

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Kiwifruit bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: The application of observational study design and epidemiological techniques to the study of disease outbreaks affecting plant health

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Veterinary Epidemiology

Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences

at Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand.

Karyn Janine Froud

2017

Abstract

Bacterial canker of kiwifruit, caused by Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae (Psa) biovar 3, was first recorded in New Zealand in November 2010 and quickly made production of the goldfleshed kiwifruit cultivar, 'Hort16A', which is highly susceptible to Psa, no longer viable in the Bay of Plenty region. Production of the green-fleshed cultivar, 'Hayward' has remained viable but there is uncertainty around its long-term productivity. This thesis investigated aspects of Psa in commercial 'Hayward' orchards using observational studies. The aims were to: 1) quantify a change in productivity associated with disease; 2) determine the prevalence of disease in orchards; 3) identify factors that altered the initial development of disease and 4) identify factors that impact on the presence of severe disease. Severe disease was defined as 5% or more female vines in a block showing the systemic symptoms of green shoot wilt and cane dieback. To determine Psa effects on productivity historical data from 2599 'Hayward' orchards were analysed. No reduction in productivity was found until 1 year after initial detection of Psa, after controlling for other orchard inputs that affect productivity. A crosssectional survey was sent to all Psa confirmed 'Hayward' orchards and 430 growers provided information about one of their 'Hayward' orchard blocks. The survey found 84% of orchard blocks were affected by disease and 57% had green shoot-wilt and/or cane dieback reported. Blocks typically had a low within block prevalence of systemic symptoms (Median = 5% of vines). In 194 orchards that were asymptomatic at the start of the study period the probability of disease developing in a block increased in association with use of Psa protectant sprays immediately post-pruning and using artificial pollination. A lower probability of disease developing was associated with undertaking summer girdling and with the presence of older male vines. The probability of developing severe disease was investigated in 331 orchard blocks that were symptomatic. The probability increased with time after Psa was first detected in a block and was highest when frost damage occurred, when poplar, cypress or pine shelter belts were present and when artificial pollination was used. The probability of severe bacterial canker was lower when spring girdling of female vines was undertaken. The results of this study can be used to prioritise future research. The thesis has also demonstrated the utility of observational studies for plant disease research.

i

Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without the assistance of the following people:

Dr Naomi Cogger from Massey University (MU) and Dr Robert Beresford from Plant and Food Research (PFR) have been exceptional supervisors of this research. They have pushed me to expand my thinking and knowledge both within veterinary epidemiology and plant pathology epidemiology. Naomi, contributed to my thinking around study design and assisted with analysis. Rob, contributed to my understanding of Psa and the plant pathology experimental and epidemiology approach. Both Naomi and Rob have significantly improved the structure, focus and succinctness of my writing.

I would also like to acknowledge Tim Carpenter and Mark Stevenson who were on my supervisory panel at Massey University, and provided support and occasionally some really important R-code in a hurry. Thanks also to Masako Wada (MU) who provided spatial maps and code, and Patrick Connolly (PFR) who assisted in sorting out my R-code for publication quality graphics.

I am very grateful to Plant and Food Research for providing me with office space and facilities and for welcoming me into the Epidemiology and Disease Management team. My colleagues Kerry Everett, Joy Tyson, Gareth Hill, Warwick Henshall, Mike Manning, Bob Fullerton, Shamini Pushparajah, Michelle Vergara and Carol Curtis have supported me, kept me amused and importantly they have answered all of my Psa and pathology questions. I would particularly like to thank Kerry, Joy and Mike for sharing their wealth of knowledge of Psa and pathology with me and providing peer review, guidance and robust scientific discussion. I would like to thank Bob for excellent feedback and review of my research.

I would also like to acknowledge my Epicentre colleagues for their assistance and support in this PhD. As a distance student, I felt very welcomed into the Epicentre and would like to thank Christine Cunningham for assistance and the other Post-graduate students of the Epicentre for their friendship.

Coming into this PhD with an entomology research and biosecurity response background laid the framework for my thinking and enabled me to translate between the plant and animal health disciplines. I would like to thank my MPI colleagues for encouraging me to pursue epidemiology, in particular Paul Bingham, Mary van Andel, Matthew Stone, Mark Bullians and

ii

the members of the MPI Incursion Investigation group. They would be pleased to hear that their goodbye gift of the 865 page Dohoo Veterinary Epidemiology is well thumbed through with notes and bookmarks protruding all over.

We would like to thank KVH for provision of Psa data and Zespri for the provision of productivity and spray data. Thank you to Kiwifruit Vine Health for Psa detection data and survey review, to Shane Max and Greg Clark (Zespri Group Ltd), Jenny Natusch and Richard Klas (kiwifruit growers) for assistance with survey development. Thanks to Tracy McCarthy, Clare Morris, Madeleine Jopling and others (Zespri Group Ltd) for administering the questionnaire, the incentive programme and data entry. The productivity project was funded by the Kiwifruit Vine Health and Zespri Psa research programme under contract number V11348. The cross-sectional grower survey project was funded by the Zespri and Kiwifruit Vine Health Psa research and development programme under contract number V11367. Approval for the research was obtained from the Massey University Research Ethics Office under a low risk notification.

Thanks to Keren Bennett and Carleen Lalande for the wine, laughter and continuing to ask "how is it going?" despite the fact that I would provide an answer.

Thanks to the Skilton and Cogger's, Grant, Naomi and Andrew and the Benschop's Jackie, Oscar, Tess, Ben and Trina, for kindly welcoming me into their homes in Whanganui and Palmerston North when I was visiting or attending block courses.

And finally, and most importantly thank you to my family. To Eddie Sides for supporting me in doing a PhD and the extra time that I was away, and for your love, help and support. Andrew, Samantha and Nathan thank you for your love and support, you are great kids. Huge thanks to Brian and Jeannette Froud and to Chris and Liz Sides for all the support and encouragement and for all the babysitting, I couldn't have done this without the help of my extended family.

Table of contents

| Abstracti |
|--|
| Acknowledgementsii |
| Table of contentsiv |
| Table of Tablesx |
| Table of Figures xiii |
| Publications arisingxv |
| 1 Introduction1 |
| 1.1 References |
| 2 Literature Review – Kiwifruit bacterial canker |
| 2.1 Introduction |
| 2.2 Kiwifruit production |
| 2.3 Worldwide distribution of Psa |
| 2.4 Distribution of Psa in the host9 |
| 2.5 Kiwifruit bacterial canker symptoms11 |
| 2.6 Dispersal of the pathogen12 |
| 2.6.1 Human-mediated spread13 |
| 2.6.2 Invertebrate associated spread15 |
| 2.7 Host susceptibility |
| 2.7.1 Leaf tissue age16 |
| 2.7.2 Vine age17 |
| 2.7.3 Cultivars |
| 2.8 Environmental risk factors |
| 2.8.1 Climatic factors |
| 2.8.2 Geographical factors19 |
| 2.8.3 Shelter |

| | 2.9 | Orcł | nard management risk factors | 20 |
|----|----------|--------|---|------|
| | 2.10 | Con | clusion | 22 |
| | 2.11 | Refe | erences | 23 |
| 3 | Lite | ratur | e Review – Observational Studies | 31 |
| | 3.1 | Intro | oduction | 32 |
| | 3.2 | Brie | f history of observational studies – in search of a common origin | 32 |
| | 3.3 | Cros | ss-over of epidemiology and statistical techniques | 37 |
| | 3.4 | Mea | asuring disease in a population | 38 |
| | 3.4. | 1 | Signs and symptoms of disease | 39 |
| | 3.4. | 2 | Plant disease severity | 39 |
| | 3.4. | 3 | Incidence | 40 |
| | 3.4. | 4 | Prevalence | 41 |
| | 3.5 | Stuc | ly types | 42 |
| | 3.5. | 1 | Randomised control trials | . 46 |
| | 3.5. | 2 | Cohort studies | 47 |
| | 3.5. | 3 | Case-control studies | . 48 |
| | 3.5. | 4 | Cross-sectional studies | 49 |
| | 3.6 | Erro | r, bias, confounding and temporality | 50 |
| | 3.6. | 1 | Selection bias | 50 |
| | 3.6. | 2 | Information bias | 51 |
| | 3.6. | 3 | Confounding | 52 |
| | 3.6. | 4 | Adjustments for multiple comparisons | 53 |
| | 3.6. | 5 | Temporality issues in observational studies | 54 |
| | 3.7 | Con | clusion | 54 |
| | 3.8 | Refe | erences | 56 |
| 4 | Kiwi | ifruit | bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: The effect of kiwifruit bacterial canker | |
| di | isease (| Pseud | domonas syringae pv. actinidiae) on 'Hayward' kiwifruit productivity | 63 |
| | 4.1 | Abst | tract | 65 |

| 4 | .2 | Intr | roduction | 66 |
|------|-------|--------|--|-----------|
| 4 | .3 | Met | thods | 67 |
| | 4.3. | 1 | Data extraction and management | 68 |
| | 4.3. | 2 | Data analysis | 69 |
| 4 | .4 | Res | sults | 72 |
| 4 | .5 | Disc | cussion | 84 |
| 4 | .6 | Ack | knowledgements | |
| 4 | .7 | Refe | ferences | 87 |
| 5 | Kiw | ifruit | t bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: Design of a quantitative question | naire for |
| kiwi | fruit | grow | vers | 91 |
| 5 | .1 | Abs | stract | 95 |
| 5 | .2 | Intro | roduction | 95 |
| | 5.2. | 1 | Development of the questionnaire | 96 |
| | 5.2. | 2 | Content of the questionnaire | |
| | 5.2. | 3 | Distribution of the questionnaire | |
| | 5.2. | 4 | Response to the questionnaire | |
| | 5.2. | 5 | Response bias | |
| | 5.2. | 6 | Item omission | |
| 5 | .3 | Con | nclusion | |
| 5 | .4 | Ack | <nowledgements< td=""><td></td></nowledgements<> | |
| 5 | .5 | Refe | ferences | |
| 6 | Kiw | ifruit | t bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: Orchardist-observed prevalence | of |
| sym | pton | ıs | | 111 |
| 6 | .1 | Abs | stract | 115 |
| 6 | .2 | Intr | roduction | 116 |
| 6 | .3 | Met | thods | |
| 6 | .4 | Res | sults | |
| 6 | .5 | Disc | cussion | |

| 6.6 | Ack | nowledgements | 120 |
|----------|---------|---|-------|
| 6.7 | Ref | erences | 120 |
| 7 Kiw | /ifruit | bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: Management practices, environm | ental |
| features | and | disease onset of Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae in 'Hayward' kiwifru | uit |
| orchards | s in N | ew Zealand | 121 |
| 7.1 | Abs | tract | 123 |
| 7.2 | Intr | oduction | 124 |
| 7.3 | Me | thods | 125 |
| 7.3 | .1 | Study design and data collection | 125 |
| 7.3 | .2 | Data analysis | 126 |
| 7.4 | Res | ults | 127 |
| 7.4 | .1 | Orchard layout — female and male vine age | 128 |
| 7.4 | .2 | Orchard layout — adjacent land use | 129 |
| 7.4 | .3 | Orchard layout — Type of shelter and orchard elevation | 130 |
| 7.4 | .4 | Vine management — type of frost protection and frost damage | 131 |
| 7.4 | .5 | Vine management — pollination system | 132 |
| 7.4 | .6 | Vine management | 133 |
| 7.4 | .7 | Disease management | 133 |
| 7.4 | .8 | Disease management — spraying | 135 |
| 7.4 | .9 | Disease status and onset | 136 |
| 7.5 | Disc | cussion | 140 |
| 7.5 | .1 | Typical 'Hayward' orchard blocks | 140 |
| 7.5 | .2 | Frequency of potential Psa risk factors | 141 |
| 7.5 | .3 | Uptake of Psa management recommendations | 143 |
| 7.5 | .4 | Disease onset and prevalence | 144 |
| 7.6 | Ack | nowledgements | 145 |
| 7.7 | Ref | erences | 146 |

| 8 | Kiwi | fruit | bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: Risk factors for the developn | nent of | |
|-----|-----------------------|--------|---|---------|--|
| dis | disease in a block151 | | | | |
| ٤ | 3.1 | Abst | ract | | |
| 8 | 3.2 | Intro | oduction | 154 | |
| ٤ | 3.3 | Met | hods | | |
| | 8.3.2 | L | Study design | | |
| | 8.3.2 | 2 | Inclusion criteria for analysis | 156 | |
| | 8.3.3 | 3 | Classification of outcome variable | 157 | |
| | 8.3.4 | 1 | Classification of exposure variables | | |
| | 8.3.5 | 5 | Data analysis | | |
| 8 | 3.4 | Resu | ılts | | |
| ٤ | 8.5 | Disc | ussion | | |
| | 8.5.2 | L | Artificial pollination | | |
| | 8.5.2 | 2 | Practice of routinely spraying blocks immediately after pruning | 169 | |
| | 8.5.3 | 3 | Presence of old male vines | | |
| | 8.5.4 | 1 | Summer girdling | | |
| | 8.5.5 | 5 | Regional effects | | |
| 8 | 8.6 | Cond | clusion | 172 | |
| ٤ | 3.7 | Ackr | nowledgements | 172 | |
| ٤ | 8.8 | Refe | erences | | |
| 9 | Kiwi | fruit | bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: Risk factors associated with s | evere | |
| syn | nptom | s of c | disease in a block | | |
| ç | 9.1 | Abst | ract | | |
| ç | 9.2 | Intro | oduction | | |
| ç | 9.3 | Met | hods | | |
| | 9.3.2 | L | Study design | | |
| | 9.3.2 | 2 | Inclusion criteria for analysis | | |
| | 9.3.3 | 3 | Classification of outcome variable | | |

| 9.3.4 | | 4 | Classification of key exposure variables | 183 |
|-------|--------|-------|---|-----|
| 9.3.5 | | 5 | Data analysis | 184 |
| | 9.4 | Res | ults | 187 |
| | 9.5 | Disc | sussion | 192 |
| | 9.6 | Ack | nowledgements | 196 |
| | 9.7 | Refe | erences | 196 |
| 1 | 0 0 | iener | al Discussion | 202 |
| | 10.1 | Cha | nge in 'Hayward' productivity associated with Psa | 204 |
| | 10.2 | Que | stionnaire | 205 |
| | 10.3 | Sym | ptoms associated with Psa in commercial orchards | 207 |
| | 10.4 | Orcl | hard management practices in commercial orchards | 208 |
| | 10.5 | Pote | ential risk factors for disease development and presence of severe bacteria | I |
| | canke | r 208 | | |
| | 10. | 5.1 | Artificial pollination | 209 |
| | 10. | 5.2 | Protective sprays | 210 |
| | 10. | 5.3 | Period infected with Psa | 210 |
| | 10. | 5.4 | Frost damage | 211 |
| | 10. | 5.5 | Girdling | 211 |
| | 10. | 5.6 | Regional effects | 212 |
| | 10.6 | Futu | ure industry research needs for Psa | 212 |
| | 10.7 | Арр | lication of observational studies in plant health | 213 |
| | 10.8 | Con | cluding statement | 214 |
| | 10.9 | Refe | erences | 215 |
| A | ppendi | x 1 | - Questionnaire cover letter | 236 |
| A | ppendi | x 2 | - Questionnaire | 240 |

Table of Tables

Table 4-1 Classification of agrichemical and bio-fungicide active ingredients applied to 'Hayward' kiwifruit for Psa control during the 2012 growing season. The classification was Table 4-2 Descriptive statistics for continuous variables considered as confounders in the relationship between time since Psa was detected and 2012 productivity. Data are from 2599 'Hayward' kiwifruit orchards......74 Table 4-3 Results of simple linear regression analyses describing the relationship between orchard layout and production factors and productivity in 2012, measured in tray equivalents per hectare (te/ha). Data from 2599 separate orchards with 'Hayward' kiwifruit......75 Table 4-4 Results of simple linear regression analyses describing the relationship between agrichemical spray factors and productivity in 2012, measured in tray equivalents per hectare (te/ha). Data from 2599 separate orchards with 'Hayward' kiwifruit......77 Table 4-5 Results of multiple linear regression describing the relationship between time since Psa was first detected (weeks) and 2012 productivity (tray equivalents per hectare; te/ha) while controlling for confounders. Data were from 2599 orchards with 'Hayward' kiwifruit. The model has an adjusted R² of 0.49 and 2567 degrees of freedom......79 Table 6-1 Percentage of randomly selected 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks with various symptoms attributed to Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae (Psa-V) that were reported for the period March 2012 and February 2013 (n=430).....119 Table 7-1 Topics covered in the mail-out questionnaire used to collect information from 'Hayward' (Actinidia chinensis var. deliciosa) blocks located in orchards affected by Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae biovar 3 (Psa)125 Table 7-2 Number and percentage of respondents by region out of 430 'Hayward' orchards Table 7-3 Description of the land use immediately adjacent to 430 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks, along with adjacent kiwifruit cultivars on the same orchard or neighbouring orchards. Each Table 7-4 Shelter belt types adjacent to 430 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks. Each block could have multiple types of adjacent shelter species......131 Table 7-5 Severity of frost damage observed by growers in spring 2012, and a description of Table 7-6 Methods of pollination used for 430 selected 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks during the 2011/12 or the 2012/13 flowering period (October) and a description of the source and application method for artificial pollination users in 2011/12 (n=85) and 2012/13 (n=153). . 132 Table 7-7 Disease hygiene measures used routinely for pruning equipment used by 430 'Hayward' kiwifruit growers with Psa infected orchards. Growers could select all that applied.

Table 7-8 Management of kiwifruit vine pruning material for normal vine management (n=430 orchard blocks) and also for blocks (n=321) that reported Psa infected shoots, canes, leaders or vines between March 2012 and February 2013. Growers could select all answers that were Table 7-9 Application of protective sprays to manage Psa risk during pruning for 427 'Hayward' Table 7-10 Description of Psa protective spray variables for 430 'Hayward' orchard blocks. Growers could select all that applied. 136 Table 7-11 Number of 'Hayward' blocks in which a symptom was observed out of 430 'Hayward' orchards from Psa infected regions as of 1 January 2013, along with the percentiles of male or female vines showing the specific symptom within the blocks where the symptom Table 8-1 Univariate association between management, vine and environment related variables, and risk of development of bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks. Data were from 194 valid respondents to a mail-out survey of 430^a 'Hayward' blocks that were in orchard Table 8-2 Results of a multivariable logistic regression model describing the relationship between kiwifruit bacterial canker symptoms in an orchard block and a range of exposure variables. Region was included in the model to account for spatial clustering. Data were from 194 growers who were disease free selected from respondents to a mail-out survey of 430^a 'Hayward' blocks that were in orchards classified as infected with Psa or located in Te Puke.166 Table 9-1 Univariate association between disease period, frost and orchard-related factors and risk of 5% or more female vines showing severe symptoms of kiwifruit bacterial canker in a 'Hayward' block. Data were from 331 growers who had disease in their blocks, selected from respondents to a mail-out survey of 430 'Hayward' blocks that were in orchards classified as

Table 9-2 Univariate association between vine and disease management-related factors and risk of 5% or more female vines showing severe symptoms of kiwifruit bacterial canker in a 'Hayward' block. Data were from 331 growers who had disease in their blocks, selected from

infected with Psa......189

Table of Figures

| Figure 3-1 Evidence pyramid showing the different study types and the quality of evidence | |
|---|--|
| they provide. Modified from Holmes (2007) and Sargeant et al. (2014) | |
| Figure 4-1. Map of New Zealand kiwifruit growing regions and kiwifruit orchard locations in | |
| 2012 | |
| Figure 4-2. Histogram of 'Hayward' productivity in tray equivalents per hectare (te/ha) for the | |
| 2011/2012 growing season | |
| Figure 4-3 The relationship between 2012 productivity and time that Psa was first detected. | |
| The red line is a Lowess smoothing line fitted to the data and the grey hatched line shows the | |
| mean predicted 2012 productivity from the model | |
| Figure 4-4 Predicted change in 'Hayward' kiwifruit productivity in relation to the time since Psa | |
| was first detected on an orchard from a multivariable linear regression model constructed with | |
| data from 2599 orchards with 'Hayward' kiwifruit. Grey line shows the mean predicted 2012 | |
| productivity from the model. Internal ticks on the x-axis show the spread of the modelled data. | |
| | |
| Figure 4-5 Predicted values for 2012 productivity with upper and lower confidence intervals | |
| fitted from a multivariable linear regression model against 2011 productivity for low elevation | |
| (\leq 80m) and for high elevation orchards (>80m) showing the interaction between these two | |
| exposure variables. Internal ticks on the x-axes show the spread of the modelled data. Model | |
| was constructed with data from 2599 orchards with 'Hayward' kiwifruit | |
| Figure 5-1 Postulated causal diagram of factors that could increase or decrease the risk of | |
| kiwifruit bacterial canker symptoms in 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks and how these factors may | |
| be associated with each other or with potentially confounding variables | |
| Figure 5-2 Sampling plan showing selection of a sampling frame and the eligibility criteria for | |
| inclusion in the study | |
| Figure 7-1 Age of female vines in 'Hayward' kiwifruit orchards compared with age of male | |
| vines | |
| Figure 7-2 Period of time (years) during which growers (n=194/430) have regularly used their | |
| own spray equipment in their selected 'Hayward' block as of 2013 (years = 0). The dashed line | |
| indicates the first official detection of Psa in New Zealand in 2010 (3 years prior to the survey). | |
| | |

Figure 7-3 The grower estimated date of the first appearance of kiwifruit bacterial canker symptoms in their selected 'Hayward' blocks......140 Figure 8-1 Sampling plan showing selection of a sampling frame and the inclusion criteria for the study of factors affecting development of bacterial canker in orchard blocks of 'Hayward' Figure 8-2 Boxplots of the variability in orchard elevation above sea level within each main kiwifruit growing region included in the study of factors affecting development of bacterial canker in orchard blocks of 'Hayward' kiwifruit.....165 Figure 8-3 The predicted probability that, within a Psa infected kiwifruit orchard, a kiwifruit block that was non-symptomatic on 1 March 2012 would develop symptoms of kiwifruit bacterial canker within the study period ending on 28 February 2013. The probability of Psa being detected is equivalent to the reference line for the Katikati region across the male vine age range. Risk factors above this line (i.e. used artificial pollination and routinely use post pruning sprays) increase the risk of symptoms developing and factors below the line (summer Figure 9-1 Sampling plan showing selection of a sampling frame and the eligibility criteria for inclusion in the study......187 Figure 9-2 Plot of the average marginal predicted probability across all regions, that is, the average change in probability of severe kiwifruit bacterial canker (shoot wilt or dieback on 5% or more female vines) in a 'Hayward' block after adjusting for all other factors, across the months the orchard has shown Psa symptoms. The average probability of severe symptoms being detected is equivalent to the reference line for no frost with an increased probability of severe symptoms in blocks that reported frost damage. Shaded areas around the lines show

Publications arising

- Froud, K., Cogger, N., 2015a. Impact of bacterial canker of kiwifruit (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*) on 'Hayward' kiwifruit productivity In: Vanneste, J. (Ed.) Proceedings of the first international symposium on bacterial canker of kiwifruit. pp. 41-43.
- Froud, K., Cogger, N., 2015b. Use of observational study designs and multivariable analysis in plant protection, In: Beresford, R., Froud, K., Worner, S.P., Kean, J. (Eds.) The plant protection data toolbox: On beyond t, F and X. Caxton, Christchurch, pp. 113-120.
- Froud, K., Cogger, N., Beresford, R., 2014. The relationship between kiwifruit bacterial canker disease (Psa-V (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*)) and kiwifruit productivity. New Zealand Plant Protection 67, 34-40.
- Froud, K., Cogger, N., Beresford, R., 2015a. Two case studies using observational study designs and multivariable analysis investigating kiwifruit bacterial blight in New Zealand, In: Beresford, R., Froud, K., Worner, S.P., Kean, J. (Eds.) The plant protection data toolbox: On beyond t, F and X. Caxton, Christchurch, pp. 121-137.
- Froud, K., Cogger, N., Beresford, R., 2016. Kiwifruit bacterial canker in 'Hayward' kiwifruit: Design of a quantitative questionnaire for kiwifruit growers. New Zealand Plant Protection 69, 30-38. (Chapter 5)
- Froud, K., Cogger, N., Beresford, R., Clark, G., 2015b. Orchardist-observed prevalence of symptoms of kiwifruit bacterial canker disease in 'Hayward' kiwifruit blocks in New Zealand. Acta Horticulturae: Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Bacterial Canker of Kiwifruit. 1095, 45-48. (Chapter 6)
- Froud, K., Everett, K., Tyson, J., Beresford, R., Cogger, N., 2015c. Review of the risk factors associated with kiwifruit bacterial canker caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. New Zealand Plant Protection 68, 313-327. (Chapter 2)