Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

USING THE CONCEPT OF RULE GOVERNED BEHAVIOUR TO INTEGRATE THE COGNITIVE AND BEHAVIOURAL THERAPIES: A THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts in Psychology

Massey University



David Leonard Secker 1999

ABSTRACT

The concept of rule-governed behaviour (RGB) was introduced by B.F Skinner (1969) to allow complex verbal behaviour to be amenable to the same types of contingency analysis used in most other areas of applied behaviourism. Inherent in the concept of RGB is the notion that people formulate and follow rules created by themselves and others and that this constitutes a distinct class of operant functioning. As the process of cognitive therapy is primarily undertaken in a verbal fashion, the possibility of employing the concept of RGB to redefine aspects of cognitive therapy from an operant perspective has been considered by several researchers (e.g., Zettle & Hayes, 1982; Poppen, 1989). This form of paradigmatic integration, involving the transplantation of one set of therapeutic techniques into the theoretical body of another epistemological framework, can be termed assimilative (Lazarus & Messer, 1991). The present essay clarifies the aims and content of such an integration as it relates to the concept of RGB and cognitive-behavioural rapprochement, and offers several theoretical advancements in this direction. Errors in rule-following and rule-formulation are discussed in terms of the role they play in cognitive assessment, and the cognitive mechanisms involved in therapeutic change are also analysed in terms of RGB. It is also shown how RGB can be conceptualised as a reciprocally-determined system of responding, similar to that espoused by the cognitive theorist Albert Bandura (1977a). Finally, some of the problems associated with the concept of RGB and psychotherapy integration are reviewed in relation to the present analysis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstractii	
Table of Contentsiii	
List of Figures and Tables iv	
Preface and Acknowledgementsv	
PART I. THE CONCEPT OF RULE-GOVERNED BEHAVIOUR (RGB)	
1.1 Radical Behaviourism and Operant Behaviour	8
1.2 Verbal Behaviour	13
1.2 Velous Benavious	18
	25
11.11 unio unio or 1102.	33
1.5 Empirican j Staa j mg 102	45
no read and me but of birthing	47
PART II. COGNITIVE THERAPY AND PSYCHOTHERAPY INTEGRATION	
PART II. COGNITIVE THERAFT AND ESTCHOTHERAFT INTEGRATION	
2.1 The Cognitive Paradigm	52
	55
2.3 The Rational-Emotive Behaviour Therapy of Albert E. Ellis	59
2.4 The Self-Instructional Therapy approach of Donald Meichenbaum	61
2.5 The Social-Learning and Social-Cognitive Theories of Albert Bandura	65
2.6 Interpreting Cognitive Therapy as RBG: The Field of Psychotherapy Integration	69
PART III. RULE-GOVERNED BEHAVIOUR AS AN APPROACH TO COGNITIVE THERAPY	Ε
2.1 The Workel Events Manning and Emerg of Translation	78
	83
있었다. [2] 사용하는 [2] 사용하는 10 전에 가장하는 10 전에 1	86
	89
Barrier for a contraction of the	91
	97
	101
사용하다 사용하다 보다면 하는 사용하다 하나 사용하다 하나 사용하다 하나 사용하다 하나 사용하다 하나 사용하다 하나 바람이 사용하다 하나	106
	124
그런 것이다 생물을 하는 것 같아. 그렇게 보고 있었는 것이 모든 그들이 없는 것이다. 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그를 가지 않는 것이다.	127
	131
	140

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 2.1	A typical information-processing diagram	54
Figure 3.7	RGB as a reciprocally-determined system	104
Table 3.0	Fundamental units of speaker and listener verbal behaviour	78
Table 3.1	Sequences of verbal episodes	83
Table 3.5a	Formal units of self-rule construction	92
Table 3.5b	Faulty rule construction: A translation of Beck's (1976) distortions in information-processing	95
Table 3.6	Typical rules which characterise several DSM IV categories	98

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is rare at Masters level to submit a purely theoretical dissertation. As such, the present essay bears little resemblance to the experimental projects typical of those conducted at an early postgraduate level. Nevertheless, it is at this academic level which one can most commonly find advanced courses entirely devoted to the practice of "cognitive-behavioural therapy", but with only a fraction of the curriculum reserved for debate on the core differences between the two paradigms that constitute this therapeutic intervention. A personal dissatisfaction with this omission enthused me to conduct a critical analysis of how therapists might benefit from using the concept of *rule-governed behaviour* to analyse and understand these differences. This thesis has had several minor revisions since an earlier submission.

Anyone who does not understand B.F. Skinner's theory of rule-governed behaviour and the theoretical underpinnings of the school from which it is born is unlikely to respect the nature of the approach taken here. Because of this, a considerable amount of space has been allocated to a description of the philosophical stance taken by radical behaviourists. Part One is primarily introductory in nature and is intended to solidify and organise the conceptual tools which have been used to conduct this analysis. In contrast to the behavioural literature, Part Two outlines the fundamental tenets of four of the most influential cognitive therapies and the cognitive paradigm in general. At this point, a method by which we might usefully place the process of interpreting cognitive therapy in terms of RGB within the broader field of psychotherapy integration is offered.

Thus, Parts One and Two aim to construct a grounding for the final section, Part Three, which offers an in-depth analysis of how many rule-based clinical techniques might be used as a way for behaviour therapy to "tap-in" to those areas traditionally of concern to cognitive therapists. The final subsections of Part Three contain an evaluation of the more complex empirical and theoretical difficulties facing the concept of RGB as an integrative tool and their relation to the theoretical account given here. For the reader requiring a preparatory introduction to the field of radical behaviourism, I recommend Baum's (1994) "Understanding Behaviourism: Science, Behaviour, and Culture", and Skinner's (1969) "Contingencies of Reinforcement: A Theoretical Analysis".

I would like to take the opportunity to briefly those who have contributed to the completion of this thesis. I would like to thank Dr Kevin Ronan for his excellent supervision and commentary, and more generally, for his reassuring faith in my potential over the last three years. I would also like to express my gratitude to Dr Alan Winton for his conversation and guidance, and for sharing his useful ideas and insight with me. I also give my heartfelt thanks to my parents, Rose and Harry, for their enduring support throughout the writing of this thesis and over the course of my tertiary education.