

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A COMPARISON OF TWO PHOSPHORUS SOIL TESTS AS INPUTS TO A PASTURE GROWTH MODEL

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science in Soil Science at Massey University.

> Robert Grey Smith 1979

81.07313

ABSTRACT

Glasshouse and field studies were carried out to investigate relationships between plant growth and extractable soil phosphorus and between fertilizer phosphorus and extractable soil phosphorus respectively. The purpose of the studies was to provide information with which to quantify the parameters of a simple model designed to predict relative pasture yield as a function of soil and fertilizer phosphorus.

The relationship between yield and water-extractable soil P differed markedly between two soils of different P retention properties in glasshouse studies using both intact cores and conventional pots. To illustrate this difference, the levels of water-extractable P (0-8 cm depth) in intact cores required for 90% of maximum yield were 12.7 and 2.6 μ g/g soil in the soils of lower and higher P retention respectively. In contrast, the relationship between yield and Olsen (bicarbonate-extractable) P was much less soil type dependent. The corresponding levels of Olsen P in intact soil cores required for 90% of maximum yield were 17.7 and 17.8 μ g/g soil respectively. For modelling purposes, the Olsen procedure was therefore considered to provide a more suitable index of plant available soil P from which to predict pasture production on soils differing in P retention.

The proportion of yield variation accounted for by differences in extractable soil P was 25% or less in initial harvests from the intact cores, 50-75% in later harvests from the intact cores and 89-97% in the pot experiments. The results of the intact core experiments, however, were considered to be more directly applicable to the field situation than were the results of the pot experiments.

Seasonal changes in extractable soil P in Tokomaru silt loam included an increase during the dry season to reach a peak in late autumn followed by a decline in winter. The magnitude of these changes with respect to Olsen P was approximately 2.5 and 5 μ g/g soil in the O-8 cm and O-4 cm depths respectively. A subsequent decline in extractable soil P during the spring and second summer was attributed largely to plant uptake of soil P and its loss in discarded clippings.

The application of superphosphate increased extractable soil P in proportion to the rate applied. The increases per unit of applied fertilizer P, in both absolute terms and relative to an initial (time-zero) increase, were greater in a soil of low P retention (Tokomaru) than in a soil of high P retention (Ramiha). Water-extractable P (0-8 cm depth) was increased on average by 2.3 and 0.2 μ g/g in the Tokomaru and Ramiha soils respectively six months after the application of 40 kg P/ha as super-phosphate. The corresponding average increases in Olsen P (2.7 and 1.1 μ g/g) were greater, and differed less between the soils, than the increases in water-extractable P. Thus, neither soil P extraction procedure was independent of soil type in terms of the effects of applied fertilizer P. For modelling purposes the effects of applied fertilizer would need to be assessed in a wider range of soils.

The level of water-extractable P in stored, air-dry soils was found to undergo short-term fluctuations, apparently due to changes in the conditions of extraction such as variations in the pH of distilled water. Longer-term increases of 25-100% in the level of water-extractable P of stored soils also occurred. No reason for the latter changes was apparent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to sincerely thank the following people who provided assistance during the course of this study:

Dr P.E.H. Gregg, my supervisor, for guidance, encouragement and many helpful comments.

Mr R.W. Tillman and Professor J.K. Syers for helpful discussion and comments.

Mr R.R. Harrison, Pahiatua Road, Aokautere and the Agronomy Department, Massey University, who made land available for the field studies.

Mr G. Crouchley, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Hastings (formerly Masterton), whose field trials served as a source of soil samples for the glasshouse studies.

My wife Carol, and children, for their patience and support. Sue Ince for the excellent and patient typing of this thesis.

The financial support provided by the New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries throughout the course of this study is also gratefully acknowledged.

(iv)

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
3.1	Dry matter yield per core in harvests $1 + 2$ as a	
	function of the level of Olsen P in O-8 cm (A)	
	and 0-4 cm (B) samples of Konini soil taken from	
	around the cores.	36
3.2	Dry matter yield per core in harvests $1 + 2$ as	
	a function of the level of Olsen P in O-8 cm (A)	
	and O-4 cm (B) samples of Kumeroa soil taken from	
	around the cores.	37
3.3	Dry matter yield per core in harvests 1 + 2, adjuste	d
	for the effect of inorganic soil nitrogen, as a	
	function of the level of Olsen P in O-8 cm camples o	f
	Kumeroa soil taken from around the cores.	40
3.4	Relationship between Olsen P levels within cores	
	at the end of the glasshouse study and Olsen P	
	levels in soil from around the cores (0-8 cm depth).	41
3.5	Relationship between Olsen P levels within cores	
	at the end of the glasshouse study and Olsen P	
	levels in soil from around the cores (0-4 cm depth).	42
3.6	Relationship between water-extractable P levels	
	within cores at the end of the glasshouse study	
	and water-extractable P levels in soil from around	
	the cores (0-4 cm depth).	44
3.7	Relative dry matter yield per core in harvests $3 + 4$	
	as a function of the level of Olsen P (0-8 cm	
	depth) within the cores.	49
3.8	Relative dry matter yield per core in harvests $3 + 4$	
	as a function of the level of Olsen P (0-4 cm depth)	
	within the cores.	50
3.9	Relative dry matter yield per core in harvests $3 + 4$	
	as a function of the level of water-extractable P	
	(0-8 cm depth) within the cores.	51
3.10	Relative dry matter yield per core in harvests $3 + 4$	
	as a function of the level of water-extractable P	
	(0-4 cm depth) within the cores.	52

(v)

FIGURE		PAGE
4.1	Relative dry matter yield per pot in the first	
	harvest as a function of the level of Olsen P.	73
4.2	Relative dry matter yield per pot in three harvests	
	as a function of the level of Olsen P.	74
4.3	Relative dry matter yield per pot in the first	
	harvest as a function of the level of water-	
	extractable soil P.	76
4.4	Relative dry matter yield per pot in three harvests	
	as a function of the level of water-extractable	
	soil P.	77
5.1	Mean levels of Olsen P, water-extractable P and	
	soil moisture in the Tokomaru control plots (0-8 \mbox{cm}	
	depth), and mean weekly soil temperature.	100
5.2	Mean levels of Olsen P, water-extractable P and soil	1
	moisture in the Tokomaru control plots (0-4 cm	
	depth) , and mean weekly soil temperature.	101
5.3	Mean levels of Olsen P in Tokomaru soil (0-4 cm	
	depth) following the application of nil, 250	
	and 500 kg/ha of superphosphate in March 1978.	107
5.4	Mean levels of Olsen P in O-8 cm (A) and O-4 cm $$	
	(B) depths of Tokomaru soil following application	
	of nil, 250 and 500 kg/ha of superphosphate in	
	August 1978.	108
5.5	Mean levels of water-extractable P in 0-8 cm (A)	
	and $0-4~\mathrm{cm}$ (B) depths of Tokomaru soil following	
	application of nil, 250 and 500 kg/ha of	
	superphosphate in August 1978.	109
5.6	Mean levels of Olsen P in O-8 cm (A) and O-4 cm $$	
	(B) depths of Ramiha soil following the application	
	of nil, 250, 500 and 1000 kg/ha of superphosphate	
	in April 1978.	113
5.7	Mean levels of water-extractable P in Ramiha soil	
	(0-4 cm depth) following the application of nil,	
	250, 500 and 1000 kg/ha of superphosphate in	
	April 1978.	114

(vi)

FIGURE		PAGE
5.8	Mean levels of Olsen P (A) and water-extractable	
	P (B) in Ramiha soil (0-4 cm depth) following	
	application of nil and 1000 kg/ha of superphosphate	
	in September 1978.	116
5.9	Mean proportional increases in Olsen P at various	
	times after superphosphate application.	120
5.10	Mean proportional increases in water-extractable	
	P at various times after superphosphate	
	application.	121

LIST OF PLATES

PLATE		PAGE
3.1	"Pasture" growth at the second harvest showing	
	variation between cores from plot 10 on the	
	Konini soil.	35
3.2	"Pasture" growth at the second harvest showing	
	variation between cores from plot 4 on the	
	Kumeroa soil.	35
3.3	"Pasture" growth at the fourth harvest on average	
	cores of Konini soil arranged in order of	
	increasing extractable P level (L to R).	45
3.4	"Pasture" growth at the fourth harvest on average	
	cores of Kumeroa soil arranged in order of	
	increasing extractable P level (L to R).	45
4.1	Ryegrass growth at the third harvest on selected	
	pots of Konini soil arranged in order of	
	increasing extractable P level (L to R).	64
4.2	Ryegrass growth at the third harvest on selected	
	pots of Pirinoa soil arranged in order of	
	increasing extractable P level (L to R).	64

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
3.1	Harvest dates, growth intervals and amounts	
	of nutrient solution (ml) applied per core prior	
	to each harvest.	32
3.2	Effects of aliquot size and neutralization of	
	Olsen extract prior to colour development on	
	the apparent Olsen P level (μg P/g soil) of	
	a sample of Tokomaru soil.	32
3.3	Values of the intercept a (g), the regression	
	coefficient b (g ² /µg), and the correlation	
	coefficient r, for the fitted linear regressions.	38
3.4	Values of parameter B (g) for unconstrained	
	exponential curves, and of the y intercept	
	(i.e. A-B) expressed as a proportion (%) of	
	maximum yield (parameter A).	47
3.5	Values of parameters A (g) and c (g/ μg), and	
	of the correlation coefficient r, for constrained	
	and unconstrained exponential curves.	48
3.6	Critical values of extractable soil P ($\mu g/g$)	
	for which yield given by the fitted curves	
	equals 90% of the maximum yield (parameter A)	
	for constrained and unconstrained exponential	
	curves.	53
4.1	Harvest dates, growth intervals and maximum	
	amounts of nutrient solution (ml) applied per	
	pot prior to each harvest.	61
4.2	Phosphate retention (%) and pH of selected samples	5
	of Konini and Pirinoa soils used for the pot	
	experiments.	63
4.3	Values of (i) parameter A expressed as a proportion	on
	(%) of the mean yield of the +P pots, (ii) the y	
	intercept (A-B) expressed as a proportion (%) of	
	maximum yield (parameter A); (iii) parameter c	
	(g/µg); and (iv) the correlation coefficient (r);	
	for exponential curves fitted without any	
	constraints (data from the +P pots excluded.	65

(ix)

TABLE

4.4	Values of (i) parameter A expressed as a	
	proportion (%) of the mean yield of the +P	
	pots; (ii) the y intercept (A-B) expressed as a	
	proportion (%) of maximum yield (parameter A);	
	and (iii) parameter c (g/ μ g); for exponential	
	curves fitted without any constraints (data from	
	the +P pots included).	67
4.5	Values of (i) parameter A expressed as a	
	proportion (%) of the mean yield of the +P pots;	
	and (ii) parameter c (g/ μ g); for exponential	
	curves constrained through the origin (data from	
	the +P pots excluded).	68
4.6	Critical values of extractable soil P ($\mu g/g)$ for	
	which yield given by the fitted curve equals 90%	
	of the maximum yield (parameter A) for (i) curves	
	fitted without constraint to -P data only; (ii)	
	curves fitted without constraint to both $-P$ and	
	+P data; (iii) curves fitted to -P data only and	
	constrained through origin.	70
5.1	Mean levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ and water extractable	e
	P (μ g/g) in O-4 cm cores of Tokomaru soil.	85
5.2	Effect of initial temperature ($^{ m O}$ C) and pH of the	
	bicarbonate solution on the level of Olsen-	
	extractable P (µg/g).	91
5.3A	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ determined on various	
	dates in soil samples collected from the Tokomaru	
	control plots on 8 February 1978.	93
5.3B	Levels of water-extractable P ($\mu g/g$) determined	
	on various dates in soil samples collected from	
	the Tokomaru control plots on 8 February 1978.	94
5.4A	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ determined on various	
	dates in soil samples collected from the Tokomaru	
	control plots on 16 June 1978.	95
5.4B	Levels of water-extractable P ($\mu g/g$) determined	
	on various dates in soil samples collected from	
	the Tokomaru control plots on 16 June 1979.	96

(x)

PAGE

TABLE		PAGE
5.5	Levels of water-extractable P (μ g/g) determined	
	on various dates in 0-4 cm soil samples collected	
	from the Ramiha control plots on 25 April 1978.	97
5.6	Levels of water-extractable P (μ g/g) in two soils	
	determined in quadruplicate using distilled water	S
	of pH 4.9 (low pH) and pH 6.1 (high pH).	99
5.7	Mean increases (± SE) in extractable P (μ g/g)	
	after mixing finely ground superphosphate with	
	air-dry Tokomaru soil at rates equivalent	
	to field application rates.	103
5.8	Mean increases (± SE) in extractable P (μ g/g)	
	after mixing finely ground superphosphate with	
	air-dry Ramiha soil at rates equivalent to	
	superphosphate 500 kg/ha in the field.	105
5.9	Mean increases in Olsen P in Tokomaru soil due	
	to superphosphate, as $\mu g/g$ soil and expressed	
	as a proportion (%) of the initial (time-zero)	
	increase.	111
5.10	Mean increases in water-extractable P in	
	Tokomaru soil due to superphosphate, as $\mu g/g$	
	soil and expressed as a proportion (%) of the	
	initial (time-zero) increase.	112
5.11	Mean increases in Olsen P in Ramiha soil due to	
	superphosphate, as $\mu g/g$ soil and expressed as a	
	proportion (%) of the initial (time-zero)	
	increase.	117
5.12	Mean increases in water-extractable P in	
	Ramiha soil due to superphosphate, as $\mu g/g$ soil	
	and expressed as a proportion (%) of the initial	
	(time-zero) increase.	118
5.13	Overall average increases in extractable soil	
	P (μ g/g), six months after the application of	
	500 kg/ha of superphosphate (40 kg P/ha).	122

(xi)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	F	AGE				
1	Some pedological and chemical information relevant					
	to the soils included in the glasshouse and field					
	studies.	149				
2	Composition of stock solutions and amounts of each					
	used to make up nutrient solutions for use in the					
	glasshouse studies.	150				
3	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ and inorganic nitrogen					
	($\mu g/g$) in soil samples collected from around					
	the intact cores.	151				
4	Yields of dry matter (g/core) in the first and					
	second harvests from the intact cores.	152				
5	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ and water-extractable					
	P (μ g/g) within the intact cores at the					
	completion of the experiments.	154				
6	Yields of dry matter (g/core) in the third and					
	fourth harvests, and the proportion of yield in					
	the fourth harvest due to ryegrass and other					
	grasses.	156				
7	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ and water-extractable P					
	($\mu g/g)$ in samples of Konini and Pirinoa soils					
	(0-8 cm depth) used in the pot experiments.	158				
8A	Yields of dry matter (g/pot) in three harvests of					
	ryegrass grown in Konini soil.	160				
8B	Yields of dry matter (g/pot) in three harvests of					
	ryegrass grown in Pirinoa soil.	161				
9	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ in individual cores of					
	Tokomaru soil, according to their spatial distri-					
	bution in the field (not to scale).	162				
10	Levels of water-extractable P ($\mu g/g)$ in individual					
	cores of Tokomaru soil, according to their spatial					
	distribution in the field (not to scale).	163				
11A	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ in the Tokomaru control					
	plots (0-8 cm depth) on various sampling dates.	164				

1	v	1	-1	÷	1
1	~	+	+	+	1

APPENDIX	F	AGE
11B	Levels of Olsen P (μ g/g) in the Tokomaru control	
	plots (0-4 cm depth) on various sampling dates.	165
12	Levels of water-extractable P in the Tokomaru	
	control plots on various sampling dates.	166
13	Levels of Olsen P (μ g/g) in the autumn-fertilized	
	Tokomaru plots (0-4 cm depth) on various sampling	
	dates.	167
14A	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g$) in the spring-fertilized	
	Tokomaru plots (0-8 cm depth) on various sampling	
	dates.	168
14B	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g$) in the spring-fertilized	
	Tokomaru plots (0-4 cm depth) on various sampling	
	dates.	169
15A	Levels of water-extractable P (μ g/g) in the control	L
	and spring-fertilized Tokomaru plots (0-8 cm depth))
	on various sampling dates.	170
15B	Levels of water-extractable P (μ g/g) in the control	L
	and spring-fertilized Tokomaru plots (0-4 cm depth))
	on various sampling dates.	171
16A	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ in the control and autumn	-
	fertilized Ramiha plots (0-8 cm depth) on various	
	sampling dates.	172
16B	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ in the control and autumn	÷
	fertilized Ramiha plots (0-4 cm depth) on various	
	sampling dates.	174
17	Levels of water-extractable P ($\mu g/g)$ in the control	1
	and autumn-fertilized Ramiha plots (0-4 cm depth)	
	on various sampling dates.	176
18	Levels of Olsen P ($\mu g/g)$ in the control and spring-	
	fertilized Ramiha plots (0-4 cm depth) on various	
	sampling dates.	178
19	Levels of water-extractable P ($\mu g/g)$ in the control	1
	and spring-fertilized Ramiha plots (0-4 cm depth)	
	on various sampling dates.	179

ė.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
ABSTRACT			(ii)
ACKNOWLED	GEMENTS		(iv)
LIST OF F	IGURES		(v)
LIST OF P	LATES		(viii)
LIST OF T	ABLES		(ix)
LIST OF A	PPENDIC	CES	(xii)
TABLE OF	CONTENT	S	(xiv)
CHAPTER 1	- INTR	ODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2	- LITE	RATURE REVIEW	4
2.1	RELATI	ONSHIPS BETWEEN PLANT GROWTH AND SOIL P STATUS.	4
	2.1.1	Plant available soil P.	4
	2.1.2	Chemical extraction of "available" soil P.	5
	2.1.3	Soil test correlation studies.	6
	2.1.4	Regression equations used to describe soil	
		test-plant yield relationships.	10
2.2	TEMPOR	AL VARIATION IN EXTRACTABLE SOIL P UNDER	
	FIELD	CONDITIONS.	12
	2.2.1	Field sampling procedures.	12
	2.2.2	Laboratory extraction procedures.	13
	2.2.3	Seasonal changes in extractable soil P.	13
2.3	TEMPOR	AL CHANGES IN EXTRACTABLE P FOLLOWING P	
	ADDITI	ON TO SOILS	16
	2.3.1	Changes in solution P concentration in shaken	
		and incubated systems.	16
	2.3.2	Changes in plant available and chemically	
		extractable soil P following P addition.	18
		2.3.2.1 Incubation and greenhouse studies.	18
		2.3.2.2 Field studies.	19
	2.3.3	Models used to simulate changes in soil P	
		parameters following P addition to soils.	21
		2.3.3.1 Changes in solution P concentration.	21
		2.3.3.2 Changes in plant available and	
		chemically extractable soil P.	23

			PAGE
CHAPTER	3 - GLAS	SSHOUSE STUDY WITH INTACT SOIL CORES	26
3.1	INTROI	DUCTION	26
3.2	MATER	IALS AND METHODS	27
	3.2.1	Preliminary assessment of field trials as a	
		source of intact cores.	27
	3.2.2	Selection of field plots and collection of cores	. 27
	3.2.3	Initial preparation of cores in glasshouse.	29
	3.2.4	Design and conduct of glasshouse experiments.	29
	3.2.5	Analytical procedures.	30
	3.2.6	Statistical treatment of data.	31
3.3	RESULT	ſS	33
	3.3.1	First and second harvests.	33
	3.3.2	Third and fourth harvests.	39
3.4	DISCUS	SSION	54
CHAPTER	4 - GLAS	SSHOUSE STUDY WITH CONVENTIONAL POTS	58
4.1	INTROI	DUCTION	58
4.2	MATERI	TALS AND METHODS	58
	4.2.1	Collection of soil samples from field plots.	58
	4.2.2	Preparation and potting of soils.	59
	4.2.3	Design and conduct of glasshouse experiments.	60
	4.2.4	Analytical procedures.	62
	4.2.5	Statistical treatment of data.	62
4.3	RESULT	ſS	62
4.4	DISCUS	SSION	69
4.5	GENERA	AL DISCUSSION OF POT EXPERIMENTS AND INTACT	
	CORE H	EXPERIMENTS	75
CHAPTER	5 - FIEI	_D STUDIES	83
5.1	INTROL	DUCTION	83
5.2	MATERI	ALS AND METHODS	83
	5.2.1	Selection of soils and trial sites.	83
	5.2.2	Preliminary assessment of spatial variability	
		of extractable soil P.	84
	5.2.3	Fertilizer treatments and trial designs.	85
	5.2.4	Field sampling, drying and sieving of soils.	87
	5.2.5	Estimation of initial effects of fertilizer P or	ı
		extractable soil P.	89
	5.2.6	Analytical procedures.	90
	5.2.7	Other measurements.	92

(xvi)

			PAGE
5.3	RESULTS		92
	5.3.1	Changes in extractable P during storage of	
		air-dry soil samples.	92
	5.3.2	Seasonal changes in extractable soil P in the	
		Tokomaru soil.	98
	5.3.3	Initial (time-zero) effects of fertilizer P on	
		extractable soil P.	102
		5.3.3.1 Tokomaru soil.	102
		5.3.3.2 Ramiha soil.	104
	5.3.4	Effects of fertilizer P applied in the field on	
		extractable soil P.	106
		5.3.4.1 Tokomaru soil.	106
		5.3.4.2 Ramiha soil.	110
5.4	DISCUSSION		119
	5.4.1	Changes in extractable soil P during sample	
		storage.	119
	5.4.2	Seasonal changes in extractable soil P.	124
	5.4.3	Changes in extractable soil P due to applied	
		fertilizer P.	128
CHAPTER 6 - SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS			133
BIBLIOGRAPHY			136

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The use of fertilizer, particularly phosphate fertilizer, has played a vital role in the productivity of New Zealand agriculture. The total amount of fertilizer used has steadily increased over the years, surpassing one million tonnes per annum in the mid-1950's and two million tonnes per annum in the mid-1960's. During the last fifteen years, between 1.7 m and 2.5 m tonnes per annum have been applied to New Zealand soils (Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, 1978). Variation between years, sometimes considerable, has reflected the overriding influence of the financial state of the farming industry on fertilizer use.

Most fertilizer used on New Zealand farms is manufactured from imported raw materials, the balance being imported in manufactured form. The total value of imported fertilizer materials is currently of the order of \$100 m per annum, of which about 65% is for raw rock phosphate and other phosphatic fertilizers (Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, 1978). Phosphate fertilizer is therefore not only a very important resource in New Zealand agriculture, but is also a very expensive one. Despite this, phosphate fertilizer applied to grazed pastures in recent years appears to have exceeded the maintenance requirements of New Zealand's livestock population (Karlovsky, 1975). Syers (1974) similarly concluded that fertilizer usage may be excessive, at least on certain soils, and in view of the inevitable increases in the price of phosphate fertilizer, considered there was a need for further research on the efficiency of fertilizer use on many soils.

Technically, efficient fertilizer use requires that wastage of fertilizer through the application of excessively high rates is avoided. Optimum fertilizer rates can be determined in specific situations by conducting field trials to measure the response of pasture to various rates of application. Such trials are often used to calibrate soil tests which are then used to assess the nutrient status of soils in areas where there is no information available from field trials.

A largely untested method, particularly in New Zealand, of evaluating fertilizer use in relation to optimum rates, times and frequencies of application, is systems modelling or more specifically the mathematical simulation of soil-plant relationships. Systems modelling has been defined as an activity involving the construction of a mathematical model of a system, generally but not necessarily followed by manipulation or experimentation with the model (Wright, 1976). A recent example of systems modelling in New Zealand is the development of a simulation model of an intensive pastoral beef grazing system, including soil, pasture, animal and management components, by Wright et al (1976). In the pasture component of this model, the potential growth rate of ryegrass-white clover pasture on any given day is determined by time of year and accumulated level of dry matter. The estimated potential growth rate is then modified by a temperature factor and a soil moisture factor to give actual growth rate.

A deficiency of the pasture growth model, however, is the absence of any soil nutrient component. To enable evaluation of the effects of alternative phosphate fertilizer policies on simulated pasture production, an attempt was made to develop a simple phosphorus (P) submodel (Smith et al, 1977). The overall pasture model would then integrate the separate effects of soil moisture supply, temperature and phosphate supply on plant growth.

The P submodel is based on two basic assumptions. The first is that relative pasture yield can be predicted from the level of plant available soil P if the relationship between these two variables is known. Extractable soil P is used as an index of plant available soil P because of problems associated with the definition and rapid measurement of the latter fraction. The relationship between relative yield (RY) and the level of extractable soil P (x) is represented in the P submodel by an exponential equation of the form:

$$RY = 1 - \frac{Be}{A}^{-CX}$$

where A is maximum attainable yield, B is the difference between maximum yield and actual yield when x = 0, and c is the curvature coefficient. If yield is zero when x = 0, then the equation simplifies to:

 $RY = 1 - e^{-CX}$

Ideally, the soil P extraction procedure should be independent of soil type so that the magnitude of the c coefficient does not vary between different soils.

2.

The second assumption made in the P submodel is that the level of extractable soil P at any given time can be predicted if it is known how the level changes over time and in response to applied fertilizer P. The relationship between the amount of fertilizer P applied (F) and the level of extractable soil P is represented in the P submodel by a linear equation of the form:

 $x_2 = x_1 + bF$

where x_1 and x_2 are levels of extractable soil P before and after fertilizer application and b is the unit change in x per unit of applied F. The magnitude of the b coefficient can be expected to decrease with time as the extractability of the applied P declines.

Before the P submodel can be used to evaluate alternative fertilizer policies, it is necessary to know what values should be assigned to the coefficients in the equations representing the relative yield-soil P and fertilizer-soil P relationships. Unfortunately, there is a distinct lack of this type of information in relation to phosphate fertilizer use on New Zealand pasture soils. The aim of this study was to quantitatively investigate the above relationships.