Provided by Massey Research Online

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

## Gender, Power and Practices in Tension: Mixed-sex Rooming in Hospital

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment for the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

Women's Studies

At
Massey University, Turitea Campus
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Beverley Ann Burrell

2000

## Abstract

Using a feminist poststructural framework this study analyses interview reports and the complex contextual elements existing in the uncommon event of sharing one's bedroom space with a stranger of the opposite sex whilst in hospital. Dilemmas of gender sensibility, patients' rights and privacy are evident for the eight women interviewed for this study who experienced mixed-sex rooming (MSR) in New Zealand hospitals. Sex differentiation and gender difference significantly influence the conditions upon which social relationships evolve. This research examines the significance of the category 'woman' and the impact of gender and patient norms, including the foundations on which any objection to MSR might rest. Deconstruction revealed tensions around spatial confines and the operation of institutional power and authority at macro and micro levels. Conflicts between, the rhetoric of health reform, and the practices affecting patients' right to choose, and privacy, are discussed in the wake of the New Zealand health services restructuring of the 1990s and the re-organisation of patient accommodation, marked by mixing the sexes, thereby raising the question of whether gender is rendered somehow irrelevant.

It is concluded that particular interests are served by MSR and that patient concerns risk being neglected where choice is withheld. The exertion of institutional power was found to override some patients' choice. Patient acceptance of the practice is conditional in respect to preservation of their privacy, especially in regard to toileting and washing. Assumptions about gender persist even though mixing the sexes would appear to relegate gender to a neutral state. Recourse to blanket policies is found to be inappropriate when it is individual patients' rights that health professionals are bound to respect.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge those who willingly helped me through the journey of completing this thesis.

My first acknowledgement must certainly go to the eight generous women who willingly shared their time and insights.

Most particularly I am endebted to Dr Lynne Alice whose inspirational support, encouragement and critical feedback helped sharpen and energize my ideas. Special thanks go to Barbara Lowen, Lisa Davis and Darryn Aitchison for their careful reading and comments.

Warm thanks to Helen and family members, especially Kerrily for her wordprocessing help, friends and colleagues whose encouragement and interest has been sustaining, spurring my efforts.

A final note of thanks to the Otago Polytechnic Research and Development Committee, for support in the form of funding.

## **Table of Contents**

ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Presentation of Content	1
1.2 Overview of the New Zealand Health System	3
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Historical background 2.1.1 Mixed Provision in Gerontology	9 10
2.2 The Impact of the Principle of 'Normalisation' 2.2.1 Querying the Merits of 'Normalisation'	10 11
2.3 Rethinking Mixed-sex Provision 2.3.1 Normalisation Revisited	11 12
2.4 Patient Acceptance is Conditional	13
2.5 Concern over Safe Spaces	14
2.6 Contextual Issues Impacting on Mixed Provision	15
2.7 Doubting Therapeutic Value 2.7.1 Patients Rendered Silent	16 18
2.8 Discrepancy Between Public and Private Provision in New Zealand	18
2.8.1 A Comment on Citizenship 2.8.2 Discretion in Management Practices	19 19
2.9 Policy and Cultural Assumptions	20
2.10 Summary	21
3. FEMINIST RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1 Introduction	24
3.2 Application of Feminist Principles in this Study	25
3.3 Feminist Interviewing Method 3.3 1 Qualitative Research Framework	25 26

3.4 Feminist Poststructural Analytical Framework	27
3.5 Process Utilised in this Study	32
3.5.1 Collection of Material	35
3.5.2 Ethical Framework	36
3.6 Participant Profiles	38
3.6.1 Eleanor's Profile	39
3.6.2 Raewyn's Profile	40
3.6.3 Jocelyn's Profile	41
3.6.4 Julia's Profile	42
3.6.5 Carol's Profile	44
3.6.6 Louise's Profile	46
3.6.7 Karen's Profile	48
3.6.8 Nicola's Profile	50
3.6.9 Summary of Profiles	52
4. FEMINIST POSTSTRUCTURAL ANALYSIS	56
4.1 Introduction	56
4.2 Challenging Normative Theories of the Female Body	58
The second response to	60
4.3 Re-inscribing the Feminine	60
4.4 Producing Gender: Discourses of Femininity	64
4.5 Sexing the Subject: Identity	68
4.6 A Reconsideration of Agency	74
4.7 Power: Compliance and Resistance	78
4.8 Summary	85
5. SPACE, POWER AND CORPOREALITY	87
5.1 Introduction	87
5.1 Introduction 5.1.1 Defining Terminology	89
5.1.1 Defining Terminology	0,7
5.2 Discursive Power in Spaces and Localities: The Macro Level	90
5.2.1 Fiscal and Medical Governmentality in Hospitals	91
5.2.2 The Operation of Power	94
5.2.3 Technologies of Power	98
5.2.4 Rendering the Patient Docile	101
5.2.5 Power/knowledge Dyad as Productive	103
5.2.6 Resistance: Redefining Space	104
5.3 A Geography of Intimate Space; The Micro Level	107
5.3.1 Privacy at Issue	109
5.3.2 Trust in Tension	113
5.4 Summary	117
6. CONCLUSION	120

7. APPENDICES	125
7.1 Appendix 1. Massey University Human Ethics Committee Approval	126
7.2 Appendix 2. Recruitment Advertisement	127
7.3 Appendix 3. Information Sheet	128
7.4 Appendix 4. Consent Form	130
7.5 Appendix 5. Transcriber's Statement of Confidentiality	131
8. REFERENCES	132