

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# DO CROSS LISTED SECURITIES IN THE FACE OF EXTREME EVENTS PRESENT ANY RISK RETURN BENEFITS FOR NEW ZEALAND INVESTORS?

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Business Studies in Finance at Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

> Rebecca Browning 2005 Massey University Supervisor: Professor Lawrence Rose

#### **ABSTRACT:**

Many investors are looking for alternative investment options in todays market as correlations among markets have increased, causing diversification benefits once gained to be diminished.

This thesis examines what risk return benefits can be gained by investors from international diversification, especially cross listed securities, and how these benefits may enhance the risk return relationship in the face of extreme events. Extreme events being researched are Russian Ruble Crisis in 1998, September 11 2001, and Argentina Financial Crisis in 2002.

It was found that cross listed securities held within a portfolio provided diversification benefits for investors with an improvement in the risk return relationship of lower risk and higher returns. Tested under extreme events it was found that holding cross listed securities within a portfolio mitigated some of the affects demonstrated.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

Special thanks would like to be made to my supervisor Professor Lawrence Rose who has provided invaluable knowledge and guidance of this thesis. Also a thank you to all the staff at Massey University who have assisted in collecting data, information and other resources in relation to my thesis. In addition a big thank you to my family, friends and especially my partner for your support, and love shown over the duration of my studies.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT                                   | 2   |
|--|-----|
| ACKNOWLEGMENTS                             | 3   |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                          | 4   |
| LIST OF TABLES                             | 5   |
| LIST OF FIGURES                            | 6   |
| INTRODUCTION                               | 7   |
| LITERATURE REVIEW                          | 9   |
| Evidence and Motivations for Cross Listing | 14  |
| EXTREME EVENTS                             | 23  |
| Russian Ruble Crisis                       | 23  |
| September 11                               | 28  |
| Argetine Financial Crisis                  | 32  |
| MARKET CAPITALISATION                      | 39  |
| International Country Risk                 | 56  |
| Price Stabilisation                        | 59  |
| HYPOTHESIS TESTING                         | 63  |
| COMPUTATIONAL METHODS                      | 65  |
| PORTFOLIO FORMATION AND RESEARCH DESIGN    | 67  |
| FORMATION OF DATASETS EMPLOYED             | 71  |
| RESULTS                                    | 81  |
| Hypothesis 1                               | 81  |
| Hypothesis2                                | 86  |
| Hypothesis 3                               | 90  |
| Hypothesis 4                               | 99  |
| Hypothesis 5                               | 102 |
| CONCLUSION                                 | 107 |
| APPENDIX A                                 | 110 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY                               | 114 |

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1- Real GDP and Consumer Prices: Argentina 1994 – 2002                                       | 33  |
|--|-----|
| Table 2-Total Number of Companies with Shares Listed   | 40  |
| Table 3- Market Capitalisation of Shares of Domestic Companies                                     | 43  |
| Table 4-Total Value of Share Trading   | 46  |
| Table 5-Comparison of Average Share Price in 1990 and 2000   | 47  |
| Table 6-Australian Price Earnings, Returns Indicators and Inflation Rate                           | 48  |
| Table 7- Japanese Price Earnings Ratio, Return Indicators and Inflation Rate                       | 49  |
| Table 8- New Zealand's Price Earnings Ratio, Return Indicators and Inflation Rate                  | 50  |
| Table 9 – South Africa's Price Earnings Ratio, Return Indicators and Inflation Rate                | 51  |
| Table 10 – The United Kingdom's Price Earnings Ratio, Return Indicators and Inflation Rate         | 52  |
| Table 11-Stock Markets Importance in the National Economy  | 53  |
| Table 12 – International Country Risk Ratings for Period 1984-2003                                 | 58  |
| Table 13 - Comparison of the return and risk for the portfolios, demonstrating the benefits of the |     |
| addition of cross listed securities into a portfolio   | 82  |
| Table 14 - Ranking of the portfolios in terms of risk and return                                   | 84  |
| Table 15-Ranking of Portfolio Performances   | 86  |
| Table 16 - The Risk/Return Relationship for markets researched                                     | 89  |
| Table 17 - Comparison of Average Risk/Return for Extreme Events                                    | 98  |
| Table 18- Portfolio Risk and Return in comparison to single and multi indices                      | 101 |
| Table 19- Weighting Techniques and portfolio performance measures                                  | 104 |
| Table 20- Portfolio Betas  | 106 |

### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1: Daily exchange rates of Russian Ruble per US Dollar  |     |
|--|-----|
| between June 1998 and June 1999  | 24  |
| Figure 2- Dow Jones Industry Average for the period between December                                   |     |
| 2000 and December 2004   | 30  |
| Figure 3-Latin America and Argentine Stock Indices for December 1999 to                                |     |
| June 2002  | 37  |
| Figure 4 – International Country Risk Ratings  | 56  |
| Figure 5 –Price Volatility of Australian Share Prices versus Australian Cross Listed Share Prices      | 60  |
| Figure 6 - Price Volatility of Japanese Share Prices versus Japanese Cross Listed Share Prices         | 60  |
| Figure 7 – Price Volatility of UK Share Prices Versus UK Cross Listed Share Prices                     | 61  |
| Figure 8 - Price Volatility of South Africa Share Prices Versus South Africa Cross Listed Share Prices | 61  |
| Figure 9 - Price Volatility of New Zealand Share Prices Versus New Zealand Cross Listed Share Price    | s62 |
| Figure 10-Risk Return relationship for Portfolios  | 85  |
| Figure 11- Portfolio risk/return during September 11   | 96  |

#### INTRODUCTION

This research examines whether cross listed securities exhibit higher levels of diversification, and show any risk return benefits over single listed securities in the face of extreme events. These questions may seem obvious due to the extensive research which has been conducted concerning international diversification, and the benefits to investors and companies gained from cross listing. Nevertheless, little research has focused on these topics in relation to extreme events.

A number of studies have been undertaken that look at the effects of individual extreme events, but such studies have generally been conducted from a US perspective. The current research is conducted from a NZ perspective, and looks at the affects of three extreme events on five world markets. This will allow an overall understanding of how extreme events affect world markets, and of what factors lead to extreme events. The markets researched were chosen to give a global perspective of the events and determine whether cross listing on various stock markets would provide investors with the same risk return advantages. The three extreme events which will be covered are the Russian Ruble Crisis in 1998, September 11 and the Argentine Crisis in 2002. The Russian Ruble Crisis was selected, as it was the first crisis to follow the largest emerging market crisis; the Asian Crisis which occurred in 1997. September 11 was chosen for the fact that is differed from other extreme events due to its unexpected nature and lack of prior warning in terms of financial indicators, as is usual with financial and economic crises. The Argentine crisis was the third event to be selected, as it is the most recent crisis to occur in the Latin American market, and the region had not fully recovered from other emerging market crises.

7

There are several reasons why cross listing may not enhance investors risk return benefits in the face of extreme events. Firstly, diversification benefits have been stated to have been diminishing over time as markets have become more integrated through international trade, common currencies, stock markets and shared resources. With increased market integration, correlations between the markets have also increased, lowering diversification benefits. Greater diversification benefits are gained with low or negative correlations between portfolio securities. This leads to the second factor that may lower the benefits to investors during extreme events. It is expected that markets will react in the same way to an extreme event, causing market correlations to increase and reduce diversification benefits. This expectation is especially so for cross listed securities, due to cross listed securities being exposed twice to the foreign and domestic markets, instead of only to the domestic market as for single listed securities. Nevertheless, some researchers state that cross listed securities are able to mitigate the effects of extreme events through their unique structures. Finally, there is much debate about the benefits versus the costs of cross listing and what, in real terms, can be achieved through cross listing. For example, if there are so many benefits why is it that only one in ten US companies are cross listed on a foreign market?

These questions will be raised in this research, and the thesis is split into the following sections; Literature Review, Synopsis of the three extreme events, market segmentation factors, hypothesis testing, computational methods, portfolio formation and research design, formation of the data sets employed, weighting techniques and performance measures, results and conclusions.

8