

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE EFFECT OF LAND USE ON BENTHIC
COMMUNITIES IN HAWKES BAY STREAMS OF
DIFFERING GEOLOGY.**



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Ecology
at Massey University

JASON ROSS GIBSON

1999

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	v
CHAPTER ONE: Introduction.....	8
CHAPTER TWO: Determinants of stream macroinvertebrate community structure in the Hawkes Bay (the interaction of geology and land use).....	13
CHAPTER THREE: The effect of shade, disturbance, and nutrients on lotic biofilm communities.....	45
CHAPTER FOUR: Discussion.....	63
APPENDIX	67

Front page photograph: Pakuratahi Stream (2), Hawkes Bay. Taken 18 December 1996 by author.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to begin by thanking my supervisor Dr. Russell Death for all his help with the setting up, study design, statistical analysis, and critiquing of my Masterate. Guidance given by Russell in times of uncertainty, of which there were several to say the least, was very much appreciated.

Thanks also to my co-supervisor Dr. Ian Henderson for assistance with laboratory techniques and the identification of several macroinvertebrate species, as well as the critiquing of a number of drafts of my thesis.

I thank Pieter Fransen from LIRO Ltd for his help in choosing study sites. Many thanks to Robin Black of Carter Holt Harvey Forests Ltd for his assistance in, not only the choosing of sites, but for the provision of site maps and for transport to and from sites and also for much needed field assistance. Thanks also to Brett Gilmore and Bryce Wright of Hawkes Bay Forests Ltd for permission to sample and run field experiments in streams within Gwavas forest.

I am grateful to Poppy Lekner for the use of her car as a mobile field station, for her help in the field, and for her support during my thesis.

I am particularly grateful to Rachael and Michael Johnstone for continually providing me with accommodation, particularly when it was at short notice. I am also grateful to Kaitlyn Johnstone for giving up her room so I could rest between field excursions. I thank Bridget Gibson for keeping me company while I drove for hours on end between field sites in Gwavas Forest and for the endless encouragement she has given me.

Thanks to my fellow Limnological Masterate students for their help in the following areas: Reece Fowler (invertebrate identification, sampling techniques, script

critiquing, and statistical analysis), Chris Guy (Ephemeroptera identification), Graeme Franklyn (invertebrate identification and general thesis guidance), Jonny Horrox (Trichoptera identification and literature review), Kimberley Dunning (invertebrate identification, statistical analysis, and script writing), and Mike Joy (statistical analysis). I would also like to thank all the other people who have had to suffer through my musical tastes and sense of humour for so long (you know who you are), and also thanks to those (too numerous to name) who have given me friendship, words of advice and genuine encouragement throughout the duration of my Masterate.

Finally, I would to thank my parents, Ross and Janet Gibson, for their constant love and support, for always providing me with genuine encouragement, and for teaching me to believe in myself. I thank them also for driving me to and from my study sites when needed.

This Masterate was supported by funding from Carter Holt Harvey Forests Limited and the Department of Ecology Postgraduate Research Fund.

Errata Sheet

- p. 9, line 18: should read: ...invertebrate **communities** and periphyton **biomass** through...
- p. 10, line 5: should read: ...and periphyton **assemblages**, and to establish...
- p. 10, line 7: should read: ...of periphyton **biomass** is also examined...
- p. 17, line 9: should read: ...mesh) were **randomly** collected from...
- p. 17, line 12: should read: ...mid channel within **riffles along** a 20m section...
- p. 18, line 20: should read: ...and invertebrate data. **ANOVA calculations were conducted at the 0.05 level of significance, and if necessary, data was log...**
- p. 19, insert after line 12: ***Community indices***

Two indices were used to assess species diversity.

These were:

1. Margalef's index (a simple measure of species richness) given by the formula:

$$D = (S - 1) / \ln N,$$

where S is the species number and N is the total number of individuals collected (Clifford and Stephenson 1975).

2. Berger Parker index (a simple measure of evenness, or dominance) given by:

$$D = N_{\max} / N,$$

where N_{\max} is the number of individuals in the most abundant species and N is the total number of individuals collected (Berger and Parker 1970).

Water quality was assessed by calculating the MCI (Macroinvertebrate Community Index) and QMCI (Quantitative Macroinvertebrate Community Index) (Stark 1985). An Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) ratio was also calculated to assess water quality (Lenat 1988).

p. 21, line 1: omit: ‘degrees of freedom,’

p. 21, line 4: should read ...region. **The statistical level of significance for p-values is 0.05.**

p. 22, line 1: omit: ‘4 replicate stone samples in’

Figures on pp. 22, 24, 27, 29, 30, 31, & 32:

Bars equal the average site values, thus removing error bars for all exotic Pleistocene, logged limestone, & native limestone streams.

p. 23, line 1: should read: Total POM was **significantly** lower at...

pp. 24, 25, 27, & 29, line 1: omit: ‘4 replicate Surber samples in’

pp. 30, 31, & 32, line 1: omit: ‘4 replicate Surber samples collected in’

p. 39, insert at line 1:

Berger, W. H.; Parker, F. L. 1970: Diversity of planktonic Foraminifera in deep sea sediments. *Science* 168: 1345-1347.

p. 39, insert after line 14:

Clifford, H. T.; Stephenson, W. 1975: An introduction to numerical classification, Academic Press, London.

p. 42, insert after line 17:

Lenat, D. R. 1988: Water quality assessment of streams using a qualitative collection method for benthic invertebrates. *Journal of the North American benthological society* 7: 222-233.

p. 44, insert at line 1:

Stark, J. D. 1985: A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. *Water and soil miscellaneous publication* 87: 53p.

p. 64, line 14: should read: ...of land-use, **and in general geology appeared to be a more important determinant of macroinvertebrate community structure and periphyton biomass than did land use.**

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Benthic macroinvertebrate and periphyton communities of streams draining four different land use types within four distinct geological types were sampled between December 1996 and January 1997. Catchment land use comprised either standing mature or logged exotic forest, native forest, or hill country pasture. The geological types of these catchments were either Mesozoic sandstone-greywacke, Pleistocene-greywacke, Tertiary mudstone, or limestone in origin. Pastoral stream invertebrate community structure was significantly different from that found in forested streams, with no clear distinction separating communities from standing exotic, logged exotic, and native forest sites. Pastoral communities were dominated by dipterans and trichopterans, while in contrast, macroinvertebrate communities in streams draining sandstone-greywacke catchments were dominated by ephemeropterans and plecopterans, showing a clear influence of catchment geology on benthic macroinvertebrate communities. This sandstone-greywacke effect appeared to be independent of land use. Periphyton biomass was greatest in pastoral and exotic sites, particularly those draining limestone catchments. High nutrient and conductivity levels, both of which are characteristic of limestone streams, appeared to override the effect of light restrictions on periphyton growth in exotic forest sites. Overall, both geology and land use played major roles in determining the structure of stream benthic communities, with factors such as altitude and stream temperature also important influences on these communities.

In November and December 1997, nutrient, shade, and disturbance effects were examined in periphyton communities colonising artificial substrates. These substrates were left in the 8 forested Hawkes Bay streams for 28 days with disturbance treatment substrates being physically abraded every 7 days. Nutrients (N + P) were added to nutrient treatment substrates and polythene cloth was used to create an artificially shaded environment for shade treatment substrates. Light availability and percentage canopy cover had the greatest effect upon periphyton, with light limitation being

exhibited in closed canopy systems. Nutrient supply was also a factor determining periphyton biomass at both open and closed sites, although only up to a limit. Physical disturbance successfully removed organic matter from substrates as well as reducing chlorophyll *a* levels at open sites, however light and nutrient levels were more important determinants of chlorophyll *a* concentrations.

In summary, both land use and geology play a considerable role in influencing both macroinvertebrate community structure and periphyton biomass. The geological influence was mediated through direct effects on nutrient inputs into the stream (as measured by conductivity), as well as by the indirect influence upon stream water temperatures. The influence of land use on benthic communities is predominantly as a result of shade levels created by vegetation types and enrichment levels derived from agriculturally influenced land. These results are of particular importance when comparing or analysing results from studies involving different land use types, particularly when these land uses cover a range of altitudes or are found in more than one geological type.