

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Molecular epidemiological studies of *Campylobacter* isolated from  
different sources in New Zealand between 2005 and 2015**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

at Massey University, Manawatu,  
New Zealand.

Antoine Nohra

May 2017



## **Abstract**

Campylobacteriosis is one of the most important food-borne diseases worldwide, and a significant health burden in New Zealand. *C. jejuni* is the predominant species worldwide, accounting for approximately 90% of human cases, followed by *C. coli*.

The first study evaluated whether the time elapsing from sampling to culture has an impact on the recovery rate of *Campylobacter*, and explored whether some sequence types are more likely than others to be missed due to delayed culture. The study revealed that, whereas delayed culture may affect the recovery rate of *Campylobacter*, there was no evidence of a bias due to specific sequence types being under detected.

The second study aimed to analyse the differences in the *Campylobacter* viable counts and in population genetic structure between chicken drumsticks and whole carcass meat for retail sale. The results indicate that the *Campylobacter* population genetic structure did not differ between the two types of retail chicken meat. However, the difference in *Campylobacter* viable counts suggest that consumption of different chicken meat products may pose different risks of campylobacteriosis associated with an exposure to different infection doses.

In the third study, we genotyped *C. coli* isolates collected from different sources between 2005 and 2014, to study their population structure and estimate the contribution of each source to the burden of human *C. coli* disease. Modelling indicated ruminants and poultry as the main sources of *C. coli* infection.

The fourth study aimed to genotype *C. jejuni* isolates collected between 2005 and 2015 from different sources, to assess changes in the molecular epidemiology of *C. jejuni* following the food safety interventions implemented by the New Zealand poultry industry in 2007/2008. Modelling indicated that chicken meat from ‘Supplier A’ was

the main source of *C. jejuni* human infection before the interventions; but after the interventions, ruminants became the main source of infection, followed by chicken meat from Supplier A.

This thesis has made us aware of the aetiology of *C. coli* infections and the change in the attribution of *C. jejuni* infections. These findings should be used in developing further strategies to reduce the total burden of human campylobacteriosis.

## **Acknowledgement**

I would like to take this opportunity to thank God for all His blessings that He has given me during my time of study. Studying in New Zealand was one of the most memorable experiences in my life. I would like to thank both the New Zealand government for granting me the visa and the New Zealand people for their hospitality and kindness, helping me feel comfortable and welcome in making my studies more pleasant, less stressed and at ease.

Academically speaking, I would like to thank my primary supervisor Dr Alex Grinberg who taught me many things during these years. Thank you for your precious time, effort and the support you showed me during my study period. Thank you for your kindness and encouragement during some difficult times.

I was privileged to have a panel of kind co-supervisors who provided mentorship and shared their knowledge to complete my thesis. I would like to start off by thanking Prof Nigel French for your time and commitment which led me to focus and continue on with my studies in the right direction. Without your continuous support and expertise my experience would not have been the same. It was an honor to have the opportunity to work with you and learn from your wide library of knowledge. To Dr Julie Collins-Emerson, I would like to thank you for your research experience that you shared and for your valuable comments and your quick comments on my thesis chapters. To Dr Anne C. Midwinter, I appreciate all your support and care that you kindly disclosed to me through my time of study. Your patience, reassurance and attention while listening to my problems, giving me advice and encouragement made me feel inspired, less stressed and focused to continue on in my studies. Your valuable comments, immediate corrections and wisdom all played a great part to my thesis. Lynn Rogers and Rukhshana Akhter at "EpiLab, which I would also like to acknowledge for their

technical support and Dr Jonathan Marshall for his time and assistance in the statistical analysis. Moreover, I am grateful to the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences for funding couple of research projects and conference travel. Thank you to the New Zealand Ministry of Primary Industry because the isolates examined in this study were collected as part of the campylobacteriosis surveillance program funded by them.

I would like to thank my parents extensively for their support throughout all my academic years. Coming to New Zealand from Lebanon without a scholarship would not have been accomplished without their love, financial help and support. To my father, Salim, I am very thankful for all your hard work and efforts that you have generously bestowed towards me especially at this point of time in your life. Your financial contribution allowed me to have a decent life and I am forever grateful. To my Mother, Maud, thank you for all the love, care, and sacrifices that you continually offered me, including the simple daily skype calls with you that gave me determination to keep moving forward. Thank you to both my sisters, Zeina and Samar, for their enthusiasm, support, and praise, always being there for me when I needed them. To Diane, my best friend in Sydney, my life is brighter with you in it. I would like to thank you for your help in relieving the stress of loneliness through our daily talks and laughs. Last but not least, I would like to thank George my Godfather who is like a father figure, big brother and best friend to me. Thank you for all your love, advice, sacrifices and financial support that you provided me. I am therefore fortunate to have you in my life.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my little nephews Michael, George and Charbel as well as my niece, Maud, who are growing up so quickly during my time away. Seeing your smile once every year powered me with energy and determination to finish my PhD.

## List of presentation and publication

- Molecular epidemiology of *Campylobacter coli* isolated from different sources in New Zealand between 2005 and 2014. Poster presented at the 18th International Workshop of *Campylobacter, Helicobacter* & Related Organisms (CHRO), Rotorua, New Zealand, 1-5 November 2015.
- **Nohra A, Grinberg A, Midwinter AC, Marshall JC, Collins-Emerson JM, French NP.** 2016. Molecular epidemiology of *Campylobacter coli* isolated from different sources in New Zealand between 2005 and 2014. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 82: 4363-4370.



## Nomenclature

BA	Blood agar
BB	Bolton Broth
BPW	Buffered peptone water
CC	Clonal complex
cfu	colony forming unit
CrI	Credible interval
DALY	Disability Adjusted Life Years
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ESR	Environmental Science and Research Ltd.
GBS	Guillain-Barré syndrome
HL	Heat-labile
HS	Heat-stable
IID	Infectious intestinal disease
IVABS	Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences
mCCDA	modified Cefoperazone Charcoal Deoxycholate agar
MCL	Maximum composite likelihood
<sup>m</sup> EpiLab	Molecular Epidemiology and Public Health laboratory
ML	Maximum likelihood
MLST	Multi locus sequence typing
MU	Massey University
NMDS	Non-metric multidimensional scaling
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction

PERMANOVA	Permutational multivariate analysis of variance
PFGE	Pulsed field gel electrophoresis
PSI	Proportional similarity index
spp.	Species
ST	Sequence type
WHO	World Health Organisation

## **Thesis structure and format**

This thesis is composed of six chapters covering a literature review, four research-based chapters and a final discussion. Raw data are presented in Appendices. Some repetition between chapters was inevitable due to the style of the thesis presentation, especially in the materials and methods sections. These repetitions allow each chapter to be read in isolation.

### **Chapter one**

This chapter is a general overview covering the main concepts and overviews the influential literature addressed in the thesis. It discusses the molecular epidemiological studies of human campylobacteriosis in New Zealand and other countries and summarises the studies done in this PhD projects.

### **Chapter two**

This chapter compares direct versus delayed culture for *Campylobacter* in human faeces, titled: “**Detection and recovery rate of *Campylobacter* from faecal swabs: Direct vs delayed culture**”

### **Chapter three**

This chapter compares two types of chicken retail meat (whole carcasses versus drumsticks) collected from different suppliers, titled: “**Abundance and multilocus genotypes of *Campylobacter* species isolated from chicken drumsticks and whole carcasses obtained from different suppliers in the retail chain**”.

## **Chapter four**

This chapter titled “**Molecular epidemiology of *Campylobacter coli* isolated from different sources in New Zealand between 2005 and 2014**” formed the basis of a paper published in Applied and Environmental Microbiology:

**Nohra A, Grinberg A, Midwinter AC, Marshall JC, Collins-Emerson JM, French NP.** 2016. Molecular epidemiology of *Campylobacter coli* isolated from different sources in New Zealand between 2005 and 2014. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 82: 4363-4370

## **Chapter five**

This chapter compares the source attribution of *C. jejuni*-associated campylobacteriosis cases before versus after intervention, titled: “**Changes in the molecular epidemiology of *Campylobacter jejuni* following food safety interventions by the poultry industry**”.

## **Chapter six**

This chapter summarises and discusses the significance of the results of the previous studies.

**Appendices:** The raw data and supplementary materials of each chapter are presented in this study.

**Bibliography:** The literature cited is presented in the format required by Applied and Environmental Microbiology journal.

# Table of Contents

<b>1. General overview</b> .....	1
1.1 <i>Campylobacter</i> .....	1
1.2 Campylobacteriosis.....	3
1.3 Reported incidence rate of campylobacteriosis cases around the world.....	4
1.3.1 North and Central America.....	4
1.3.2 South America.....	5
1.3.3 Europe.....	5
1.3.4 Asia and Middle East.....	6
1.3.5 Africa.....	7
1.3.6 Oceania.....	7
1.4 Manawatu sentinel surveillance site.....	8
1.5 Typing methods for <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.....	9
1.5.1 Phenotyping.....	9
1.5.2 Genotyping.....	10
1.6 Source attribution of campylobacteriosis.....	12
1.7 Methods and analytical tools used in campylobacteriosis molecular epidemiological source attribution studies.....	14
1.7.1 The proportional similarity index.....	14
1.7.2 Minimum spanning trees.....	15
1.7.3 The asymmetric island model.....	15
1.7.4 Bayesian hierarchical model.....	16
1.8 Poultry meat production chain and <i>Campylobacter</i> .....	16
1.9 Aims of this PhD project.....	18
<b>2. Detection and recovery rate of <i>Campylobacter</i> from faecal swabs: Direct vs delayed culture</b> .....	21
2.1 Abstract.....	21
2.2 Introduction.....	22
2.3 Materials and methods.....	24
2.3.1 Study population.....	24
2.3.2 Samples analysed.....	24
2.3.3 Bacterial culture and identification.....	24

2.3.4	Sequence typing.....	26
2.3.5	Data analysis.....	27
2.3.6	Minimum spanning tree.....	28
2.3.7	PERMANOVA.....	28
2.4	Results.....	29
2.5	Discussion.....	34
2.6	Acknowledgements.....	37
<b>3.</b>	<b>Abundance and multilocus genotypes of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated from chicken drumsticks and whole carcasses obtained from different suppliers in the retail chain.....</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1	Abstract.....	38
3.2	Introduction.....	39
3.3	Materials and methods.....	40
3.3.1	Sampling for <i>Campylobacter</i> isolation.....	40
3.3.2	<i>Campylobacter</i> isolation and identification.....	41
3.3.3	Sequence typing.....	43
3.3.4	Genetic relatedness.....	44
3.3.5	Minimum Spanning Tree.....	45
3.3.6	Proportional Similarity Index.....	45
3.3.7	Colony counts on chicken samples.....	45
3.3.8	Analysis of <i>Campylobacter</i> colony counts.....	46
3.4	Results.....	47
3.4.1	Multilocus sequence typing.....	48
3.4.2	Genetic relatedness.....	52
3.4.3	Minimum Spanning Tree.....	56
3.4.4	Proportional similarity index.....	58
3.4.5	<i>Campylobacter</i> count data analysis.....	58
3.5	Discussion.....	61
3.6	Acknowledgements.....	64
<b>4.</b>	<b>Molecular epidemiology of <i>Campylobacter coli</i> isolated from different sources in New Zealand between 2005 and 2014.....</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1	Abstract.....	65
4.2	Introduction.....	66

4.3	Materials and methods.....	68
4.3.1	Study population.....	68
4.3.2	<i>Campylobacter</i> isolates.....	68
4.3.3	Bacterial culture and identification.....	69
4.3.4	Sequence typing.....	71
4.3.5	Minimum Spanning Tree.....	72
4.3.6	Molecular phylogenetic analysis.....	73
4.3.7	Genetic relatedness.....	73
4.3.8	<i>C. coli</i> source attribution.....	74
4.4	Results.....	74
4.4.1	Proportion of <i>C. coli</i> in ELISA-positive faecal specimens.....	74
4.4.2	Sequence Types.....	75
4.4.3	Minimum Spanning Tree.....	75
4.4.4	Molecular phylogenetic analysis.....	77
4.4.5	Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance.....	78
4.4.6	Source attribution for human infections.....	80
4.4.7	Diversity index and rarefaction curve.....	81
4.5	Discussion.....	82
4.6	Acknowledgements.....	86
<b>5.</b>	<b>Changes in the molecular epidemiology of <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> following food safety interventions by the New Zealand poultry industry.....</b>	<b>87</b>
5.1	Abstract.....	87
5.2	Introduction.....	88
5.3	Materials and methods.....	90
5.3.1	Collection of human clinical <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates and identification of <i>C. jejuni</i> from humans and other sources.....	90
5.3.2	Collection of chicken meat samples.....	92
5.3.3	<i>Campylobacter</i> colony counts on chicken samples.....	92
5.3.4	Analysis of <i>Campylobacter</i> colony counts.....	93
5.3.5	Collection of samples from ruminants, wild birds and water.....	93
5.3.6	Multilocus sequence typing.....	94
5.3.7	Analysis of data.....	95
5.4	Results.....	96

5.4.1	Proportion of <i>C. jejuni</i> isolates among the different sources.....	96
5.4.2	Analysis of bacterial counts.....	98
5.4.3	Analysis of sequence types.....	100
5.4.4	Diversity index and rarefaction curves.....	100
5.4.5	<i>C. jejuni</i> population structure and differentiation between sources.....	102
5.4.6	Source attribution for human infections.....	105
5.5	Discussion.....	107
5.6	Acknowledgements.....	111
<b>6.</b>	<b>General Discussion.....</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>Appendix.....</b>	<b>119</b>
7.1	7.1 Chapter 3 supplementary information.....	119
7.2	7.2 Chapter 4 supplementary information.....	121
7.3	7.3 Chapter 5 supplementary information.....	124
<b>8.</b>	<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>138</b>



## List of tables

<b>Table 2.1:</b> A 2×2 table for diagnostic test performance showing the true positive (TP), false positive (FP), true negative (TN) and false negative (FN).....	28
<b>Table 2.2:</b> Comparison of outcomes: direct vs delay culture, direct culture vs ELISA method and delay culture vs ELISA method.....	29
<b>Table 2.3:</b> CC assignment with ST profile recovered from samples that were negative in delayed culture but positive in direct culture.....	31
<b>Table 2.4:</b> CC assignment with ST profile recovered from samples that were negative by ELISA but positive in direct culture.....	32
<b>Table 3.1:</b> Overview of samples collected between October 2013 and September 2014.....	47
<b>Table 3.2:</b> Frequency of ST isolated from human cases and chicken samples.....	49
<b>Table 3.3:</b> Frequency of STs isolated from different suppliers of retail chicken meat products.....	51
<b>Table 3.4:</b> Simpson's and Shannon's diversity indices of the different sources (95% CrI are in brackets).....	53
<b>Table 3.5:</b> The PSI for each source compared to the human genotypes distribution including 95% bootstrap CrI. The higher the value the stronger the similarity between the genotypes detected in human cases and the source.....	58
<b>Table 3.6:</b> Prevalence and count level of <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. on different types of samples and suppliers.....	59

<b>Table 3.7:</b> Zero-inflated Poisson model results.....	61
<b>Table 4.1:</b> PERMANOVA outcome of the hosts (Humans, poultry, ruminants, environmental water).....	79
<b>Table 4.2:</b> Numerical indices measuring diversities, PSI and asymmetric island model in <i>C. coli</i> (95% CrI are in brackets).....	81
<b>Table 5.1:</b> Overview of samples collected from different sources ‘before the intervention’ (2005-2007).....	97
<b>Table 5.2:</b> Overview of samples collected from different sources ‘after the intervention’ (2008-2015).....	98
<b>Table 5.3:</b> Count level of <i>Campylobacter</i> spp. on chicken carcasses at two different time periods and among different suppliers.....	99
<b>Table 5.4:</b> Simpson and Shannon diversity indices of different sources comparing two periods (2005-2007 and 2008-2015) with 95% CrI in brackets.....	102
<b>Table 5.5:</b> Sequence type proportional similarity index of the different <i>C. jejuni</i> sources with human clinical isolates in two different time periods (before and after intervention) (95% CrI are in brackets).....	105

## List of figures

- Figure 1.1:** Campylobacteriosis rate per 100,000 population between 2003 and 2016 in New Zealand.....8
- Figure 2.1:** A minimum spanning tree of *Campylobacter* STs from clinical cases that were undetected by ELISA method and were positive by direct culture. Each node represents a ST, its size is proportional to the frequency of isolation. The thickness of the connecting lines is proportional to the similarities between STs, with the thickest connector linking single locus variants.....33
- Figure 2.2:** NMDS 2D plot of the 57 ELISA positives and ELISA negatives isolates (detected by direct culture) during the same sampling period.....34
- Figure 2.3:** NMDS 2D plot of the 206 ELISA positive STs collected in 2014 and 28 ELISA negative STs (detected by direct culture) collected in our study period.....34
- Figure 3.1:** Rarefaction curves of the observed STs in human cases, whole carcasses and drumsticks samples. The shaded areas represent the 95% CrI. Note: The upper boundary of the 95% CrI in both types of chicken samples does not reach the point estimate of the human curve at maximum sample size.....52
- Figure 3.2:** Rarefaction curves of the observed STs in different chicken meat suppliers. The shaded areas represent the 95% CrI. Note: The upper boundary of the 95% CrI in Suppliers A and B does not reach the point estimate of Supplier ‘Others’ curve at maximum sample size.....53
- Figure 3.3:** NMDS 2D plot of STs derived from different sources, humans, whole chicken carcasses and chicken drumsticks.....54

<b>Figure 3.4:</b> NMDS 2D plot of STs derived from whole chicken carcasses and chicken drumsticks.....	55
<b>Figure 3.5:</b> NMDS 2D plot of STs derived from chicken meat Supplier A, Supplier B and Supplier ‘Others’.....	55
<b>Figure 3.6:</b> A minimum spanning tree of <i>Campylobacter</i> STs from human cases (n=192), whole carcasses (n=64) and drumsticks samples (n=63). <i>C. coli</i> STs are indicated with an asterisk (the remaining are <i>C. jejuni</i> ). Each node represents a ST, its size is proportional to the frequency of isolation and the colours represent the different source type. The thickness of the connecting lines is proportional to the similarities between STs.....	57
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> A minimum spanning tree of <i>C. coli</i> STs from 4 different sources. Each node represents a ST, its size is proportional to the frequency of isolation and the colours represent the different source type. The thickness of the connecting lines is proportional to the similarities between STs, with the thickest connector linking single locus variants. The shaded area represents members of the ST-828 CC.....	76
<b>Figure 4.2:</b> Molecular phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood method. The 27 STs found in this study and nine STs from clades 2 and 3 from Sheppard et al. (2010) were used. Clade 1 is indicated in red, clade 2 in yellow and clade 3 in green. Sheppard et al. (2010) <i>C. coli</i> STs are indicated with an asterisk. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The scale bar represents a genetic distance of 0.01 (i.e. 1% of the nucleotides differ).....	78
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> NMDS 2D plot of STs derived from different sources, humans, poultry, ruminants and environmental water.....	79

**Figure 4.4:** Rarefaction curves of the human, poultry, ruminants, and environmental water *C. coli* STs. The shaded areas represent the 95% CrI. Note: poultry and ruminants upper boundary of the 95% CrI does not reach the point estimate of the human curve at maximum sample size. The environmental water curve overlaps the human curve.....82

**Figure 5.1:** Rarefaction curves, between 2005 and 2007, of the human, chicken suppliers (A, B and ‘Others’), ruminants, environmental water and wild birds *C. jejuni* STs. The shaded areas represent the 95% CrI. Note: Only ruminants’ upper boundary of the 95% CrI reach the point estimate of the human curve at maximum sample size. The wild birds curve overlaps the human curve and the environmental water curve is steep.....101

**Figure 5.2:** Rarefaction curves, between 2008 and 2015, of the human, chicken suppliers (A, B and ‘Others’), ruminants, environmental water and wild birds *C. jejuni* STs. The shaded areas represent the 95% CrI. Note: None of the sources upper boundaries of the 95% CrI reach the point estimate of the human curve at maximum sample size.....101

**Figure 5.3:** Minimum spanning tree of *C. jejuni* STs from five different sources between 2005 and 2007 (before the intervention). Each node represents a ST, and its diameter is proportional to the number of isolates. The different colours represent different sources. The thickness of the connecting lines is proportional to the similarities between STs, with the thickest connector linking single locus variants.....103

**Figure 5.4:** Minimum spanning tree of *C. jejuni* STs from 5 different sources between 2008 and 2015 (after intervention). Each node represents a ST, and its diameter is proportional to the number of isolates. The different colours represent different sources.

The thickness of the connecting lines is proportional to the similarities between STs, with the thickest connector linking single locus variants.....104

**Figure 5.5:** Proportion of human campylobacteriosis cases, caused by *C. jejuni*, attributable to each source based on the asymmetric island model and the Bayesian hierarchical model. Error bars represent the 95% credible interval.....106

