

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author. An Empirical Analysis of the Construct 'Role Conflict'

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts in Education

at Massey University

Wallace Malcolm Gill, B.Sc. B.A. Dip. Teach. 1971

Abstract

The construct of "role conflict" is given considerable space in contemporary sociological thinking, yet a review of the literature in the area would seem to suggest two things. Firstly, it is apparent that authors are using the construct in different ways, and hence giving the same term different meanings. Secondly, it is possible that greater explanatory and predictive power might be obtained from "conflict" measures beyond those traditionally used.

A conceptual framework is offered in which the relationships between different authors' measures can be seen, and from which additional approaches to the construct might be made. Use is then made of this framework to generate 162 different operationalizations of the construct using data from an unrelated study on teacher role. These measures are then tested for their relative utility against three criterion measures. Further, they are mathematically manipulated in order to obtain a compound operationalization with considerable explanatory power of the variance of one of the criterion measures.

The study is essentially a methodological argument, so that no substantive conclusions about role conflict in teachers are made.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my gratitude to the following: Firstly to Professor R.S.Adams and Mr. R.J.Bates for their generosity in making data unconditionally available to me for use in the present exercise.

Secondly to Professor C.G.N.Hill who has always been ready to give me his time, advice and guidance, and whose enthusiasm and optimism helped to change my aspirations from those ethological to those sociological.

Finally to my supervisor, Professor R.S.Adams who has also constantly and unstintingly given of his time and experience; and who has provided encouragement and succour through the difficult patches over the last eighteen months.

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix
Chapter I	
Preliminaries	1
Setting and objective	4
Chapter II	
"Role conflict" - a review of the literature	6
Conditions and terminology	6
Bases for differentiation	10
Observer-actor	10
Number of positions	14
Legitimacy	15
Extent and outcomes	16
Conflict and personality characteristics	19
Conflict and "Job satisfactoriness"	21
Community-teacher conflict	22
Teachers and others	24
Community type	25
Other sources of teacher conflict	27
Parents and pupils	28
Administration	28
Teacher role	29
Training institutions	30
Areas of teacher conflict	31
Teacher-pupil relationships	33
Teachers' personal lives	34
Discussion	36
Chapter III	
Role conflict - its operationalization	37
The set of measures for generating discrepancies	37
Procedures for generating discrepancies	41
Direction	43
Chapter IV	
The generation of selected operationalizations	46
The Bates and Adams study	46
Generation of discrepancy scores	48

	v
ER-ER discrepancies	48
Intra-personal	48
Inter-personnel	50
ER-IEO discrepancies	51
ER-IER discrepancies	53
Intra-personal	53
Inter-personnel	53
Further Total discrepancy scores	54
Discrepancies between discrepancies	56
ER-ER/IEO-IEO	56
ER-ER/IER-IER	57
Comment	57
Focal phenomena: the dependent variables	58
Procedure	59
Summary	60
Chapter V	
Demonstration methodologies for the operationalization	s 61
Pearson product moment correlation	61
Multiple regression analysis	62
Procedures	63
Product moment correlation	63
Multiple regression analysis	65
Sample	66
Chapter VI	
Findings	68
Inter-relationships among the operationalizations	68
Role conflict and Job satisfaction	70
Inter-operationalization type differences	70
Intra-operationalization type differences	74
Role conflict and Likelihood of leaving	78
Role conflict and Likelihocd of system breakdown	83
Operationalization utility	89
Equal correlation with the three criteria	89
High correlation	89
Low correlation	89
Differential correlation with the criteria	90
Specific correlation with one only criterion	90
Explanation of Job satisfaction variance	91
Multiple regression analysis	92
Probability level = 0.05	93

Probability level = 1.00	94		
Comment	94		
Age, years of service, and discrepancy scores	97		
Chapter VII			
Discussion	98		
'Objective' and 'Subjective role conflict	98		
The regression analysis	100		
The three criteria	101		
Translation	103		
Bibliography	106		

vi

List of Tables

Table		Page
4.1	Intra-personal ER-ER discrepancy scores	49
4.2	Inter-personnel ER-ER discrepancy scores	51
4.3	ER-IEO discrepancy scores obtained from	
	perceived conflict with parents	52
4.4	ER-IEO discrepancy scores obtained from	
	perceived conflict with pupils	53
4.5	Intra-personal ER-IER discrepancy scores	54
4.6	Inter-personnel ER-IER discrepancy scores	54
4.7	Further Total discrepancy scores	55
4.8	ER-ER/IEO-IEO discrepancy scores	57
4.9	ER-ER/IER-IER discrepancy scores	57
6.1	Inter-correlations within discrepancy score types	69
6.2	Correlations with Job satisfaction by	
	operationalizations of role conflict	71
6.3	Numbers of different types of discrepancy scores	
	correlating significantly with Job satisfaction	71
6.4	Inter-correlations between intra-	
	personal ER-ER scores	72
6.5	Inter-correlations between inter-	
	personnel ER-ER scores	72
6.6	The range of correlations with Job satisfaction	
	within each type of discrepancy score	75
6.7	The distribution of correlations with Job satis-	
	faction within each type of discrepancy score	75
6.8	Correlations with Likelihood of leaving by	
	operationalizations of role conflict	79
6.9	Numbers of different types of discrepancy scores	
	correlating significantly with Likelihood of leaving	79
6.10	The range of correlations with Likelihood of leaving	
	within each type of discrepancy score	80
6.11	The distribution of correlations with Likelihood of	
	leaving within each type of discrepancy score	80
6.12	Correlations with the Third criterion by	
	operationalizations of role conflict	84
6.13	Numbers of different types of discrepancy scores	
	correlating significantly with the Third criterion	84
6.14	The range of correlations with the Third criterion	
	within each type of discrepancy score	86

6.15	The distribution of correlations with the Third	
	criterion within each type of discrepancy score	86
6.16	Equally high correlation with the three criteria	89
6.17	Equally low correlation with the three criteria	90
6.18	Differential correlation with the three criteria	90
6.19	Specific correlation within the three criteria	91
6.20	Input variables for regression equation	92
6.21	Regression equation given a probability level of .05	93
6.22	Regression equation given a probability level of 1.00	95
6.23	Order of acceptance of variables by the regression	
	equation in relation to the size of correlation with	
	Job satisfaction	96
7.1	Distributions of the three criterion measures	101

viii

Fig.		Page
4.1	Teaching style matrix	47
4.2	Reference group matrices	47
6.1	The distribution of correlations with Job satis-	
	faction within each type of discrepancy score	76
6.2	The distribution of correlations with job satis-	
	faction within each type of discrepancy score	77
6.3	The distribution of correlations with Likelihood of	
	leaving within each type of discrepancy score	81
6.4	The distribution of correlations with the first two	
	criteria within each type of discrepancy score	82
6.5	The distribution of correlations with the Third	
	criterion within each type of discrepancy score	87
6.6	The distribution of correlations with all three	
	criteria within each type of discrepancy score	88

ix