

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**GASTROINTESTINAL INFECTION
IN A NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY:
A ONE YEAR STUDY.**

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science in Microbiology
at Massey University, Palmerston North.

Jacqueline Margaret Wright

1996

ABSTRACT

Diagnostic medical microbiology laboratories detect and identify pathogens in submitted specimens. The techniques used should maximise the detection of pathogens (sensitivity) while minimising the number of tests for their detection (efficiency). To achieve the best compromise between sensitivity and efficiency, it is necessary to have information on both the relative prevalence and clinical importance of various pathogens within the relevant community, and the relative efficiency of various detection techniques.

This investigation had three primary objectives: to establish what pathogens were associated with community-acquired gastrointestinal symptoms in the Eastern Bay of Plenty, and the incidence and relative importance of each; to compare the merits of various methods for detecting these pathogens (in those cases where more than one method was available); and to collect data from patients so as to identify potential sources and/or risk factors for infection.

997 faecal specimens from 716 episodes of illness were tested over a one year period. Patients completed a questionnaire on symptoms, and food and environmental exposures. Using one or more standard techniques, the specimens were tested for bacteria and parasites which may cause gastroenteritis. Specimens from young children were also tested for the presence of rotavirus.

The incidence rates of the various pathogens, expressed as a rate per 100 000 persons per year, were as follows: *Blastocystis hominis*, 358; *Campylobacter species*, 208; *Giardia lamblia*, 158; *Yersinia species*, 87; *Cryptosporidium parvum*, 67; *Salmonella species*, 62; *Aeromonas species*, 62; *Dientamoeba fragilis*, 29; *Plesiomonas shigelloides*, 21; *Escherichia coli* (*E coli*) O157, 4; *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1, non-O139, 4; and *Shigella species* < 4.

Faecal specimen macroscopic form, microscopic findings, season, and patient age showed little correlation with the presence of specific pathogens. Consequently the tests selected for the detection of pathogens in faeces should not be based on any of the above parameters. Furthermore, the symptoms associated with parasitic and bacterial infections were similar, so it is not possible to select the appropriate tests on this basis. The presence of rotavirus in patients older than five years was not investigated so incidence in the general population can not be calculated. A study of all age groups for the

presence of this organism would be appropriate.

From the above findings, and an evaluation of the literature, it is recommended that all specimens should be examined for the following organisms and, on the basis of our observations, the most cost-effective method is shown in brackets: *Salmonella* (selenite enrichment subcultured to xylose lysine desoxycholate agar); *Shigella* (none were detected, so a cost-effective medium could not be determined), *Campylobacter* (5% sheep blood agar supplemented with 32 mg/l cefoperazone); *Yersinia* (*Yersinia* selective agar (YSA), plus selenite enrichment subcultured to YSA); *Giardia lamblia* (detection of antigen); *Cryptosporidium parvum* (detection of antigen).

While routine testing for *E coli* O157 is not recommended, laboratories should have the capability to test for this pathogen if a patient presents with haemolytic uraemic syndrome, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura or unexplained bloody diarrhoea. Likewise, routine culture for *Vibrio species* is not recommended; however, laboratories should test specimens using thiosulphate citrate bilesalt sucrose agar if the requesting clinician suspects cholera, or the patient has a recent history of shellfish consumption. A trichome stain for *Dientamoeba fragilis* is recommended for patients with chronic gastrointestinal symptoms who are to be investigated for neoplastic and other non-infectious conditions. Pathogenic parasites other than those noted above were not detected. However, since such organisms are isolated in New Zealand, usually in association with overseas travel or institutionalisation, it is recommended that a trichrome stain and a faecal concentration technique should be performed on specimens from all cases of gastroenteritis who have recently travelled overseas or who are institutionalised. Close liaison between the laboratory and the clinician is essential to ensure appropriate selective testing for these less common pathogens.

The presence of *Blastocystis hominis* and Aeromonads should be reported, but the report should note that their pathogenicity is uncertain. *Dientamoeba fragilis* and *Plesiomonas shigelloides* are probably pathogenic, but further work is needed to clarify this point.

Correlation of data from the questionnaires and the laboratory findings identified the following risk factors: (the relative risk, 95% confidence interval and p-value are shown in the brackets). *Campylobacter species*: consumption of unpasteurised milk (4.67, 2.39 - 9.11, $p = <0.001$); *Salmonella species*: overseas travel (7.20, 1.67 - 20.9, $p = 0.040$), eating a barbecued meal (4.55, 1.37 - 15.12, $p = 0.026$), eating shellfish (3.80, 1.18 - 12.21, $p = 0.032$); *Yersinia species*: consumption of water from a home supply (3.46, 1.32

- 9.10, $p = 0.016$), handling cattle (4.88, 1.73 - 13.76, $p = 0.008$), handling sheep (14.80, 4.93 - 44.46, $p = 0.001$); *Giardia lamblia*: consumption of unpasteurised milk (3.93, 1.63 - 9.46, $p = 0.011$), attendance at a day care centre (2.70, 1.17 - 6.27, $p = 0.033$), handling cattle (3.39, 1.59 - 7.22, $p = 0.005$), handling horses (5.27, 1.85 - 14.97, $p = 0.002$); *Cryptosporidium parvum*: consumption of water from a home supply (5.08, 1.88 - 13.71, $p = 0.002$), consumption of unboiled water from a natural waterway (3.97, 1.29 - 12.24, $p = 0.031$), attendance at a day care centre (3.30, 1.06 - 10.22, $p = 0.054$), handling cattle (5.41, 1.88 - 15.58, $p = 0.006$), owning a cat (4.50, 1.02 - 19.91, $p = 0.029$); *Plesiomonas shigelloides*: eating shellfish (13.67, 1.44 - 130.13, $p = 0.020$); and *Dientamoeba fragilis*: consumption of unboiled water from a natural waterway (7.46, 1.71 - 32.48, $p = 0.019$).

The risk factors suggest the value of the following precautions to prevent gastrointestinal infection: maintaining a high standard of both personal hygiene (particularly in the rural environment) and environmental hygiene in areas that food is prepared; avoiding consumption of untreated water or unpasteurised milk; cooking animal-derived food thoroughly - especially barbecued food and shellfish; and washing hands thoroughly after animal contact. Persons with diarrhoeal symptoms should take particular care with personal hygiene. Those travelling overseas should be conscious of the risk associated with the consumption of food and water which is not properly cooked or treated.

These findings should assist New Zealand laboratories to optimise their approach to the detection of faecal pathogens and should also assist in formulating policy for prevention of infection by enteric pathogens.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was made possible by the funding contribution of the Ministry of Health and I am grateful to Michael Taylor and the Ministry for their support. Additional funding was received from the Lottery Grants Board and I acknowledge the contribution from this organisation.

Thank you to the management of the Whakatane Hospital for supporting the project by providing laboratory space, and to the commercial suppliers who all pruned their prices in order for me to meet budgets.

The staff at the Whakatane Hospital Laboratory were wonderful (as always) during the course of the study year. Harold, Karen and Mary cheerfully tolerated this intrusion on their already busy lives and I am grateful to them for inoculating all those stools to all that culture media. Glenys Travers, Gnani Ramadas and Aaron Fergusson who performed technical work for the study were all great to work with - both enthusiastic and dedicated. Russell Cole, who replaced me when I left Whakatane, kindly tolerated my weekend intrusions into what was now his lab so that I could complete the study. Thanks, Russell.

Thanks to the other Russell in my life. My long-suffering husband who long ago accepted things faecal as part of our relationship. He has spent weekends constructing collection kits; he chauffeured me to and from Whakatane on a fortnightly basis when we moved to Wellington, so that the study could go on; and he assisted on many occasions with data entry and checking. Thanks, dear.

Once I started work at ESR, I would slither out early on Fridays for my weekends in Whakatane and arrive back Mondays, weary. Thank you Carolyn, Helen and Dave for allowing this to happen.

Thank you to my supervisor, Professor John Clarke, who has been so helpful in pulling it all together.

Finally, thanks are extended to the Eastern Bay of Plenty clinicians for their support and to the patients who participated, without whom the study could not have happened.

Thanks heaps everyone.

CONTENTS

	page
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
ABBREVIATIONS	x
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW OF METHODS AND APPROACHES FOR THE DETECTION OF PATHOGENS IN FAECES	3
2.1 Definition and significance of infectious gastroenteritis	3
2.2 Epidemiology and Transmission of infectious gastroenteritis	4
2.3 Diagnosis	5
3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	16
3.1 Culture media	16
3.1.1 5% sheep blood agar (SBA)	16
3.1.2 5% sheep blood agar plus cefoperazone (CAMP)	16
3.1.3 MacConkey agar with crystal violet (Mac)	16
3.1.4 Sorbitol MacConkey agar (SMAC)	17
3.1.5 Xylose lysine desoxycholate agar (XLD)	17
3.1.6 Hektoen enteric agar (Hek)	18
3.1.7 Thiosulphate citrate bilesalt sucrose agar (TCBS)	18
3.1.8 <i>Yersinia</i> selective agar (YSA)	19
3.1.9 <i>Aeromonas</i> selective agar (ASM)	19
3.1.10 <i>Campylobacter</i> blood-free selective agar (CCDA)	19
3.1.11 Selenite broth (Sel)	20
3.1.12 Gram negative broth (GN)	20
3.1.13 Glucose oxidation/fermentation (O/F) medium	20
3.1.14 Christensen's urea agar	21
3.2 Bacterial identification tests	21
3.2.1 Gram Stain	21
3.2.2 Oxidase test	22
3.2.3 Glucose utilisation	22
3.2.4 O/129 sensitivity	22
3.2.5 Urease test	23
3.2.6 Confirmation of microaerophile status and growth temperature.	23
3.2.7 Hippurate hydrolysis.	23
3.2.8 Sensitivity to nalidixic acid and cephalothin.	24

3.2.9	<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157 latex agglutination test	24
3.2.10	<i>Salmonella</i> antigen identification.	25
3.3	Commercial kit identification of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> and <i>Vibrionaceae</i> .	25
3.3.1	Primary identification system	25
3.3.1.1	The Identify system	25
3.3.1.2	Use of the Identify system	27
3.3.2	Secondary Identification system	29
3.4	Rotavirus testing	32
3.5	Parasite detection methods	32
3.5.1	Direct wet preparation	32
3.5.2	Polyvinyl alcohol fixation	33
3.5.3	Formalin fixation	33
3.5.4	Trichrome staining	34
3.5.5	Formalin-ethyl acetate concentration	34
3.5.6	Modified Kinyoun acid fast stain for <i>Cryptosporidia</i>	35
3.5.7	<i>Giardia/Cryptosporidium</i> Direct Immunofluorescence	35
3.5.8	<i>Giardia</i> antigen enzyme immunoassay.	36
3.6	Laboratory Processing Methods	37
3.6.1	Culture processing: inoculation and incubation	37
3.6.2	Culture processing: reading of cultures	38
3.6.2.1	XLD/Mac/Hek	38
3.6.2.2	SMAC	39
3.6.2.3	SBA/ASM	39
3.6.2.4	TCBS	39
3.6.2.5	CAMP/CCDA	40
3.6.2.6	Organism Confirmation and Typing	40
3.6.3	Rotavirus testing	40
3.6.4	Parasite Screening	40
3.7	Study Community	41
3.8	Ethical approval	41
3.9	Patient Selection and Specimen/Data Collection	41
3.10	Data Analysis	42
4	RESULTS	44
4.1	Case and Organism data	44
4.1.1	General Case and organism data:	44
4.1.2	Group 1 case and organism data additional to that shown in Table 3	44
4.1.3	Group 2 case and organism data additional to that shown in Table 3	48
4.1.4	Case demographics and organism seasonality	48
4.2	Specimen findings	48
4.2.1	Specimen form and microscopy	48
4.2.2	Specimens/episodes yielding multiple organisms	48
4.2.3	Multiple specimens	50

4.3	Method comparison	52
4.3.1	Sensitivity, specificity and costs associated with various detection methods.	52
4.3.2	Commentary on the detection methods for various microorganisms	56
4.4	Symptoms and consequences of infection	59
4.5	Risk factor analysis	59
5	DISCUSSION	68
5.1	Incidence	68
5.2	Specimen form and microscopy	69
5.3	Age of patient	69
5.4	Correlation of season with prevalence of pathogen	70
5.5	Mixed infections	71
5.6	Multiple Specimens	71
5.7	Comparison of Methods of Organism detection	71
5.7.1	<i>Campylobacter</i>	71
5.7.2	<i>Salmonella</i>	73
5.7.3	<i>Shigella</i>	74
5.7.4	<i>Yersinia</i>	74
5.7.5	<i>Vibrio</i>	75
5.7.6	<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157	76
5.7.7	<i>Giardia</i>	77
5.7.8	<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	78
5.7.9	<i>Aeromonas</i>	79
5.7.10	<i>Plesiomonas</i>	79
5.7.11	<i>Dientamoeba</i>	79
5.7.12	<i>Blastocystis</i>	80
5.8	Infection risks, signs, symptoms and consequences	80
5.8.1	<i>Campylobacter</i>	80
5.8.2	<i>Salmonella</i>	82
5.8.3	<i>Yersinia</i>	83
5.8.4	Rotavirus	84
5.8.5	<i>Giardia</i>	84
5.8.6	<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	85
5.8.7	<i>Aeromonas</i>	87
5.8.8	<i>Plesiomonas</i>	88
5.8.9	<i>Dientamoeba</i>	88
5.8.10	<i>Blastocystis</i>	89
5.9	Control and Prevention of Infection	91

6	CONCLUSIONS	92
7	APPENDICES	94
7.1	Appendix 1. Information, consent form and questionnaire included in the specimen collection kit	94
7.2	Appendix 2. Areas identified as requiring further investigation.	98
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	99

LIST OF TABLES

		Page(s)
Table 1	Epidemiological features of selected microorganisms responsible for symptomatic gastrointestinal infections	6 - 7
Table 2	Organism characteristics and diagnostic techniques for selected Group 1 and Group 2 gastrointestinal pathogens	8 - 10
Table 3	Total number of positive tests, cases, and incidence rates per 100 000 noted during a one year study in the Eastern Bay of Plenty	45
Table 4	The sex and age distribution of cases of gastrointestinal infection with Group 1 or Group 2 gastrointestinal pathogens detected in a one year study of 716 episodes of gastrointestinal illness in the Eastern Bay of Plenty	47
Table 5	Seasonality of episodes of infection with Group 1 and Group 2 gastrointestinal pathogens detected in a one year study of 716 episodes of gastrointestinal illness in the Eastern Bay of Plenty	49
Table 6	Macroscopic form and microscopic findings for stool specimens positive for Group 1 and Group 2 gastrointestinal pathogens, found during a one year study in the Eastern Bay of Plenty and for which this information was recorded	51
Table 7a	Methods found to be the most effective for the laboratory investigation of faeces for specific pathogens (based on the results shown in Table 7b)	53
Table 7b	Comparative positive yields, sensitivity, specificity, and costs in materials for detection methods for Group 1 and Group 2 gastrointestinal pathogens used in a the study of 997 faecal specimens over a one year period in the Eastern Bay of Plenty	54 - 55
Table 8	Symptoms and consequences reported by all cases, and cases of infection with Group 1 and Group 2 gastrointestinal pathogens, who submitted faeces specimens for a one year study in the Eastern Bay of Plenty	60 - 61
Table 9	Significant associations with infection with specific Group 1 and Group 2 organisms compared with all other cases from whom the organism was not detected (non-cases) demonstrated by univariate analysis of exposures and risks of infection	62 - 67

ABBREVIATIONS

ACE	acetamide
ADH	arginine dihydrolase
ADO	adonitol
<i>Aeromonas</i>	<i>Aeromonas species</i>
ARA	arabinose
ARG	arginine
ASM	<i>Aeromonas</i> selective agar
<i>Blastocystis</i>	<i>Blastocystis hominis</i>
CAMP	5% sheep blood agar plus 32 mg/ L cefoperazone
<i>Campylobacter</i>	<i>Campylobacter species</i>
CCDA	<i>Campylobacter</i> blood-free selective agar
CEL	cellibiose
CET	cetrimide
CIT	citrate
CMT	coumarate
COL	colistin
CON	arginine control
CWP	concentrated wet preparation
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>
DCA	desoxycholate citrate agar
<i>Dientamoeba</i>	<i>Dientamoeba fragilis</i>
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
ds	double stranded
DWP	direct wet preparation
EBOP	Eastern Bay of Plenty
<i>E coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EIA	enzyme immuno-assay
ESC	esculin
FER	fermentation control
FITC	fluoroscein isothiocyanate
g	gram
GAL	α -galactosidase
GAT	galacturonate
GN	Gram negative enrichment broth
GP	general practitioner
GRT	glucuronate
GSA	<i>Giardia</i> specific antigen
Hek	hektoen enteric agar

H ₂ S	hydrogen sulphide
ID01, 02, 03	commercial bacterial identification kit: Identify trays 1, 2 and 3
IDP	alkaline phosphatase
IF	immunofluorescence
IND	indole
INO	inositol
KOH	potassium hydroxide
l	litre
LDC	lysine decarboxylase
Mac	MacConkey agar
MAL	malonate (primary identification kit)
MAL	maltose (secondary identification kit)
MAN	mannitol (primary identification kit)
MAN	mannose (secondary identification kit)
MEL	melibiose
MLT	maltose
MNT	malonate (secondary identification kit)
μl	microlitre
ml	millilitre
mm	millimetre
nm	nanometre
ODC	ornithine decarboxylase
O/F	oxidation/fermentation test
ONAG	β-D-glucosaminidase
ONPG	β-galactosidase
PD	phenylalanine deaminase
PLE	palatinose
<i>Plesiomonas</i>	<i>Plesiomonas shigelloides</i>
PNPG	β-galactosidase
PPA	phenylalanine
PSS	permanent stained smear
PVA	polyvinyl alcohol fixative
RAF	raffinose
RBC	red blood cells
RHA	rhamnose
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SAC	sucrose (secondary identification kit)
SAL	salicin
<i>Salmonella</i>	<i>Salmonella species</i>
SBA	5% sheep blood agar
Sel	selenite enrichment broth
<i>Shigella</i>	<i>Shigella species</i>
SMAC	sorbitol MacConkey agar

SOR	sorbitol
SS	<i>Salmonella/Shigella</i> agar
SUC	sucrose (primary identification kit)
TCBS	thiosulphate citrate bilesalt sucrose agar
TRE	trehalose
TTR	tetrathionate reductase
URE	urease
VP	Voges Proskauer test
XLD	xylose lysine desoxycholate agar
WBC	white blood cells
<i>Yersinia</i>	<i>Yersinia species</i>
YSA	<i>Yersinia</i> selective agar
ZN	modified Kinyoun stain
5KG	5-ketogluconate