure 4.2.	Relative fluorescence vs incubation time for the assay of Protease A (0.005 g/ 100 mL) using FITC- β -casein as substrate	42
Figure 4.3.	Standard curve of Protease A activity against dehydrogenase residual activity using the Bioquant assay	44
Figure 4.4.	Standard curve of Protease B activity against dehydrogenase residual activity using the Bioquant assay	44
Figure 4.5.	Standard curve of Protease C activity against dehydrogenase residual activity using the Bioquant assay	45
Figure 4.6.	Standard curve of Protease DE activity against dehydrogenase residual activity using the Bioquant assay	45
Figure 5.1.	The exopeptidase and endopeptidase activities vs temperature for the four commercial proteases	50
Figure 5.2.	% activity vs incubation time at 80°C for exopeptidase activity in Protease A.....	52
Figure 5.3.	Log ₁₀ % activity vs incubation time at 80°C for exopeptidase activity in Protease A.....	53
Figure 5.4.	% activity vs incubation time at 55°C for exopeptidase activity in Protease A.....	55
Figure 5.5.	Log ₁₀ % activity vs incubation time at 55°C for endopeptidase activity in Protease A.....	55
Figure 6.1.	SE-HPLC chromatograms obtained for EMC made with Protease B, inactivated at various temperatures and then incubated at 4°C or 40°C for 24 h	69
Figure 7.1.	Alkaline urea PAGE separation of EMC made with Protease B.....	74
Figure 7.2.	SDS PAGE separation of EMC made with Protease B.	75
Figure 7.3.	LMM SDS PAGE separation of EMC made with Protease B.....	75
Figure 7.4.	SE-HPLC chromatograms of EMC bases digested with four commercial proteases for 4 h...	77
Figure 7.5.	RP-HPLC chromatograms of EMC bases digested with four commercial proteases for 4 h ..	78

Figure 7.6.	The molecular mass profile of compounds in EMC bases digested with Protease B for up to 24 h	80
Figure 7.7.	The molecular mass profile of compounds in EMC bases digested with Protease A for up to 24 h	80
Figure 7.8.	The molecular mass profile of compounds in EMC bases digested with Protease C for up to 24 h	81
Figure 7.9.	The molecular mass profile of compounds in EMC bases digested with Protease DE for up to 24 h	81
Figure 8.1.I.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease A + Protease X for 4 h.....	87
Figure 8.1.II.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease B + Protease X for 4 h.....	88
Figure 8.1.III.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease C + Protease X for 4 h.....	89
Figure 8.1.IV.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease DE + Protease X for 4 h	90
Figure 8.2.I.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease A + Protease X for 24 h.....	92
Figure 8.2.II.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease B + Protease X for 24 h.....	93
Figure 8.2.III.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease C + Protease X for 24 h.....	94
Figure 8.2.IV.	Molecular mass profiles of peptides from EMC bases digested with Protease DE + Protease X for 24 h	95
Figure 8.3.	Molecular mass distribution of EMC bases digested with Protease D and Protease E.....	97
Figure 8.4.	Size exclusion chromatograms of EMC digested for 24 h with Protease D and Protease E combinations.....	97
Figure 8.5.	Total amino acids in 0.01 g of EMC bases digested for 24 h with different paired-combinations of commercial proteases	101
Figure 8.6.	Amino acid analysis of EMC bases digested for 24 h with Protease A and Protease C	102
Figure 8.7.	Amino acid analysis of EMC bases digested for 24 h with Protease B and Protease DE.....	102
Figure 8.8.	Comparison of amino acid levels given by different batches of Protease B.....	103
Figure 8.9	Amino acid analysis of EMC bases digested for 24 h with Protease D and Protease E	104

Chapter 1. Introduction

Today's consumers prefer food full of flavour, especially in low fat food products. Flavour is one of the critical determinants of cheese quality. Cheese flavour is popular and used widely in the food industry. In Cheddar cheese, flavour development usually requires storage for at least 12 months to reach a characteristic full flavour. The cost of storage space and time is a major factor in the overall production cost.

Some investigators indicate that Cheddar cheese has a complicated flavour development process that results from enzymatic reactions (Moskowitz and Noelck, 1987; Fox, 1989a). Nowadays, a number of cheesemakers are using supplementary enzymes to manage Cheddar flavour development to improve targeting of cheese flavour. There are various methods for achieving the extra flavour boost during manufacturing and accelerated ripening, such as adding enzyme to the starter milk or to the curds as whey is drained. However, cheese-flavoured ingredients can be obtained by making enzyme modified cheese (EMC).

Cheese that has been treated enzymatically to improve the flavour or provide a significant portion of the flavour profile is called enzyme modified cheese (Moskowitz and Noelck, 1987). Specific enzymes are added and, as near as possible, conditions are adjusted to optimal for the production of typical cheese flavours. EMC flavour development can take only a few hours or a few days, compared with traditional aging that may require several months or more (Vafiadis, 1996). In addition, the flavour intensity of EMC is approximately 15-30 times that of natural cheese, and it is able to replace up to 75% of the naturally aged cheese used in foods (Anon, 1989). The advantages of enzyme modified cheese therefore include production of a targeted flavour ingredient, avoiding the use of naturally aged cheese with all its inherent costs and production disadvantages, and a reduction of the overall cheese solid content in food products such as low fat, health-orientated products.

Cheese flavour is derived from proteolysis, lipolysis and lactose breakdown. Proteolysis is the most complex and perhaps the most important biochemical event during natural cheese ripening (Fox, 1989a, 1993; Wilkinson, 1993; Kilcawley *et al.*,

1998). Both lipolysis and proteolysis are important in EMC manufacture. The impact of lipases in EMC has been studied (Gunaratne, 1999) and the current project focuses on the influence of proteases on EMC digestion. Proteolysis is the factor that causes softening of the texture of natural cheese during the early stages of ripening and influences cheese flavour development by the formation of amino acids and peptides. The water soluble fraction of cheese contains considerable concentrations of free amino acids that probably contribute to the background flavour of cheese and serve as substrates for various flavour generating reactions. On the other hand, an imbalance of high molecular weight peptide formation may cause bitter flavours. The production of bitterness is the main problem encountered when most commercial proteinases are used to accelerate cheese ripening. Another critical aspect of EMC technology is controlling enzyme activities. Unless they can be inactivated, enzymes may continue to break down the cheese after the desired flavour is developed and thereby produce undesirable flavour. Residual enzyme activity in EMC can also hydrolyse components of the final product in which the EMC is an ingredient.

Proteolytic enzyme activities are influenced by parameters such as incubation time, temperature and enzyme concentration. The purpose of this project is to better understand how these parameters impact on the action of four different proteolytic enzymes, namely Protease A, Protease B, Protease C and the two enzyme blend Protease DE (individually or in combination) in terms of the extent of proteolysis and flavour development of EMC cheese base. High performance liquid chromatography, especially gel filtration chromatography and reversed phase chromatography, were used to profile the molecular mass distribution of peptides and the quantities of amino acids in EMC. These profiles will serve as preliminary maps to aid ongoing efforts to achieve the targeted manipulation of EMC flavour.