

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Pre-implantation maternal uterine effects on
embryo growth and development: An
investigation using models of maternal
constraint in sheep**

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of:

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Animal Science

Massey University, Turitea, Palmerston North

New Zealand.

Lisanne Monique Fermin

2017

Primary supervisor

Dr. Sarah J. Pain

Co – supervisors

Professor Paul R. Kenyon

Professor Hugh T. Blair

Advisors

Professor Frank H. Bloomfield

Associate Professor Peter K. Dearden

Abstract

Prenatal development and growth are critical to survival of the fetus and neonate. Recent evidence suggests that a critical period for determining growth is the pre-implantation period of pregnancy during which differentiation, organogenesis and development of the embryo occur and the embryo is considerably vulnerable to uterine environmental factors. The objectives of the present study were to examine the effects of restrictive uterine environments on embryo development using two sheep models of maternal constraint: litter size and dam size, and to identify embryonic and maternally-driven mechanisms that regulate development of the peri-implantation sheep embryo.

Morphometric analysis (embryo length, width and heart bulge width) of the embryos in peri-implantation single and twin embryos was inconclusive; as was the transcriptomics analysis of whole embryos using RNA-seq to examine differential gene expression that may be responsible for differential regulation of growth.

In a dam size model, large-breed Suffolk embryos gestated in small-breed Cheviot ewes (constrained environment) were smaller than Suffolk embryos gestated in Suffolk ewes (control) at day 19 of pregnancy, confirming previous findings that maternal constraint is evident in early pregnancy when limitations of space are not of consequence. Progesterone administered in the post-ovulatory period, day 0 to 6, alleviates this apparent constraint such that Suffolk embryos gestated in Cheviot ewes that received progesterone are larger than those gestated in Cheviot ewes that did not. Further, differential gene expression analysis of maternal uterine tissues showed that at day 6 and day 19 endometrial genes that

encode for histotroph secretion and uterine receptivity are altered by post-ovulatory progesterone administration. Timing of administration of progesterone is critical not only to embryo growth but also to embryo survival. There were lower pregnancy rates in the ewes that received progesterone from day 0 than those that received progesterone from day 2.

The results of this thesis indicate that progesterone exerts its effects by regulation of genes that encode for uterine structural and secretory activity to advance the uterus. This likely forces the asynchronous embryo to accelerate its growth in order to adapt to its environment. These findings contribute to the knowledge of the regulatory mechanisms controlling early embryo growth and present a platform within the livestock industry and human reproductive technology practice to manipulate embryo growth to improve survival of offspring.

Acknowledgements

With an overwhelming sense of gratitude I would like to thank all those who supported and encouraged me through this journey to the successful completion of this thesis.

I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Sarah Pain, Professor Paul Kenyon and Professor Hugh Blair, firstly for the opportunity to work with such a great team, but without whose encouragement and support this thesis would not have been completed. Sarah, your patience, advice, support and guidance throughout all the stages of this process, from field work to write up has not gone un-noticed and for all of this I am truly grateful. Paul, your unwavering support, encouragement and advice are appreciated. Hugh, I cannot thank you enough for pushing me just that little bit further, by adding another perspective to my thought process and challenging me to think outside the box. This has made me a better researcher and writer.

Thank you to Professor Frank Bloomfield, Associate Professor Peter Dearden, Professor Patrick Morel, Dr Elizabeth Duncan, Dr Matthew Perrott, Dr Kristene Gedye, Dr Ana Meikle, Dr Mark Oliver, and Hui Hui Phua. All of you provided invaluable support at various levels of this thesis, from technical and laboratory (molecular biology and microscopy) skills, statistical analysis, and write-up. I would not have been able to accomplish this without all of your input.

I am very grateful to IVABS/International Sheep Research Centre team for all their help, particularly with the field work: Dean Burnham, Catriona Jenkins and Geoff Purchas for their assistance in managing those crazy Cheviot and Suffolk sheep and your dedication to the smooth running of my field trials. Thanks to Stephan Smith for your assistance with semen

Acknowledgements

collection for artificial insemination procedures, and my fellow post-graduates and colleagues who assisted in field work. Special thanks to Mr Ross Edwards and Mr Trevor Cook for their assistance with the AI and embryo transfer procedures. This project would not have been possible without your valuable expertise. I would also like to acknowledge Eric Thorstensen who did the analysis on the plasma samples. Debbie Hill I really appreciate your assistance with administrative work.

To numerous other colleagues who I had the opportunity to work with and learn new approaches or who just offered advice: Professor Tim Parkinson, Dr Rebecca Hickson, Dr Rene Corner-Thomas, Dr Anne Ridler and Dr Penny Back, thank you.

To the friends I made here in New Zealand: Claire Maxwell, Gaby Gronqvist, Antoinette Danso, Maria Loureiro, Lydia Cave, Heidi Jack, Amy Paten and Javier Roca. Thank you for sharing this journey with me. Many of you have also been in the pursuit of a PhD, and the support and understanding, the laughs, the tears, the hugs and the words of encouragement will not be forgotten.

The financial assistance provided through the funding of this project by grants from Gravida (National Centre for Growth and Development) and Massey University, Palmerston North, and PhD stipend from Gravida is acknowledged.

To my New Zealand “Trini” family: Marie Anne and Derek, thanks for the support and friendship. To my friends in Trinidad and the world over: Kathy, Shelly, Ria, Lisa- Marie, Jen, Heather, you ladies are amazing and I am grateful for your support and encouragement.

Finally, so much thanks and appreciation goes to my family, my parents: Margaret and Leroy, my brothers: Marc, Maurice and Martin, and my aunt Marilyn. Your constant and

never-ending love and support in spite of the distance means more to me than you can ever know. Thank you for believing in me!

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	viii
List of tables	xiv
List of figures	xviii
List of Abbreviations	xxii
1 Introduction	1
2 Embryonic development and maternal-embryonic interactions during early pregnancy: A review of literature	7
2.1 Preamble	9
2.2 Embryonic development in sheep: day 0 to 34.....	11
2.2.1 Period of the ovum: day 0 to 10	12
2.2.2 Embryonic period: day 11 to 34	14
2.2.3 Extra-embryonic (fetal) membranes	21
2.3 Embryo- maternal interactions: Factors involved in maternal constraint	27
2.3.1 Dam nutrition.....	28
2.3.2 Dam Age and Parity	29
2.3.3 Dam size.....	30
2.3.4 Litter size.....	35
2.4 Uterine adaptations to pregnancy: Structural, secretory and biochemical (cell signalling) function during embryo development	36
2.4.1 Structural and secretory adaptations	37
2.4.2 Cell signalling during embryo development	40
2.5 Progesterone regulated embryo maternal interactions	46
2.6 Summary.....	51
Foreword to Chapter 3	55
3 Comparison of pre-implantation single and twin embryo size and embryonic gene expression at day 21 of gestation	57
3.1 Abstract	59
3.2 Introduction.....	61

3.3 Materials and Methods	62
3.3.1 Experimental animals and design.....	63
3.3.2 Embryo morphometric measurements.....	66
3.3.3 Transcriptomic analysis of embryos.....	68
3.3.4 Quantitative real time PCR (qPCR) validation of transcriptomics results	73
3.4 Results	82
3.4.1 Pregnancy rates	82
3.4.2 Embryo morphometric data	85
3.4.3 RNA integrity	85
3.4.4 RNA-seq analysis.....	88
3.4.5 qPCR validation of RNA-seq data	88
3.4.6 Differentially expressed genes: qpCR analysis	89
3.5 Discussion.....	92
3.6 Summary and conclusions.....	96
Foreword to Chapters 4 and 5	99
4 Morphometric examination of pre-implantation embryos and maternal hormone profiles in Cheviot and Suffolk breeds of sheep	101
4.1 Abstract	103
4.2 Introduction	104
4.3 Materials and Methods.....	106
4.3.1 Experimental Animals and Design.....	106
4.3.2 Oestrus synchronisation and artificial insemination of recipients.....	107
4.3.3 Embryo harvest (day 19 and 21)	107
4.3.4 Embryo measurements	108
4.3.5 Blood sampling and hormonal assays	109
4.3.6 Statistical analysis.....	110
4.4 Results	111
4.4.1 Embryo morphometry.....	111
4.4.2 Uterine and ovarian weights and morphometric data	111
4.4.3 Ewe hormonal concentrations	113
4.5 Discussion.....	116
4.6 Summary and conclusions.....	119

5 Assessment of Cheviot and Suffolk embryo size and somite count as indicators of developmental stage and embryo growth	121
5.1 Abstract	123
5.2 Introduction.....	124
5.3 Materials and Methods	125
5.3.1 Experimental animals and design	125
5.3.2 Day 19 and Day 20 embryo harvest.....	126
5.3.3 Analysis of embryo developmental stage	126
5.3.4 Statistical analysis of embryo developmental stages and somite count	127
5.4 Results	130
5.5 Discussion	132
5.6 Summary and conclusions.....	134
Foreword to Chapters 6 to 9	135
6 Effect of administration of exogenous progesterone on embryo size and maternal plasma hormone concentrations in a dam size model of maternal constraint	137
6.1 Abstract	139
6.2 Introduction.....	140
6.3 Materials and Methods	142
6.3.1 Experimental animals and design.....	142
6.3.2 Donor protocol: Oestrus synchronisation, superovulation, artificial insemination-day 0 and embryo recovery-day 6	143
6.3.3 Recipient Protocol: Oestrus synchronisation, P4 treatment application, embryo transfer- day 6.....	145
6.3.4 Embryo Harvest- day 19	146
6.3.5 Blood sampling and hormone assays	147
6.3.6 Statistical analysis.....	148
6.4 Results	150
6.4.1 Embryo dimensions	150
6.4.2 Uterine and corpus luteum weights and morphometric data	151
6.4.3 Ewe hormonal measurements.....	151
6.5 Discussion	157
6.6 Summary and conclusions.....	160

7 Comparison of uterine gene expression in a Cheviot-Suffolk model of maternal constraint in response to exogenous administration of progesterone	163
7.1 Abstract	165
7.2 Introduction	167
7.3 Materials and Methods	169
7.3.1 Experimental animals and design	169
7.3.2 Uterine tissue collection- day 19	170
7.3.3 RNA preparation	170
7.3.4 Designing of primers and probes	174
7.3.5 Quantitative PCR reactions	186
7.3.6 Statistical analysis of RT-qPCR data	186
7.4 Results	187
7.5 Discussion	191
7.6 Summary and conclusions	199
8 Effect of timing of exogenous progesterone administration on embryo size and maternal progesterone concentration and pregnancy rate in a dam size model of maternal constraint	201
8.1 Abstract	203
8.2 Introduction	205
8.3 Materials and Methods	206
8.3.1 Experimental animals and design	207
8.3.2 Donor protocol: Oestrus synchronisation, superovulation, artificial insemination- day 0 and embryo recovery- day 6	208
8.3.3 Recipient Protocol: Oestrus synchronisation, P4 treatment application, embryo transfer- day 6	209
8.3.4 Embryo Harvest- day 19	210
8.3.5 Blood sampling and hormone assays	212
8.3.6 Statistical analysis	212
8.4 Results	213
8.4.1 Embryo morphometric measurements	213
8.4.2 Uterine and corpus luteum weights and morphometric data	214
8.4.3 Ewe progesterone concentrations	215
8.5 Discussion	220

8.6 Summary and conclusions.....	222
9 Effect of timing of exogenous progesterone administration during the post-ovulatory period of pregnancy on day 6 and day 19 uterine gene expression in a dam size model of maternal constraint.....	225
9.1 Abstract	227
9.2 Introduction.....	229
9.3 Materials and Methods	231
9.3.1 Experimental animals and design.....	231
9.3.2 Uterine tissue collection- day 6	233
9.3.3 Uterine tissue collection- day 19.....	233
9.3.4 RNA preparation	233
9.3.5 Designing of primers and probes.....	237
9.3.6 Quantitative PCR reactions.....	247
9.3.7 Statistical analysis of RT-qPCR data.....	248
9.4 Results	249
9.4.1 Differential gene expression of Day 6 uterine endometrium	249
9.4.2 Differential expression of Day 19 uterine horns	252
9.5 Discussion.....	258
9.6 Summary and conclusion	268
10 General Discussion	271
10.1 Overview of thesis.....	273
10.2 Summary of main findings and conclusions drawn	274
10.2.1 Embryo size and development in the peri-implantation period.....	274
10.2.2 Embryonic gene expression.....	275
10.2.3 Effects of progesterone on embryo growth	276
10.3 Methodological considerations.....	277
10.4 Recommendations for future research.....	280
10.5 Practical implications.....	283
10.6 Overall summary and conclusions	284
References	287
Appendices	315
Appendix I.....	316

Appendix II	317
Appendix III	318
Appendix IV	322
Appendix V	323
Appendix VI	324
Appendix VII	326
Appendix VIII	335
Appendix IX	336
Appendix X	338
Appendix XI	342

List of tables

Table 2.1 Development of the sheep embryo and extraembryonic membranes. Adapted from Bryden <i>et al.</i> , 1972; Evans and Sack, 1973.....	26
Table 2.2 The effect of ewe and embryo genotype combination on offspring weight and size following reciprocal embryo transfer between large genotype Suffolk sheep and small genotype Cheviot sheep at day 19 and 90 of gestation and at birth. CinC (Cheviot in Cheviot =small control), CinS (Cheviot in Suffolk = luxurious environment), SinS (Suffolk in Suffolk = large control), SinC (Suffolk in Cheviot = restricted environment). Table shows least square means (\pm SEM). Within a row, means with differing superscripts are different from each other ($p<0.05$).....	34
Table 3.1 Number of ewes that received embryo transfers at day 7, number of pregnant ewes (that did not return to estrus) and were euthanised at day 21 and number of ewes that satisfied embryo and CL number requirement for experimental group allocation and control groups.	66
Table 3.2 Candidate target and reference genes tested by qPCR. Gene ID, NCBI accession number, forward, reverse primer and probe sequences, amplicon sizes (base pairs, bp) and primer efficiency.	78
Table 3.3 Pregnancy rates (%) of embryo transfer groups and percentage of pregnant ewes that satisfied the requirements of the experimental group that they were allocated to.....	84
Table 3.4 Embryo length, width and heart bulge (HB) width of singleton and twin embryos that were transferred to recipients that either that had either 1 or 2 corpora lutea (CLs) and control singleton and twin embryos that were not transferred. Values are least square means \pm standard error of the mean. Different superscripts within columns indicate significant differences ($p<0.05$).	85
Table 3.5 Embryo RNA integrity and RNA concentration using Agilent 2100 bioanalyser using Agilent 6000 Nano Kit and NanoDrop 3.1.2 software (ND-1000 spectrophotometer, Biolab Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand) respectively.	87
Table 3.6 Correlation of gene expression fold changes determined by RNA-seq and reverse transcription quantitative PCR (qPCR) for embryo group comparisons. Comparisons that showed positive correlation ($p<0.05$) between methods are in bold.	89
Table 3.7 (A): Embryonic mRNA gene expression levels in single embryos transferred to ewes with 1CL (previously singleton bearing) (1E1CL) experimental ET group compared with control group singleton bearing ewes (Con1E1CL), and single embryos transferred to ewes	

with 2CLs (previously twin bearing)(1E2CL), twin embryos transferred to ewes with 1CL (2E1CL), and twin embryos transferred to ewes with 2CLs (2E2CL) experimental ET groups.	90
Table 3.7 (B): Embryonic mRNA gene expression levels in twin embryos transferred to ewes with 2CLs (previously twin bearing) (2E2CL) experimental ET group compared with control group twin bearing ewe (Con2E2CL), and twin embryos transferred to ewe with 1CL (previously singleton bearing) (2E1CL), single embryos transferred to a ewe with 2CLs (1E2CL), and twin embryo transferred to ewe with 1CLs (2E1CL) experimental ET groups. ...	91
Table 3.7 (C): Embryonic mRNA gene expression levels in embryos of control twin bearing ewes (Con2E2CL) compared to control singleton bearing ewes (Con1E1CL); embryos of all 1 embryo experimental groups compared to embryos of all 2 embryo experimental groups; embryos of all 1CL experimental groups compared to embryos of all 2CL experimental groups.	91
Table 4.1 Suffolk and Cheviot embryo measurements (embryo length, embryo width and heart bulge width) at Day19 and 21 of gestation.....	112
Table 4.2 Suffolk and Cheviot uterine weight, uterine body length and body width at day 19 and 21 of gestation.	112
Table 5.1 Characteristics of embryos used to assign a developmental score.	128
Table 6.1 The effect of recipient ewe breed, and progesterone (P4) treatment combination on embryo morphometry in sheep	151
Table 6.2 Plasma insulin and IGF1 concentrations (ng/ml) in Cheviot (C, n=20) and Suffolk (S, n=20) recipient ewes, in untreated (nP4, n=20) or progesterone supplemented (P4, n=20) recipient ewes, and on days 0, 3 and 6 (n=40).	156
Table 7.1 Candidate and reference gene ID, accession number, forward and reverse primer sequences, amplicon sizes (base pairs, bp) and primer efficiency tested by reverse-transcription PCR (RT-qPCR).	176
Table 7.2 Pregnancy day 19 uterine horn mRNA expression levels in pregnant Cheviot ewes that were and were not administered exogenous progesterone from day 0-6 (CP4 and CnP4) and pregnant Suffolk ewes that were administered exogenous progesterone from day 0-6 (SP4) for combined ipsilateral and contralateral to CL horns (left) and for horn ipsilateral to the CL only (right). Fold change is expressed relative to levels in control Suffolk ewes that were not administered exogenous progesterone (SnP4, n=18; n=9 for combined and horn ipsilateral to the CL respectively). Data is normalised with <i>RPL19</i> , <i>SF1</i> and <i>TBP</i> . Data is shown as fold change with 95% confidence intervals (given in parenthesis). If confidence intervals do not include 1, then mRNA expression levels are significantly different from SnP4	

control (bold). Different superscripts indicate that mRNA expression levels differ between CnP4, CP4 and SP4 treatment groups ($p < 0.05$).190

Table 7.3 Pregnancy day 19 uterine horn mRNA expression levels in pregnant Cheviot ewes that were and were not administered exogenous progesterone from day 0-6 (CP4 and CnP4) and pregnant Suffolk ewes that were administered exogenous progesterone from day 0-6 (SP4) for uterine horns contralateral to ovary containing the CL. Fold change is expressed relative to levels in control Suffolk ewes that were not administered exogenous progesterone (SnP4, $n=9$). Data is normalised with *RPL19*, *SF1* and *TBP*. Data is shown as fold change with 95% confidence intervals (given in parenthesis). If confidence intervals do not include 1, then mRNA expression levels are significantly different from SnP4 control (bold). Different superscripts indicate that mRNA expression levels differ between CnP4, CP4 and SP4 treatment groups ($p < 0.05$).191

Table 8.1 The effect of time of progesterone (P4) treatment on day 19 embryo morphometry in sheep214

Table 8.2 Day 0-6 plasma progesterone (P4) concentrations (ng/mL) in Cheviot ewes that did and did not receive exogenous P4 and Suffolk ewes that did not receive exogenous P4 via intravaginal CIDR for various time periods from day 0 to day 6.....217

Table 9.1 Candidate and reference gene ID, accession number, forward and reverse primer sequences, amplicon sizes (base pairs, bp) and primer efficiency tested by reverse-transcription PCR (RT-qPCR).239

Table 9.2 Pregnancy day 6 uterine horn mRNA expression levels in pregnant Cheviot ewes that were and were not administered exogenous progesterone for various time periods from day 0-6 (CP40-3, CP40-6 CP42-4, CP43-6 and CP4n) for horns contralateral to ovary containing the CL. Fold change is expressed relative to levels in control Suffolk ewes that were not administered exogenous progesterone (SP4n, $n=7$). Data is normalised with *RPL19*, *SF1* and *TBP*. Data is shown as fold change with 95% confidence intervals (given in parenthesis). If confidence intervals do not include 1, then mRNA expression levels are significantly different from SnP4 control (bold). Different superscripts indicate that mRNA expression levels differ between CP40-3, CP40-6 CP42-4, CP43-6 and CP4n treatment groups ($p < 0.05$).251

Table 9.3 Pregnancy day 19 uterine horn mRNA expression levels in in pregnant Cheviot (C) ewes that were and were not administered exogenous progesterone (P4) for various time periods from day 0-6 (CP4⁰⁻³, CP4⁰⁻⁶ CP4²⁻⁴, CP4³⁻⁶ and CnP4) for combined uterine horns. Fold change is expressed relative to levels in control Suffolk (S) ewes that were not administered exogenous progesterone (SnP4, $n=14$). Data is normalised with *RPL19*, *SF1* and *TBP*. Data is shown as fold change with 95% confidence intervals (given in parenthesis). If confidence intervals do not include 1, then mRNA expression levels are significantly

different from SnP4 control (bold). Different superscripts indicate that mRNA expression levels differ between CP4⁰⁻³, CP4⁰⁻⁶ CP4²⁻⁴, CP4³⁻⁶ and CnP4 treatment groups (p<0.05)..... 254

Table 9.4 Pregnancy day 19 uterine horn mRNA expression levels in pregnant Cheviot (C) ewes that were and were not administered exogenous progesterone (P4) for various time periods from day 0-6 (CP4⁰⁻³, CP4⁰⁻⁶ CP4²⁻⁴, CP4³⁻⁶ and CnP4) for horns ipsilateral to the ovary containing the CL. Fold change is expressed relative to levels in control Suffolk (S) ewes that were not administered exogenous progesterone (SnP4, n=6). Data is normalised with *RPL19*, *SF1* and *TBP*. Data is shown as fold change with 95% confidence intervals (given in parenthesis). If confidence intervals do not include 1, then mRNA expression levels are significantly different from SnP4 control (bold). Different superscripts indicate that mRNA expression levels differ between CP4⁰⁻³, CP4⁰⁻⁶ CP4²⁻⁴, CP4³⁻⁶ and CnP4 treatment groups (p<0.05)..... 255

Table 9.5 Pregnancy day 19 uterine horn mRNA expression levels in pregnant Cheviot (C) ewes that were and were not administered exogenous progesterone (P4) for various time periods from day 0-6 (CP4⁰⁻³, CP4⁰⁻⁶ CP4²⁻⁴, CP4³⁻⁶ and CnP4) for horns contralateral to the ovary containing the CL. Fold change is expressed relative to levels in control Suffolk (S) ewes that were not administered exogenous progesterone (SP4ⁿ, n=6). Data is normalised with *RPL19*, *SF1* and *TBP*. Data is shown as fold change with 95% confidence intervals (given in parenthesis). If confidence intervals do not include 1, then mRNA expression levels are significantly different from SnP4 control (bold). Different superscripts indicate that mRNA expression levels differ between CP4⁰⁻³, CP4⁰⁻⁶ CP4²⁻⁴, CP4³⁻⁶ and CnP4 treatment groups (p<0.05)..... 256

List of figures

- Figure 2.1** Development of the pre-implanted embryo from zygote (2-cell stage) to hatching: cleavage, compaction to morula stage and formation of blastocoele cavity. (Adapted from Anonymous).....14
- Figure 2.2** Illustration of mammalian gastrulation. Cells separate from the central part of the ectoderm and move into the interior of the embryo, becoming endoderm and mesoderm. (Adapted from College of Arts and Science, The University of Tokyo, 2011).....16
- Figure 2.3** Arrangement of mid-gestation extraembryonic membranes of the sheep (Latshaw, 1987).....23
- Figure 2.4** Formation of the extra-embryonic membranes (pig blastocyst model): a-d transverse sections showing the differentiation of the mesoderm from the embryonic disc, delamination of the mesoderm, and folding of the trophoctoderm and mesoderm to form amnion and yolk sac. e-f longitudinal sections of allantois lined by endoderm and mesoderm, with further expansion to fuse with chorion. (Adapted from Guillomot *et al.*, 1993)25
- Figure 2.5** Illustration of dam size model experimental design of maternal constraint using reciprocal embryo transfer between large genotype Suffolk and small genotype Cheviot sheep to produce a restricted and a luxurious uterine environment. SinS: Suffolk embryos gestated in Suffolk ewes, SinC: Suffolk embryos gestated in Cheviot ewes, CinS: Cheviot embryos gestated in Suffolk ewes, CinC: Cheviot embryos gestated in Cheviot ewes. (Adapted from Sharma *et al.*, 2010).33
- Figure 2.6** Illustration of hormonal regulation and integrated signalling between embryo-uterine inter-face during early pregnancy. (Spencer *et al.*, 2006). In cyclic ewes circulating oestrogen increases expression of oestrogen receptor (*ESR1*) and oxytocin receptor (*OXTR*) present on the luminal epithelium (LE) and superficial glandular epithelium (sGE) during oestrus and metoestrus. At the same time circulating levels of progesterone are inadequate to activate progesterone receptors (*PGR*) to cause the suppression of *ESR1* and *OXTR*. Maturation of the corpus luteum (CL) during early dioestrus increases circulating progesterone, activating *PGR* with resulting suppression of *ESR1* and *OXTR* for 8 to 10 days, in combination with low oestrogen. Continuous progesterone exposure results in down-regulation of *PGR* in LE and sGE (days 11 and 12) ending the progesterone block of *ESR1* and *OXTR*. This is followed by increased *ESR1* and subsequent induction of *OXTR* by oestrogen (day 13 and 14), allowing oxytocin secreted by the pituitary and CL to bind to *OXTR* resulting in luteolytic pulses of $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ via a prostaglandin synthase 2 (*PTGS2*) pathways. In pregnant sheep, interferon tau ($\text{INF-}\tau$), secreted by the elongating conceptus from day 11 to 25 of

pregnancy, binds to type 1 INF receptors (IFNAR) on LE and sGE thereby inhibiting ESR1 via IFN regulatory factor 2 (IRF2) signalling pathway. This prevents ESR1 expression and thereby inhibits the ability of oestrogen to induce OXTR expression and pulsatile release of PGF_{2α}, abrogating luteolysis..... 43

Figure 2.7 Mean progesterone concentration in the peripheral plasma of sheep during the oestrous cycle (Thorburn *et al.*, 1969)..... 47

Figure 2.8 Mean progesterone concentration in the peripheral plasma of sheep throughout pregnancy (Bassett *et al.*, 1969). 47

Figure 3.1 Image of day 19 and 21 sheep embryo showing the measurements of embryo length, embryo width and heart bulge width. Embryo length (pink) = distance from the medial aspect of the head to the tip of the embryonic tail, following the outer curvature of the embryo. Embryo width (green) = distance between the two widest points of embryos with the line passing just below and not including the heart bulge but including somites. Heart bulge width (blue) = distance between the two widest points of the heart bulge with the line passing through the midsection of the heart bulge and excluding somites. 67

Figure 3.2 Representative standard curve for *RPL19* gene. Cycle threshold (Ct) values for *RPL19* are represented on the Y axis, and log₁₀ values of cDNA dilutions are represented on the X axis. R² represents the line of best fit through the points. E is the corresponding real time amplification efficiency. 81

Figure 3.3 Electropherogram illustration of 18S and 28S RNA detected by the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser in whole singleton and twin embryos. Quantity of 28S and 18S RNA is represented by upper and lower bands respectively. Two intact bands indicate that the RNA is not degraded and is of acceptable quality for RNA sequencing. 86

Figure 4.1 Image of day 19 and 21 sheep embryo showing the measurements of embryo length, embryo width and heart bulge width. Embryo length (pink) = distance from the medial aspect of the head to the tip of the embryonic tail, following the outer curvature of the embryo. Embryo width (green) = distance between the two widest points of embryos with the line passing just below and not including the heart bulge but including somites. Heart bulge width (blue) = distance between the two widest points of the heart bulge with the line passing through the midsection of the heart bulge and excluding somites. 109

Figure 4.2 Plasma progesterone concentrations in Suffolk and Cheviot ewes from day 0 to day 21 of pregnancy. Values are least squares means with standard error of the means... 114

Figure 4.3 Plasma IGF1 concentrations in Suffolk and Cheviot ewes from day 0 to day 21 of pregnancy. Values are least squares means with standard error of the means..... 114

Figure 4.4 Plasma insulin concentrations in Suffolk and Cheviot ewes from day 0 to day 21 of pregnancy. Values are least squares means with standard error of the means.	115
Figure 4.5 Figure 4.5 Plasma adiponectin concentrations in Suffolk and Cheviot ewes from day 0 to day 21 of pregnancy. Values are least squares means with standard error of the means.	115
Figure 5.1 Illustration of an embryo at with a developmental score of “1”.	128
Figure 5.2 Illustration of an embryo at with a developmental score of “2”.	129
Figure 5.3 Illustration of an embryo at with a developmental score of “3”.	129
Figure 5.4 Correlation of embryo length and somite number in A: day 19 and B: day 21 embryos.	131
Figure 6.1 Plasma progesterone concentrations (ng/ml) in Cheviot and Suffolk recipient ewes either untreated (C, n=10; S, n=10) or supplemented with progesterone (CP4, n=10; SP4, n=10) via intravaginal CIDR from day 0 to day 6.....	152
Figure 6.2 Concentrations of A: progesterone (P4 (ng/ml), B: oestradiol (pg/ml), C: insulin (ng/ml and D: IGF1 (ng/ml) in the peripheral plasma of pregnant Cheviot and Suffolk ewes treated with exogenous P4 (CP4, n=7; SP4, n=5) compared to ewes that did not receive P4 (C, n=9; S, n=10) at day 19.	153
Figure 6.3 Plasma oestradiol concentrations (pg/ml) in Cheviot and Suffolk recipient ewes either untreated (CnP4, n=10; SnP4, n=10) or supplemented with progesterone (CP4, n=10; SP4, n=10) via intravaginal CIDR from day 0 to 6.	154
Figure 7.1 LB agar plate of <i>E.coli</i> -plasmid suspension. White colonies are the transformed competent cells with the inserted plasmid. Blue colonies are non-transformed cells.	180
Figure 7.2 Graphical output of the reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (Rt-qPCR) five point dilution series for measuring primer efficiency. Amplification plot over cycles (top), melt curve (middle) and standard curve (bottom) for Insulin like growth factor 1 (<i>IGF-1</i>) using 1:10, 1:100, 1:1000, 1:10000, and 1:100000 diluted plasmid. The efficiency of the standard curve is 1.01 (101%) which is an acceptable level with a strong linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.996$). There is only one peak in the melt curve indicating good specificity due to only one PCR product being amplified. Therefore the primer is acceptable for use in RT-qPCR to measure gene expression.	185
Figure 8.1 Day 0-6 plasma progesterone (P4) concentrations (ng/mL) in Cheviot ewes that did and did not receive exogenous P4 for various time periods from day 0 to day 6 (CP40-3	

(n=14), CP40-6 (n=12), CP42-4 (n=15), CP43-6 (n=14) CnP4 (n=12)) and Suffolk ewes that did not receive exogenous P4 (SnP4 (n=13)) via intravaginal CIDR..... 218

Figure 8.2 Day 19 concentrations of progesterone (ng/mL) in the peripheral plasma of Cheviot ewes that did and did not receive exogenous P4 for various time periods during day 0-6 (CP40-3, n=5; CP40-6, n=4; CP42-4, n=13; CP43-6, n=13; CnP4, n=11) and Suffolk ewes that did not receive P4 (SnP4, n=11). Values are least squares means \pm SEM. 219

List of Abbreviations

ACTB = Beta actin

AI = Artificial insemination

BNC = Binucleate cells

Bp = Base pair

C = Cheviot

cDNA = Complementary Deoxyribonucleic acid

CIDR = Controlled intravaginal progesterone drug releasing device

CL/s = corpus luteum/corpora lutea

Con1E1CL = Control singleton bearing ewe (single CL), no embryo transfer

Con 2E2CL = Control twin bearing ewe (two CLs), no embryo transfer

COX2 = Cyclooxygenase 2

CP4 = Cheviot ewe that receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

CnP4 = Cheviot ewe that did not receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

Ct = Quantification cycle

CTSL = Cathepsin L

CV% = coefficient of variance

DEG = differentially expressed gene

DGAT2 = diacylglycerol-O-acyltransferase

DKK4 = Dickkopf WNT signalling pathway inhibitor 4

EL = embryo length: distance from the medial aspect of the head to the tip of the embryonic tail, following the outer curvature of the embryo

ET = Embryo transfer

EGF = Epidermal growth factor

ER/ESR1 = Estrogen receptors

EW = Embryo width: distance between the two widest points of the embryo with the line passing just below the heart bulge

FDR = False discovery rate

FGF1 = Fibroblast growth factor 1

FGF2 = Fibroblast growth factor 2

FGF7 = Fibroblast growth factor 7

FGF10 = Fibroblast growth factor 10

GAPDH = Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

GE = Glandular epithelium

GH = placental growth hormone

HB = Heart bulge width: distance between the two widest points of the heart bulge with the line passing through the midsection of the heart bulge

HGF = Hepatocyte growth factor

List of abbreviations

HPRT = Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1

IGFs = Insulin like growth factors

IGF1 = Insulin like growth factor 1

IGF2 = Insulin like growth factor 2

IGF1R = Insulin like growth factor 1 receptor

INF τ = Interferon tau

INFAR = Type 1 interferon receptors

IRF2 = Interferon regulatory factor 2

ISG17 = Interferon stimulated gene 17

IUGR = Intrauterine growth restriction

IV = Intravenous

LAPTM5 = Lysosomal-associated protein transmembrane 5

LE = Endometrial luminal epithelium

LGALS3 = Lectin galactoside-binding, soluble 3

LGALS15 = Endometrial galectin 15/ Lectin galactoside-binding soluble 15

LOC101103603 = Pregnancy associated glycoprotein-4 like

LOC101117738 = Pregnancy associated glycoprotein-1 like

LRRC32 = Leucine rich repeat containing 32

MET = C-met proto-oncogene

mRNA = Messenger RNA

MSTN = Myostatin

MUC1 = Mucin glycoprotein 1

NFW = Nuclease free water

OXTR = Oxytocin receptor

PBS = Phosphate buffered saline

PCR = Polymerase chain reaction

PGF_{2α} = Prostaglandin F_{2α}

PL = Placental lactogen

PGR = Progesterone receptors

PTGS2 = Prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase 2

P4 = Progesterone

qPCR = Quantitative real time PCR

RIN = RNA Integrity number

RNA = Ribonucleic acid

RPL19 = Ribosomal protein L 19

RSAD2 = Radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2

RT = Reverse transcriptase

RT-qPCR = Reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR

S = Suffolk

SinCP4 = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Cheviot ewe that receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

SinCP4⁰⁻³ = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Cheviot ewe that receive progesterone from day 0 to day 3 of pregnancy

SinCP4⁰⁻⁶ = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Cheviot ewe that receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

SinCP4²⁻⁴ = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Cheviot ewe that receive progesterone from day 2 to day 4 of pregnancy

SinCP4³⁻⁶ = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Cheviot ewe that receive progesterone from day 3 to day 6 of pregnancy

SinCnP4 = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Cheviot ewe that did not receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

SinSP4 = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Suffolk ewe that received progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

SinSnP4 = Suffolk embryo that was gestated in a Suffolk ewe that did not receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

SERPIN = Uterine serine proteinase inhibitor/ Uterine milk proteins

sGE = Superficial glandular epithelium

SPP1 = Secreted phosphoprotein 1/osteopontin

SP4 = Suffolk ewe that received progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

SnP4 = Suffolk ewe that did not receive progesterone from day 0 to day 6 of pregnancy

TGF = Transforming growth factor

TKDP = Trophoblast Kunitz domain protein-1

TP1 = Trophoblast protein 1

UGKO = Uterine gland knock out

UTMP = Uterine Milk Proteins

YWHAZ = Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein zeta.

1E1CL = Singleton embryos (harvested from a ewe with a single CL) transferred into a ewe that was also identified as having a single CL, and a single embryo that was removed

1E2CL = Singleton embryos (harvested from a ewe with a single CL) transferred into a ewe that was identified as having two CLs, and twin embryos that were removed

2E1CL = Twin embryos (harvested from a ewe with two CLs) transferred into a ewe that was identified as having a single CL, and single embryo that was removed

2E2CL = Twin embryos (harvested from a ewe with two CLs) transferred into a ewe that was also identified as having two CLs, and twin embryos that were removed

