

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Development of Assays for Biomarkers of  
Oxidative Damage to Assess the  
Efficacy of Fruit-derived Antioxidants**

A thesis presented to in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science in Biochemistry at  
Massey University

**Laura Evelyn Barnett**

**2003**

## Acknowledgements

*Lord, thank You for your never-ending Grace in all aspects of my life. Thank You for surrounding me with people who are a true blessing to me in so many ways.*

Thank you to Mum and Dad for your continual support, love and encouragement. Thanks to my groovy troovy sister Claire, I have been blessed to have you as my sister. Well done with everything you have achieved and will achieve in the future. Thanks also to Sara, Andy and the rest of my family for encouraging me!!

Thanks heaps to Amanda King (Brp), for supporting me and for just being there. I know you're going to go far and I hope I can be as much of a blessing to you as you have been to me!

Thank you to Daryl Rowan, for the invaluable discussions and for proof-reading my work. Special thanks to Linda Rowan for your advice and guidance in my journey and for always being there to listen.

To Tony McGhie, my supervisor at HortResearch, thank you for supporting and guiding me, and for allowing me to work independently and figure things out for myself.

Kathryn Stowell, my Massey supervisor, thank you for encouraging me and helping me gain confidence in my scientific ability. Thanks too for helping me with all the 'admin stuff'.

Thanks to Adam Matich, for your continuing support, humour and for helping me with calculations, statistics and proof-reading my thesis.

Thanks to Martin Hunt for doing the MDA analysis and for encouraging me and making me laugh when I really needed to!

Thank you to staff of HortResearch, past and present, who have supported and encouraged me from the start. Thank you to HortResearch for the financial support (from The New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology funding).

Thanks to all involved in organising and looking after the rats at SAPU, especially Anne Broomfield from the Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health at Massey University.

To my friends, thank you for the encouragement, support and prayers. God Bless You!.

## Abstract

The diet is a very important part of maintaining a healthy lifestyle. Increased consumption of fruits and vegetables is one practice postulated to decrease the incidence of diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease and other disorders. Although there are a number of possible beneficial compounds in fruit, it is believed that the antioxidant components found in these foods may decrease the oxidative damage that could lead to such diseases. Oxidative damage to cellular proteins, lipids and DNA is considered to result from an increase in the production of free radicals, which overwhelm the body's defence system.

This research investigated fruit-derived antioxidants, and developed biomarker assays to measure the potential health benefits they may offer. To determine the *in vivo* antioxidant efficacy of berry fruit anthocyanins, oxidative damage to proteins, lipids and DNA was measured in rats fed several combinations of natural and synthetic diets. Mild oxidative damage was induced by the inclusion of fish oil in these diets.

DNA oxidation was determined by measuring urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine using reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. ELISA and colorimetric techniques were used to measure protein carbonyl content of plasma as a reflection of protein oxidation. Oxidation to lipids was assessed by measuring malondialdehyde, which results from lipid peroxidation.

Supplementation with fish oil induced a mild form of dietary oxidative damage, as shown by an increase in lipid and protein oxidation. In most cases the berry fruit extracts had little effect on the level of fish oil-induced oxidative damage, however, boysenberry anthocyanin extract significantly reduced protein oxidation when used in combination with the natural diet. Taken together the results suggest that oxidative damage to biomacromolecules may occur by different pathways of oxidative stress, which selectively target either DNA, protein or lipids at varying levels, and the antioxidant is effective only with selected mechanisms of oxidative damage.

## Abbreviations

8OHdG	8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine
AAPH	2,2'-azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride
ABTS	2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethyl-benzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)
AUC	area under curve
BHT	butylated hydroxytoluene
BSA	bovine serum albumin
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	carbon tetrachloride
CoA	coenzyme A
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNPH	dinitrophenylhydrazine
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay
FPG	formamidopyrimidine DNA N-glycosylase
FO	fish oil
FRAP	free radical antioxidant power
GC-MS	gas chromatography – mass spectrometry
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
IPA	isopropyl alcohol
LC-MS	liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry
LDL	low density lipoprotein
MDA	malondialdehyde
MeOH	methanol
NaOAc	sodium acetate
ORAC	oxygen radical absorbance capacity
ORAC <sub>FL</sub>	oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay using fluorescein
PUFA	polyunsaturated fatty acid
RP-HPLC	reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography
SBO	soybean oil
SEM	standard error of the mean



SPE	solid phase extraction
TBA	thiobarbituric acid
TCA	trichloroacetic acid
TE	trolox equivalent
TEAC	trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity
Trolox	6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-carboxylic acid

# Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xvi</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xix</i>
<b><i>Chapter One – Introduction</i></b>	<b>1</b>
<b><i>1.1 Introduction</i></b>	<b>1</b>
<b><i>1.2 Oxidative Damage</i></b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2.1 Free Radicals</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.2.2 The Biological Consequences of Oxidative Damage</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>1.2.2.1 Cellular Consequences</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>1.2.2.2 Disease Pathogenesis</i>	<i>4</i>
<b><i>1.3 Antioxidants</i></b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.3.1 Non-Enzymatic Antioxidant Systems</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>1.3.1.1 Endogenous Antioxidants</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.3.1.2 Dietary Antioxidants</i>	<i>5</i>



<b>1.3.2</b>	<b>Enzymatic Antioxidant Systems</b>	<b>9</b>
1.3.2.1	<i>Redox Cycling Enzymes (Phase 2 Enzymes)</i>	9
1.3.2.2	<i>Repair Enzymes</i>	9
<b>1.4</b>	<b><i>Methods for Measuring Oxidative Damage</i></b>	<b>10</b>
<b>1.4.1</b>	<b>The Concept of Biomarkers</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>1.4.2</b>	<b>Oxidative Damage to DNA</b>	<b>11</b>
1.4.2.1	<i>8OHdG Keto-enol Tautomerism</i>	11
1.4.2.2	<i>Non-invasive Urinary Analysis</i>	12
1.4.2.3	<i>Analysis of Cells for Oxidative DNA Damage</i>	13
<b>1.4.3</b>	<b>Oxidative Damage to Proteins</b>	<b>15</b>
1.4.3.1	<i>Methodology</i>	15
<b>1.4.4</b>	<b>Oxidative Damage to Lipids</b>	<b>16</b>
1.4.4.1	<i>Malondialdehyde Analysis</i>	17
1.4.4.2	<i>Isoprostane Analysis</i>	18
1.4.4.3	<i>Volatile Hydrocarbon Analysis</i>	18
<b>1.4.5</b>	<b>Overall Antioxidant Capacity</b>	<b>19</b>
1.4.5.1	<i>Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity Analysis</i>	20
1.4.5.2	<i>Free Radical Antioxidant Power Analysis</i>	21
1.4.5.3	<i>Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity Analysis</i>	21
1.4.5.4	<i>Comparison of FRAP, ORAC and TEAC</i>	21
<b>1.4.6</b>	<b>Oxidative Damage in Mammalian Systems</b>	<b>22</b>
1.4.6.1	<i>Inducing Oxidative Damage</i>	22
1.4.6.2	<i>Cell-Based Studies</i>	23
1.4.6.3	<i>Mammalian Studies</i>	23

<b>1.5</b>	<b><i>Hypothesis and Aims</i></b>	<b>24</b>
<b>1.5.1</b>	<b>Hypothesis</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>1.5.2</b>	<b>Aims</b>	<b>24</b>
 <b><i>Chapter Two - Method Development</i></b>		<b>25</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b><i>8OHdG Assay to Measure Oxidative Damage to DNA</i></b>	<b>25</b>
<b>2.1.1</b>	<b>Standard Preparation</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>2.1.2</b>	<b>Sample Preparation by Solid Phase Extraction</b>	<b>26</b>
2.1.2.1	<i>Preliminary Method Development: Is Solid Phase Extraction Necessary?</i>	26
2.1.2.2	<i>Solid Phase Extraction Protocol</i>	28
2.1.2.3	<i>pH control of 8OHdG to Optimise Recovery</i>	29
2.1.2.4	<i>Intra-sample Variation</i>	32
2.1.2.5	<i>Implementation of Quality Controls for Sample Recovery Analysis – Inter-batch Variation</i>	32
<b>2.1.3</b>	<b>High Performance Liquid Chromatography Analysis</b>	<b>32</b>
2.1.3.1	<i>HPLC Columns and Solvent Programmes</i>	32
2.1.3.2	<i>Detection of 8OHdG</i>	35
2.1.3.3	<i>Inter- and Intra-assay Variation Associated with HPLC</i>	36
<b>2.1.4</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>2.2</b>	<b><i>Protein Carbonyl Assay to Measure Oxidative Damage to Proteins</i></b>	<b>37</b>

<b>2.2.1</b>	<b>Colorimetric Determination of Carbonyl Content</b>	<b>37</b>
2.2.1.1	<i>Initial Methodology Used</i>	37
2.2.1.2	<i>Final Methodology Used</i>	38
<b>2.2.2</b>	<b>Determination of Carbonyl Content Using an Enzyme-linked Immuno-adsorbent Assay (ELISA)</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>2.2.3</b>	<b>Comparison of ELISA and Colorimetric Methods for Determination of Protein Carbonyl Content of Plasma</b>	<b>39</b>
 <b><i>Chapter Three - Materials and Methods</i></b>		<b>41</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b><i>Rat Feeding Experiments</i></b>	<b>41</b>
<b>3.1.1</b>	<b>General Protocol</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1.1.1	<i>Animals</i>	41
3.1.1.2	<i>Diets</i>	41
3.1.1.3	<i>Sample Collection</i>	43
<b>3.2</b>	<b><i>Sample Preparation and Analysis</i></b>	<b>43</b>
<b>3.2.1</b>	<b>8OHdG Assay to Measure Oxidative Damage to DNA</b>	<b>44</b>
3.2.1.1	<i>Sample Preparation: Solid Phase Extraction to Clean-up Samples and Concentrate 8OHdG</i>	44
3.2.1.2	<i>Reversed-Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography</i>	44
3.2.1.3	<i>Quantification of 8OHdG in Urine</i>	47
<b>3.2.2</b>	<b>Protein Carbonyl Assay to Measure Oxidative Damage to Proteins</b>	<b>47</b>

3.2.2.1	<i>Colorimetric Method</i>	47
3.2.2.2	<i>ELISA Method</i>	49
<b>3.2.3</b>	<b>Malondialdehyde Assay to Measure Oxidative Damage to Lipids</b>	49
3.2.3.1	<i>Standard Preparation</i>	49
3.2.3.2	<i>Sample Preparation</i>	50
3.2.3.3	<i>Determination of MDA Concentration</i>	50
<b>3.2.4</b>	<b>ORAC<sub>FL</sub> Assay to Measure the Overall Antioxidant Capacity</b>	51
3.2.4.1	<i>Sample Preparation</i>	51
3.2.4.2	<i>Procedure</i>	51
3.2.4.3	<i>Determination of Trolox Equivalent ORAC Value</i>	51
<b>3.2.5</b>	<b><math>\alpha</math>-tocopherol Assay</b>	52
3.2.5.1	<i>Sample Preparation</i>	52
3.2.5.2	<i>RP-HPLC Analysis</i>	52
3.2.5.3	<i>Determination of <math>\alpha</math>-tocopherol Concentration</i>	53
<b>3.2.6</b>	<b>Statistical Analysis</b>	53
 <b><i>Chapter Four – Fish Oil Feeding Trial Results and Discussion</i></b>		<b>54</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b><i>Overall Observations</i></b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.1.1</b>	<b>Rat Weight Gain</b>	55
<b>4.2</b>	<b><i>Biomarkers of Oxidative Damage</i></b>	<b>56</b>
<b>4.2.1</b>	<b>Oxidised DNA</b>	56

4.2.2	Oxidised Protein	57
4.2.3	Oxidised Lipid	57
4.2.4	Plasma Antioxidant Status	57
4.3	<i>Discussion</i>	58
4.3.1	Increasing Oxidative Damage with Increasing Fish Oil	59
 <i>Chapter Five – Fruit-derived Antioxidant Feeding Trials</i>		
	<i>#1 and #2 Results and Discussion</i>	<b>61</b>
5.1	<i>Overall Observations</i>	<b>62</b>
5.1.1	Rat Weight Gain	62
5.1.2	Dietary Consumption	64
5.2	<i>Biomarkers of Oxidative Damage</i>	<b>66</b>
5.2.1	Oxidised DNA	67
5.2.2	Oxidised Protein	68
5.2.3	Oxidised Lipid	69
5.2.4	Plasma Antioxidant Status	69

<b>5.3</b>	<b><i>Discussion</i></b>	<b>70</b>
<b>5.3.1</b>	<b>Fish Oil-Induced Oxidative Damage</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>5.3.2</b>	<b>Reduction of Oxidative Damage by Berry fruit Anthocyanins</b>	<b>71</b>
<b><i>Chapter Six – Fruit-derived Antioxidant Feeding Trial #3</i></b>		
<b><i>Results and Discussion</i></b>		<b>74</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b><i>Overall Observations</i></b>	<b>75</b>
<b>6.1.1</b>	<b>Rat Weight Gain</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>6.1.2</b>	<b>Dietary Consumption</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b><i>Biomarkers of Oxidative Damage</i></b>	<b>78</b>
<b>6.2.1</b>	<b>Oxidised DNA</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>6.2.2</b>	<b>Oxidised Protein</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>6.2.3</b>	<b>Oxidised Lipid</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>6.2.4</b>	<b>Plasma Antioxidant Status</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b><i>Discussion</i></b>	<b>85</b>
<b>6.3.1</b>	<b>Fish Oil-Induced Oxidative Damage</b>	<b>85</b>



<b>6.3.2</b>	<b>Reduction of Oxidative Damage by Berry fruit Anthocyanins</b>	<b>86</b>
	<b><i>Chapter Seven - Summary and Future Direction</i></b>	<b>91</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b><i>Overall Summary</i></b>	<b>91</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b><i>Future Plans</i></b>	<b>92</b>
<b>7.2.1</b>	<b>Biomarker Assays</b>	<b>93</b>
	7.2.1.1 <i>Oxidised DNA Assay</i>	94
	7.2.1.2 <i>Additional Biomarker Analyses</i>	96
<b>7.2.2</b>	<b>Future Feeding Trials</b>	<b>97</b>
	7.2.2.1 <i>Animal Trials</i>	97
	7.2.2.2 <i>Human Trials</i>	98
<b>7.2.3</b>	<b>Mechanistic Studies</b>	<b>99</b>
	7.2.3.1 <i>Mechanisms of Oxidative Stress</i>	99
	7.2.3.2 <i>Mechanisms of Anthocyanin Antioxidant Action</i>	99
	<b><i>Appendices</i></b>	<b>102</b>
<b>Appendix One -</b>	<b>Calibration Curve for 8OHdG Analysis</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>Appendix Two -</b>	<b>Calibration Curve for Determination of Protein Content (Bradford Method)</b>	<b>103</b>



<b>Appendix Three -</b>	<b>Example of Raw Data and Data Manipulation for the 8OHdG Assay</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>Appendix Four -</b>	<b>Example of Raw Data and Data Manipulation for the Colorimetric Protein Carbonyl Assay</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Appendix Five -</b>	<b>Example of Raw Data and Data Manipulation for the ELISA Protein Carbonyl Assay</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Appendix Six -</b>	<b>Example of Raw Data and Data Manipulation for the MDA Assay</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Appendix Seven -</b>	<b>Example of Raw Data and Data Manipulation for the ORAC Assay</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Appendix Eight -</b>	<b>Example of Raw Data and Data Manipulation for <math>\alpha</math>-tocopherol Analysis</b>	<b>110</b>
<b><i>References</i></b>		<b>111</b>

## List of Figures

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure 1.1</b> <i>Generalised structure of an anthocyanin molecule.</i>	8
<b>Figure 1.2</b> <i>8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine keto-enol tautomerism.</i>	12
<b>Figure 2.1</b> <i>Chromatograms for 100 <math>\mu</math>L injections of: 8OHdG standard (A), urine that has not been through SPE (B), and urine that has been through SPE (C).</i>	27
<b>Figure 2.2</b> <i>Percentage recoveries for 1 <math>\mu</math>g/mL 8OHdG standard through different SPE cartridges to determine which cartridge produces the optimum recovery of 8OHdG.</i>	29
<b>Figure 2.3</b> <i>Percentage recoveries for 1 <math>\mu</math>g/mL 8OHdG standards prepared in either pH 3.5 or pH 7.5 buffer.</i>	30
<b>Figure 2.4</b> <i>Percentage recoveries for spiked urine samples where the pH had been changed to 3-4 with 2 mol/L HCl prior to storage compared to urine where the pH had not been altered.</i>	31
<b>Figure 2.5</b> <i>Example chromatogram of 1 <math>\mu</math>g/mL 8OHdG standard where the mobile phase conditions were pH 5.5.</i>	33
<b>Figure 2.6</b> <i>Comparison of the ELISA and colorimetric (at 370 nm) methods for determination of protein carbonyl content for fruit-derived antioxidant feeding trials #1 and #2.</i>	39

<b>Figure 3.1</b>	<i>Schematic diagram of switching valve positions used to isolate 8OHdG in the 1 mL loop and apply to column B for analysis by RP-HPLC with CoulArray® detection.</i>	45
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	<i>Example chromatogram from UV detection (at 260 nm) of a 5 µg/mL 8OHdG standard prior to a sample run.</i>	46
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	<i>Study design for fish oil feeding trial to determine which level of fish oil is most effective at inducing oxidative stress to a measurable level.</i>	54
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	<i>Cumulative weight gain of rats fed diets supplemented with the given percentages of fish oil (FO) and soybean oil (SBO).</i>	55
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	<i>MDA concentration (ng/mL) in plasma samples from rat feeding experiment where the diets were supplemented with the given percentages of fish oil in order to increase oxidative damage.</i>	58
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	<i>Study design for fruit-derived antioxidants feeding trial #1.</i>	61
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	<i>Cumulative weight gain in trial #1.</i>	62
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	<i>Cumulative weight gain in trial #2.</i>	63
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	<i>A representation of a chromatogram for a typical urine sample, after solid phase extraction and concentration, for 8OHdG analysis by HPLC with electrochemical detection.</i>	67
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	<i>8OHdG concentration (ng/mL) in urine taken from rats at the end of the trial, for fruit-derived antioxidants feeding trial #1.</i>	68

<b>Figure 6.1</b>	<i>Study design for fruit-derived antioxidant feeding trial #3.</i>	74
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	<i>Cumulative weight gain for fruit-derived antioxidant feeding trial #3.</i>	76
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	<i>8OHdG concentration for each diet throughout trial #3.</i>	79
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	<i>Comparison of the 8OHdG concentration ng/mL (A) nmol 8OHdG/kg body weight/24 hours (B) and nmol 8OHdG/mmol creatinine (C).</i>	81
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	<i>Protein carbonyl concentration (nmol/mg protein) from ELISA analysis of plasma from trial #3.</i>	83
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	<i>MDA concentration in plasma samples from trial #3.</i>	84
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	<i>Vitamin E content, as measured by plasma <math>\alpha</math>-tocopherol concentration (<math>\mu\text{g/mL}</math>) from samples from trial #3.</i>	85
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	<i>Markers for development of methodology to assess the efficacy of functional food.</i>	93
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	<i>Chromatogram for 5 <math>\mu\text{g/mL}</math> 8OHdG standard from column A showing switching valve times currently used, and proposed switching times.</i>	96

## List of Tables

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Table 1.1</b> <i>Active oxygen and related species.</i>	2
<b>Table 3.1</b> <i>Constituents of the natural and synthetic diets used in rat feeding trials.</i>	42
<b>Table 3.2</b> <i>Composition of boysenberry anthocyanin powder used in trial #3, and blackcurrant powder used in trial #1.</i>	43
<b>Table 3.3</b> <i>Solvent gradient used by Jasco pump for column B in the assay for urinary 8OHdG using a dual column, switching valve method with HPLC and CoulArray® detection.</i>	46
<b>Table 4.1</b> <i>Mean concentrations of 8OHdG, oxidised protein from colorimetric analysis, MDA, ORAC<sub>FL</sub> value and vitamin E for samples from the fish oil rat trial.</i>	56
<b>Table 5.1</b> <i>Concentration (mg/g extract) of anthocyanin at A<sub>530</sub> and total phenolics at A<sub>280</sub> of the extracts used in trials #1 and #2.</i>	64
<b>Table 5.2</b> <i>Total concentration (mg/feed) of anthocyanin at A<sub>530</sub> phenolics at A<sub>280</sub> and ORAC value.</i>	65
<b>Table 5.3</b> <i>Mean concentrations of 8OHdG, oxidised protein from ELISA analysis, MDA, ORAC<sub>FL</sub> value and vitamin E for samples from rat trials #1 and #2.</i>	66

<b>Table 6.1</b>	<i>Concentration (mg/g extract) of anthocyanin at A<sub>530</sub> and total phenolics at A<sub>280</sub> of the boysenberry extract used in trial #3.</i>	77
<b>Table 6.2</b>	<i>Concentration (mg/g of feed) of anthocyanin at A<sub>530</sub> and total phenolics at A<sub>280</sub>.</i>	77
<b>Table 6.3</b>	<i>Concentration of 8OHdG, oxidised protein from ELISA analysis, MDA and vitamin E for samples from rat trial # 3.</i>	78
<b>Table 6.4</b>	<i>Mean volume of urine excreted over 24 hours from rats in trial #3.</i>	82
<b>Table 7.1</b>	<i>Suggested alterations to solvent gradient used by Jasco pump for column B in the assay for urinary 8OHdG using a dual column, switching valve method of HPLC with CoulArray® detection.</i>	95