

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Milk Production and Survival of Spring-calving Carryover Cows in New Zealand Dairy Herds

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Animal Science

at Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand



Rachel Gardner

2017

Abstract

Non-pregnant cows are generally culled from dairy herds and replaced with two-yearold heifers. Alternatively, non-pregnant cows can be dried-off at the end of lactation, retained for one year (carried over), before being mated and returned to a milking herd in the following year. In this study, calving interval was used as a tool to identify and define the carryover cow population in spring-calving dairy herds. Linear modelling methods were used to compare carryover cow milk production with that of heifers, lactation-matched and age-matched non-carryover cows. Lastly, the survival for second-lactation carryover cows was compared with that of two-year-old heifers and lactation-matched non-carryover cows. Results showed that annually, 2.5% of springcalving cows had returned to a milking herd after a carryover period in the previous year. Of those carryover cows, 43% returned to a milking herd at four years old, after failing to conceive in their first lactation. Most (69%) dairy herds contained less than 5% carryover cows and 17% of dairy herds comprised of zero carryover cows. The difference between the proportion of Holstein-Friesian in the carryover cow and noncarryover cow group was minimal (2%) but statistically greater (P<0.01) for the carryover cow group. Estimated breeding values (EBVs) for milk traits (milk yield, fat yield, protein yield and somatic cell count) were greater (P<0.01), but fertility EBVs were lower (P<0.01) for the carryover cow group in the year when they failed to conceive, compared to those for the non-carryover cow group. These were reflected in greater (P<0.01) selection indices (Breeding Worth and Production Worth) for carryover cows. After the carryover period, EBVs for milk traits and fertility decreased, and Breeding Worth was lower (P<0.01) for the carryover cow group, compared to the non-carryover cow group. Carryover cow milk yield, fat yield, protein yield and somatic cell score was greater (P<0.01) than those for heifers, lactation-matched and agematched non-carryover cows in their first carryover year. This milk production advantage was maintained for up to three carryover years, if the carryover cow maintained an annual calving pattern, but at a decreasing rate. The probability of survival (days) was lower (P<0.01) for second-lactation carryover cows when compared to heifers and lactation-matched non-carryover cows. These findings are important for

the New Zealand dairy industry as they can aid on-farm culling (removal from the herd) decisions.

Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to thank Lorna McNaughton for developing the original carryover cow topic idea. The three months spent working on this topic as a summer internship student at Livestock Improvement Corporation (LIC) gave me a head start on my Masters. Over that summer period you warmly welcomed me as a part of the research and development group at LIC. The connections and friendships made during this time are invaluable. Your continued support, topic development ideas and feedback throughout my Master's degree is greatly appreciated.

To my Massey supervisors, Penny Back and Nicolas Lopez-Villalobos, I am very thankful for the time you have spent supporting me and refining my project. Penny, you always provided an interesting off-topic story, followed by some superb suggestions in red pen to improve my thesis. Nicolas, I appreciate the time you spent teaching me how to use SAS, how to manage large datasets, and that linear modelling is not as scary as it looks. I am sure that the data analysis and writing skills you have both taught me will be beneficial for my future career.

Katie Eketone, I am grateful for your patience during the numerous hours spent communicating between Lorna and myself, and for completing the data extraction process. The project would have not been possible without your help.

Financial assistance from LIC's Patrick Shannon scholarship, Massey University, Helen E Akers Postgraduate scholarship and C Alma Baker Trust is greatly appreciated. The study would not have been possible without this financial support.

To my friends and flatmates, thank you for always making time to support me, while having a blast throughout our time in Palmy. To my gypsy parents, you provided great holiday locations on the yacht and always reassured me that I can reach my goals I have set. And lastly, Hamish, thank you for providing continual support, for being a great weekend adventure buddy and for your acceptance of my strict 8am start time (it's just about to get a whole lot earlier!). I look forward to the new adventures and challenges upon us.

Table of contents

Abstract		i
Acknowledge	ments	iii
Table of conte	ents	V
Table of figure	es	ix
List of tables		xi
List of Abbrev	iations	xiii
Chapter 1 Ger	neral Introduction	1
1.1 Intro	oduction	3
Chapter 2 Lite	rature Review	7
2.1 Intro	oduction	9
2.2 The	New Zealand dairy industry	9
2.3 Seas	sonal calving pattern	12
2.4 Non-	-pregnant cow fates	13
2.5 Carr	yover cow impact	15
2.6 Dair	y cow performance	17
2.6.1	Breeding values and selection indices	17
2.6.2	Milk production and milk quality	20
2.6.2.1	Carryover cow milk production	21
2.6.3	Reproduction and survival	23
2.6.3.1	Reproductive performance measures	23
2.6.3.2	Survival	25
2.6.3.3	Reproductive performance and survival of carryover cows	27
2.7 Factor	ors affecting dairy cow performance	28
2.7.1	Body condition score and energy balance	28
2.7.1.1	Milk production	28
2.7.1.2	Reproduction and fertility	29
2.7.2	Breed and genetics	31
2.7.3	Lactation number and age	33
2.7.4	Animal health	
2.7.4.1	Mastitis	34
2.7.4.2	Lameness	35
2.7.4.3	Uterine and follicular health	36
2.7.5	Farm management practices	

2.7.5.1 Mating management	37
2.7.5.2 Planned start calving and dry-off dates	38
2.8 Conclusion	38
2.8.1 Thesis objectives	39
Chapter 3 Carryover cow population	41
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Materials and Methods	43
3.2.1 Data extraction	43
3.2.2 Carryover cow definition	44
3.2.3 Data editing	45
3.2.3.1 Breed	45
3.2.3.2 Spring-calving definition	45
3.2.3.3 Extended non-lactating period	46
3.2.3.4 Age and lactation number	46
3.2.4 Statistical analysis	47
3.2.4.1 The percentage of carryover cows by year, age and per herd	47
3.2.4.2 The percentage of carryover cows in high and low performing he	erds 48
3.2.4.3 Proportion Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and coefficient heterosis for and non-carryover cow groups	carryover 49
3.2.4.4 Estimated breeding values and selection indices for carryover an carryover groups	ıd non- 50
3.3 Results	51
3.3.1 The percentage of carryover cows in spring-calving dairy herds	51
3.3.2 Carryover over cow breed, estimated breeding values and selection	n indices 54
3.3.2.1 Breed proportions	54
3.3.2.2 Breeding values and selection indices	55
3.4 Discussion	59
Chapter 4 Carryover cow milk production	66
4.1 Introduction	68
4.2 Materials and Methods	68
4.2.1 Data	68
4.2.1.1 Carryover cow milk production in year one	69
4.2.1.2 Carryover cow milk production in first, second and third carryover	er year 70
4.2.2 Statistical analysis	71
4.2.2.1 Milk production model for carryover year one	71

	4	4.2.2.2	2 Milk production model for first, second and third carryover years	71
	4.3	Res	ults	71
	4.3	8.1	Milk production in carryover year one	71
	4.3	3.2	Milk production for first, second and third carryover year	74
	4.4	Disc	cussion	79
0	Chapte	r 5 Ca	rryover cow survival	84
	5.1	Intr	oduction	86
	5.2	Ma	terials and Methods	87
	5.2	2.1	Data	87
	!	5.2.1.	1 Second-lactation carryover cow and heifer comparison	87
	:	5.2.1.2 compa	2 Second-lactation carryover cow and second-lactation non-carryover co	ow 88
	5.2	2.2	Statistical analysis	88
	5.3	Res	ults	89
	5.3	8.1	Survival of second-lactation carryover cows and heifers	90
	5.3 cov	3.2 ws	Survival of second-lactation carryover cows and second-lactation non-ca	rryover 92
	5.4	Disc	cussion	102
C	Chapter	r 6 Ge	neral Discussion and Conclusion	108
	6.1	Intr	oduction	110
	6.2	Ma	in findings	110
	6.2	2.1	Carryover cow population	110
	6.2	2.2	Carryover cow milk production	112
	6.2	2.3	Carryover cow survival	113
	6.3	Lim	itations	115
	6.3	8.1	Data	115
	6.3	3.2	Methods	116
	6.4	Res	earch implications	116
	6.5	Fur	ther study	117
	6.6	Cor	clusion	118
F	Referen	ice Lis	t	120
A	Append	lices		130
	Appendix A: Data exclusion process for Dataset 1 (section 3.2.2 and 3.2.3)			130
	Appe	ndix E	B: Data exclusion process for Dataset 2 (section 3.2.4.2)	132
	Appe	ndix (C: Data exclusion process for Dataset 3 (section 4.2.1)	133

Appendix D: Data exclusion process for Dataset 4a and 4b (section 5.2.1, 5.2.1.1 and 5.2.1.2)

Table of figures

Figure 2.1 The percentage of Holstein-Friesian x Jersey, Holstein-Friesian, Jersey, Ayrshire, and		
other cows in the New Zealand dairy industry10		
Figure 2.2 The average kilograms of milksolids produced per cow and per effective hectare		
between the 1992 and 2015 season11		
Figure 2.3 The parturition, lactation, mating and pregnancy diagnosis events for carryover (CO)		
cows and non-carryover (NCO) cows		
Figure 2.4 The average reliability percentage and contribution of individual, ancestry, and		
progeny records to the Breeding Worth of heifers and cows up to their fifth lactation 19		
Figure 2.5 The common reproductive measurements used on New Zealand dairy farms 24		
Figure 3.1 The distribution of calving interval (days) records in Dataset 1. Non-carryover		
lactation records have calving intervals between 270 days and 546 days. Carryover		
lactation records have calving intervals between 548 days and 913 days		
Figure 3.2 The percentage of carryover cows in Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Holstein-Friesian x		
Jersey herds that were in the upper quartile (UQ) and lower quartile (LQ) for milk		
production. $***$ Denotes a significant (P<0.01) difference between the UQ and LQ		
group54		
Figure 3.3 The Estimated Breeding Values for (a) milk yield, (b) fat yield, (c) protein yield, (d)		
somatic cell count (SCC), (e) fertility, as well as, the (f) Production Worth and (g) Breeding		
Worth for carryover (CO) cows that returned to a milking herd in lactation two (2013) and		
for their previous lactation (2011) and for non-carryover (NCO) cows in the same lactation		
and year		
Figure 3.4 The Estimated Breeding Values for (a) milk yield, (b) fat yield, (c) protein yield, (d)		

- Figure 4.2 Four-year-old carryover cow (CO) milk production ((a) milk yield (L), b) fat yield (kg),c) protein yield, d) somatic cell score (SCS)) for carryover year one (1), two (2) and three

(3), compared to non-carryover cows (NCO) of the same age. All comparisons between CO
and NCO groups were significantly (P<0.01) different77
Figure 5.1 The distribution of survival (days) for carryover and non-carryover cows after their
second parturition date (Day 0)
Figure 5.2 The probability of survival for carryover (CO) cows after their second parturition
date (day 0) and non-carryover heifers (NCO – heifer) after their first parturition date (day
0)
Figure 5.3 The probability of survival for carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) cows after
their second parturition date (day 0)
Figure 5.4 The probability of survival for Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Holstein-Friesian x Jersey
cows after their second parturition date (day 0)94
Figure 5.5 The probability of survival for cows that calved in July, August, September and
October for their second parturition date96
Figure 5.6 The probability of survival for Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Holstein-Friesian x Jersey
carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) cows after their second parturition date (day 0).
Figure 5.7 The probability of survival for July, August, September and October calving
carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) cows after their second parturition date (day 0).

List of tables

Table 2.1 The meaning, use and cow traits that contribute to Breeding Worth (BW), Production
Worth (PW) and Lactation Worth (LW)
Table 2.2 The average daily milksolids (ADMS), expressed as kilograms of milksolids (kg MS),
produced by carryover cows (CO) and mixed age (greater than three years old), three-
year-old and two-year-old non-carryover (NCO) cows in early-, mid- and late-lactation 22
Table 2.3 The annual milksolid (kg MS) production advantage for carryover cow groups versus
non-carryover cow groups in first, second, third and fourth carryover year
Table 2.4 The average survival percentage of cows that are between two and nine years old for
the past ten years
Table 2.5 The non-pregnant rate for carryover (CO) cow Group 1, CO Group 2, mixed-age non-
carryover (NCO) cows, three-year-old NCO cows and two-year-old NCO cows
Table 2.6 The annual milk yield (MY), fat yield (FY), and protein yield (PY) produced by
Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Holstein-Friesian x Jersey dairy cows in New Zealand
Table 3.1 The average age at parturition (AP) (years) and age at parturition rounded (APR)
(years) for lactation 1 to 12 47
Table 3.2 The number of carryover (CO) cows and the number of non-carryover (NCO) cows for
each breed category in Dataset 148
Table 3.3 The milk production limits (milk yield (MY), fat yield (FY) and protein yield (PY)) used
to categorise the upper quartile (UQ) and lower quartile (LQ) herds for milk production. 49
Table 3.4 The total number of breed records for carryover (CO) cows and non-carryover (NCO)
cows that were used to determine the average proportion of Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and
coefficient of heterosis
Table 3.5 The number of lactation records for carryover cows (CO) that returned to a milking
herd in lactation two and three (2013), and for their previous lactation (2011), as well as
the number of non-carryover (NCO) comparisons
Table 3.6 The total number of non-carryover (NCO) cows and carryover (CO) cows and the
percentage of spring-calving carryover cows in Dataset 1 between 2008 and 2015 53
Table 3.7 The average proportion Holstein-Friesian, proportion Jersey and heterosis coefficient
(Holstein-Friesian x Jersey) for carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) groups
Table 4.1 The total number of carryover (CO) cow and non-carryover (NCO) cow lactation
records that were analysed in the milk production comparison between heifers and
second-lactation carryover cows, as well as all lactation-matched (two, three and four)
and age-matched (four, five and six) carryover and non-carryover groups

Table 4.2 The least squares means for milk yield (MY), fat yield (FY), protein yield (PY) and		
somatic cell score (SCS) for carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) cows that returned to		
a milking herd in their second, third and fourth lactation		
Table 4.3 The least squares means for milk yield (MY), fat yield (FY), protein yield (PY) and		
somatic cell score (SCS) for carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) cows that returned to		
a milking herd when they were four, five and six years old		
Table 5.1 The percentage of second-lactation carryover (CO) cows and heifers (NCO heifer)		
cows that survived to a specific time (days) and the 95% confidence interval		
Table 5.2 The percentage of carryover (CO) and non-carryover (NCO) cows that survived to a		
specific time (days), after their second lactation, and the 95% confidence interval		
Table 5.3 The percentage of Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Holstein-Friesian x Jersey cows that		
survived to a specific time (days) and the 95% confidence interval		
Table 5.4 The percentage of July, August, September and October calving cows that survived		
to a specific time (days) and the 95% confidence interval		
Table 5.5 The percentage of Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Holstein-Friesian x Jersey carryover		
(CO) and non-carryover cows (NCO) that survived to a specific time (days) and the 95%		
confidence interval		
Table 5.6 The percentage of July, August, September and October calving carryover (CO) and		
non-carryover (NCO) cows that survived to a specific time (days) and the 95% confidence		
interval		

List of Abbreviations

- BCS = Body condition score BW = Breeding Worth CIDR = Controlled internal drug release CO = Carryover EBV = Estimated Breeding Value FY = Fat yield LIC = Livestock Improvement Corporation LW = Lactation Worth MY = Milk yield NCO = Non-carryover NZAEL = New Zealand Animal Evaluation Limited PW = Production Worth PY = Protein yield SAS = Statistical Analysis System
- SCC = Somatic cell count
- SCS = Somatic cell score