

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Te Pūtaiao o Tokoriro:

***Taxonomy and diversity of New Zealand
cave wētā (Orthoptera; Rhabdophoridae)***

A thesis presented in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In Zoology

at

Massey University, Manawatū New Zealand

Josephine Fitness

2016

Abstract

Species are the fundamental unit for ecology and evolution. Taxonomy, the naming of species, grapples with the problem of accurately representing these fundamental units. In this research I targeted a group of understudied and undervalued insects that are common throughout New Zealand. This work focuses on Rhaphidophoridae, a family of Orthoptera found globally, but the diversity in New Zealand is poorly understood and poorly described. I have been the first to use high specimen numbers in order to establish within and between species differences of New Zealand cave wētā. I have established the importance of multiple taxonomic methods. At no stage was the aim to fully resolve all issues, but rather to identify morphological characters that are useful in distinguishing species, and integrating mtDNA sequence data to test species hypotheses.

I focused first on cave wētā specimens that came from a biodiversity study but had not been identified to genus or species. I was able to identify characters that could distinguish between the taxa present in this sample and developed a method that could be transferred to other locations. Two key findings were that multiple cave wētā species co-exist across a range of habitats and that variation in abundance was species dependent. Of importance was my finding that juveniles cannot be distinguished and placed with their correct adult form due to changes in both subgenital plate shape and apical spines.

From three regions in North Island New Zealand I was able to distinguish and identify fourteen putative cave wētā species. mtDNA sequence data were used to test putative species clusters identified by morphology and allowed me to confidently pair male and female specimens. Combinations of apical leg spines and subgenital plate shape could consistently diagnose most taxa. Many of the species are new to science. Therefore I described three new species in the genus *Neonetus*. I reviewed our current knowledge of the endemic genera *Pleioplectron*, *Weta* and *Miotopus* and based on evidence from mtDNA sequences and large samples I was able to clarify current species and describe one new *Miotopus* species. As with many insect species, male terminalia are the key to distinguishing among species, and species within the same genus have similar female subgenital plates.

Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to acknowledge the support and encouragement of my supervisors Professor Steve Trewick and Associate Professor Mary Morgan-Richards. They have been extremely supportive of my work and project even though I was living out of town, and had two kids to look after. This would never have been possible without them.

I would also like to acknowledge the Ministry of Business and Innovation for the scholarship Te Putaio Fellowship. This three year funding made it possible to carry out and complete this project from start to finish. Also an acknowledgement to the support of the University and supervisors who also provided financial support to complete this project.

There are so many people to acknowledge for their contribution and support throughout the project. Firstly a big thanks to the rest of the Phoenix lab who at times did some lab work extracting and sequencing DNA for cave wētā even though they have never met me. Without the help of this group some things just would not have happened. For those who helped in field collecting of specimens a big thanks to Adam Sullivan, Shaun Nielson, Briar Taylor-Smith, Eddy Dowle, Tash, Lewis Fitness. Also a big thanks to those who have provided cave wētā specimens over the years to Steve and Mary from various locations around the country, especially Mike Lusk, Lorraine Cook, Oliver Ball, and Steve and Mary's extensive collecting themselves. I would also like to thank Oliver Ball again for his help in providing a large sample from a biodiversity study for a major component of this project. Also to Johnathan Godfrey for his help in some analyses and contribution for publication.

I have had some huge support from both my family and my husband's family. They have had to deal with my stress on numerous occasions and looking after my kids while I have been out in the field or at Massey University. Without their help I would have been lost. So thanks to my parents Sarah and Phillip and my in-laws Steph and Gary.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables and Figures	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
A general introduction to biodiversity, taxonomy and Rhabdophoridae on the global and national level.	
Chapter 2 Far North	27
This is a paper written and published based on research looking at cave wētā from the Far North and how we are able to distinguish species. Some ecological data was used as well due to the conditions of the initial biodiversity survey for the area.	
<i>Corrigendum: Figure 2.5 correction</i>	
	57
<i>Statement of contribution</i>	
	59
Chapter 3 Three locations	61
This chapter looks at three regions around the North Island and compares the species that are found in forest in these regions.	

Chapter 4 <i>Neonetus</i>	95
A closer look at species in the genus <i>Neonetus</i> and descriptions of new species discovered.	
Chapter 5 <i>Pleioplectron</i>	131
A closer look at the two genera <i>Pleioplectron</i> , <i>Weta</i> and <i>Miotopus</i> and new species descriptions.	
Chapter 6 Conclusion	155
The summary of this research and where to next.	
Appendix 1	167
Appendix 2	175

List of Figures and Tables

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1	Map of global diversity of raphidophoridae	7
Table 1.1	List of subfamilies of Rhabdiophodiae worldwide	8
Table 1.2	List of New Zealand cave wētā literature	15

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1	Map of the Te Paki region showing collection sites	32
Figure 2.2	Nomenclature of cave wētā leg spines	40
Figure 2.3	Lateral view of four Te Paki cave wētā	41
Figure 2.4	Principle component analysis	43
Figure 2.5	ubgenital plates of four Te Paki cave wētā	44
Figure 2.6	Monthly counts of wētā in pitfall traps	46
Table 2.1	Spine combinations for the four species	35
Table 2.2	t-Test comparing size	39
Table 2.3	P-values of comparison of mean lengths	42
Table 2.4	Linear model	47

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1	Map of Regions	68
Figure 3.2	Observed cave wētā phenotypes	72
Figure 3.3	Neighbour-joining phylogeny of specimens	82
Figure 3.4	MtDNA minimum spanning network	83
Figure 3.5	Morphological and genetic evidence	84
Table 3.1	Distinct phenotypes	71
Table 3.2	Phenotype data	73
Table 3.3	Subgenital plates	76
Table 3.4	Dilimitation table	81

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1	Location of spines	99
Figure 4.2	<i>Neonetes</i> Phylogeny	109
Figure 4.3	<i>Neonetes variegatus</i>	113
Figure 4.4	<i>N. variegatus</i> subgenital plate	113
Figure 4.5	<i>N. huttoni</i>	117
Figure 4.6	<i>N. huttoni</i> subgenital plate	117
Figure 4.7	<i>N. CIRRATUS</i> sp. nov.	118
Figure 4.8	<i>N. CIRRATUS</i> subgenital plate	120
Figure 4.9	<i>N. UNCINUS</i> sp. nov.	123
Figure 4.10	<i>N. UNCINUS</i> subgenital plate	124
Figure 4.11	<i>N. PATERLONGIPES</i> sp. nov.	126
Figure 4.12	<i>N. PATERLONGIPES</i> subgenital plate	127
Figure 4.13	Distribution of <i>Neonetes</i> species	128
Table 4.1	List of cave wētā species	103
Table 4.2	List of spine characters for the species	109

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1	Scatterplot of pronotum length vs hind femur	137
Figure 5.2	<i>Pleiopectron hudsoni</i>	146
Figure 5.3	<i>P. hudsoni</i> subgenital plate	146
Figure 5.4	<i>Miotopus diversus</i>	148
Figure 5.5	<i>M. diversus</i> subgenital plate	149
Figure 5.6	<i>M. RICHARDSI</i> sp. nov.	152
Figure 5.7	<i>M. RICHARDSI</i> subgenital plate	152
Figure 5.8	Distribution of <i>Pleiopectron</i> and <i>Miotopus</i> species	153
Table 5.1	Morphology data	139
Table 5.2	Summary/authority of species	142

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1	Summary of genera	161
-------------------	-------------------	------------

