

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Appraisal of the Environmental Sustainability of Milk Production Systems in New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science

in

Life Cycle Management

at

Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand

Jeerasak Chobtang

2016



Executive Summary

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) plays an important role in the environmental assessment of agricultural product systems, including dairy farming systems. Generally, an LCA study accounts for the comprehensive resource use and environmental emissions associated with the life cycle of a studied product system. The inventoried inputs and outputs are then transformed into different environmental impact categories using science-based environmental cause-effect mechanisms. There are different LCA modelling approaches (e.g. attributional LCA [ALCA] and consequential LCA [CLCA]) that can be used to address different research questions; however, there is currently no consensus on the most appropriate approach and when to use it. These LCA approaches require different types of data and methodological procedures and, therefore, generate different sets of environmental information which may have different implications for decision-making.

In the present research, a series of studies utilising different LCA modelling approaches were undertaken of pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region (the largest dairy region in New Zealand). The purposes of the studies were to: (i) assess the environmental impacts and identify environmental hotspots of current pasture-based dairy farming systems, (ii) compare environmental hotspots between high and low levels of dairy farm intensification, (iii) investigate the environmental impacts of potential alternative farm intensification methods to increase milk productivity, and (iv) assess the environmental impacts of different future intensified dairy farming scenarios. Twelve midpoint impact categories were assessed: Climate Change (CC), Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP), Human Health Toxicity - non-cancer effects (Non-cancer), Human Health Toxicity - cancer effects (Cancer), Particulate Matter (PM), Ionizing Radiation - human health effects (IR), Photochemical Ozone Formation Potential (POFP), Acidification Potential (AP), Terrestrial Eutrophication Potential (TEP), Freshwater Eutrophication Potential (FEP), Marine Eutrophication Potential (MEP) and Ecotoxicity for Aquatic Freshwater (Ecotox).

Firstly, the environmental impacts of 53 existing pasture-based dairy farm systems in the Waikato region were assessed using ALCA. The results showed that both the off-farm and on-farm stages made significant contributions to a range of environmental impacts per kg of fat- and protein-corrected milk (FPCM), and the relative contributions

of the stages varied across different impact categories. Farms classified as high intensification based on a high level of farm inputs (i.e. stocking rate, level of nitrogen (N) fertiliser and level of brought-in feeds) had higher impact results than low intensification farms for 10 of 12 impact categories. This was driven mainly by the off-farm stage, including production of brought-in feeds, manufacturing of agrichemicals (e.g. fertilisers and pesticides), and transport of off-farm inputs for use on a dairy farm. The exceptions were the environmental indicators PM, POFP, AP and TEP; their results were determined mainly by ammonia emissions from the on-farm activities.

Secondly, environmental consequences resulting from meeting a future increase in demand for milk production (i.e. 20% more milk production per hectare relative to that in 2010/11) by using different farm intensification scenarios for dairy farming systems in the Waikato region were assessed using CLCA. In this study, only technologies/flows that were actually affected by use of different intensification options to increase milk production were accounted for. The identified intensification methods were: (i) increased pasture utilisation efficiency, (ii) increased use of N fertiliser to boost on-farm pasture production, and (iii) increased use of brought-in feed (i.e. maize silage). The results showed that improved pasture utilisation efficiency was the most effective intensification option since it resulted in lower environmental impacts than the other two intensification options. The environmental performance between the other two intensification options varied, depending on impact categories (environmental trade-offs).

Thirdly, prospective ALCA was used to assess the environmental impacts of six prospective (future) dairy farming intensification scenarios in the Waikato region, primarily involving increased stocking rate, that were modelled to increase milk production per hectare by 50% in 2025. In this study, prospective (future) average flows that were derived from extrapolation were accounted for. The potential intensification scenarios were: (i) increased animal productivity (increased milk production per cow), (ii) increased use of mixed brought-in feed, (iii) improved pasture utilisation efficiency, (iv) increased use of N fertiliser to boost on-farm pasture production, (v) increased use of brought-in maize silage, and (vi) replacement of total mixed brought-in feed in the second scenario by wheat grain. The results showed that, apart from improved animal productivity which was considered the best option, improved pasture utilisation efficiency was the second environmentally-preferential option compared with other

intensification options for pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region. There were environmental trade-offs between other intensification options.

The present research demonstrated that pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region contribute to a range of environmental impacts. More intensive farming systems not only have increased milk productivity (milk production per hectare) but also increased environmental impacts (per kg FPCM) in most environmental impact categories. Farm intensification options associated with improved farm efficiency (e.g. animal productivity or pasture utilisation efficiency) are promising as they have lower environmental indicator results (per kg FPCM) compared with other intensification methods. Increased use of off-farm inputs (e.g. N fertilisers and brought-in feeds) increases some, and decreases other, environmental indicator results. Therefore, decision-making associated with choice of alternative farm intensification options beyond farm efficiency improvements will require prioritisation between different environmental impacts and/or focusing on the ability of key decision-makers to effect change (for example, by distinguishing between local and global activities contributing to environmental impacts).

The present research has shown that different LCA modelling approaches can be used in a sequential manner to maximise the usefulness of environmental assessment. Initially, ALCA (based on current average flows) can be used to identify environmental hotspots in the life cycle of dairy farming systems. This will generate environmental information that can assist in selection of improvement options. Subsequently, the improvement options selected should be evaluated using CLCA (based on marginal flows). This will produce comparative environmental information resulting from implementing the selected improvement options, strategies or policies in relation to a non-implementation scenario, when the wider contribution of co-products is accounted for. Finally, prospective ALCA (based on future average flows) can be used to assess total or net environmental benefits.

Acknowledgements

This Ph.D. project is financially co-funded by: (i) the New Zealand Life Cycle Management Centre (NZLCM), Massey University, and (ii) AgResearch, New Zealand. The study-leave supported by the Department of Livestock Development (DLD), Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand is acknowledged.

The success of this project is largely supported by Sarah McLaren. Sarah is a great supervisor. She has never given up in encouraging, motivating and guiding me in any ways to address any emerging problems over the course of the project. The contribution of a daily supervisor, Stewart Ledgard, is valuable. Stewart has spent all efforts to help me to complete the project. The guidance of Danny Donaghy, a co-supervisor, is appreciated. Danny's expertise is great, and has helped me to gain insight into pasture-based dairy farming systems.

I would like to thank Marlies Zonderland-Thomassen and Miguel Brandão for their guidance and contribution at the beginning of the project.

The help of two LCA colleagues at AgResearch, Ruakura Research Centre, Mark Boyes (retired) and Shelley Falconer, is acknowledged, especially for introducing me to SimaPro and ecoinvent. A great discussion and contribution supported by other colleagues at the Ruakura Research Centre is appreciated: Dave Houlbrooke, Bob Longhurst, Milk Rollo, Jiafa Luo, David Wheeler, Natalie Watkins, Diana Selbie, Geoff Mercer, Mark Shepherd, Gina Lucci, Mike Sprosen, and Trevor James. I also acknowledge statistical advice from two statisticians at the Ruakura Research Centre: Vanessa Cave and Harold Henderson. The contribution of Tony van der Weerden, Mark Lieffering and Tash Styles as AgResearch internal reviewers is appreciated.

I would like to extend a thank you to Debbie Clark, Amanda Judge, Bridget Wise, Martin Kear, Stuart Lindsey, Moira Dexter, Bill Carlson and Sheree Balvert for their friendliness and helpfulness over a two-year period in Hamilton.

My first experience in New Zealand pasture-based dairy farming systems was introduced by Tom Phillips. In addition, I would like to show my appreciation to the contribution of two dairy experts from DairyNZ, Sean McCarthy and Jane Kay.

Finally, I would like to thank you my fellows at the LCA discussion forum. This LCA discussion forum hosted by Sarah McLaren is very fruitful, and encourages me to have different angles of Life Cycle Thinking.

May 2016

Jeerasak Chobtang

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ALCA	Attributional Life cycle Assessment
AP	Acidification Potential
Cancer	Human Health Toxicity (cancer effects)
CC	Climate Change
Cd	Cadmium
CF	Carbon Footprint
CFC-11	Trichlorofluoro-methane
CH₄	Methane
CLCA	Consequential Life Cycle Assessment
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO₂	Carbon dioxide
Cr	Chromium
CTUe	Comparative toxic unit for ecosystems
CTUh	Comparative toxic unit for humans
Cu	Copper
DLUC	Direct land use change
DM	Dry matter
ECM	Energy-corrected milk
Ecotox	Ecotoxicity for Aquatic Freshwater
FCE	Feed conversion efficiency
FEP	Freshwater Eutrophication Potential
FPCM	Fat- and protein-corrected milk
FU	Functional unit
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GWP	Global Warming Potential
ILUC	Indirect land use change
H⁺	Hydrogen ion
Ha	Hectare
Hg	Mercury
IR	Ionizing Radiation (human health effects)
K	Potassium
kBq	kilobecquerel

kg	kilogram
L	Litre
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCI	Life cycle inventory
LCIA	Life Cycle Impact Assessment
LU	Land use
LUC	Land use change
MEP	Marine Eutrophication Potential
N	Nitrogen
NH₃	Ammonia
NH₄⁺	Ammonium
Ni	Nickel
NMVOC	Non-methane volatile organic compounds
NO₂⁻	Nitrite
NO₃⁻	Nitrate
Non-cancer	Human Health Toxicity (non-cancer effects)
NO_x	Nitrogen oxides
ODP	Ozone Depletion Potential
P	Phosphorus
Pb	Lead
PKE	Palm kernel expeller
PM	Particulate Matter and Respiratory Inorganics
POFP	Photochemical Ozone Formation Potential
SO	Sulphur monoxide
SO₂	Sulphur dioxide
SO₃	Sulphur trioxide
t	tonne
t-test	Student's t-test
TEP	Terrestrial Eutrophication Potential
VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
WSI	Water scarcity index
Zn	Zinc

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	iii
Acknowledgements	vii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	ix
Table of Contents	xi
List of Figures	xix
List of Tables	xxi
Chapter: 1 Introduction	1
1.1 The international and New Zealand dairy industries.....	3
1.2 Environmental scrutiny in New Zealand.....	5
1.3 Life Cycle Assessment	6
1.4 Study goals, research questions and objectives.....	7
1.5 Structure of dissertation.....	8
1.6 Publications arising from the present research.....	10
References	11
Chapter 2: Traits and Trends in Milk Production Systems in New Zealand, and State-of-the-Art Life Cycle Assessment Studies on Milk Production Systems	17
Abstract	19
2.1 Introduction	19
2.2 Milk production systems in New Zealand.....	21
2.2.1 General characteristics.....	21
2.2.2 Trends in milk production systems in New Zealand	22
2.2.3 Potential intensification options.....	24
2.2.3.1 Increased pasture production.....	25
2.2.3.2 Increased use of supplementary feed sources	27
2.3 Life Cycle Assessment	29
2.3.1 Attributional Life Cycle Assessment.....	30
2.3.2 Consequential Life Cycle Assessment.....	31
2.4 Life Cycle Assessment studies on milk production systems.....	32
2.5 Methodological issues and recommendations.....	33
2.5.1 Goal definition	34
2.5.2 System boundary.....	35

2.5.3 Functional unit	36
2.5.4 Co-product handling method	37
2.5.5 Land use and land use change	39
2.5.5.1 Land occupation (land use)	39
2.5.5.2 Land transformation (land use change).....	40
2.5.6 Life Cycle Impact Assessment	43
2.5.7 Life cycle interpretation.....	48
2.6 Evaluation of different environmental impacts in LCA studies on dairy farming systems	49
2.6.1 Climate Change	49
2.6.2 Ozone Depletion Potential	50
2.6.3 Human Health Toxicity (non-cancer and cancer effects)	51
2.6.4 Particulate Matter.....	52
2.6.5 Ionizing Radiation – human health effects	53
2.6.6 Photochemical Ozone Formation Potential	53
2.6.7 Acidification Potential	54
2.6.8 Eutrophication Potential	54
2.6.8.1 Terrestrial Eutrophication Potential	55
2.6.8.2 Freshwater Eutrophication Potential.....	55
2.6.8.3 Marine Eutrophication Potential	56
2.6.9 Ecotoxicity for Aquatic Freshwater.....	56
2.6.10 Resource Depletion.....	57
2.6.10.1 Mineral and fossil.....	57
2.6.10.2 Freshwater.....	57
2.6.11 Land use impact.....	58
2.7 Conclusions	58
References	60
Supplementary material A.....	80
Chapter 3: Attributional Life Cycle Assessment of Pasture-Based Milk Production Systems in the Waikato Region, New Zealand.....	83
Abstract	85
3.1 Introduction	86
3.2 Methods.....	87
3.2.1 Data source	87

3.2.2 Functional unit and system boundary	89
3.2.3 Co-product handling methods.....	89
3.2.4 Life cycle inventory methods	90
3.2.4.1 General inventory method.....	90
3.2.4.2 Inventory for release from pesticides.....	92
3.2.4.3 Inventory for release of heavy metals	92
3.2.4.4 Inventory for emissions associated with fossil fuels and electricity.....	93
3.2.5 Impact assessment methods	93
3.3 Results and discussion.....	94
3.3.1 Environmental profiles	95
3.3.2 Environmental hotspots	96
3.3.2.1 Climate Change.....	98
3.3.2.2 Ozone Depletion Potential	98
3.3.2.3 Human Health Toxicity-cancer effects	99
3.3.2.4 Human Health Toxicity-non-cancer effects	99
3.3.2.5 Particulate Matter	100
3.3.2.6 Ionizing Radiation-human health effects	100
3.3.2.7 Photochemical Ozone Formation Potential.....	101
3.3.2.8 Acidification Potential	102
3.3.2.9 Terrestrial Eutrophication Potential	102
3.3.2.10 Freshwater Eutrophication Potential.....	103
3.3.2.11 Marine Eutrophication Potential	103
3.3.2.12 Ecotoxicity for Aquatic Freshwater	104
3.3.3 Sensitivity analysis	105
3.3.3.1 Heavy metal content in chemical fertilisers.....	105
3.3.3.2 Fate of pesticides.....	106
3.3.3.3 Time frames in the impact on Climate Change.....	107
3.4 Conclusions	108
References	110
Supplementary material B	118
Chapter 4: Attributional Life Cycle Assessment of High and Low Intensification Pasture-Based Milk Production Systems in the Waikato Region, New Zealand.....	125
Abstract	127
4.1 Introduction	128

4.2 Methods	129
4.2.1 Development of Intensification Index for defining intensification	130
4.2.2 Ranking dairy farms using an Intensification Index.....	131
4.2.3 Life Cycle Assessment of dairy farming systems.....	131
4.2.4 Statistical analysis.....	133
4.3 Results and discussion.....	133
4.3.1 Physical farm traits	134
4.3.2 Environmental impacts	135
4.3.3 Comparison of environmental hotspots	137
4.3.3.1 Climate Change.....	140
4.3.3.2 Ozone Depletion Potential	140
4.3.3.3 Human Health Toxicity - cancer effects	141
4.3.3.4 Human Health Toxicity - non-cancer effects.....	141
4.3.3.5 Particulate Matter	141
4.3.3.6 Ionizing Radiation - human health effects	141
4.3.3.7 Photochemical Ozone Formation Potential.....	142
4.3.3.8 Acidification Potential	142
4.3.3.9 Terrestrial Eutrophication Potential	142
4.3.3.10 Freshwater Eutrophication Potential.....	142
4.3.3.11 Marine Eutrophication Potential	143
4.3.3.12 Ecotoxicity for Aquatic Freshwater	143
4.3.4 Results of correlation analysis	143
4.4 Conclusions	146
References	147
Supplementary material C	153
Chapter 5: Consequential Life Cycle Assessment of Pasture-Based Milk Production Systems in the Waikato Region, New Zealand.....	157
Abstract	159
5.1 Introduction	159
5.2 Methods.....	161
5.2.1 Goal and Scope Definition.....	161
5.2.2.1 Selection of intensification methods	161
5.2.1.2 Scope of the study.....	163
5.2.1.3 Functional unit	164

5.2.1.4 Co-product handling methods	164
5.2.2 Life Cycle Inventory Analysis	164
5.2.2.1 Background processes	164
5.2.2.2 Foreground processes	164
5.2.2.3 Identification of marginal suppliers	165
5.2.2.4 Rearing of new cows/replacement heifers	165
5.2.2.5 Marginal fossil energy and electricity	166
5.2.2.6 Scenario-specific marginal suppliers	166
5.2.2.7 Identification of competing product systems	167
5.2.3 Life Cycle Impact Assessment	168
5.2.4 Sensitivity Analysis	168
5.3 Results	170
5.3.1 Environmental Impacts	170
5.3.2 Results of sensitivity analysis	172
5.3.2.1 Choice associated with ILUC accounting methods	172
5.3.2.2 Choice associated with competing beef systems	173
5.3.2.3 Choice associated with characterisation models	174
5.4 Discussion	174
5.4.1 Environmental trade-offs	175
5.4.1.1 PUE scenario	175
5.4.1.2 N fertiliser scenario	176
5.4.1.3 MS scenario	177
5.4.2 Methodological issues	177
5.4.2.1 Choice of ILUC accounting methods	177
5.4.2.2 Choice of competing product systems	178
5.4.2.3 Choice of characterisation models	179
5.5 Conclusions	179
References	179
Supplementary material D	190
Chapter 6: Prospective Attributional Life Cycle Assessment of Pasture-Based Milk Production Systems in the Waikato Region, New Zealand	201
Abstract	203
6.1 Introduction	203
6.2 Methods	205

6.2.1 Key assumptions	205
6.2.2 Selection of prospective scenarios	205
6.2.3 Scope definition	210
6.2.3.1 System boundary	210
6.2.3.2 Functional unit	210
6.2.3.3 Allocation methods	211
6.2.4 Life cycle inventory analysis	211
6.2.5 Impact assessment methods	211
6.2.6 Contribution analysis	212
6.2.7 Sensitivity analysis	214
6.3 Results and discussion	216
6.3.1 Environmental profiles	216
6.3.2 Environmental trade-offs	219
6.3.2.1 Comparison between the reference and business-as-usual scenarios	221
6.3.2.2 Comparison between increased animal productivity and increased stocking rate	221
6.3.2.3 Comparison of different feed provision methods	222
6.3.3 Results of sensitivity analyses	224
6.4 Conclusions	227
References	228
Supplementary material E	236
Chapter 7: Discussion on Methodological Choices in Life Cycle Assessment, Interpretation and Applicability of the Results	261
7.1 Introduction	263
7.2 Methodology-related and interpretation-related aspects	264
7.2.1 Influence of different data sources for background systems	264
7.2.2 Effect of different functional units	266
7.2.3 Influence of different co-product handling methods	269
7.2.4 Relation between physical farm traits and environmental impacts and implications for improvement options	272
7.2.5 Environmental performance of current and future dairy systems	273
7.3 Applicability of LCA approaches to dairy systems	275
7.3.1 Proposed decision-context situations	276
7.3.2 Linking decision-context situations to the present study	279

7.3.3 Use the right approaches to address the right questions	281
7.4 Relative versus absolute environmental indicators	284
References	286
Chapter 8: General Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Research	293
8.1 Introduction	295
8.2 Significance of the present research	296
8.3 Environmental hotspots of pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region, New Zealand	297
8.4 Environmentally-preferential intensification methods	300
8.5 Implications of LCA in supporting decision-making	301
8.6 Recommendations to maximise the usefulness of LCA	302
8.7 Recommendations for future research	303
8.7.1 More accurate inventory data	303
8.7.2 Identification of actually affected product systems	304
8.7.3 Consideration of additional impact categories	304
8.7.4 Consideration of spatially-differentiated characterisation models	305
8.7.5 Potential mitigation options	305
8.8 Final conclusions	306
References	306

List of Figures

Chapter 1

- Figure 1.1** Trends in (a) global milk production, and (b) milk production in the top five leading countries (the USA, India, Russia, Germany and France) and in New Zealand over the past two decades (1994 - 2013)..... 3
- Figure 1.2** Trends in global exports of cheese and whole milk powder, and New Zealand export of whole milk powder over the past two decades (1994 - 2013) 4
- Figure 1.3** The framework for appraisal of environmental sustainability of milk production systems in New Zealand applied in the present study. 9

Chapter 2

- Figure 2.1** Trends in physical farm traits of dairy farming systems in New Zealand over the production years of 2004/05 – 2013/14 23
- Figure 2.2** Trends associated with total imported quantities of palm kernel expeller and nitrogen fertilisers during 2004 – 2014..... 24
- Figure 2.3** Trends associated with amounts of brought-in feeds used on New Zealand dairy farms 27
- Figure 2.4** A general framework of Life Cycle Assessment, illustrating interlinking among the four life cycle phases 30
- Figure 2.5** Simplified cause-effect chains in Life Cycle Impact Assessment, linking elementary flows derived from Life Cycle Inventory to midpoint and endpoint indicators through to three areas of protection..... 45

Chapter 3

- Figure 3.1** System boundary and simplified material flows in dairy farming systems studied. 89
- Figure 3.2** The contribution analysis of the cradle-to-farm gate life cycle of New Zealand milk for the environmental impacts in the Waikato region, New Zealand 97
- Figure 3.3** Sensitivity analysis of effects of choice of data sources..... 106
- Figure 3.4** Sensitivity analysis of effects of choice of assumption 107
- Figure 3.5** Sensitivity analysis of effects of choice of different time-horizons 108

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1 A comparison of the contribution of stages in the cradle-to-farm gate life cycle of milk to (a) Climate change, (b) Ozone Depletion Potential, (c) Cancer effects, (d) Non-cancer effects, (e) Particulate Matter and (f) Ionizing Radiation results for low and high intensification levels of dairy farms in the Waikato, New Zealand. 138

Figure 4.2 A comparison of the contribution of stages in the cradle-to-farm gate life cycle of milk to (a) Photochemical Ozone Formation Potential, (b) Acidification Potential, (c) Terrestrial Eutrophication Potential, (d) Freshwater Eutrophication Potential, (e) Marine Eutrophication Potential and (f) Ecotoxicity for Aquatic Freshwater results for low and high intensification levels of dairy farms in the Waikato, New Zealand. 139

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1 A generic framework illustrating the main pathways to increase milk production in New Zealand pasture-based dairy systems 162

Figure 5.2 Simplified elementary flows and system boundary associated with the use of different intensification methods to increase pasture-based milk production..... 163

Figure 5.3 Environmental indicators and the contribution of life cycle stages associated with increased pasture-based milk production in the Waikato region, New Zealand, through the use of different intensification methods 171

Figure 5.4 The effects of choice associated with indirect land use change accounting approaches on Climate Change indicator results 173

Figure 5.5 The effects of choice associated with displaced beef systems that were used to handle the extra co-product of dairy meat for different intensification scenarios and different characterization models on net Climate Change indicator results 174

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1 Simplified flows and system boundary for the cradle-to-farm gate life cycle of prospective pasture-based dairy farming systems. 210

Figure 6.2 Environmental impacts associated with reference scenario and prospective scenarios and the contribution of different life cycle stages 217

Figure 6.3 A comparison of using different co-product handling methods for the dairy co-products 226

List of Tables

Chapter 2

Table 2.1 Key farm traits of dairy farming in the Waikato region compared to the New Zealand national average in the 2013/14 season.....	22
Table 2.2 Comparative advantages of midpoint and endpoint approaches.....	46
Table 2.3 A summary of midpoint impact categories recommended by the European Food SCP Roundtable.....	48

Chapter 3

Table 3.1 Means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values of farm attributes of dairy farms in the Waikato region, New Zealand for the year 2010/11	88
Table 3.2 Impact categories used in the present study	94
Table 3.3 Means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals of environmental impacts of dairy farms in the Waikato region, New Zealand for the year 2010/11.....	95

Chapter 4

Table 4.1 Impact categories selected in the present study.....	132
Table 4.2 A comparison of selected physical farm traits between the low and high intensity pasture-based dairy farms in the Waikato, New Zealand.....	134
Table 4.3 Effects of different intensification levels of dairy farming systems in the Waikato region, New Zealand on environmental impacts.....	136
Table 4.4 Correlation coefficients between impacts categoriesof dairy farming systems in the Waikato region, New Zealand.....	144
Table 4.5 The correlation coefficients between environmental indicators and farm attributes of dairy farming systems in the Waikato region, New Zealand.....	144

Chapter 5

Table 5.1 Summary of annual elementary flows associated with different intensification scenarios of increased pasture-based milk production in the Waikato region, New Zealand.....	165
Table 5.2 A summary of extra demand for agricultural land, land saved as a result of dairy co-product displacement and net agricultural land required.....	169

Table 5.3 Relative market share of exported beef in the world’s top three beef exporting countries that were used to calculate a global marginal beef mix and their Climate Change indicator results.....	170
--	-----

Chapter 6

Table 6.1 Characteristics of the selected scenarios for future pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region, New Zealand.	207
--	-----

Table 6.2 Estimated farm inputs and outputs of the prospective dairy farming scenarios	209
---	-----

Table 6.3 Impact categories considered in the present study, based on the European Food SCP Roundtable.....	212
--	-----

Table 6.4 Sensitivity analysis associated with choices of nitrogen fertilisers.....	215
--	-----

Table 6.5 The relative changes (%) in environmental performance between a pair of dairy farming scenarios.	220
--	-----

Chapter 7

Table 7.1 A comparison of environmental impacts of the cradle-to-farm gate life cycle of pasture-based dairy farming systems using different versions of the ecoinvent database.....	266
---	-----

Table 7.2 A comparison of multiple life cycle environmental indicators of two contrasting levels of dairy farm intensification in the Waikato region for three functional units.....	269
---	-----

Table 7.3 Comparative environmental performance per kg of fat- and protein-corrected milk between the existing (current) and future dairy farming scenarios in the Waikato region, New Zealand.	274
---	-----

Table 7.4 The ILCD Handbook’s guideline to determine different decision-context situations provided.	276
--	-----

Table 7.5 Comparative characteristics and applicability of LCA approaches in pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region.	283
---	-----

Chapter 8

Table 8.1 A summary of environmental hotspots associated with key contributing substances and processes or stages in the cradle-to-farm gate life cycle of current pasture-based dairy farming systems in the Waikato region.	298
---	-----

