Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE INFLUENCE OF SEX-TYPING AND SOCIAL STATUS ON

CHILDREN'S OCCUPATIONAL PREFERENCES AND OCCUPATIONAL STEREOTYPES:

AN EXAMINATION OF GOTTFREDSON'S THEORY OF OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of Master of Arts in Psychology

at Massey University, Palmerston North

Susan Mary Henderson
January, 1986

MASSEY UNIVERSITY
LIBRARY



# CONTENTS

## CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

- SUBJECTS

- THEORIES OF OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE	2
- GOTTFREDSON'S DEVELOPMENTAL THEORY	5
Stages of Development	7
Theory of Compromise	10
- CRITIQUE OF GOTTFREDSON'S THEORY	11
- EXAMINATION OF VOCATIONAL RESEARCH RELATING TO GOTTFREDSON'S THEORY	15
Developmental Issues (Orientation to Size and Power)	16
Emergence of Sex-Typing of Occupational Preferences and Occupational Stereotypes	20
Social Influence on Occupational Preferences and Occupational Stereotypes	27
Children's Perceptions of Occupational Status	28
Occupational Preferences and Social Background	30
Defining and Measuring "Social Class"	35
- SUMMARY AND AIMS	37
- HYPOTHESES	41
CHAPTER 2 - THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION	

46

- INSTRUMENTS	
Question Sheet	48
Occupational Card Sort	49
Consent Form and Letter	52
Biographic and Ability Data	53
- PILOT TESTING	54
- PROCEDURE	54
- DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	59
CHAPTER 3 - RESULTS	
- SEX-TYPE OF OCCUPATIONAL PREFERENCES (FREE AND FORCED CHOICE)	60
Results of Supplementary Contingency Analyses for Sex-Type	70
- SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS LEVEL OF OCCUPATIONAL PREFERENCES (FREE AND FORCED CHOICE)	71
Results of Supplementary Contingency Analyses for Socio- Economic Status Level of Occupational Preferences	80
- OCCUPATIONAL STEREOTYPES	
Status level Occupational Stereotypes	84
Sex-Typed Occupational Stereotypes	88
Actual versus Perceived Status and Sex-Type of Each Occupation	94
- SELF-PERCEIVED SOCIAL CLASS	96
CHAPTER 4 - DISCUSSION	
Influence of Sex-Typing	98
Influence of Socio-Economic Status	104

Limitations and Suggestions for Future Research	111
Critique and Overview of Gottfredson's Theory in the Light of the Present Study	115
Implications of Gottfredson's Theory and the Present Study for Career Education and Awareness Programmes	120
CHAPTER 5 - SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	124
APPENDICES	
1. Glossary of Terms	126
2. Defining and Measuring "Social Class"	130
3. Question Sheet	136
4. Occupational Card Sort-Occupations	137
5. Groupings of Occupations for the Perceived Importance Card Sort	138
6. Pictorial Occupational Card Sort Used with Five Year Olds	139
7. Recording Sheet Used with Five Year Olds	141
8. Letter to Parents and Parental Consent Form	142
9. Ability Ratings Derived from P.A.T. Scores	143
10. Introduction Transcript	144
11. Job Descriptions for Occupations in the Occupational Card Sort	146
12. Feedback Letter to Parents	148
13. Rationale for Choice of Analyses	150
14. Correct and Incorrect Classification of Status Level of Occupational Preferences in Relation to Parental Socio-Economic Status for Selected and Unselected Cases in the Free Choice Condition	153
15. Correct and Incorrect Classification of Sex-Type of Occupational Preferences in Relation to Parental Socio- Economic Status for Selected and Unselected Cases in the	154

16.	Perceived	Status of Occupations at Each School Class Level	155
17.	Perceived Level	Sex-Type of Occupations at Each School Class	157
REFERE	NCES		159

## TABLES

1.	Number of Participants at each School and each Class Level	47
2.	Occupations at each Prestige Level and of each Sex-Type in the Occupational Card Sort	50
3.	Canonical Correlations and Significance Levels for Sex- Type of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Younger than Six	61
4.	Canonical Correlations and Significance Levels for Sex- Type of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Older than Six	62
5.	Standardised and Structural Canonical Discriminant Co- efficients for each of the variables entered for Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Younger than Six	63
6.	Standardised and Structural Canonical Discriminant Co- efficients for each of the Variables entered for Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Older than Six	64
7.	Canonical Correlations and Significance Levels for Specified Order Stepwise Analysis of Sex-Type of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Younger than Six	65
8.	Canonical Correlations and Significance Levels for Specified Order Stepwise Analysis of Sex-Type of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Older than Six	66
9.	Significance of Differences between Pairs of Sex-Typed Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Younger than Six (Forced Order Stepwise Analysis)	68
10.	Significance of Differences between Pairs of Sex-Typed Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Older than Six (Forced Order Stepwise Analysis)	69
11.	Sex-Type of Occupational Preferences (Free Choice) for Males and Females, Collapsed across all Age Groups	71
12.	Canonical Correlations and Significance Levels for Socio- Economic Status Level of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondnets Older	72

1	3. Standardised and Structural Discriminant Function Co- efficients for each of the Variables entered for Socio- Economic Status Level of Free and Forced Choice Occupational Preferences for Respondents Older than Nine	73
14	Canonical Correlations and Significance Levels for Forced Order Stepwise Analysis of Socio-Economic Status Level of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Younger than Nine	74
15	Order Stepwise Analysis of Socio-Economic Status Level of Occupational Preferences in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Older than Nine	75
16	Significance of the Difference in the Stepwise Discriminant Function between Pairs of Socio-Economic Status Levels of Occupational Preferences (High, Medium, Low) in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Younger than Nine	77
17	. Significance of the Difference in the Stepwise Discriminant Function between Pairs of Socio-Economic Levels of Occupational Preferences (High, Medium, Low) in the Free and Forced Choice Conditions for Respondents Older than Nine	78
18	Number and Percentage of Respondents Older than Nine Selecting each Socio-Economic Status Level of Occupation (High, Medium, Low) at each Parental Socio-Economic Level (High, Medium, Low)	80
19	<ul> <li>Actual Socio-Economic Level of Occupations versus Perceived Status of Occupations among Younger School Pupils (New Entrant/ Standard One) and Older SchoolPupils (Intermediate/Form Four)</li> </ul>	86
20	<ul> <li>Perceived Status Level of Female, Male and Androgynous Typed Occupations among Younger Pupils (New Entrant/ Standard One) and Older Pupils (Intermediate/Form Four)</li> </ul>	87
21	<ul> <li>Actual Female Participation in Occupations versus Perceived Female Participation in Occupations among Junior School Pupils (New Entrants) and Older School Pupils (Standard One to Form Four)</li> </ul>	90
22	. Sex -Type of Occupations (Female, Male, Androgynous) as Perceived by Females and Males	93
23	. Self-Perceived "Social Class" of Respondents Older than Nine at each Level of Parental Socio-Economic Status (High, Medium, Low)	96

# FIGURES

1.	Each Socio- Economic Status Level of Occupation (High, Medium, Low) at each Ability Level in the Free Choice Condition	82
2.	Actual versus Perceived Status Level and Sex-Type of Occupations, collapsed across all Age Groups	95

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Dr Beryl Hesketh and Keith Tuffin for the support and encouragement given in the supervision of this thesis

To the 396 school pupils who participated and to their teachers who so willingly assisted, a special thanks.

Thanks also to all the others who helped in many respects.

The present study examined Gottfredson's theory (1981) of vocational development, which suggests that occupational preferences occupational stereotypes are influenced firstly by sex-typing, between the ages of six and eight, and secondly by social background, between the ages of nine and thirteen. A large, heterogenous sample (396) of New Zealand school pupils, aged between five and fourteen were asked about the occupations they would like to do, using free and forced choice formats, and these responses were then tested for their relationship with gender, age, parental socio-economic status and ability. A forced choice Occupational Card Sort, comprising 15 occupations, was used to measure sex-type and status dimensions of occupational stereotypes and effects for age and gender investigated. Data were analysed using discriminant analysis and contingency analysis. Results indicated that gender was a strong influence on the sex-typing of occupational preferences occupational stereotypes from the age of five ( younger than the age suggested by Gottfredson), with males demonstrating more rigid sex-typing than females. Consistent with Gottfredson's theory, socio-economic background and ability were significant influences on status level of occupational preferences for respondents aged over nine years, with results suggesting that ability had a more direct influence on the status level of occupational preferences than did parental socio-economic status. The developmental pattern for the formation of occupational stereotypes was not as predicted by Gottfredson's theory, as both the sex-type and status level elements of occupational stereotypes were evident from the age of five. Results further suggested a weakening of sex-typing of occupational stereotypes with increasing age. The inconsistencies of present findings with Gottfredson's theory were discussed in the context of previous research and the developmental literature, and the usefulness of the theory in relation to occupational choice was evaluated. Implications of the present findings for careers awareness and education programmes were considered. It was concluded that Gottfredson's theory provides a useful framework for examining early vocational development, but that the failure of the theory to explain deviant developmental patterns limits the theory's explanatory power. It was suggested that the theory's usefulness would be enhanced by recognising the impact of environmental influences such as campaigns to encourage women into non-traditional careers and by incorporating more psychological influences such as self-cognitions.