Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Preparation, characterisation and application of naturally derived polar lipids through lipolysis



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

> Doctor of Philosophy in Food Technology

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

> Noor-Soffalina SOFIAN-SENG 2016

Abstract

Monoglycerides are lipid based emulsifiers extensively used for their broad technical function in the food industry. Commercial monoglycerides are generally manufactured through chemical synthesis; however, lipolysis of triglycerides by lipase enzyme provides a biochemical pathway by which monoglycerides may be produced. This is particularly appealing for consumers for whom all natural and clean labelled food products are a particular driver. Accordingly, rather than replacing monoglycerides from formulations with other types of emulsifiers (and that may lack the requisite functionality), an alternative approach may be to develop a non-chemical and more natural pathway to produce the emulsifier, thereby allowing the particular monoglyceride functionality to be retained within products. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the feasibility of using lipase enzyme as a processing tool to synthesise polar lipids, namely monoglycerides, *in situ* of the manufacture of whippable food emulsions, such as cream and ice cream.

This concept idea was initially proven viable through interfacial tension (IFT) measurements obtained using a straight-forward surface characterisation technique. *R. miehei* lipase was found to competitively bind at the interface of vegetable oils-water and that the adsorbed protein (sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate) or surfactant layer (lecithin and Tween 80) did not act as a barrier to lipase adsorption at the oil-water interface. IFT measurements were also able to demonstrate the progressive accumulation of polar lipids at the oil-water interface arising from lipolysis, and were additionally used to indicate how thermal treatment of the enzyme could be used to terminate activity.

In considering how the requisite functionality could be achieved for whippable emulsion formulations, emulsion droplet size, type of emulsifiers used as well as lipase concentration were shown to be key variables by which the extent and rate of lipolysis could be manipulated and controlled. The results showed that formulation (emulsifier types and oil content) and processing conditions (Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes) had significant effects on the emulsion droplet size.

As part of controlling the extent of lipolysis, the conditions by which the reaction could be terminated were investigated by measuring the viability of R. miehei lipase against thermal treatment. Results showed that the R. miehei lipase was thermostable up to temperatures of 70 °C. Above this temperature, substantial reduction of the residual activity occurred. However, even elevated temperature of between 90 and 100 °C did not immediately inactivate the lipase, with heating for \sim 2 min required before activity was no longer detected. In terms of emulsion stability, the palm oil emulsion tested in this study was found to be thermostable up to 100 °C, thus allowing development of a thermalisation step that was able to inactivate the enzyme without compromising the stability of the emulsion.

The shear stability analyses on lipolysed O/W emulsion showed the lipolysed emulsions were susceptible to shear-induced aggregation, and that the degree of aggregation could be manipulated as a consequence of controlling the extent of lipolysis through either enzyme concentration or holding time. The drastic increase in the viscosity curve between the non-lipolysed and lipolysed emulsion suggested that the shear—induced partial coalescence was primarily due to the lipolysis reaction and was not as a result of the high fat content (30 %). The findings elucidate the ability of the generated polar lipids in the emulsion to displace the

existing sodium caseinate adsorbed layer, thus compromising emulsion stability upon shearing.

The quantification of synthesised polar lipids from the triglyceride component of fat droplets by the lipolysis reaction showed a mixture of fatty acids, di- and mono-glycerides being produced. Palmitic acid was observed to be the main liberated fatty acids. While, monoolein and monopalmitin were the most prominent monoglycerides, with measured concentrations of 3.755 ± 0.895 and 1.660 ± 0.657 mg / g fat respectively after 15 min with lipase concentration of 50 mg /g fat. The relative concentration of polar lipids produced was found to be dependent on the lipase concentration as well as time of lipolysis. Furthermore, up to 30 min of lipolysis (concentration 50 mg /g fat) were seen to have no observable effect on the droplet size distribution of the emulsion suggesting that quiescently stable emulsions could be produced. The results show the importance of controlling reaction conditions (both enzyme concentration and reaction time) in order to provide requisite functionality without excessively destabilising emulsions such that droplet structuring can occur under quiescent conditions.

The generation of monoglycerides at *quantum satis* levels able to impart critical functionality was demonstrated in whipped cream and ice cream. The addition of *R. miehei* lipase at very low concentration of 5 mg/g fat was able to produce a rigid and stable whipped cream with overrun exceeding 100 %. However, good stability of the whipped cream over time was achievable with concentration above 10 mg/g fat. Similarly, ice cream made with the addition of 5 mg/g fat exhibited good melt stability and firmness. The findings proved the feasibility of *in situ* production of polar lipids, namely monoglycerides and fatty acids, in replicating the functionality imparted by commercial monoglycerides in whippable emulsions.

Thus, the findings in this thesis offer an alternative biochemical pathway for the generation of polar lipids to that of commercially available monoglycerides, which are currently produced synthetically. The potential for using this approach as part of the processing step for food emulsion manufacture has also been demonstrated. The concept can be tailored for various emulsion based food products.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, my utmost gratitude to the Almighty God for His blessing and mercy that allow me to be where I am today. Alhamdulillah.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my main supervisor Prof Matt Golding (IFNHH, Massey University) for his supervision, patient guidance and genuine advice throughout my study. His positive outlook and confidence in my research kept me going. He allowed me a lot of space for me to be very independent but was always there to guide me in the right direction. Thank you for making time to Skype with me when I was in Malaysia to ensure this thesis comes to a successful completion. I consider myself extremely lucky to have him as a mentor. In the future, when I have my own students to supervise, I will at least try my very best to follow his footstep.

To my supervisory committee: Associate Prof Dr Kelvin Goh (Singapore), Dr Lee Sung Je (Albany, NZ) and Dr Patrick Janssen (Palmerton North, NZ), thank you for making the time for our video-conference meetings. Your advices and contributions are greatly appreciated.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Ministry of Education, Malaysia for providing me the opportunity to pursue my PhD in New Zealand and for providing the scholarship and operational fund to do so.

My sincere thanks to the following people in the IFNHH and Riddet Institute, Massey University that have helped me in one way or another:

Michelle Tamehana for the Rheometer training and helping me with various lab stuffs while I was based in the Food Characterisation lab, Steve Glasglow for helping me with Texture analysis, Garry Radford for the help with my homogenisation, pasteurisation and ice cream making in the pilot plant. Not forgetting Warwick Johnson for the use of PD lab for my whipped cream making and for always being funny and nice. Janiene Gilliland and Chris Hall in Riddet's main lab. Amit Taneja, who trained me on how to use the Microfluidizer and come rescuing when it acted up. Jack Cui for the advices with pHstat. Natascha Stroebinger for her kind help with Gas Chromatography, she even paused her sample run for me, thank you so much. Christine Ramsay who manage my operational account, my claims and ordering,

always with a smile and her trademark 'good as gold dear!'. Also to Yvonne Parkes for all her administration work as well as helping with my consumables orders sometimes.

Huge thanks and gratitude to my friends in New Zealand who made living here feels like home. Special thanks to Nor Khaizura aka Juju and her husband, who opened up their home to me when I first arrived. The transition to live here was certainly made easier with people like them. Huge appreciation for my lovely housemate, Mazidah Abdul Rahman. Her awkward way of showing her care shines through especially through her wonderful cooking. To my kind hearted friend; Khairul Farihan Kasim, thank you so much for all your kindness and never saying no to me. I wished I appreciated it more when you were here. To the superbusy Doris Adeyinka, thank you for the time we spent together. To Yap Sia Yen, thank you for your kind thoughts always and the squash sessions. To Anges Teo and Daisy Wen, thank you for the time spent playing and working together. I am particularly indebted with a very selfless friend, Sharifah Salmah Syed Hussain (Sha) for just being there whenever I'm in need, for her continuous encouragement and generosity. I owed her so much that only God can repay her kindness. Cheers to my officemates especially to Sandra and Hayley who apparently are fans of Miranda too. 'What have you done today to make you feel proud?'. Not forgetting my long time buddy Yang Hayati for her support and kindness.

My love goes out to Doug and Jan Dickson for their warmth and kindness. They were not like landlords but more like a family to me. Doug with his skills of repairing almost everything and for the ride in his 1920's Alvis. Jan for her healthy desserts and treats. Also thank you for sharing the 'kitties' love with me, especially Raoul who I will always remember. I am also indebted to a very charming person, a kind hearted friend and a good listener, E.D. who passed away during my 2nd year of PhD. Not forgetting to my Kiwi mom and dad, Rosemary and the late Bruce Teulon, thank you for all your love.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere appreciation for my family back in Malaysia for their continuous support and encouragement, especially to my biggest pillar of support, my mother, Fatimah Abdul Rahman and my father, Lim Khai Seng @ Sofian Seng Abdullah. Thank you Mak for your prayers, love and support, without which I would not be here today.

Table of Contents

| P | age |
|---|-------|
| Abstract | . iii |
| Acknowledgments | . v |
| Table of Contents | vii |
| List of Figures | xii |
| List of Tables | xvi |
| List of Abbreviations | xviii |
| List of Equations | xix |
| Chapter 1: General Introduction and Thesis Structure | |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 4 |
| 1.3 Thesis Structure | 5 |
| Chapter 2: Literature Review | |
| 2.1 Emulsion | 8 |
| 2.1.1 Emulsion formation | 10 |
| 2.2 Emulsion stability | 13 |
| 2.3 Destabilisation of emulsion | 15 |
| 2.3.1 Flocculation | 18 |
| 2.3.2 Creaming | 19 |
| 2.3.3 Coalescence | 20 |
| 2.4 Emulsion interfacial characteristic | 24 |
| 2.5 Emulsifier and their application | 25 |
| 2.5.1 Monoglycerides in food | 33 |
| 2.5.2 Legal aspect of emulsifiers | 34 |
| 2.6 Lipid | 37 |
| 2.6.1 Synthesis of monoglycerides | 38 |
| 2.6.1.1 Esterification | 39 |
| 2.6.1.2 Glycerolysis | 38 |
| 2.6.1.3 Enzymatic hydrolysis of triglycerides | 42 |
| 2.6.2 Triglycerides | 43 |
| 2.6.3 Fatty acids | 46 |
| 2.7 Lipase | 47 |
| 2.7.1 Microbial lipase | 48 |
| 2.7.2 Lipase specificity | 50 |
| 2.7.3 Lipase mediated reactions and synthesis of monoglycerides | 52 |
| Chapter 3: Materials and Methodology | |
| 3.1 Materials | 60 |
| 3.1.1 Lipase | 60 |
| 3.1.2 Emulsifiers | 60 |
| 3 1 3 Vegetable oils | 60 |

| 3.1.4 Chemicals | 61 |
|---|---------|
| 3.2 Methodology | 61 |
| 3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization | |
| 3.2.2 Determination of emulsion droplet size | |
| 3.2.3 Determination of lipase activity | |
| 3.2.4 Microscopic observation with confocal laser scanning microscope | |
| | |
| 3.2.5 Lipolysis of emulsion | 64 |
| Chapter 4: Effect of Rhizomucor miehei lipase-catalysed reactions on the interf | facial |
| tension (IFT) at the oil-water interface | aciai |
| 4.1 Abstract | 65 |
| 4.2 Introduction | |
| 4.3 Materials and methodology | |
| 4.3.1 Materials | |
| | |
| 4.3.2 Methodology | |
| 4.3.2.1 Interfacial tension measurement by pendant-drop tensiometer | |
| 4.3.2.2 Statistical analyses | |
| 4.4 Results and discussion | 71 |
| 4.4.1 Effect of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on the IFT of oil and water | 71 |
| 4.4.2 Effect of different types of emulsifier on the adsorption of R. miehei lipase | on the |
| interface | 75 |
| 4.4.2.1 Protein emulsifiers-sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate | 75 |
| 4.4.2.2 Low molecular weight surfactant – lecithin and Tween 80 | 78 |
| 4.4.3 Effect of monopalmitin, monostearin and monoolein on the IFT of vegetable | |
| aqueous phase containing protein emulsifiers and surfactants | |
| 4.5 Conclusion | |
| | |
| Chapter 5: Effect of lipid specific surface area, oil mass and type, emulsifier typ | e and |
| lipase loading on the lipolysis of oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions | 70 0110 |
| 5.1 Abstract | 91 |
| 5.2 Introduction | |
| 5.3 Materials and methodology | 95 |
| 5.3.1 Materials | 95 |
| 5.3.2 Methodology | |
| 5.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization | |
| 5.3.2.2 Lipolysis of emulsion | |
| 5.3.2.3 Determination of emulsiondroplet size | |
| 5.3.2.4 Determination of lipolysis rate | |
| 5.3.2.5 Microscopic observation with confocal laser scanning microscope | |
| 5.3.2.6 Experimental design | |
| 5.3.2.7 Statistical analyses | |
| 5.4 Result and discussion | |
| on emulsion droplet size distribution | |
| 5.4.1.1 Canola oil emulsion with protein emulsifiers i.e. sodium caseinat | |
| protein isolate | |
| 5.4.1.2 Canola oil emulsion with low molecular weight surfactants i.e. lecithi | |
| | 400 |

| 5.4.1.3 Palm oil emulsion with protein emulsifier i.e. sodium caseinate and whe protein isolate | • |
|---|---------|
| 5.4.1.4 Microscopic observation of emulsion | |
| 5.4.1.5 Main effect and interaction plots | |
| 5.4.1.3 Main effect and interaction plots | |
| 5.4.2 Effect of specific surface area and emdisiner types area on the lipolysis of O/W 6 | |
| 5.4.3 Effect of enzyme loading on the lipolysis of O/W emulsion | |
| 5.4.4 Effect of oil mass and oil type on lipolysis rate of O/W emulsion | |
| 5.4.5 Main effect of specific surface area, emulsifier type, oil mass and type, and lipase | |
| on V _o and FFA _{max} during lipolysis of O/W emulsion | _ |
| 5.4.6 Kinetic of O/W emulsions lipolysis | |
| 5.4.0 Killetic of 6/ W circuisions hipotysis | |
| 5.5 CONCIUSION | 132 |
| Chapter 6: Thermal stability of sodium caseinate stabilised O/W emulsion and Rhiz | zomucoi |
| miehei lipase | .omucoi |
| 6.1 Abstract | 13/ |
| 6.2 Introduction | |
| 6.3 Materials and methodology | |
| 6.3.1 Materials | |
| 6.3.2 Methodology | |
| 6.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization | |
| 6.3.2.2 Lipolysis of emulsion | |
| 6.3.2.3 Heat stability studies on <i>R. miehei</i> lipase | |
| 6.3.2.4 Heat stability studies on O/W emulsion | |
| 6.3.2.5 Determination of lipase residual activity | |
| 6.3.2.6 Determination of emulsion droplet size | |
| 6.3.2.7 Statistical analyses | |
| 6.4 Results and discussion | |
| 6.4.1 Influence of thermal treatment of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase activity | |
| 6.4.2 Influence of thermal treatment of O/W emulsion stability | |
| 6.5 Conclusion | |
| 0.5 COTICIOSIOTI | 147 |
| Chapter7: Effect of lipolysis by Rhizomucor miehei lipase on the shear stability of | sodium |
| caseinate stabilised O/W emulsion | |
| 7.1 Abstract | 148 |
| 7.2 Introduction | |
| 7.3 Materials and methodology | |
| 7.3.1 Materials | |
| 7.3.2 Methodology | |
| 7.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization | |
| 7.3.2.2 Lipolysis of emulsion | |
| 7.3.2.3 Shear stability analysis | |
| 7.3.2.4 Determination of emulsion droplet size | |
| 7.3.2.5 Statistical analyses | |
| 7.4 Results and discussion | |
| 7.4.1 Effect of shear rates on shearing stability of O/W emulsion | |
| 7.4.2 Effect of lipolysis with <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on shearing stability of O/W emulsion | |
| 7.4.3 Effect of aging on shearing stability of O/W emulsion | |
| 7.4.4 Changes to emulsion droplets distribution profile during shearing | |
| 7.5 Conclusion | 162 |

| Chapter 8: Characterisation and quantification of lipolysis on O/W emulsions | 4.5.4 |
|---|-------|
| 8.1 Abstract | |
| 8.2 Introduction | |
| 8.3 Materials and methodology | |
| 8.3.1 Materials | |
| 8.3.2 Methodology | |
| 8.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization | |
| 8.3.2.2 Lipolysis of O/W emulsion | |
| 8.3.2.3 Determination of O/W emulsion droplet size | |
| 8.3.2.4 Extraction of lipid from O/W emulsion | |
| 8.3.2.5 Separation of lipid species by thin layer chromatography (TLC) | |
| 8.3.2.6 Derivatization for fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis | |
| 8.3.2.7 Detection of FAMEs by gas chromatography (GC) | |
| 8.3.2.8 Conjugation of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase with carboxyl quantum dots | |
| 8.3.2.9 Microscopic observation with confocal laser scanning microscope | |
| 8.3.2.10 Statistical analyses | |
| 8.4 Results and discussion | |
| 8.4.1 Lipolysis product quantification | |
| 8.4.2 Changes of O/W emulsion droplet size distribution as a result of lipolysis | |
| 8.4.3 Microscopic observation of lipolysed O/W emulsion | |
| 8.5 Conclusion | 188 |
| 9.1 Abstract | 190 |
| 9.3 Materials and methodology | 196 |
| 9.3.1 Materials | |
| 9.3.2 Methodology | |
| 9.3.2.1 Processing of emulsion mix for whipped cream | 196 |
| 9.3.2.2 Whipping process and determination of overrun of whipped lipolysed emulsion | 200 |
| 9.3.2.3 Processing of ice cream | 201 |
| 9.3.2.4 Physicochemical analyses | 203 |
| 9.3.2.4.1 Visual test and piping stability of whipped cream | 203 |
| 9.3.2.4.2 Determination of freeze-thaw stability of whipped cream | 203 |
| 9.3.2.4.3 Determination of serum drainage of whipped cream | 204 |
| 9.3.2.4.4 Determination of hardness of whipped emulsion | 205 |
| 9.3.2.4.5 Determination of hardness and tackiness of ice cream | 205 |
| 9.3.2.4.6 Determination of emulsion droplet size for whipped cream and ice cream | 206 |
| 9.3.2.4.7 Microscopic observation of whipped cream by confocal laser | |
| scanning microscope | |
| 9.3.2.5 Statistical analyses | |
| | |
| 9.4.1 Physicochemical analyses of whipped cream made with lipolysed emulsion | |
| 9.4.1.1 Whipping process and overrun | |
| 9.4.1.2 Visual observation and piping stability of whipped cream | |
| 9.4.1.3 Freeze thaw stability of whipped emulsion | |
| 9.4.1.5 Determination of hardness of whipped emulsion | |

| References | 242 |
|--|-----|
| 10.3 Concluding remarks | 241 |
| 10.2 Recommendation for future works | |
| 10.1 Summary | |
| Chapter 10: Summary and Recommendations | |
| 9.4 Conclusion | 233 |
| 9.4.2.3 Determination of droplet size distribution of ice cream | 231 |
| 9.4.2.2 Determination of hardness and tackiness of ice cream | 229 |
| 9.4.2.1 Determination of melt stability | 227 |
| 9.4.2 Physicochemical analyses of ice cream made with lipolysed emulsion | 227 |
| 9.4.1.7 Microscopic observation of whipped emulsion microstructure | 224 |
| 9.4.1.6 Droplet size distribution of whipped emulsion | 223 |

List of Figures

| Figure 2.1: Various physical mechanisms of emulsion destabilisation, including creami sedimentation, flocculation, coalescence and phase inversion | ing, 17 |
|---|------------|
| Figure 2.2: Different stages of the coalescence process; droplet contact, droplet crystallisation and oil mixing around the contact point | 23 |
| Figure 2.3: Esterification of glycerol and fatty acid | 39 |
| Figure 2.4: Glycerolysis of triglycerides with glycerol | 40 |
| Figure 2.5: Hydrolysis of triglycerides by 1,3-selective lipase | 43 |
| Figure 2.6: Triglyceride molecule | 45 |
| Figure 2.7: (1) The synthesis of 2-monoglyceride using selective hydrolysis with 1,3-specif lipase, (2) Esterification of glycerol and fatty acids and (3) glycerolysis of fats/oil with glycerol to produce 1(2)-monoglyceride | fic 56 |
| Figure 4.1: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phase, we the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase, inactivated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase or no enzyme into the system | |
| Figure 4.2: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous photocontaining sodium caseinate, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the syst | |
| Figure 4.3: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phacontaining whey protein isolate, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into system | |
| Figure 4.4: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous photontaining lecithin, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the system | ase 80 |
| Figure 4.5: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phacontaining Tween 80, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the system | ase 82 |
| Figure 4.6: Main effects plot for interfacial tension (IFT) for oil type, presence of lipase a emulsifier type | |
| Figure 4.7: Interaction plot of all tested variables (oil type, presence of lipase and emulsitype) for interfacial tension (IFT) | fier 83 |
| Figure 4.8: Interfacial tension of canola oil with aqueous phase stabilised by three different types of monoglyceride | ent 85 |
| Figure 4.9: Interfacial tension of canola oil with aqueous phase stabilised by three differences of monoglyceride /sodium caseinate or whey protein isolate | ent |

| Figure 4.10: Interfacial tension of canola oil with aqueous phase stabilised by three different types of monoglyceride/lecithin or Tween 80 |
|--|
| Figure 5.1: Effect of processing and formulation on specific surface area of canola oil emulsion stabilised with 1 % (w/w) sodium caseinate or whey protein isolate |
| Figure 5.2: Effect of processing and formulation on specific surface area of canola oil emulsion stabilised with 1 % (w/w) lecithin and Tween 80 |
| Figure 5.3: Effect of processing and formulation on specific surface area of 30% palm oil emulsion stabilised with sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate (1 % w/w) |
| Figure 5.4: Effect of Microfluidizer® processing on the microstructure of 30 % (w/w) canola oil emulsion stabilised with sodium caseinate (1 % w/w) |
| Figure 5.5: Effect of Microfluidizer® processing on the microstructure of 30 % (w/w) palm oil emulsion stabilised with sodium caseinate (1 % w/w) |
| Figure 5.6: Main effects plots of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on canola oil emulsion specific surface area |
| Figure 5.7: Main effects plots of emulsifier type, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on canola oil emulsion specific surface area |
| Figure 5.8: Effect of specific surface area on initial velocity of lipolysis of canola oil (20 % w/w)-in-water emulsion |
| Figure 5.9: Effect of specific surface area on the extent of lipolysis (FFA _{max}) of canola oil (20 % w/w)-in-water emulsion |
| Figure 5.10: Effect of specific surface area on initial velocity of lipolysis of palm oil (30 % w/w)-in-water emulsion |
| Figure 5.11: Effect of specific surface area on the extent of lipolysis (FFA _{max}) of palm oil (30 % w/w)-in-water emulsion |
| Figure 5.12: Effect of lipase loading on the lipolysis of palm oil (30 % w/w)-in-water emulsion stabilised by sodium caseinate (1 % w/w) |
| Figure 5.13: Effect of oil mass and oil type on initial velocity of lipolysis of O/W emulsion |
| Figure 5.14: Effect of oil mass and oil type on the extent of lipolysis (FFA _{max}) of O/W emulsion 125 |
| Figure 5.15: Main effect plot of specific surface area, emulsifier type, and lipase concentration on V_0 and FFA_{max} for the lipolysis of O/W emulsion |
| Figure 5.16: Main effect plot of oil mass and oil type on V _o and FFA _{max} for the lipolysis of O/W emulsion |
| Figure 5.17: Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot of velocity as function of canola oil concentration (emulsion of canola oil-sodium caseinate) |

| Figure 5.18: Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot of velocity as function of canola oil concentration (emulsion of canola oil-lecithin) |
|---|
| Figure 6.1: Inactivation kinetics of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase at 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100 °C 139 |
| Figure 6.2: Arrhenius plot of temperature dependence of the logarithm of k_{exp} constant versus inverse temperature in K^{-1} for the determination of energy of deactivation 142 |
| Figure 6.3: Particle size distribution of thermally treated palm oil (30 % w/w) emulsion 145 |
| Figure 6.4: Particle size distribution of thermally treated lipolysed palm oil (30 % w/w) emulsion (<i>R. miehei</i> lipase 50 mg per g fat, 30 min) |
| Figure 7.1: Effect of shear rates on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. Lipolysis time: 30 min, $R.$ miehei lipase concentration: 50 mg/g fat (Insert: The shear curve of only 500, 800 and 1500 s ⁻¹) |
| Figure 7.2: Effect of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. Lipolysis time: 30 min, Shearing rate: 100 s ⁻¹ |
| Figure 7.3 Effect of lipolysis time on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration: 50 mg / g fat, Shearing rate: 100 s ⁻¹ |
| Figure 7.4: Effect of aging time on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. Lipolysis time: 30 min, R . $miehei$ lipase concentration: 50 mg/g fat, Shearing rate: $100 s^{-1}$ |
| Figure 7.5: The shear-induced aggregation curve of lipolysed O/W emulsion at shear rate 100 s $^{-1}$. Lipolysis time: 30 min, <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration = 50 mg per g of fat. Insert graph — The changes of lipolysed emulsions' droplet distribution profile at different shearing time (A) 0s, B) 210 s, C) 1200 s, D) 1800 s and E) 3000 s |
| Figure 7.6: Specific surface area and D(4.3) of lipolysed palm oil (30 %) emulsion at different shearing time |
| Figure 8.1: Example of separated lipid spots on TLC plates when observe under UV light 173 |
| Figure 8.2: Droplet size distribution of O/W emulsion lipolysed with <i>R. miehei</i> lipase (50 mg per g fat) at different lipolysis time |
| Figure 8.4 (a): Confocal laser scanning microscope image of O/W emulsion lipolysed with excess QDs conjugated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase |
| Figure 8.4 : (b) Confocal laser scanning microscope image of O/W emulsion lipolysed with limited QDs conjugated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase (c - h) Zoomed images of a single fat globules with attached QDs conjugated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on the surface at different time (0, 1, 3, 5, 6 and 8 min) |
| Figure 9.1: Schematic diagram of the structure development taking place during the formation of whipped cream |
| Figure 9.2: Flow chart of emulsion mixes processing for whipping cream |
| Figure 9.3: Flow chart of ice cream processing |

| Figure 9.4: Paper ruler inserted to measure the cream shrinkage for determining the freez thaw stability | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 9.5: Determination of serum drainage set-up |)5 |
| Figure 9.6: Overrun of whipped O/W emulsion (30 % fat) lipolysed with different <i>R. miehe</i> lipase concentration (Lipolysis time - 15 min) | |
| Figure 9.7: Non-lipolysed emulsion remained in liquid form after aging process overnight a °C | |
| Figure 9.8: Scoopable soft solid structure of lipolysed emulsion after aging overnight at 4 c (Lipolysis condition: <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration 50 mg per g of fat, 15 min) | |
| Figure 9.9: Agglomerated fat globules forming after whipping of non- lipolysed emulsion | 12 |
| Figure 9.10: Piping stability of whipped emulsion lipolysed with different lipase concentration at ambient conditions (21 °C) | 14 |
| Figure 9.11: The visual changes of whipped emulsion during the freeze-thaw stability test | |
| Figure 9.12: Serum drainage of whipped emulsion lipolysed with different lipase concentration after 1 h at ambient temperature (21 °C) | 21 |
| Figure 9.13: Hardness of whipped emulsion represented as maximum force (N) recorded | .22 |
| Figure 9.14: Droplet size distribution of non-lipolysed emulsion in its original form and after whipping | |
| Figure 9.15: Droplet size distribution of whipped emulsion lipolysed with different lipase concentration | 225 |
| Figure 9.16: Confocal micrographs of whipped cream from emulsion lipolysed with various R . miehei lipase concentration (black-air bubbles, red/yellow-fat, green-protein in serum phase), scale bar = 10 μ m | |
| Figure 9.17: Melt stability of ice cream samples at 21 °C | 28 |
| Figure 9.18: Hardness of ice cream samples | 30 |
| Figure 9.19: Tackiness of ice cream samples | 31 |
| Figure 9.20: Droplet size distribution of ice cream | 32 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1: Food emulsifiers, their legal numbers and typical uses in food |
|--|
| Table 2.2: Isolation of lipase from various microorganisms |
| Table 2.3: Different types of lipase mediated reaction, substrates and source of lipase used by several authors |
| Table 5.1: Factorial experiment factors and levels for investigating the effect of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on canola oil emulsion droplet size |
| Table 5.2: Factorial experiment factors and levels for investigating the effect of emulsifier type, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on palm oil emulsion droplet size 97 |
| Table 5.3: Variables used for investigating the effect of specific surface area, emulsifier type, oil content and lipase loading on lipolysis of O/W emulsion |
| Table 5.4: Analysis of variance for the effect of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on the specific surface area of canola oil emulsion 106 |
| Table 5.5: Analysis of variance for the effect of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on the specific surface area of palm oil emulsion 108 |
| Table 5.6: Combination of Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes to obtain coarse, intermediate and fine emulsion used for lipolysis experiments for the analysis of effect of specific surface area and type of emulsifier |
| Table 5.7: Combination of Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes to obtain emulsion used for lipolysis experiments for the analysis of effect of lipase loading 115 |
| Table 5.8: Combination of Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes to emulsion with similar specific surface area for lipolysis experiments for the analysis of effect of oil mass and type |
| Table 5.9: Effect of specific surface area, emulsifier type, oil mass and type, and lipase concentration on V_0 and FFA _{max} for the lipolysis of O/W emulsion |
| Table 6.1: Kinetic (k_{exp} and $t_{1/2}$) parameters of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase thermal inactivation 140 |
| Table 6.2: Mean volume weighted diameter (D 4,3) of thermally treated O/W emulsion 144 |
| Table 6.3: Mean volume weighted diameter (D 4,3) of thermally treated lipolysed (50 mg per g fat, 30 min) O/W emulsion |
| Table 8.1: Fatty acids concentration from lipolysed O/W emulsion with 5 mg lipase per g of |

| Table 8.2: Fatty acids concentration from lipolysed O/W emulsion with 25 mg lipase po | _ |
|--|-----|
| Table 8.3: Fatty acids concentration from lipolysed O/W emulsion with 50 mg lipase po | _ |
| Table 8.4: Specific surface area, D(4,3) and D(3,2) of lipolysed O/W emulsion with <i>R. m.</i> lipase (50 mg per g fat) at different time | |
| Table 9.1: Formulation of ice cream mix | 201 |
| Table 9.2: The collapsed height of whipped emulsion and assessment on the cream shrinkage after the freeze-thaw test | 220 |

List of Abbreviations

°C degree celcius cont. continue

D(3,2) particle volume/surface mean (also called the Sauter mean)

D(4,3) particle mean diameter over volume

FAME fatty acid methyl ester FFA_{max} extent of lipolysis GC gas chromatography

h hour

HLB Hydrophilic-lipophilic balance

IFT Interfacial tension

 k_{exp} deactivation rate constant

kg kilogram

K_m Michaelis constant

Litre L m metre milligram mg min minute millilitre ml micrometre μm μmol micromole MPa megapascal Ν Newton

NaOH sodium hydroxide

 η_D/η_C viscosity ratio dispersed over continuous phase

nm nanometer
O/W oil-in-water
Pa.s Pascal second

psi pounds per square inch
PUFA polyunsaturated fatty acids

QDs Quantum dots

rpm revolution per minute

s second

[S] substrate concentration SMP skim milk powder

sn stereospecific numbering

sp. species

 $\begin{array}{ll} t_{1/2} & \text{half-life values} \\ t_c & \text{aggregation time} \end{array}$

TLC thin layer chromatography

U enzyme activity unit

US FDA United State of America Food and Drug Administration

UV Ultra violet V velocity

v_o initial lipolysis velocity

wt % weight percent w/w weight per weight

List of Equations

| Equation 2.1- Terminal velocity of a creaming particle (Stoke's law) | 19 |
|--|-----|
| Equation 5.1- Michaelis-Menten model | 128 |
| Equation 6.1- First order kinetic reaction equation | 139 |