Provided by Massey Research Online

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# INTEGRATED AND ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL FOR DIAMOND INTERCHANGE

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy** 

in

Mechatronics Engineering

at
Massey University, Albany
New Zealand

Cao Van Pham

February 2017

### **ABSTRACT**

New dynamic signal control methods such as fuzzy logic and artificial intelligence developed recently mainly focused on isolated intersection. Adaptive signal control based on fuzzy logic control (FLC) determines the duration and sequence that traffic signal should stay in a certain state, before switching to the next state (Trabia et al. 1999, Pham 2013). The amount of arriving and waiting vehicles are quantized into fuzzy variables and fuzzy rules are used to determine if the duration of the current state should be extended. The fuzzy logic controller showed to be more flexible than fixed controllers and vehicle actuated controllers, allowing traffic to flow more smoothly. The FLC does not possess the ability to handle various uncertainties especially in real world traffic control. Therefore it is not best suited for stochastic nature problems such as traffic signal timing optimization. However, probabilistic logic is the best choice to handle the uncertainties containing both stochastic and fuzzy features (Pappis and Mamdani 1977)

Probabilistic fuzzy logic control is developed for the signalised control of a diamond interchange, where the signal phasing, green time extension and ramp metering are decided in response to real time traffic conditions, which aim at improving traffic flows on surface streets and highways. The probabilistic fuzzy logic for diamond interchange (PFLDI) comprises three modules: probabilistic fuzzy phase timing (PFPT) that controls the green time extension process of the current running phase, phase selection (PSL) which decides the next phase based on the pre-setup phase logic by the local transport authority and, probabilistic fuzzy ramp-metering (PFRM) that determines on-ramp metering rate based on traffic conditions of the arterial streets and highways. We used Advanced Interactive Microscopic Simulator for Urban and Non-Urban Network (AIMSUN) software for diamond interchange modeling and performance measure of effectiveness for the PFLDI algorithm. PFLDI was compared with actuated diamond interchange (ADI) control based on ALINEA algorithm and conventional fuzzy logic diamond interchange algorithm (FLDI). Simulation results show that the PFLDI surpasses the traffic actuated and conventional fuzzy models with lower System Total Travel Time, Average Delay and improvements in Downstream Average Speed and Downstream Average Delay.

On the other hand, little attention has been given in recent years to the delays experienced by cyclists in urban transport networks. When planning changes to traffic signals or making other network changes, the value of time for cycling trips is rarely considered. The traditional approach to road management has been to only focus on improving the carrying capacity relating to vehicles, with an emphasis on maximising the speed and volume of motorised traffic moving around the network. The problem of cyclist delay has been compounded by the fact that the travel time for cyclists have been lower than those for vehicles, which affects benefit—cost ratios and effectively provides a disincentive to invest in cycling issues compared with other modes. The issue has also been influenced by the way in which traffic signals have been set up and operated. Because the primary stresses on an intersection tend to occur during vehicle (commuter) peaks in the morning and afternoon, intersections tend to be set up and coordinated to allow maximum flow during these peaks. The result is that during off-peak periods there is often spare capacity that is underutilised. Phasing and timings set up for peaks may not provide the optimum benefits during off-peak times. This is particularly important to cyclists during lunch-time peaks, when vehicle volumes are low and cyclist volumes are high. Cyclists can end up waiting long periods of time as a result of poor signal phasing, rather than due to the demands of other road users being placed on the network.

The outcome of this study will not only reduce the traffic congestion during peak hours but also improve the cyclists' safety at a typical diamond interchange.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Peter Xu and Dr. Fakhrul Alam for mentoring and providing me with knowledge in this field. I am really grateful for the invitation to do my Doctor of Engineering. Both gave me the great feeling of being an essential part of intelligent transportation system research group. I am especially thankful for providing me with the opportunities in attending and presenting papers at conferences during my study as well as support for my thesis work.

Secondly, I would like to thank Ass. Prof. Johan Potgieter and Ass. Prof. Clara Fang for their valuable comments and supporting during my time of study.

Gratitude is also expressed to the staffs of Auckland Traffic Operation and Management Unit, North Shore City Council, Transit NZ for their valuable data and support.

I am very grateful to Massey University, for providing the scholarship during my study.

Furthermore, I would like to thank my best friend Xuefeng Yu (Aaron) for his support.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents and my sister who have been behind all my achievements in life.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Diamond Interchanges Problems	1
1.2 Adaptive Signal Control	1
1.3 Fuzzy Logic Signal Control	2
1.4 Probabilistic Fuzzy Logic Control	3
1.5 The importance of Bicycle Signal at a Diamond Interchange	4
1.6 Objectives of The Thesis	5
1.0 Objectives of the thesis	
1.7 Thesis Overview	
	6
1.7 Thesis Overview	6
1.7 Thesis Overview  CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	
1.7 Thesis Overview  CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	
1.7 Thesis Overview  CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	
1.7 Thesis Overview	
1.7 Thesis Overview	
1.7 Thesis Overview	
1.7 Thesis Overview  CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW  2.1 Traffic Signal Control Fundamental  2.2 Fuzzy Control in Traffic Management  2.3 Bicycle Literature Review  2.3.1 Policy  2.3.2 Safety and Compliance  2.3.3 Bicycle Operation Fundamental	
1.7 Thesis Overview  CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW  2.1 Traffic Signal Control Fundamental  2.2 Fuzzy Control in Traffic Management  2.3 Bicycle Literature Review  2.3.1 Policy  2.3.2 Safety and Compliance  2.3.3 Bicycle Operation Fundamental  2.3.4 Cyclist Crash Prediction Modeling	

CHAPTER 3 – PROBABILISITC FUZZY DIAMOND INTERCHANC	GE24
3.1 Methodology	24
3.1.1 The Interchange and Detectors Placement	24
3.1.2 The Interchange Signal and Phasing	25
3.1.3 Probabilistic Fuzzy Signal	27
73.1.4 Design of Probabilistic Fuzzy Logic for a Diamond Interchang	e28
3.1.5 Probabilistic Fuzzy Ramp-metering (PFRM)	30
3.2 Algorithm Implementation	39
3.2.1 Parameters for Implementing PFLDI Algorithm	39
3.2.2 Framework of PFLDI Algorithm Implementation	40
3.3 Using Simulation To Evaluate The PFLDI Algorithm	42
3.3.1 Simulation Evaluation Procedure	42
3.3.2 Microscopic Traffic Simulation Model	42
3.3.3 Calibration of Selected Simulation Model	46
3.3.4 Traffic Turning	47
3.3.5 Simulation Assumption	47
3.3.6 Driver and Vehicle Information	47
3.3.7 Detector Type and Placement	48
3.3.8 Dynamic Scenario Setup	50
3.3.9 Simulation of the PFLDI Algorithm in AIMSUN	51
3.4 Comparisons of Different Signal Control Methods	55
3.4.1 Overview	55
3.4.2 Performance Measure of Effectiveness	55
3.4.3 Simulation Pictures	56
3.4.5 Traffic Demand Information	57
3.4.6 Comparison for Low Traffic Demand	58

3.4.7 Comparison for Medium Traffic Demand	60
3.4.8 Comparison for High and Extreme Traffic Demand	61
3.5 Summary	63
CHAPTER 4 – CYCLIST SAFETY AND PLANNING	66
4.1 Safety	66
4.1.1 Safety	66
4.1.2 Compliance	67
4.2 New Zealand Bicycle-Vehicle Crashes Statistics (2004-2008)	69
4.3 New Zealand Cyclist Safety Statistics	70
4.4 Types of Crash	73
4.5 Bicycle Planning	73
4.6 Current Bicycle Infrastructure in Auckland Region	75
4.7 Bicycle Design Guidelines	79
4.7.1 Major Bicycle Way through Intersections with Barnes Dance Layo	ut79
4.7.2 Major Bicycle Way through Intersections with Adapted Dutch Des	ign 80
4.7.3 Major Bicycle Ways Intersection with Protected Bicycle Ways	81
CHAPTER 5 – PROBABILISTIC FUZZY LOGIC CONTROL AT	
DIAMOND INTERCHANGE INCORPORATING BICYCLE SIGNAL	83
5.1 Methodology	83
5.1.1 Detectors placement	83
5.1.2 Bicycle crossing request button	84
5.1.3 Bicycle Signal and Phasing	85
5.1.4 Probabilistic Bicycle Fuzzy Logic Signal Control	86
5.1.5 Design of Probabilistic Bicycle Fuzzy Logic Signal Control for a	
Diamond Interchange	87
5.1.6 Probabilistic Fuzzy Variables and parameters	88

5.1.7 Objectives of the Probabilistic Fuzzy Logic Algorithm	88
5.1.8 Probabilistic Fuzzy Rules Base	88
5.2 Using Simulation to Evaluate the PFLDIBC Algorithm	90
5.2.1 Simulation Evaluation Procedure	90
5.2.2 Calibration of Selected Simulation Model	91
5.2.3 Simulation Assumption	92
5.2.4 Dynamic Scenario Setup	92
5.2.5 Simulation of PFLDIBC Algorithm in AIMSUN	94
5.2.6 Performance Measure of Effectiveness	94
5.2.7 Traffic Demand Information	94
5.2.8 Demand Scenarios and Analysis Procedure	94
5.2.9 Comparison for Low Traffic Demand	95
5.2.10 Comparison for Medium Traffic Demand	107
5.2.11 Comparison for Extreme Traffic Demand	107
5.3 Summary	102
CHAPTER 6 – SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	109
6.1 Summary of the Thesis Research	109
6.2 Major Contributions and Conclusions	109
6.3 Future Research	110
REFERENCES	109
APPENDIX A: PFLDI C++ CODE	109
APPENDIX B: PFLDIBS C++ CODE	109
VITA	109
PUBPLICATIONS	109
UPPER HARBOUR DIAMOND INTERCHANGE DESIGN	109

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Signal timing data for ADI, PFLDI and FLDI	.27
Table 3.2 Terms of the fuzzy sets for inputs and outputs for PFRM module	31
Table 3.3 Rules and its weighting for PFRM module	36
Table 3.4 Rules and its weighting for PFPT module	.37
Table 3.5: Probabilistic Fuzzy Green Time Extension	38
Table 3.6 Calibration data for AIMSUN simulation model	45
Table 3.7 Traffic demand data for Scenario 1 (3348 vehicles per hour) - Low	58
Table 3.8 Traffic demand data for Scenario 2 (3752 vehicles per hour) – Low	59
Table 3.9 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 1)	59
Table 3.10 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 2)	59
Table 3.11 Traffic demand data for Scenario 3 (3348 vehicles per hour) - Medium	60
Table 3.12 Traffic demand data for Scenario 4 (3752 vehicles per hour) – Medium	60
Table 3.13 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 3)	61
Table 3.14 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 4)	61
Table 3.15 Traffic demand data for Scenario 3 (3348 vehicles per hour) – High	61
Table 3.16 Traffic demand data for Scenario 2 (3752 vehicles per hour) – Extreme	62
Table 3.17 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 3)	62
Table 3.18 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 3)	62
Table 3.19 Average delay time comparison (second per vehicle)	64
Table 3.20 Total travel time comparison (hour)	64
Table 3.21 Downstream average speed comparison (km/hr)	64
Table 4.1 Bicycle–vehicle crashes at selected sites (2004–2008)	
(Excerpt from: Turner et al 2012)	69

Table 4.2 New Zealand pedal cyclist casualties and population statistics – historical,	
year ending 31 December (Excerpt from: LTSA 2002)	'1
Table 5.1 Signal timing data for PFLDI with Bicycle Signal	36
Table 5.2 Rules base for PFLDIBC	39
Table 5.3 Calibration data for AIMSUN simulation model	1
Table 5.4 Traffic demand data for Scenario 1 (2924 vehicles per hour) - Low	16
Table 5.5 Traffic demand data for Scenario 2 (3720 vehicles per hour) - Low	16
Table 5.6 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 1)	16
Table 5.7 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 2)	16
Table 5.8 Traffic demand data for Scenario 3 (4608 vehicles per hour) - Medium9	7
Table 5.9 Traffic demand data for Scenario 4 (6301 vehicles per hour) - Medium9	7
Table 5.10 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 3)	7
Table 5.11 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 4)	8
Table 5.12 Traffic demand data for Scenario 5 (7981 vehicles per hour) - Extreme9	8
Table 5.13 Traffic demand data for Scenario 6 (8831 vehicles per hour) - Extreme9	8
Table 5.14 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 5)	19
Table 5.12 Measure of Effectiveness between models (Scenario 6)	)9

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Fundamental diagram for bicycle flow (Miller and Ramey, 1975)	.18
Figure 2.2 Illustration of Bicycle's Affects on Vehicle Traffic Operation in an At-grad	de
Intersection (Heng et. al. 2003)	22
Figure 3.1 Aerial view of Upper Harbour Interchange in Auckland (Google Earth)	.24
Figure 3.2 Upper Harbour Interchange Detectors	. 25
Figure 3.3 Typical Phasing and Signal Groups at Upper Harbour Interchange	. 25
Figure 3.4 Phase Sequence for Upper Harbour Interchange	. 26
Figure 3.5 PFLDI model illustrations	. 28
Figure 3.6 The overall framework of the PFLDI algorithm	. 29
Figure 3.7: Probabilistic fuzzy membership functions of local speed, flow, occupancy	<sup>7</sup> ,
downstream speed, v/c ratio, and check-in and queue occupancy	.31
Figure 3.8 Scaled fuzzy ramp-metering Rate	. 32
Figure 3.9 Total Arrival membership functions (Phase B)	.33
Figure 3.10 Total Queue membership functions (Phase B)	. 34
Figure 3.11 Auckland Traffic Operations and Management (ATOM) timing plan for	
Upper Harbour Interchange	. 34
Figure 3.12 Fuzzy green time extensions in current running phase	. 35
Figure 3.13 Detectors placement in Upper Harbour Diamond Interchange's two midd	le
intersections	. 39
Figure 3.14 Detectors placement along the on-ramps and motorway mainstreams	.40
Figure 3.15 Framework of PFLDI Algorithm in detail	.41
Figure 3.16 Simulation evaluation procedures	.42
Figure 3.17 AIMSUN 6 environment (Excerpt from: TSS-GETRAM Extension User	
Manual, 2002)	. 43

Figure 3.18 Geometric layout of Upper Harbour Diamond Interchange
(Excerpt from: NZTA)
Figure 3.19 Turning traffic observed
Figure 3.20 Turning traffic values in AIMSUN
Figure 3.21 Detectors setup for PFR system in AIMSUN
Figure 3.22 Detectors setup for SH1 Upper Highway Diamond Interchange in
AIMSUN
Figure 3.23 Simulations of the PFLDI / FLDI Algorithm in AIMSUN via GETRAM
Extension
Figure 3.24 Interaction between AIMSUN and its API module (Excerpt from: TSS-GETRAM Extension User Manual, 2002)
Figure 3.25 (a) (b) (c) State Highway 1 Upper Highway Interchange
AIMSUN simulation pictures
Figure 4.1 Proportion of Bicycle-motor vehicle crashes, by type (2004-2008)
(Excerpt from: Turner et al 2012)
Figure 4.2 Number of cyclists killed in NZ per year, 1970 – 2002 (LTSA 2003a)70
Figure 4.3 Percentage of cyclist deaths and injuries in motor vehicle crashes by road type (2007-2011)
Figure 4.4 Types of crash in New Zealand (Excerpt from: MOT Bicycle crash fact sheet 2012)
Figure 4.5 Guide to Choice of Facility Type for Cyclists (Excerpt from: GTEP14)74
Figure 4.6 Vehicle positions on road carriage way associated with Exclusive Bicycle
Lanes (Excerpt from: GTEP14)
Figure 4.7 Cyclist holding area (Albany)
Figure 4.8 Typical Bicycle lane design at intersection (Albany)
Figure 4.9 Bicycle lane – car park design (Albany)

Figure 4.10 Bicycle with protected lanes at Custom Street, Auckland CBD	.76
Figure 4.11 Bicycle with protected lanes overlaping with vehicle left-hand-turning at	
Custom Street, Auckland Bicycle lane	. 77
Figure 4.12 Cyclist traveling across the intersection at Custom Street,	
Auckland CBD.	. 78
Figure 4.13 Dutch intersection with Bicycle Barnes dance (CCDG 2013)	.79
Figure 4.15 The adapted Dutch Bicycle lane layout at an intersection (CCDG, 2013)	. 81
Figure 4.16 Example of a vertical edge marker	. 82
Figure 5.1 Bicycle detectors placement	. 84
Figure 5.2 Similar cyclist crossing request button	. 84
Figure 5.3 a) (b) Phasing and Signal Groups at Upper Harbour Interchange with	
Bicycle signals	. 85
Figure 5.4 The framework of PFLDIBC and PFLDI algorithms at a	
diamond interchange	. 87
Figure 5.5 Green Time Extension for Bicycle and Vehicle	. 87
Figure 5.6 Simulation evaluation procedures.	.90
Figure 5.7 Bicycle parameters setup in AIMSUN	. 92
Figure 5.8 Cyclist reaction parameters setup in AIMSUN	.98

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADIFM Actuated Diamond Interchange Control with Fuzzy Ramp Metering

AIMSUN Advanced Interactive Microscopic Simulator for Urban and Non-

Urban Network

ATOM Auckland Traffic Operation and Management Unit

DAD Downstream Average Delay

DAS Downstream Average Speed

FLC Fuzzy Logic Control

FLDI Fuzzy Logic Diamond Interchange Control

GTE Green Time Extension

HOV High Occupancy Vehicle

SH1 State Highway 1

MF Membership function

MOE Measures of Effectiveness

MOTORWAY Freeway (US) / Highway

NZMOT New Zealand Ministry of Transportation

NZTA New Zealand Transport Agency

PFLDI Probabilistic Fuzzy Logic Diamond Interchange Control

PFLDIBC Probabilistic Fuzzy Logic Diamond Interchange Control

Incorporating Bicycle Signal

PFPT Probabilistic Fuzzy Phase Timing

PFRM Probabilistic Fuzzy Ramp Metering

STTT System Total Travel Time

TFL Traffic Light

TTT Total Travel Time