

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

CONCURRENT VIOLA JONES CLASSIFIERS ON A PORTABLE BEOWULF CLUSTER

A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree

of Master of Engineering

in Mechatronics at
Massey University

Ravi Kiran Chemudugunta
2008

Abstract

Real-time Computer Vision is an interesting application for supercomputing, real-time applications (vision processing in particular) employ special purpose hardware such as DSPs to achieve high performance. This thesis explores parallel computers particularly commodity general purpose hardware. We also build a prototype to better understand the economics of supercomputing, specifically related to mobile computing - low power, rugged design by building a mobile computer. A new communication layer is built, where by the nature of the locality of the nodes allows one to optimise the protocols to reduce the latency comparably. Finally a study and in depth results of the algorithm, the Viola Jones Object detector in parallel are presented followed by reflection and future work based on the current results and platform.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Andre Barczak. Without his advise and motivation I wouldn't have been able to succeed. I thank him for listening to my sometimes strange ideas and steering me in the right direction throughout this work. I would also like to acknowledge my co-supervisor Dr. Johan Potgieter for input and advise on the project.

I extend my thanks to Dr. Napoleon Reyes for being encouraging and Dr. Chris Messom for his valuable insights and input. I would like to acknowledge everyone from the Computer Sciences Department, Guy Kloss, Rushad Irani, Anton Gerdelan and Daniel Playne for their encouragement and friendship through the years.

I would like to thank Thomas Felton for counselling me through my life, his support has been integral for me to be able to finish this work. Finally, I would like to thank my family, who supported me in everyway during this work, without them I would not be here today.

Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 2 Literature Review | 3 |
| 2.1 Object Detection | 3 |
| 2.1.1 Training | 5 |
| 2.1.2 A Cascade of Detectors | 7 |
| 2.2 Parallel Computing on Clusters | 9 |
| 2.3 Motivation and Base Work | 9 |
| 2.4 Hardware Infrastructure | 10 |
| 2.5 Software Infrastructure | 10 |
| 2.5.1 Interconnect Software Protocols | 11 |
| 2.6 Conclusions of the Literature Review | 12 |
| 2.6.1 Implementation Details | 13 |
| 2.6.2 On UDP | 13 |
| 3 Method / Material | 14 |
| 3.1 Hardware | 14 |
| 3.2 Physical Construction | 14 |
| 3.3 Parallel Knoppix | 16 |
| 3.4 Testing Tools | 17 |
| 3.4.1 Qemu | 17 |
| 3.4.2 VDE Ethernet | 17 |
| 3.5 Distribution | 17 |
| 3.5.1 TTY Linux build system | 18 |
| 3.5.2 Methods to Reduce Packages Sizes | 18 |
| 3.6 Moose Linux | 19 |

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 3.6.1 | Build System Overview | 19 |
| 3.6.2 | Distribution | 19 |
| 3.6.3 | Packaging | 20 |
| 3.6.4 | Testing | 20 |
| 3.7 | Measuring Time | 20 |
| 4 | Implementation | 21 |
| 4.1 | Synchronisation by Broadcast Messages | 21 |
| 4.1.1 | Naive Approach | 22 |
| 4.1.2 | Knowledge Rows | 22 |
| 4.1.3 | Results | 22 |
| 4.2 | Broadcasting Protocol | 23 |
| 4.3 | LSPIP: Loosely Synchronised Parallel Image Processing Library | 24 |
| 4.4 | Parallellising Detectors | 27 |
| 4.5 | Single Classifiers | 27 |
| 4.6 | Multiple Classifiers | 28 |
| 4.6.1 | Distribution of Classifiers among Nodes | 29 |
| 4.7 | Dealing with Results | 29 |
| 4.8 | Measuring System Efficiency | 30 |
| 4.8.1 | Testing Method, Image Sequences | 32 |
| 4.8.2 | Testing Method, USB Camera | 32 |
| 4.9 | Summary | 32 |
| 5 | Results and Discussion | 33 |
| 5.1 | Network Performance | 33 |
| 5.2 | CPU Performance | 33 |
| 5.2.1 | USB Camera | 35 |
| 5.3 | Experimental Conditions | 36 |
| 5.4 | Average System Performance | 36 |
| 5.5 | Individual Node Performance | 37 |
| 5.6 | Scalability | 39 |
| 5.6.1 | Resolution Scaling | 41 |
| 5.7 | Performance Analysis | 41 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 6 Conclusion and Future Work | 45 |
| 6.1 Conclusions from Results | 45 |
| 6.2 Perspectives | 45 |
| 6.3 Future Work | 46 |
| 6.3.1 Parallelising Single Classifiers | 46 |
| 6.3.2 Strategies of Applying Multiple Classifiers | 46 |
| 6.3.3 Moments Based Detection Algorithms | 46 |
| 6.3.4 Other Hardware Platforms | 47 |
| DSP Hardware | 47 |
| GPGPU Hardware | 47 |
| A UDP Image Broadcast Header File | 48 |
| B UDP Image Result Collection Header File | 50 |
| C LSPIP: 'Source' Program | 52 |
| D LSPIP: 'Process' Program | 55 |
| E LSPIP: 'Sink' Program | 58 |
| F Mooselinux Build System: 'Get' | 61 |
| G Mooselinux Build System: 'Update' | 64 |
| H Mooselinux Build System: 'Build' | 66 |
| I Timing Routines for Benchmarking | 68 |
| I.1 Header File | 68 |
| I.2 Implementation | 69 |
| Bibliography | 70 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Samples of Hand Detection, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 4 |
| 2.2 | Generator Function | 6 |
| 2.3 | Flow Diagram | 6 |
| 2.4 | Lena | 7 |
| 2.5 | Composition of a Strong Haar Classifier | 7 |
| 2.6 | Parallel Classifiers, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 8 |
| 2.7 | Haar Features, source [Intel, 2007] | 8 |
| 2.8 | Summed Area Table, adapted from [Viola and Jones, 2002] | 8 |
| 2.9 | Cascade of Boosted Classifiers, adapted from [Lienhart and Maydt, 2002] | 8 |
| 2.10 | The OSI Model, source [CiscoSystems, 2006] | 9 |
| | | |
| 3.1 | Hardware platform, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 15 |
| 3.2 | Real-time Constraints, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 15 |
| 3.3 | Prototype | 16 |
| 3.4 | Moose Build System | 19 |
| | | |
| 4.1 | Broadcast Synchronisation | 21 |
| 4.2 | Enabling Broadcasting using BSD Sockets API | 25 |
| 4.3 | Packet Structure | 25 |
| 4.4 | Network Layout, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 27 |
| 4.5 | Subwindow List | 28 |
| 4.6 | Parallel Classifiers, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 30 |
| 4.7 | Communication Pattern 1, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 30 |
| 4.8 | Communication Pattern 2, source [Barczak and Chemudugunta, 2006] | 31 |
| | | |
| 5.1 | Broadcast Performance of MPI vs UDP Protocol | 34 |
| 5.2 | Classifier Performance on a Single Node | 34 |
| 5.3 | CPU vs Send FPS (640x480) | 35 |
| 5.4 | Test Sequence | 36 |

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|----|
| 5.5 | Average System Performance | 37 |
| 5.6 | Individual Node Performance for 160x120 | 38 |
| 5.7 | Individual Node Performance for 320x240 | 38 |
| 5.8 | Individual Node Performance for 640x480 | 39 |
| 5.9 | Performance Scalability for 160x120 | 40 |
| 5.10 | Performance Scalability for 320x240 | 40 |
| 5.11 | Performance Scalability for 640x480 | 41 |
| 5.12 | Resolution Scaling for 1 Node | 42 |
| 5.13 | Resolution Scaling for 2 Nodes | 42 |
| 5.14 | Resolution Scaling for 3 Nodes | 43 |
| 5.15 | Resolution Scaling for 4 Nodes | 43 |
| 5.16 | Integral Image Computation Times | 44 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Cluster Specifications | 14 |
| 5.1 | Cluster Specifications relevant to performance | 33 |
| 5.2 | II Computation Time and Sub Window Load for each of the Resolutions | 41 |

Chapter 1

Introduction

The motivation for this work is to realise a concept of real-time video processing on a parallel computer. Image Processing promises intelligent systems that are able to communicate with humans better. However it is a very compute intensive process and requires specialised hardware to make implementation viable. Image Processing application exhibit inherent parallelism and so can be parallelised using multiple processors each doing some sub-task in a larger task thereby speeding up the operation. Using the analogy of a hole being dug by many workers - the more workers the faster it is completed, however one cannot have an infinite number of workers digging the same hole as in reality there are space restrictions around how infinite workers can be placed in a physical space and issues of how they collaborate with each other so they do not run into each other all the time. The same is true for parallel computing, the more computers there are on some task, the faster it can be performed, but like the workers it depends on how much interprocess communication exists between them, how often they have to synchronise and physical restrictions such as bandwidth and memory.

The objectives for this work are to build a system that demonstrates the use of multiple processors on an vision detection algorithm, and optimising where necessary algorithms and communication protocols to make the system as efficient as possible with the given resources. The vision is to have a reusable framework for medium sized mobile robotics such as humanoid size robot and autonomous vehicles to enable high speed image processing so to make them more aware of their surroundings (for e.g. localisation) and intelligent in they way they interact with humans (for e.g. gesture recognition).

The scope of the work includes using a particular form of vision detection algorithm, the Viola Jones method. Extensive research has been conducted on this topic and many resources are available from which to start from, these include work by Andre L. Barczak (supervisor of this thesis) for e.g. in [Barczak et al., 2005a] that provides a framework for hands detection (see chap. 2). Many types of architectures exist for computing, these include embedded microprocessors, desktop computing processors and special purpose hardware like FPGA and DSP processors. This work will focus on using commodity off the shelf hardware that is able to run linux out of the box and provide common interfaces such as USB for easy interfacing with easily available web camera hardware.

Chapter two reviews relevant literature and how it has shaped this work. The literature review is split into three categories, fundamental theory, software and hardware to de-lineate the different aspects of the project. First fundamental theory explains the workings of object

detection method used for this thesis and links some of the aspects of the algorithms to how it may function on parallel machines.

Past work is reviewed, including designs and proposals of architectures and systems for parallel image processing. This includes review of literature on parallel machines based on the Beowulf formula for achieving faster computing, their operation and construction.

A particular programming problem can be solved in many ways; either leveraging on already available application programming libraries or starting from scratch. The section on software infrastructure surveys available options such as Message Passing Interface messaging platform for communication.

The literature review concludes with a summary of all of the literature reviewed and what things were taken into consideration and how they effected this work.

Chapter three discusses the materials and methods used during for the development. The specifications of hardware chosen, why it was chosen and its general setup are outlined. The second part of the chapter details the software infrastructure used to develop the system. The distribution and its build system used to build the software and deploy the system is shown in detail. Testing tools allow one to improve the ability to check the functionality of some feature without having to actually deploy on the hardware. The various testing tools and how they were used in this work are discussed. Methods of benchmarking are shown and their results verified to show that all results are accurate are outlined towards the end of the chapter.

In chapter four a detailed description of the software, including the protocol and framework. At the lowest level the algorithms and packet structures are discussed. Synchronisation is an important aspect of any parallel programming, especially with communication protocols. Synchronisation over broadcast channels are explored, following by some conclusions on the approach. Finally the chapter concludes with a detailed description of the implementation of protocols designed around UDP, reusable framework and application programming interface that is exposed for the implementation of the system.

In chapter five results are presented. The chapter provides details of the setup of the system used for benchmarking the system. First isolated benchmarks of the protocols is presented conducted on a larger cluster to examine the effect of increased number of nodes. Following this, an examination of the hardware used for the embedded system is presented specifically related to rates at which it is able to compute algorithm related tasks.

Following isolated benchmarks, the results of the benchmarks on the completed system with all of the protocols and algorithms are shown with rigorous analysis and reflection of results.

Chapter six concludes the thesis by reviewing the results from chapter 5. A review of the work conducted and some perspectives on the various aspects are provided for anyone wishing to repeat a similar project. Finally the chapter concludes with future work and the various directions that can be taken from this point such as other object detection algorithms that can be performed on the system or modifications to the hardware to improve on the performance already gained.