

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Significant factors affecting the forced-air cooling process of
polylined horticultural produce**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Food Technology

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Justin O'Sullivan

2016

Abstract

New Zealand is the world's third biggest producer of kiwifruit, with 94 % of the kiwifruit produced exported (NZ \$ 1.0 bn in 2014). Forced-air cooling of the produce (from the harvest temperature of about 20 °C to near storage temperature of 0 °C) immediately after harvest improves storage potential and maintains produce quality before transportation to market. The design of the kiwifruit packaging system influences the rate of cooling and temperature achieved, mainly by affecting the airflow within and throughout the package.

The typical kiwifruit package contains 10.5 kg of fruit and consists of a cardboard box and polyliner bag to prevent the loss of moisture and fruit shrivelling. Individual boxes are assembled onto pallets (10 boxes to a pallet layer, 10 layers high) Open areas or vents (in the box) facilitate cooling by allowing cool air to enter and circulate throughout the package. In forced-air cooling pallets are assembled into double rows with an aisle between the rows. Cool air is sucked through the pallets by a fan in the aisle, cooling the fruit and warming the air. The air is then either blown or ducted to the refrigeration system to be re-cooled. The polyliner keeps the local humidity high near the fruit, preventing weight loss due to evaporative cooling, but, as a barrier to direct fruit to air contact, slows the cooling rate. This project investigated the impact of operating conditions and package design on the cooling performance in such systems.

A numerical model was developed (a CFD model implemented using the Fluent CFD software) that describes and predicts the temperature profiles of palletised kiwifruit packages undergoing forced-air cooling. The capability of the model to predict the fruit

temperatures in each package was quantitatively validated against experimental data. The numerical model was able to predict temperature profiles within experimental error bars over 14 h of cooling.

The numerical model was used to determine the operating point (in terms of pressure drop and flowrate across the pallet) to ensure rapid cooling of the produce without incurring excessive operational costs due to the power requirements. Results from both experimental work and the numerical model informed that there was an effective limit to the volumetric flowrate of $0.243 \text{ L kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$: flowrates in excess of the limit had no or little effective benefit. This threshold flowrate is below the typical range recommended in industry for the forced-air cooling of non-polylined horticultural produce, which is $0.5 - 2.0 \text{ L kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The numerical model demonstrated that the overall cooling performance (cooling rate, uniformity, power consumption and pallet throughput per week) can be improved by controlling the airflow distribution between the fastest and slowest cooling kiwifruit packages. An alternative design that channels cool air through the pallet towards the slowest cooling packages, located at the back of the pallet, by using two package designs in the same pallet, was presented.

At $0.243 \text{ L kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ it was found that the pressure drop and power required to achieve equivalent cooling rates with the new design was reduced (by 24 % each) compared to the conventional design. Additionally, at the half-cooling time the cooling uniformity was improved by 19 %. The key features of the new design can be expected to be applicable for the cooling of horticultural produce involving an inner packaging liner.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank a number of people who assisted me over the course of this project.

At Massey University Dr. Andrew East (principle supervisor) Dr. Maria J. Ferrua (co-supervisor) and Dr. Richard Love (co-supervisor) provided invaluable advice and guidance, which I am truly grateful for. I am also thankful for the technical assistance provided by Peter Jeffery, Sue Nicholson and Gary Radford, who made all the experiments carried out in the laboratory possible.

As part of this project I spent six months at KU Leuven, Belgium, where I would like to thank Dr. Pieter Verboven (co-supervisor) and Dr Bart M. Nicolai (co-supervisor) who taught me about numerical modelling.

I would also to thank Dr Patricia Kieran and Professor Brian Glennon of UCD, Ireland who gave me a research internship when I was an undergraduate and inspired me to pursue a doctorate.

I would like to thank Zespri International Ltd for their financial support.

I would like to take the opportunity to appreciate my parents, brothers and sister for their support and encouragement.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xiii
Nomenclature	xiv
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Kiwifruit industry in New Zealand	1
1.2. Role of packaging and forced-air cooling	2
1.3. Numerical model	3
1.4. Project aim	4
2. Literature review	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Postharvest operations	5
2.2.1 Forced-air cooling	6
2.2.2 Room cooling/ refrigerated storage	7
2.2.3 Refrigerated transportation	9
2.2.4 Horticultural package design	10
2.3 Numerical model approaches available for food processing	12
2.3.1 Porous medium model	13
2.3.2 Zonal model	15
2.3.3 Direct numerical simulation	15
2.3.4 Alternative numerical models	16
2.4 Numerical schemes	17
2.4.1 Finite difference	17
2.4.2 Finite element	18
2.4.3 Finite volume	19
2.5 Transport equations in the finite volume scheme	20
2.4.1 Mass transport	21
2.4.2 Momentum transport	21
2.4.3 Wall functions	26
2.4.4 Energy transport	27
2.6 Transport mechanisms in the cooling of horticultural produce	29
2.6.1 Convective heat transfer	29
2.6.2 Conductive heat transfer	30
2.6.3 Radiative heat transfer	30
2.6.4 Heat transfer in forced-air cooling	31
2.7 CFD applications in the postharvest cold chain	31
2.7.1 Refrigerated rooms	32
2.7.2 Ventilated packages during forced-air cooling	32

2.7.3 Transport equipment	34
2.7.4 Domestic refrigeration	35
2.7.5 Future of numerical modelling in postharvest operations	36
2.8 Conclusions	37
3. Research objectives	39
4. Characterising the forced-air cooling performance of polylined modular bulk packs	41
4.1 Introduction	41
4.2 Industrial experiments	42
4.2.1 Objectives	42
4.2.2 Methods	42
4.2.3 Temperature logging	47
4.2.4 Data analysis	48
4.2.5 Industrial results	49
4.2.6 Conclusions (industrial experiments)	55
4.3 Laboratory experiments	57
4.3.1 Objectives	57
4.4 Methods	58
4.4.1 Design of forced-air cooling simulator	58
4.4.2 Experimental structure	64
4.4.3 Experimental measurements	65
4.4.4 Experimental set-up	70
4.4.5 Moisture loss	71
4.4.6 Data analysis	71
4.5 Laboratory experiment results	73
4.5.1 Commercial pallet orientation (1.0 m orientation)	74
4.5.2 Alternative pallet orientation (1.2 m orientation)	81
4.5.3 Moisture loss	87
4.6 Laboratory experiments conclusions	89
4.6.1 Heat transfer mechanisms	89
4.6.2 Informing numerical model development	89
5. Numerical model development	91
5.1 Introduction	91
5.2 Objectives	92
5.2.1 Software package	92
5.3 Geometrical model	92
5.3.1 Kiwifruit physical model	93
5.3.2 Cardboard box	94
5.3.3 Modular bulk pack	97
5.4 Pallet footprint	101
5.4.1 Mesh generation	102

5.5	Transport phenomenon during forced-air cooling	104
5.5.1	Flow regimes in the numerical model	106
5.5.2	Heat transfer mechanisms in the numerical model	108
5.5.3	Transport equations in the numerical model	114
5.6	Numerical setup	118
5.6.1	Material thermophysical properties	118
5.6.2	Problem setup	119
5.6.3	Numerical simulation	121
5.6.4	Iterative convergence	122
5.7	Conclusions	123
6.	Impact of the thermal characterisation of the process on the numerical model	125
6.1.	Introduction	125
6.2.	Objectives	125
6.3.	Methods	126
6.4.	Sensitivity of the numerical model results to the thermal property input values	126
6.4.1	Thermophysical properties of air	126
6.4.2	Thermophysical properties of kiwifruit	128
6.4.3	Thermophysical properties of cardboard and polyliner	130
6.5.	Numerical results	131
6.6.	Conclusions.....	132
7.	Numerical model validation	133
7.1.	Introduction	133
7.2.	Experimental system for validation	133
7.2.1	Experimental system setup	134
7.2.2	Experimental methods	135
7.3.	Model validation simulations	136
7.4.	Validation methods	139
7.5.	Comparison of numerical and experimental temperatures	139
7.5.1	Comparison of the numerical and experimental temperature profiles	139
7.5.2	Comparison of the numerical and experimental HCT and SECT.....	143
7.5.3	Experimental uncertainties	145
7.6.	Conclusions	146
8.	Numerical model applications	147
8.1.	Introduction	147
8.2.	Objectives	148
8.3.	Operating conditions investigated and power calculations	148
8.3.1	Operating conditions	148

8.3.2 Power requirements	150
8.4. Optimal operating point	151
8.4.1 Numerical results	152
8.5. Significant factors affecting the cooling performance	156
8.5.1 Impact of air flowrate and pressure drop on the cooling performance	156
8.5.2 Impact of air temperature on the cooling performance	159
8.6. Air flowrate and temperature distribution within the pallet	160
8.6.1 Air flowrate and temperature distribution within MBPs	160
8.6.2 Air flowrate and temperature distribution between MBPs	163
8.7. Alternative MBP design	164
8.7.1 Geometry	165
8.7.2 Comparison methods	168
8.7.3 Results	168
8.8. Conclusions	177
9. Discussion	179
9.1 Introduction	179
9.2 Output of project in terms of the wider field of postharvest research.....	179
9.3 Specific challenges and data sets required in the project	181
9.4 Major findings of the study	183
9.4.1 Experimental	183
9.4.2 Numerical model	184
9.4.3 Improving cooling performance	185
9.5 Application of numerical model findings	188
9.6 Potential data sets to enhance and expand the numerical model	190
9.6.1 Including moisture loss and transfer in the numerical model	193
9.7 Recommendations	196
9.7.1 Industrial tunnel coolers.....	196
9.7.2 Developing a numerical model of polylined horticultural produce.....	196
9.7.3 Differences between cooling of polylined and non-polylined horticultural produce	197
9.7.4 Improving the cooling performance of polylined horticultural produce	198
References	199
Appendices	211
A1 Further numerical model testing	211
A2 Thermocouple location	229
A3 Published papers	231

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Summary of the cold chain in New Zealand for horticultural produce	1
Figure 2.1. Tunnel cooler: the most common forced-air cooling device. The fan creates a vacuum which draws refrigerated air through two palletized rows of horticulture produce.	6
Figure 2.2. Refrigerated storage room for horticulture produce. Evaporator fans circulate refrigerated air through the room	8
Figure 2.3. Pathways of air in a shipping container, for horticulture produce, featuring an integral unit with a bottom-air delivery system	9
Figure 2.4. Refrigerated truck with a top-air delivery system	10
Figure 2.5. The modular bulk pack (MBP) for Hayward green kiwifruit	12
Figure 4.1. Kiwifruit pallet with MBPs numbered 1 – 10 and pallet layers “A” – “J”	43
Figure 4.2. Reduced pallet row of MBPs 1 - 10, for air pulled through (a) the 1.0 m pallet orientation and (b) the 1.2 pallet orientation, on the basis of axial symmetry. “X” denotes location of thermocouples to measure air temperatures	44
Figure 4.3. Approximate location of kiwifruit in the top and bottom kiwifruit layers for MBP 1 in layers “E” and “F” of the 1.0 m pallet orientation	46
Figure 4.4. Kiwifruit as packed in a commercial facility (a) excluding and (b) including Type-T thermocouples to monitor the kiwifruit temperature in MBP 1	46
Figure 4.5. Pallet positions in an industrial tunnel cooler for the (a) 1.0 m and (b) 1.2 m orientation	47
Figure 4.6. Air temperature change at the inlet vent of each MBP in a pallet row for (a) the 1.0 m orientation and (b) the 1.2 m orientation over 10 h of cooling in industry	52
Figure 4.7. Average fractional unaccomplished temperature change, from the start of the forced-air cooling for (a) the 1.0 m orientation and (b) the 1.2 m orientation	52
Figure 4.8 Variable speed drive fan attached to a half-pallet of kiwifruit trays inside a cool room to simulate precooling	59

Figure 4.9. Dimensions for the variable speed drive fan, orifice plate, pressure tappings, upstream and downstream cylinder lengths and interface to attach to horticultural produce pallet to simulate forced-air cooling	60
Figure 4.10. Plot of the measured volumetric flow rate (n = 5) against the theoretical flowrate (with a discharge coefficient of 1) calculated from the pressure drop across an orifice plate to find the actual discharge coefficient.....	64
Figure 4.11 Half-pallet layout with layers assigned letters "A"-"E"	65
Figure 4.12 Kiwifruit packed in a cubic centred distribution (a) excluding and (b) including the Type-T thermocouples to monitor the kiwifruit temperature	67
Figure 4.13. Cubic centred distribution and thermocouple location for kiwifruit.....	68
Figure 4.14. Schematic diagram for the cardboard box used in MBPs of kiwifruit	70
Figure 4.15. Temperatures during forced-air cooling of (a) air pulled past the inlet vent of each MBP in a pallet row and (b) average temperature change of each MBP in pallet row "B" for the 1.0 m orientation	74
Figure 4.16. Average fractional unaccomplished temperature change, during cooling for each MBP in a pallet row for the 1.0 m orientation	76
Figure 4.17. Plot of (a) airflow and pressure drop across the pallet as a function of fan speed with LSD bars and (b) airflow as a function of pressure drop for both pallet orientations	82
Figure 4.18. Airflow pathways pulled through the pallet (a) without and (b) with gaps between MBPs for both the 1.0 m and 1.2 m orientation	82
Figure 4.19. Air temperature change at the inlet vent of each MBP in a pallet row during cooling for the 1.2 m orientation	84
Figure 4.20. Average fractional unaccomplished temperature change, during cooling for each box in a pallet row for the (a) 1.0 m orientation (same data presented in Figure 4.12 and (b) 1.2 m orientation) and (b) for the 1.0 m orientation	86
Figure 4.21. Mass change of MBPs 5 – 7 in the 1.2 m pallet orientation during forced-air cooling	88
Figure 5.1. The raised view of Hayward green kiwifruit drawn along the xy-axis in Design Modeler	93
Figure 5.2. The (a) flat view of Hayward green kiwifruit along the xz-plane in DesignModeler and (b) 3D outline of the kiwifruit	94
Figure 5.3. Completed construction of an anatomically correct 3D Hayward green kiwifruit, formed by joining the individual surfaces together	95

Figure 5.4. Image of (a) photo of front view (b) photo of end view (c) simplified geometry schematic diagram and (d) the numerical model construction of the cardboard box	96
Figure 5.5. Image of (a) photo and (b) the numerical model construction of the bottom layer of count 36 kiwifruit in the modular bulk pack	98
Figure 5.6. Image of (a) photo and (b) the numerical model construction of the bottom and second layer of count 36 kiwifruit in the modular bulk pack	98
Figure 5.7. Image of (a) independent polyliner shape and (b) effective polyliner surface and kiwifruit bulk	99
Figure 5.8. Image of (a) photo of a Hayward green kiwifruit modular bulk pack alongside (b) isometric (c) long-side and (d) short-side view of the numerical model construction containing 100 fruit, the effective polyliner surface and the cardboard box	100
Figure 5.9. Half-pallet layout with layers "A"- "E" and MBPs 1 – 10. Refrigerated air is pulled through the 1.0 m pallet face during forced-air cooling	101
Figure 5.10. (a) numerical construct and (b) 3D schematic diagram of the computational pallet layer for the forced-air cooling of a single pallet layer, when air is pulled through 1.0 m pallet face	102
Figure 5.11. (a) Location of cross-sectional area for MBP 1 and ¼ view of MBP 1; computational grid of (b) MBP 1 and (c) ¼ view of MBP 1.....	104
Figure 5.12. The primary external heat transfer mechanisms for MBP 1 during forced-air cooling	105
Figure 5.13. Some of the internal heat transfer mechanisms for a MBP of polylined kiwifruit during forced-air cooling, where the primary airflow direction is perpendicular to the hand vents	106
Figure 7.1. Wire mesh and insulated test duct attachments for the forced-air cooling system	135
Figure 7.2. (a) Schematic diagram, including the wire mesh and fan system, and (b) photo of the insulated test duct, containing 5 layers of kiwifruit MBPs, to validate the numerical model	135
Figure 7.3. Cubic centred distribution and thermocouple location for kiwifruit in (a) MBPs 1 – 4 and (b) MBP 5 – 7	137
Figure 7.4 Half-pallet layout with layers assigned letters "A"- "E". Air is pulled through the 1.0 m pallet	137
Figure 7.5. Comparison between predicted and experimental numerical average temperatures, during 14 h of forced-air cooling from an approximate initial product temperature of 20 °C at 0.34 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	140

Figure 7.6. Comparison between predicted and experimental numerical average temperatures, during 14 h of forced-air cooling from an approximate initial product temperature of 20 °C at 0.51 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	141
Figure 7.7. Comparison between predicted and experimental numerical average temperatures, during 14 h of forced-air cooling from an approximate initial product temperature of 20 °C at 0.71 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	142
Figure 7.8. Predicted and experimental cooling times for MBPs 1 – 7 at 0.34 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	143
Figure 7.9. Predicted and experimental cooling times for MBPs 1 – 7 at 0.51 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	144
Figure 7.10. Predicted and experimental cooling times for MBPs 1 – 7 at 0.71 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	144
Figure 8.1. Reduced pallet layer of MBPs 1 – 10, on the basis of axial symmetry	149
Figure 8.2. HCT of slowest cooling MBP and no. of pallets that can be cooled to this HCT per week against (a) pressure drop per pallet (b) flowrate per pallet and (c) total power requirement	155
Figure 8.3. Average wall function surface heat transfer coefficients between the refrigerated airflow and effective polyliner surface	158
Figure 8.4. Average air temperature at the inlet vents (perpendicular to the incoming refrigerated air pulled through the pallet layer) for MBPs 1 – 7 at pressure drops of (a) 25 Pa (b) 50 Pa (c) 100 Pa and (d) 200 Pa	159
Figure 8.5. Distribution of air velocities (m.s ⁻¹) for an (a) top-down view and (b) isometric view, and air temperatures (°C) for an (c) top-down view and (d) isometric view.....	161
Figure 8.6. (a) Location of vertical and horizontal cross-sectional area through the pallet layer. Temperature distribution (°C) for (b) an isometric view of the kiwifruit temperature in each MBP, along the (c) vertical and (d) horizontal cross-sectional area, after 4.93 h (average pallet layer HCT) of forced air cooling for a pressure drop of 100 Pa (0.243 L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹).....	162
Figure 8.7. Volumetric flowrate (L kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) distribution between MBPs (total entering and exiting the pallet layer of 100 %)	163
Figure 8.8. Conceptual sketch of the primary airflow pathways through (a) current and (b) new box design	166
Figure 8.9. Schematic diagram for (a) the current box and (b) alternative box for MBPs 5 and 6	168
Figure 8.10. Airflow distribution (total though pallet layer of 100 %) through each MBP for (a) the current and (b) the new box design	169

Figure 8.11. Maximum difference between average MBP temperatures in the pallet layer for the current design and alternative design tested at a constant flowrate ($L.kg^{-1}.s^{-1}$) and pressure drop (Pa)	171
Figure 8.12. Average air temperature at the original vents (not the newly created vents) orientated towards to the incoming refrigerated air pulled through (a) the current box design (b) the new box design at the same pressure drop and (c) the new box design at the same flowrate	172
Figure 8.13. Distribution of air velocities ($m s^{-1}$) for the new box design for an (a) top-down and (b) isometric view, and air temperatures ($^{\circ}C$) for an (c) top-down and (d) isometric view.	173
Figure 8.14. (a) Location of vertical and horizontal cross-sectional area through the pallet layer with the new design. Temperature distribution ($^{\circ}C$) for (b) an isometric view of the kiwifruit temperature in each MBP, along the (c) vertical and (d) horizontal cross-sectional area, after 4.93 h (average pallet layer HCT) of forced air cooling for a pressure drop of 100 Pa ($0.243 L kg^{-1} s^{-1}$)	174
Figure 8.15. HCT of slowest cooling MBP and no. of pallets that can be cooled to this HCT per week, for the current and new box design against (a) pressure drop per pallet (b) flowrate per pallet and (c) power requirement	176
Figure 9.1. Conceptual model of the primary airflow distribution through a pallet layer of polylined horticultural produce (a) without and (b) with additional open areas to promote air bypass channelling through some packages at the side of the pallet	186
Figure 9.2. Expected airflow distribution within a ventilated package of polylined horticultural produce (a) without and (b) with additional open areas to promote airflow bypass channelling	189

List of Tables

Table 4.1. Kiwifruit location within MBP 1, temperature drop and amount of cooling that has occurred during the forced-air cooling period in the 1.0 m pallet orientation. Data represents the average of 2 data points	55
Table 4.2. Average HCT and SECT as influenced by box location, subdivision within box and pallet layer for the 1.0 m pallet orientation	75
Table 4.3. Point velocities (n = 12) and airflow measured at the orifice plate (n = 18) for the 1.0 m pallet orientation at a fan speed of 1500 rpm	77
Table 4.4. Air velocities for MBPs 1 and 5 (n = 12) in the 1.0 m orientation and for MBPs 5 and 7 (n = 24) and MBP 6 (n = 12) in the 1.2 m orientation and airflow measured at the orifice plate (n = 18) pulled through both pallet orientations for a fan speed of 1500 rpm	85
Table 4.5. Average HCT and SECT as influenced pallet orientation	86
Table 4.6. Average HCT and SECT as influenced by general box location in each pallet orientation, pallet layer and pallet orientations for both pallet orientations	87
Table 5.1. Material properties of the air and solid materials, in the numerical model	118
Table 6.1. Dry matter content of Hayward (<i>Actinidia deliciosa</i>) kiwifruit harvested in New Zealand	129
Table 6.2. Specific heat capacity and thermal conductivity models for foods based on the water content	129
Table 6.3. Thermophysical properties of the corrugated cardboard and polyethylene liner	130
Table 6.4. Sensitivity input range for fluid and solid thermophysical properties	130
Table 6.5. Sensitivity analysis for the impact of thermal properties on the numerical model	131
Table 7.1. Fan speeds, flowrate and corresponding pressure drop across the half-pallet tested	137
Table 8.1. HCT, and the relative reduction in HCT, between the tested pressure drops/air flowrates for MBPs 1 – 7 in a pallet layer	156
Table 8.2. HCT for each MBP and the pallet layer average for the current box design and the new box design. Comparisons are provided at both constant flowrate and constant pressure drop	170

Nomenclature

English Symbols

A – area, m^2

B – ratio of outer to inner diameter, dimensionless

C_D – discharge coefficient

C_p – specific heat at constant pressure, $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$

d – inner diameter, m

D – outer diameter, m

D_H – hydraulic diameter (m)

E – energy per unit mass, J kg^{-1}

g – gravity, m s^{-2}

G – generation of turbulent kinetic energy, $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-3}$

Gr – Grashof number, dimensionless

h – specific enthalpy, J kg^{-1}

I – unit tensor

J – diffusion flux, $\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

k – thermal conductivity, $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$

l – length scale, m

L – characteristic length, m

m – mass, kg

n – number of replicates

p – pressure, Pa

P – power, W

Pr – Prandtl number, dimensionless

q – heat flow rate, W

Q – volumetric flowrate, $\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$

Re – Reynolds number, dimensionless

RH – Relative Humidity, %

t – time, s

T – temperature, K

u, v, w – velocity magnitude, m s^{-1}

\vec{v} – overall velocity vector, m s^{-1}

x, y, z – Cartesian coordinates, m

X – mass fraction, dimensionless

Y – Fractional Unaccomplished Temperature Change, dimensionless

Greek Symbols

β – thermal expansion coefficient, K^{-1}

ε – turbulent dissipation rate, $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-3}$

κ – turbulent kinetic energy, $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$

λ – latent heat, kJ kg^{-1}

ρ – density, kg m^{-3}

τ – stress tensor, N m^{-2}

μ – viscosity, $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$

ν – kinematic viscosity, $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$

σ – Stefan Boltzmann constant, $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$

σ_ε – turbulent Prandtl number for ε , dimensionless

σ_κ – turbulent Prandtl number for κ , dimensionless

ω – turbulent specific dissipation rate, s^{-1}

Miscellaneous Symbols

ϵ - emissivity, dimensionless

Mathematical operators

d – total derivative

Δ – difference (i.e. change in variable)

δ_{ij} – Kronecker delta function

∂ – partial derivative

∇ – partial derivative with respect to all directions in Cartesian space

Subscripts

a – species “a”

b – buoyancy

f – fruit

i, j, k – vector directions in Cartesian coordinates

t – turbulent

eff – effective

w – water

Constants

C_{μ} , $C_{1\epsilon}$, $C_{2\epsilon}$, $C_{3\epsilon}$ – constants for κ - ϵ turbulent model

Abbreviations

CAT– Computerized Axial Tomography

FUTC – Fractional Unaccomplished Temperature Change, -

h.t.c – heat transfer coefficient, $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$

HCT – Half Cooling Time, h

LSD – Least Squares Difference

MBP – Modular Bulk Pack

rpm – revolutions per minute (min^{-1})

rps – revolutions per second (s^{-1})

SECT – Seven Eights Cooling Time, h

TCR – Temperature Control Room

VSD – Variable Speed Drive