

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The effect of fructose and maltodextrin vs  
glucose and maltodextrin formulated sports  
beverages on mountain-bike race performance

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of

Master of Science  
in  
Sport and Exercise Science

at Massey University, Wellington,  
New Zealand.

Marilla Swift

2007

## Abstract

**Background:** Exogenous carbohydrate improves performance during prolonged high-intensity exercise. When ingested together, fructose and glucose polymers are oxidised at rates 1.5-1.7 higher than isocaloric glucose solutions. As fructose and glucose are transported across the intestine via different mechanisms, the capacity for exogenous-carbohydrate absorption is greater with composite carbohydrate mixtures. Therefore, since the effect of ingesting multi-transportable carbohydrate on field-based performance has to our knowledge not been investigated, we examined their effect on mountain bike race performance. Finishing time was expected to be substantially reduced when multi-transportable carbohydrates were ingested.

**Method:** Ten; male (7) and female (3), mountain bikers aged  $32.9 \pm 8.7$  years, weighing  $68.8 \pm 9.4$  kg and training for at least 8 hours per week and racing regularly participated in a double-blind crossover study. Following a standardised training and diet regimen cyclists completed two Olympic-distance (target winning time of 2h 15m), cross-country mountain bike races during which they ingested either a 11.25% maltodextrin and fructose solution (MF) or an isocaloric, equi-volumetric, isosmotic control solution containing maltodextrin and glucose (MG). Performance times, ratings of perceived exertion, gastrointestinal discomfort and measurements of hydration status were recorded and compared. Data was analysed using appropriate mixed models in SAS.

**Results:** Cyclists were 1.8% (2mins 31s) faster in MF compared to MG (90% confidence interval:  $\pm 1.8\%$ ; 72% likelihood of a substantial benefit). The effect solution composition on the increase in time from the first the final lap (fatigue) was 9.7% ( $\pm 2.8\%$ ) in MF and 10.7% ( $\pm 2.8\%$ ) in MG; which corresponded to a 0.9% reduction ( $\pm 3.5\%$ ; unclear) in the fatigue in MF. Abdominal cramps were reduced by 8.1% in MF relative to MG ( $\pm 6.6\%$ ; likely benefit) and for every 1% change in abdominal cramp rating, lap time increased by 0.14% ( $\pm 0.10\%$ ). There we no clear effects of MF on ratings of perceived exertion and hydration status compared with MG.

**Conclusion:** Cross-country mountain bike race performance was substantially enhanced following ingestion of a maltodextrin and fructose solution. This outcome was related to reduced gastro-intestinal distress supporting the theory that solutions containing multiple-transportable carbohydrates increase the availability of carbohydrate for metabolism. Further investigation with a larger sample size is recommended to establish whether the performance effect is genuinely beneficial or trivial.

## Acknowledgments

Firstly thanks to all the participants; those who attempted to take part in the first attempt despite the storm and those who managed to resist the desire to see Elton John in concert in order to participate in the spring re-run, I could not have completed the study without you. I am especially grateful in the light of the gruelling course we gave you. Thanks – not, Elton for stealing at least a quarter of those originally signed up for the races. I must also thank my supervisor David Rowlands who put so much time and effort into making this project happen and for foregoing a prize despite being the overall winner of the two races. A big thanks also to Annette for letting me stay with you and Dave and allowing me to monopolise David's time whilst I was there, you are very patient. To all those who helped out during the races and especially Rhys Thorp and Megan Thorburn who helped me gather and create all the resources needed for running the races, I am very grateful. Thanks to Marco Renalli, from the PNP cycle club and Stu Bailey from WCC for all their help and advice in respect to the logistics of organising safe mountain bike events, I wouldn't have had too much of an idea of where to start in respect to all the details without your help. Thanks to the PNP club itself for the use of all the trailer, signs and equipment and to Wellington thieves for not stealing any of it when we left it overnight on Glenmore Street (twice).

Finally to all my friends who have listened to me talk about this and put up with the many "I must get it written up before the baby comes" comments, I appreciate the support. Thanks to my lovely husband Graeme for putting up with all the folders and paperwork constantly sprawled across the dining room table and for saying the right things at the right time whilst I was stressing about it all, I love you lots. I must also give thanks to God that He answered my prayers in giving us the two planned Wednesday evenings, 7 days apart with identical, very non-Wellington weather, perfect temperatures and believe it or not no wind – a true miracle (we won't mention the initial controlled study) and for ensuring that my baby didn't come before I'd finished writing it all up.

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Literature Review</b> .....	<b>4</b>
1. Mountain Bike Racing .....	4
1.1 Physiological demands of cross-country mountain bike racing .....	5
1.2. Nutritional demands of mountain bike racing .....	8
1.3. Reliability of mountain bike racing performance.....	9
2. Exogenous Carbohydrate Ingestion and Exercise .....	9
2.1. The effect of exogenous-carbohydrate ingestion on exercise capacity and performance .....	9
2.2. Forms of carbohydrate .....	11
2.3. Oxidation rates of different types of carbohydrate .....	15
2.3.1. Glucose .....	15
2.3.2. Maltose .....	16
2.3.3. Fructose .....	16
2.3.4. Galactose .....	17
2.3.5. Sucrose .....	18
2.3.6. Glucose polymers – maltodextrins .....	19
2.3.7. Starch .....	20
2.4. Multi-transportable carbohydrates .....	21
2.4.1. Mechanisms by which multi-transportable carbohydrates increase exogenous-carbohydrate oxidation rates .....	25
2.4.1.1. Glucose kinetics .....	25
2.4.1.2. Fructose kinetics .....	26
2.4.1.3. Multi-transportable carbohydrate kinetics .....	26
2.5. Osmolality and concentration .....	27
2.6. Physiological mechanisms by which carbohydrate affects	

endurance exercise .....	30
2.6.1. Maintenance of blood glucose .....	31
2.6.2. Sparing of muscle glycogen .....	32
2.6.2.1. Running .....	33
2.6.2.2. Intermittent exercise .....	35
2.6.3. Sparing of liver glycogen .....	37
2.6.4. Sparing of liver and muscle glycogen .....	39
2.6.5. Glycogen resynthesis during exercise .....	40
2.7. Effect of carbohydrate ingestion on CNS .....	41
2.7.1. Serotonin synthesis .....	41
2.7.2. Maintenance of cerebral glucose uptake .....	42
2.7.3. Depleted brain glycogen .....	43
2.7.4. Hyperammonemia .....	44
3. Hydration, Fluid Intake and Endurance Performance .....	45
3.1. Measurement of hydration status .....	46
3.2. Effect of hypohydration on exercise capacity and performance .....	47
3.3. Physiological effects of hypohydration .....	48
3.3.1. Impaired thermoregulation .....	48
3.3.2. Impaired cardiovascular function .....	50
3.4. Fluid replacement during prolonged exercise .....	51
3.4.1. Composition of replacement drinks .....	51
3.4.1.1 Carbohydrate content of fluid replacement drinks .....	51
3.4.1.2. Salt and electrolyte content .....	53
3.4.2. Fluid quantity .....	53
<b>Methods .....</b>	<b>56</b>
Study Design .....	56
Participants .....	56
Study protocol .....	57
Exercise protocol .....	58
Experimental drinks .....	59
Measurements .....	60

RPE and GI Scales .....	60
Hydration status .....	61
Race timing .....	61
Statistical Analysis .....	62
Sample size .....	62
Statistical models .....	62
<b>Results .....</b>	<b>66</b>
Performance .....	66
Race completion time .....	66
Lap time .....	66
Mechanisms Analysis .....	67
Ratings of Perceived Exertion and Gastrointestinal Discomfort ...	68
Measurements of Hydration Status .....	69
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Controlled Study Methods .....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Race Course .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Information Sheet .....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Appendix 4: General Health Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Appendix 5: Participant Consent Form .....</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Training Diary .....</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Appendix 7: 48 Hour Diet Record .....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Appendix 8: Instructions for Prescribed Meals .....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Appendix 9: Original and Modified Borg CR10 Perception Scales .....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Appendix 10: Effect of Race Order on Ratings of Perceived Exertion                     and Gastro-intestinal Discomfort and Measurements                     of Hydration Status .....</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Appendix 11: Sample Size Calculations .....</b>	<b>113</b>



## List of Figures

Figure 1.	Study design and control of lead-in diet and training .....	57
Figure 2.	The effect of drink composition on lap time .....	67
Figure 3.	The relationship between ratings of abdominal cramp and changes in lap time .....	68
Figure 4.	The perceptual response to drink composition .....	69
Figure 5.	The relationship between urine specific gravity and urine osmolality .....	70
Figure 6.	The relationship between urine specific gravity and urine colour .....	72
Figure 7.	The relationship between urine osmolality and urine colour	72
Figure A1.	Study design – controlled model .....	94

## List of Tables

Table 1.	The effect of carbohydrate ingestion during exercise on performance .....	12
Table 2.	The effect of carbohydrate ingestion during exercise on exercise capacity .....	13
Table 3.	The analytical composition of the test drinks .....	60
Table 4.	The mean effect of ingesting maltodextrin and fructose over maltodextrin and glucose on ratings of perceived exertion and gastro-intestinal discomfort .....	70
Table 5.	Measurements of hydration status taken pre- and post-race, the percentage change between post- and pre-race values and the effect of maltodextrin and glucose and maltodextrin and fructose ingestion on these changes.....	71
Table A1.	The mean effect of race order on ratings of perceived exertion and gastro-intestinal discomfort .....	112
Table A2.	The mean effect of race order on hydration measurements of hydration status .....	112

## Introduction

Fluid and carbohydrate both independently and together improve exercise performance (Below, et al., 1995). According to Williams, (1989), exercise performance refers to the time taken to perform a set task or distance, whereas exercise capacity refers to the time taken to exercise to exhaustion at a constant intensity. A lack of fluid intake during exercises causes dehydration which may impair thermoregulation (Fortney, et al., 1981; Nadel, et al., 1980; Sawka, et al., 1985), cardiovascular function (Hamilton, et al., 1991; Montain and Coyle, 1992b; Walsh, et al., 1994) and exercise performance (Armstrong, et al., 1985; Barr, et al., 1991; Walsh, et al., 1994); however, when fluid is ingested these impairments can be attenuated (Barr, et al., 1991; Below, et al., 1995; Hamilton, et al., 1991; Maughan, et al., 1996).

Under normal post-absorptive metabolic conditions, exercise at a high intensity relies heavily on endogenous-carbohydrate stores (Gollnick, 1985; Saltin and Karlsson, 1971). As muscle glycogen is depleted, more glucose is extracted from the blood (Gollnick, et al., 1981) and there is an increase in hepatic-glucose output to maintain blood-glucose concentrations (Astrand and Rodahl, 1986). Depleted muscle- and liver-glycogen and an inability to maintain blood glucose are considered to be primary causes of fatigue under these circumstances (Coggan and Coyle, 1987; Coyle, et al., 1986; Coyle, et al., 1983). Ingestion of carbohydrate during prolonged exercise, however, can delay fatigue and improve both endurance capacity (Coggan and Coyle, 1987; Coyle, et al., 1986; Coyle, et al., 1983; Fielding, et al., 1985; Hargreaves, et al., 1984; Maughan, et al., 1989; Mitchell, et al., 1989; Tsintzas, et al., 1996b; Yaspelkis, et al., 1993) and the performance of constant-work tasks (Tsintzas, et al., 1995b) by reducing endogenous-carbohydrate oxidation (Bosch, et al., 1996; Coyle, et al., 1983; Hargreaves, et al., 1984; Jeukendrup, et al., 2006; Jeukendrup, et al., 1999b; McConnell, et al., 1994; Nicholas, et al., 1999; Palmer, et al., 1999; Tsintzas, et al., 1995a, 1996a; Yaspelkis, et al., 1993). Carbohydrate ingestion may also improve performance by reducing central fatigue (Dalsgaard, et al., 2002; Davis, et al., 1992; Nybo, et al., 2005; Nybo, et al., 2003; Snow, et al., 2000). The peak oxidation rates of different types of

exogenous carbohydrate vary with the highest rates of  $\sim 1.0 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  (Jeukendrup, et al., 1999b; Rehrer, et al., 1992b; Wagenmakers, et al., 1993) observed following ingestion of glucose or glucose polymers at a rate of  $1.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  or greater (Hawley, et al., 1992a; Jentjens, et al., 2004c; Jentjens, et al., 2004a; Jentjens, et al., 2004b; Jeukendrup, et al., 1999b; Wagenmakers, et al., 1993; Wallis, et al., 2005). Fructose is oxidised at lower rates than glucose (Adopo, et al., 1994; Guezzenec, et al., 1989; Massicotte, et al., 1986; Massicotte, et al., 1989) when ingested alone. However, fructose absorption appears to be facilitated when it is co-ingested with glucose (Adopo, et al., 1994; Jentjens, et al., 2004c; Jentjens and Jeukendrup, 2005; Jentjens, et al., 2004a; Jentjens, et al., 2004b; Shi, et al., 1997; Shi, et al., 1995; Wallis, et al., 2005). Exogenous-carbohydrate oxidation rates are 40-65% higher following co-ingestion of glucose or maltodextrin and fructose at rates of  $1.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  and  $0.6\text{-}1.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  respectively, relative to an isocaloric quantity of glucose or maltodextrin (Jentjens and Jeukendrup, 2005; Wallis, et al., 2005). However, ingestion of large quantities of carbohydrates without increasing fluid intake increases the solution concentration and osmolality which may reduce fluid uptake (Brouns and Kovacs, 1997; Rehrer, 1994) and increase the likelihood of gastro-intestinal discomfort (Tsintzas, et al., 1995b; Wagenmakers, et al., 1993).

Most studies into the performance effects of carbohydrate supplementation during prolonged endurance exercise have been completed in the laboratory when the athlete has fasted for a prolonged period of time (Jeukendrup, 2004) giving them initially depleted liver-glycogen stores. These conditions do not accurately represent the demands and preparation surrounding competition. Furthermore, to my knowledge no research has looked at the affect of co-ingestion of fructose and glucose-based carbohydrate at high rates on performance. Therefore, the first aim of this study is to investigate the effect of ingesting maltodextrin and fructose at high rates during prolonged, high-intensity exercise performance in the field under normal competition conditions compared to an equicaloric maltodextrin and glucose solution. Performance will be evaluated during an Olympic-distance, cross-country mountain bike race which due to its intermittent and high-intensity nature (Impellizzeri, et al., 2002;

Lee, et al., 2002; Stapelfeldt, et al., 2004) likely relies on carbohydrate as the predominant fuel source (Saltin and Karlsson, 1971). Carbohydrates will be ingested at rates similar to those used previously in laboratory studies to attain high exogenous-carbohydrate oxidation rates (Jentjens, et al., 2004c; Jentjens and Jeukendrup, 2005; Jentjens, et al., 2004a; Jentjens, et al., 2004b; Wallis, et al., 2005) and mixed with a quantity of fluid that meets normal recommended rates of fluid ingestion for prolonged exercise (Noakes, 1995; Speedy, et al., 2000). An appropriate quantity of salt will also be included to aid in the stimulation of thirst (Astrand and Rodahl, 1986) and increase both fluid (Wemple, et al., 1997) and carbohydrate (Leiper, 1998; Olsen and Ingelfinger, 1968) uptake. A second aim will be to examine any effect of the different drink compositions on ratings of perceived exertion and gastro-intestinal discomfort. Thirdly, we will investigate whether ingestion of these drink formulations affects dehydration by recording measurements of hydration status (urinary indices and change in body mass). Urine colour has been shown to correlate to more accurate urinary indices of hydration status (Armstrong, et al., 1994) and can be used to monitor hydration in the field to help prevent dehydration. Therefore the final aim is to compare the validity of measurements of urine colour, urine specific gravity and urine osmolarity with those of a previous benchmark study (Armstrong, et al., 1994).

We expect to observe a reduction in performance time and less symptoms of gastro-intestinal discomfort with ingestion of the maltodextrin and fructose drink compared to the maltodextrin and glucose drink. Additionally, we do not expect the cyclists to become unusually dehydrated.