Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ROOT DISTURBANCE AND WASHING EFFECTS ON SHOOT AND ROOT GROWTH IN FOUR PLANT SPECIES

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Applied Science at Massey University New Zealand

> Lina Ma 1998

Abstract

Bare-rooting techniques have been widely use in New Zealand nursery production for the preparation of live plants for export to overseas or domestic markets. Bare-root transplants can fail quality requirements due to death or deterioration of regrowth following repotting. The potential for improving bare-root nursery stock quality has prompted study of the morphological effects of removed medium treatment on plant. Two experiments were conducted to explore the effects of physical root disturbance by shaking and washing on the growth and development of camellia (*Camellia* × saluenensis cv. 'Donation'), pittosporum (*Pittosporum tenuifolium* cv. 'Kohuhu'), pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* cv. 'Crown Hybrid'), and coleus (*Coleus blumei*). The shaken plants in both dry and wet conditions suffered a reduction in the growth rate of their leaves compared to the unshaken controls. Root washing influenced the vegetative growth of four species and reproductive growth of pumpkin. The two woody species were more sensitive to treatment stress. Very short time of washing (three seconds) affected camellia bud break and new shoot growth, and inhibit pittosporum root and shoot growth. Similar effects were not sosevered in coleus and pumpkin.

DEDICATION

THIS THESIS IS DEDICATED TO MY DEAREST FATHER, POSTHUMOUSLY,

THE LATE

MAXIBATU

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Dr Bruce Christie and Dr John Clemens, for their great supervision, guidance, understanding, and support throughout this study.

I am also grateful to all the staff and graduate students in Department of Plant Science, Massey University, for their proving good study environment and friendly help. Grateful thanks go to Ray Johnstone and other staff at the Plant Growth Unit, and Alison Winger, for providing technical assistance.

My particular appreciation to the financial assistance from a Helen E. Akers Scholarship and a Massey Masterate Scholarship for my study.

I would extend my sincere thanks to Professor Nigel H. Banks, Associate Professor Ralph E.H. Sims and his wife, Cathy, for their encouragement and enthusiastic support in various ways. My friends, both in China and in New Zealand, their friendship and help cannot be forgotten.

There is a special place for my family in China. I am indebted to my mother, for the inspiration and all the opportunities she avails to me. I am also indebted to my sisters for the support, encouragement, and for taking care of home during my study in New Zealand. I am extremely indebted to my dearest father, who died in an accident while I studied for this degree here.

Finally, a very special thank to my husband, Lanbin Guo for his love and selfless support and encouragement, and to my son, Kevin S. Guo for bringing our family cheer and happiness.

Table of contents

Abstracti
Dedicationii
Acknowledgements iii
Table of contents
List of tables
List of figuresviii
List of platesx
Chapter one: Introduction
Chapter two: Literature review
2.1 Shoot-root growth relationships
2.2 Plant growth under physical disturbance
2.2.1 Noninjurious mechanically stress
2.2.1.1 Species and cultivar response
2.2.1.2 Physiological response
2.2.1.3 Growth equilibrium between shoot and root
2.2.2 Injurious mechanically stress

v
2.3 Root modification
2.3.1. Interactions of environmental factors on plant roots
2.3.2 Noninjurious root restriction (container design or container effects) 11
2.3.3 Injurious restriction (Root pruning)
Chapter three: Materials and methods
3.1 Experimental site
3.2 Plant Materials and Production Conditions
3.3 Experimental
3.3.1 Experimental treatments
3.3.1.1 Root shaking
3.3.1.2 Root washing
3.3.2 Experimental design
3.4 Data collected
3.4.1 Medium removed
3.4.2 Root loss measurement
3.4.3 Vegetative growth
3.4.3.1 Non-destructive measurement
3.4.3.2 Destructive harvest
3.4.4 Reproductive growth
3.5 Statistical analysis
Chapter four: Results
4.1 Root shaking

4.1.1 The effectiveness of medium removal
4.1.2 Plant response to treatment
4.2 Root washing
4.2.1 Root cleanness
4.2.2 Root loss
4.2.3 Plant survival
4.2.4 Shoot and root growth
4.2.4.1 Camellia
4.2.4.2 Pittosporum
4.2.4.3 Pumpkin
4.2.4.4 Coleus
Chapter five: Discussion
5.1 Mechanical disturbance
5.1.1 The effectiveness of root cleaning
5.1.2 Plants response to mechanical stress
5.2 Root washing
5.2.1 Root cleanness
5.2.2 Plant response
5.2.3 Shoot and root regrowth (vegetative growth)
5.2.4 Reproductive growth
Chapter six: Conclusions
References 69

List of tables

Table 3.1 T	The degree of plant under treatment stress
Table 4.1 I	influence of root shaking treatments on percentage of medium removed
(PM	IR%) from the plants of coleus and pumpkin
Table 4.2	Influence of root mechanical root shaking treatments on pumpkin leaf
area	ı (cm²)
Table 4.3 E	Effect of root washing on the percentage of growing medium removal 35
Table 4.4 E	Effect of root washing on root loss from plants (unit: m)
Table 4.5	Effect of root washing on bud break and number of new shoots
grov	wing (NSG) in camellia.
Table 4.6	Effects of root washing on the length and percentage of new shoots
grov	wing (NSG), and the flower bud number per plant in camellia
Table 4.7	Influence of root washing on the change of shoot height (cm) in
pitto	osporum
Table 4.8 T	The effects of root washing on the growth of pittosporum components 48
Table 4.9 I	nfluence of root washing on pumpkin leaf number
Table 4.10	Effects of root washing on the growth of pumpkin components 40
days	s after washing and replanting
Table 4.11	Effects of root washing on coleus shoot height (cm)
Table 4.12	Effects of root washing on the growth of coleus components 40 days
afte	r root washing and replanting

List of figures

Fig. 4.1 The medium removal between species under dry and wet shaking
conditions (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.2 The effect of frequency on the medium removal from plants under dry
and wet shaking conditions (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.3 PMR% from coleus in dry and wet shaking conditions at different levels
of frequency (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.4 Effect of root washing on the percentage of growing medium removal
(vertical bars indicate s.e.m.). 32
Fig. 4.5 Effect of root washing on root loss from plants (RM: removed medium;
vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.6 Root loss from various species plants at longest root washing duration
(300 s): (a) length, and (b) the percentage
Fig. 4.7 Root loss and survival of pittosporum with increasing root washing 34
Fig. 4.8 The relationship between pittosporum root loss and survival rate
Fig. 4.9 Effect of root washing on camellia shoot growth after 8 weeks (vertical
bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.10 Effect of root washing on growth and development of pittosporum
shoots (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.11 Leaf area of pittosporum plants two months after root washing and
replanting (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.12 Root length of pittosporum plants two months after root washing and
replanting (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.). 42
Fig. 4.13 Effect of root washing on the growth of pittosporum components: (a)
stem length and number of node. (b) leaf, stem and root dry weight
(vertical bars indicate s.e.m.). 43

Fig. 4.14 Relationship between plant height and total leaf area for pittosporum
plants. (a) at the shortest duration (b) at highest duration (c) the mean of
six durations (horizontal and vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.15 Relationship between root loss length from removed medium and total
leaf area for pittosporum plant (horizontal and vertical bars indicate
s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.16 Relationship between total root length and total leaf area of pittosporum
plants 50 days after washing and replanting (horizontal and vertical bars
indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.17 Relationship between total root length and plant height of pittosporum
plants 50 days after washing and replanting (horizontal and vertical bars
indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.18 Relationship between shoot dry weight and root dry weight of
pittosporum plants 50 days after washing and replant (horizontal and
vertical bars indicate s.e.m.).
Fig. 4.19 Effects of root washing on pumpkin leaf number (vertical bars indicate
s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.20 The leaf and stem biomass of pumpkin plants 40 days after root washing
and replanting (vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.21 Pumpkin performance 40 days after root washing and replanting
(vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.22 Effect of root washing on coleus shoot growth and development (unit:
second; vertical bars indicate s.e.m.)
Fig. 4.23 Coleus height 40 days after root washing and replanting (vertical bars
indicate s.e.m.).

List of plates

Plate 3.	Root washing by hand as used in root washing experiment
Plate 3.2	2 A view of the plants in the root washing experiment
Plate 4.	Root cleanness of camellia in six durations of root washing
Plate 4.2	Root cleanness of pittosporum in six durations of root washing
Plate 4	Root cleanness of coleus (top) and pumpkin (bottom) in six durations
(of root washing
Plate 4.	4 Comparison of pittosporum shoot growth in six durations of root
3	vashing
Plate 4.5	Comparison of pittosporum root growth in six durations of root washing 44