Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Enumeration, Identification and Characterisation of Methanogens Colonising Pre-Ruminant Calves

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

at Massey University,

Palmerston North, New Zealand

Paul Nicolas Evans 2007

Abstract

Methane-producing archaea, methanogens, in ruminant animals are a major source of anthropogenic methane. With a global warming potential 23 times greater than carbon dioxide, methane has been targeted for reduction under the Kyoto protocol. In New Zealand methane emissions from ruminant animals are major contributor to the national greenhouse gas inventory. For this reason agricultural industries are challenged with reducing methane emissions from ruminants. This investigation on methanogens in young dairy calves was carried out to obtain information on methanogen colonisation and establishment in the rumen because little is known about this process.

In this study, methanogen colonisation occurred within two days after birth in four calves that were raised in the absence of cows. Anaerobic culture techniques were used to enumerate methanogens in gut samples and showed that methanogen numbers increased over time, but dropped below detection limits in two of four calves between six and 11 days after birth. Methanogens in these two calves then reappeared at day 13. By three weeks of age methanogen densities in all four calves were approximately 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹. These densities are similar to those found by other workers for 3-week old and mature ruminants. Colonies picked from anaerobic agar roll-tubes prepared from enumeration cultures yielded 31 methanogenic isolates and 28 isolates that utilised hydrogen but did not produce methane. Eleven of the 31 methanogenic isolates were selected for purification. Despite extensive efforts only four methanogens were able to be purified from the eleven isolates because of persistent non-methanogenic eubacteria also present in cultures.

A phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences from purified and partially-purified methanogen isolates was carried out and dendograms constructed to identify methanogens. Some phenotypic characteristics of purified methanogens were determined. This revealed a number of methanogen species previously not found in the rumen. The results showed *Methanofollis liminatans* (three isolates), *Methanoculleus palmolei* (three isolates) or *Methanosarcina barkeri* (one isolate) were the predominant culturable methanogens colonising the rumen two days after birth. The three isolates identified as

M. liminatans were only 96.0% identical at the 16S rRNA gene level to the M. liminatans type strain, DSM 4140, and appear to be new a methanogen species. In gut samples collected 3-5 days after birth, Methanobacterium bryantii (three isolates) was found to be a predominant methanogen in some calves apparently replacing the first methanogens colonising the developing rumen. Twenty two days after birth Methanobrevibacter thaueri (one isolate) was identified as a predominant methanogen in one calf. These results are the first to suggest that there is a successional change in the methanogen populations as the rumen develops in young ruminants.

Consideration of the colonising species showed that *Mcl. palmolei* were obtained from only two calves (calves 10 and 12) and that *Mfl. liminatans*-like isolates were obtained only from a different cohort of calves penned separately to calves 10 and 12. These methanogens, previously found only in terrestrial or aquatic environments, are probably the primary colonising methanogens because there were no mature ruminants to provide alternative inocula. It appears that the developing rumen of young calves provides a niche suitable for opportunistic hydrogenotrophic methanogens.

A PCR investigation using targeted primers specific for seven groupings of methanogens was carried out on all rumen samples to obtain information not dependant on culturing. This analysis on DNA extracts showed methanogens belonging to the *Methanobacteriales* were present in almost every sample. Methanogens belonging to the *Methanosarcinales* and *Methanomicrobiales* were not detected in any sample. At the end of the trial (22 days), PCR analysis showed the presence of *Methanobacterium* spp. and *Methanobrevibacter* spp. in all four calves. Although there were some disagreements with results for isolates cultured, overall, PCR results confirmed the concept of successional changes in methanogen populations in pre-ruminant calves.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincerest thanks to my supervisors, Dr. Keith Joblin for the original concept of this project and for challenging me at every step with his extensive knowledge of the rumen. And, Dr Mark Patchett, for all the ideas that were put forward during the writing of my thesis to give the document an overall well rounded appearance.

I am also extremely grateful to Graham Naylor for being the fountain of knowledge that he is, and for being able to describe to me some of his many intellectual and practical experiences with science. To Dr. Ron Ronimus for searching out all the small details that everybody else missed, and bringing them to my attention during editing of this thesis. A special thanks also goes to Patrice Evitt and Sam Noel for all the conversations, both intellectual and absurd, that we had.

To my family, who I did not see for long periods of time during my studies for their love, support and encouragement during all the times when I needed them to do so.

Finally, to Dr. Katia Nones for keeping me on the straight and narrow, for being there to discuss all things that are science, but being able to distract me from science when I was grumpy. It was needed.

This work was made possible due to funding from AgResearch train-up fund, the Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium, and the Frank Sydenham memorial scholarship.

Table of Contents

1	Run	nen (Colonisation by Methanogens	1
	1.1	Run	ninants as Production Animals	1
	1.2	The	Rumen	2
	1.3	Run	nen Microbial Activity	3
	1.3.	1	Carbohydrate Breakdown	5
	1.3.2		Protein Breakdown	5
	1.3.3		Lipid Breakdown	5
	1.3.	4	Hydrogen and Carbon Dioxide Production	6
	1.4	Met	hanogens	7
	1.5	Run	ninal Methanogens	9
	1.6	Met	hanogen Anaerobic Culturing and Molecular Techniques	11
	1.7	Mic	robial Colonisation of the Rumen	12
	1.8	Rese	earch Aims	14
2	Esta	ablisi	hment and Enumeration of Methanogens	15
	2.1	Intro	oduction	15
	2.2	Met	hods	16
	2.2.	1	Methanogen Colonisation Experiment	16
	2.2	2	Methanogen Culture Conditions	17
	2.2	3	Assessment of Methanogen Growth	18
	2.3	Resi	ults	19
	2.3.	1	Calf Trial	. 19
	2.3	2	Methanogen Culture Enumerations	21
	2.4	Disc	cussion	. 27
3	Isol	ation	of Methanogens from Rumen Contents	. 32
	3.1	Intro	oduction	. 32
	3.2	Met	hods	. 33
	3.2.	1	Media	. 33
	3.2.	2	Roll Tube Isolations	. 34
	3.2.	3	Microscopy	. 35
	3 3	Res	ults	36

	3.4	Disc	cussion	46
4	Ider	ntifica	ation of Methanogens Colonising Calf Rumens	48
	4.1	Intro	oduction	48
	4.2	Met	hods	49
	4.2.	1	Media	49
	4.2.2	2	Microbial Strains	49
	4.2.3	3	Methanogen Substrate Test	50
	4.2.4	4	DNA Extraction and Precipitation	50
	4.2.5	5	Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	51
	4.2.0	5	PCR Amplification	51
	4.2.7	7	Methanogen-Specific PCR Primers	51
	4.2.8	8	Identification of Methanogens from 16S rRNA Gene Sequence	52
	4.2.9	9	Sequence Alignment	53
	4.2.	10	Sequence Identification and Phylogenetic Placement of Isolates	54
	4.2.	11	Electron Microscopy	54
	4.2.	12	Gram Staining	54
	4.3	Resi	ults	55
	4.3.	1	Phenotypic Characteristics of Purified Methanogen Isolates	55
	4.3.2	2	Identification of Methanogen Isolates by 16S rDNA Analysis	59
	4.3	3	Methanogen Phylogeny	64
	4.3.4	4	Diversity of Methanogens in the Calf Rumen	71
	4.4	Disc	cussion	76
5	Disc	cussi	ion and Future Research	82
6	App	endi	ix A- Media Components	90
	6.1	Vita	amin Solution Composition/ 1.0 L	90
	6.2	Salt	Solution A Composition/ 2.0 L	91
	6.3	Salt	Solution 2B Composition/ 2.0 L	91
	6.4	Ana	nerobic Salts Solution Composition/ 1.0 L	91
	6.5	Ant	ibiotic (P/S) Stock Solution Composition/ 0.1 L	92
	6.6	Ant	ibiotic Stock Solution Composition/ 0.01 L	93
	6.7	Na ₂	S-9H ₂ O Stock Solution Composition/ 0.1 L	93

	6.8	Trace Elements Solution (Balch's) Composition/ 1.0 L	. 94
	6.9	Substrates for Methanogen Growth	. 94
	6.10	50% Dimethylsulphoxide Solution/ 1.0 L	. 95
	6.11	10x TAE Buffer Solution/ 1.0 L	. 95
7	App	endix B- Measurements and Raw Data	. 96
	7.1	pH of Rumen Samples Collected from Newborn Calves	. 96
	7.2	Characteristics of Colony Picks from Enumeration Tubes	. 96
	7.3	16S rDNA Sequences Obtained from Methanogen Isolates	. 99
	7.4	Similarity Matrices of 16S rDNA Sequences from Methanogen Isolates	103
8	Ref	erences	106

Abbreviations

A.M. ante meridiem UV ultraviolet

BLAST Basic Local Alignment Search Tool V Volt

°C degrees Celsius v/vvolume per volume

cells ml-1 cells per millilitre weight per volume W/V

DMSO dimethylsulphoxide

deoxyribonucleic acid DNA

kilobase

gram g

kb

mg

kPa kilopascal

L litre

litre⁻¹ per litre

M molar

milligram mg ml⁻¹ milligrams per millilitre

ml millilitre millimetre mm

mV millivolt

nanomet re nm

pmol picomoles

PCR polymerase chain reaction

P.M. post meridiem

RNA ribonucleic acid

rDNA ribosomal deoxyribonucleic acid

rRNA ribosomal ribonucleic acid

rpm revolutions per minute

second

SEM Scanning Electron Microscope

microgram μg

μg ml⁻¹ micrograms per millilitre

microlitre μl

List of Figures

Figure 1: Cross Section of the Major Rumen Components	2
Figure 2: Starch, Plant Cell Wall Polymers and Protein Metabolism in the Rumen	4
Figure 3: Phylogeny of the Five Methanogen Orders	8
Figure 4: Timeline from Birth to First Sampling of Calves	16
Figure 5: Relative Birth and Housing Timeline of Newborn Friesian Heifer Calves	20
Figure 6: Methanogen Colonisation of Combined Data for Calves	23
Figure 7: Individual Methanogen Colonisation Patterns of Newborn Calves	. 24
Figure 8: Methanogen Colonisation of Calves 13/16 and 14/15	. 25
Figure 9: Densities of Hydrogenotrophic Microbes in Calves 14 and 15	. 26
Figure 10: Comparison of Methanogen Colonisation of Calves and Lambs	. 29
Figure 11: Calf Trial Period Overlaid with Methanogen Isolates	. 38
Figure 12: Gram Stains of Methanogen Isolates	. 55
Figure 13: Gram stains of isolate 44	. 56
Figure 14: Epifluorescence of Methanogen Isolates	. 57
Figure 15: SEM of Methanogen Isolates	. 58
Figure 16: Phylogeny of Isolates 44, 49 and 53	. 65
Figure 17: Phylogeny of Isolate 74.	. 66
Figure 18: Phylogeny of Isolates 10, 20 and 22.	. 67
Figure 19: Phylogeny of Isolates 25 and 28.	. 68
Figure 20: Phylogeny of Isolate 48.	. 69
Figure 21: Phylogeny of Isolate 110.	. 70
Figure 22: Validation of Methanogen Positive and Negative Samples by PCR	. 75
Figure 23: Timeline of Methanogens Isolated and Purified from the Rumens of Calves	84
Figure 24: Timelines of Methanogen Detection in Young Calves.	. 86

List of Tables

Table 1: Population Density of Methanogens in Young Calves
Table 2: Selected Methanogen Isolates
Table 3: Attempted Antibiotic Selection of Methanogens
Table 4: Attempted Antibiotic Selection of Methanogens with Ampicillin
Table 5: Antibiotic Selection of Methanogens over Non-Methanogens
Table 6: Agar Roll Tube Series from Rumen Fluid Media
Table 7: Morphologies of Re-Picked Colonies of Isolate 74
Table 8: Agar Roll Tube Series from MET Media
Table 9: Verification of Methanogen Isolate 74 Purity
Table 10: Sources of DNA used in PCR Studies as Controls
Table 11: Targeted PCR Primers for Methanogen DNA Amplification
Table 12: Methanogen 16S rRNA gene Sequencing Primers. 53
Table 13: Substrate Utilisation by Isolates. Growth on RF medium
Table 14: Substrate Utilisation by Isolates. Growth on Medium I
Table 15: BLAST Results of Sequenced Methanogen 16S/Genes. 61
Table 16: PCR Results of Original and New DNA Extractions
Table 17: BLAST Results of Methanogen 16S rDNA
Table 18: PCR Detection of Methanogens in the rumens of calves: Days 2 – 7
Table 19: PCR Detection of Methanogens in the rumens of calves: Days $9-2273$
Table 20: Antibiotic Concentrations
Table 21: pH of Rumen Samples Collected from Newborn Calves
Table 22: Colony Picks from Methanogen Enumeration Tubes
Table 23: Similarity Matrix of 16S rDNA Sequences including Isolates 44, 49 and 53 103
Table 24: Similarity Matrix of 16S rDNA Sequences including Isolate 74 103
Table 25: Similarity Matrix of 16S rDNA Sequences including Isolates 10, 20 and 22 104
Table 26: Similarity Matrix of 16S rDNA Sequences including Isolates 25 and 28 104
Table 27: Similarity Matrix of 16S rDNA Sequences including Isolate 48 105
Table 28: Similarity Matrix of 16S rDNA Sequences including Isolate 110