The effect of lactose source on the stickiness of dairy powders

Ĭ

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Engineering in Bioprocess Engineering at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

> Rosalind A Murti B. Tech (Hons) 2006

This thesis is dedicated to my late Grandfather Rev. Basil J. Hilder with much love.

"I see the solution to each problem as being detectable in the pattern and web of the whole. The connections between causes and effects are often much more subtle and complex than we with our rough and ready understanding of the physical world might naturally suppose" : *from "Dirk Gently's Holistic Detective Agency"*

i

ABSTRACT

The particle gun provides a valuable method to investigate powder stickiness properties. This method gives reproducible results when used under constant testing conditions and allows the isolation of factors influencing stickiness behaviour such as velocity and angle of impact. The $(T-Tg)_{critical}$ and rate of stickiness development obtained from the particle gun method were functions of the air velocity, angle of impact, powder a_w and ambient air conditions. Under constant testing conditions (feed rate of 0.3 g.s⁻¹, air velocity of 20 m.s⁻¹, ambient air at < 50 %RH, room temperature and constant powder a_w (T-Tg)_{critical} was reproducible within $\pm 0.8^{\circ}$ C while the rate of stickiness development was reproducible within ± 0.45 %deposition/°C.

The results obtained from the particle gun were consistently higher than the fluid bed results and can be explained by the different impact time and force experienced by the particles. Particle gun results can successfully be used to predict blockages in cyclones provided the appropriate correction is made for particle impact force and time. Blockage data from Te Rapa D5 indicates that the critical T–Tg where blockages occur in the cyclones is 27°C for SMP. Currently D5 is running satisfactorily for SMP at a T–Tg value of 28°C. Under these operation conditions the cyclone wall temperature results in a T–Tg value of 33°C, the same $(T-Tg)_{critical}$ value predicted by the particle gun for standardised SMP. This implies that the cyclone is operating correctly at the maximum T–Tg value before particles become sticky enough to cause blockage problems.

Protein standardisation of milk powder via the addition of milk permeate or lactose solution had no detectable effect on the stickiness characteristics of SMP or WMP as measured by the particle gun or the fluid bed rig. No difference was seen in either the bulk or surface composition of the milk powder. This provides evidence to dispel speculation by operators that permeate standardisation produces a more difficult to handle powder.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the various individuals and organisations for their contribution to this project. Thanks to Tech NZ for the funding that enabled this project to go ahead. I would like to thank my primary supervisor Dr. Tony Paterson for all his guidance, patience, and support throughout this project. Thanks to Dr. David Pearce my secondary supervisor for his invaluable assistance with the project and knowledge of milk powder and Fonterra. Many thanks to my third supervisor Dr. John Bronlund. You have been fantastic supervisors and I appreciate the time you have all set aside for all my questions and reading numerous drafts.

I would also like to thank the staff at Massey University ITE department, Ag Engineering, and Liz Nickless from the Confocal Microscope Unit for assistance with various aspects of this project. Thanks to Dr. Nigel Grieg for his statistical and error analysis advice.

Thanks to all the Fonterra staff who have provided assistance with this project. The FRC laboratory for sample analysis, FRC pilot plant crew for making and providing the SMP and WMP samples, and the staff at Fonterra Longburn where my experimental work was performed. Prof. Dong Chen of Auckland University (now MONASH) for the hours of ESCA analysis, Glen Hodges at Te Rapa for providing samples of SMP standardised by different methods, Roger Keedwell at Fonterra Longburn for helping me to re-program the fluid bed rig, and Frank Lin, Nigel Russell and Lisa Drysdale at Te Rapa for all the information they provided for this research.

I would also like to thank John Abrahamson from Canterbury University for his insightful and valuable information regarding gas cyclones and their operation.

I am grateful for the support of my friends and family throughout my Masters, Louis Buchanan (NZP), and especially my husband for his unconditional support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRA | СТ | II |
|--------|---|------|
| ACKNOV | VLEDGEMENTS | |
| СНАРТЕ | R 1 - PROJECT OVERVIEW | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | RESEARCH PROBLEM | 1-1 |
| 1.3 | PROJECT AIMS | 1_2 |
| 1.4 | PROJECT OBJECTIVES | |
| СНАРТЕ | R 2 - DAIRY POWDER STICKINESS: A REVIEW | |
| 2.1 | INTRODUCTION | 2-4 |
| 2.2 | LACTOSE | 2-5 |
| 2.2. | Amorphous Lactose | 2-5 |
| 2.2 | Water Sorption Isotherms | 2-6 |
| 22 | Viscosity Phenomena | 2_7 |
| 2.2. | Lactose Crystallisation | 2_8 |
| 23 | MILK STANDARDISATION | 2.0 |
| 2.5 | Permeate Composition | 2 10 |
| 2.3.1 | Milk Sale | |
| 2.5.2 | CLASS TDANSITION TEMPEDATUDE | |
| 2.4 | OLASS TRANSITION TEMPERATURE | |
| 2.4.1 | Determination of 1g | |
| 2.4.2 | Preatching Ig | |
| 2.4.3 | Significance of 1g | |
| 2.4.4 | I - Ig | |
| 2.5 | STICKINESS MECHANISMS | |
| 2.6 | SURFACE COMPOSITION OF MILK POWDERS | 2-18 |
| 2.7 | MEASURING STICKINESS | 2-20 |
| 2.7.1 | Sticky Point Curves | |
| 2.7.2 | ? Review of Stickiness Evaluation Techniques | |
| 2 | 7.2.1 Pneumatic Methods | |
| 2 | 7.2.2 Traditional Methods | |
| 2.7.: | $(T-Tg)_{critical}$ | |
| 2.7.4 | Predicting (T–Tg) _{critical} | |
| 2.7.2 | 5 Particle Gun Result Repeatability | |
| 2.8 | AMBIENT CONDITIONS EFFECT ON DAIRY POWDERS | 2-31 |
| 2.8.1 | Water Activity & Relative Humidity | 2-31 |
| 2 | .8.1.1 Whey Powder | |
| 2.8.2 | 2 Storage, Crystallisation & Relaxation | |
| 2.9 | OTHER POSSIBLE FACTORS EFFECTING STICKY POINT | 2-34 |
| 2.9.1 | Angle of Impact | |
| 2.9.2 | 2 Material of Construction | |
| 2.9. | B Effect of Velocity | 2-36 |
| 2.10 | CONCLUSIONS | 2-37 |
| СНАРТЕ | R 3 - MATERIALS & METHODS | |
| 3.1 | INTRODUCTION | 3-38 |
| 3.2 | MATERIALS | |
| 3.3 | METHODS | |
| 3.3. | Particle Gun Rig Construction | 3-39 |
| 3 | .3.1.1 Particle Gun Set Up | |

| 3.3.1.2 Particle Gun Experimental Protocol | |
|---|---|
| 3.3.1.3 Graphical Analysis | |
| 3.3.2 Bench-top Fluidised Bed Stickiness Rig | |
| 3.3.2.1 Fluidised Bed Rig Set Up | |
| 3.3.2.2 Bench-top Fluidised Bed Rig Experimental Protocol | |
| 3.3.3 Relative Humidity Probe Calibrations | |
| 3.3.4 Water Activity Measurement | 3-48 |
| 3.3.5 Error Analysis | |
| 3.4 CONCLUSIONS | 3-48 |
| CHAPTER 4 - EFFECT OF AMBIENT CONDITIONS ON THE STICKINSS CURVE | 4-50 |
| 4.1 INTRODUCTION | 4-50 |
| 4.1.1 Developments in Ambient Condition Effects on Powders | |
| 4.2 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD | 4-52 |
| 4.2.1 Powder Pre-conditioning | |
| 4.2.2 Ambient Air Conditions | |
| 4.3 FACTORIAL EXPERIMENT RESULTS | |
| 4.3.1 Factors Affecting (T–Tg) _{critical} | |
| 4.3.2 Factors Affecting the Slope of T–Tg Curve | |
| 4.4 EFFECT OF WATER ACTIVITY & AMBIENT CONDITIONS | |
| 4.4.1 Effect of Powder Feed Rate on the Particle Gun Technique | |
| 4.4.2 Effect of Ambient Air RH on Powder Stickiness. | |
| 4.4.3 Effect of Powder Initial water activity on Stickiness | |
| 4.4.4 Time Dependent Plasticization | |
| 4.5 INHERENT ERRORS AND REPEATABILITY OF THE PARTICLE GUN | |
| 4.5.1 Reproducibility of %Deposition Values | |
| 4.5.2 Reproducibility of (T-Tg) _{critical} | |
| | 1 100 |
| 4.5.5 Reproducibility of the Kate of Stickiness Development | |
| 4.5.5 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development 4.6 CONCLUSIONS CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED | |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development 4.6 CONCLUSIONS CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN | |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development 4.6 CONCLUSIONS CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN | |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development 4.6 CONCLUSIONS CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN 5.1 INTRODUCTION 5.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development 4.6 CONCLUSIONS CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN 5.1 INTRODUCTION 5.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE 5.3 EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY ON THE PARTICLE GUN STICKY POINT | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-72 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-73 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-74 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-63 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-77 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-63 4-66) BY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 |
| 4.3.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-78 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-81 |
| 4.5.3 Reproductivity of the Rate of Stickiness Development. 4.6 CONCLUSIONS. CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN 5.1 INTRODUCTION. 5.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE. 5.3 EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY ON THE PARTICLE GUN STICKY POINT. 5.3.1 Effect of Air Velocity on (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.3.2 Effect of Air Velocity on the Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.4 COMPARISON OF FLUID BED & PARTICLE GUN 5.5 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VELOCITY, CONTACT TIME, FORCE & T-TG 5.6 EFFECT OF ANGLE OF IMPACT ON T-TG PLOT. 5.6.1 Angle of Impact & (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.6.2 Angle of Impact & Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.6.3 Effect of Force on (T-Tg)_{critical} & Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.7.1 Collection Plate vs. (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.7.2 Collection Plate vs. Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.8 CONCLUSIONS | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-82 5-82 5-82 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development | 4-03 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-82 5-83 |
| 4.5.3 Reproducibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development. 4.6 CONCLUSIONS. CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN 5.1 INTRODUCTION. 5.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE. 5.3 EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY ON THE PARTICLE GUN STICKY POINT. 5.3.1 Effect of Air Velocity on (T-Tg)_{critical} 5.3.2 Effect of Air Velocity on the Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.4 COMPARISON OF FLUID BED & PARTICLE GUN 5.4.1 Effect of Powder a_w on Fluid Bed Results. 5.5 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VELOCITY, CONTACT TIME, FORCE & T-TG. 5.6 EFFECT OF ANGLE OF IMPACT ON T-TG PLOT. 5.6.1 Angle of Impact & (T-Tg)_{critical} & Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.6.3 Effect of Force on (T-Tg)_{critical} & Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.7 EFFECT OF COLLECTION PLATE MATERIAL ON SMP STICKINESS. 5.7.1 Collection Plate vs. (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.7.2 Collection Plate vs. Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.8 CONCLUSIONS. | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-82 5-83 |
| 4.5.3 Reproductionly of the Rate of Stickiness Development. 4.6 CONCLUSIONS. CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN. 5.1 INTRODUCTION. 5.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE. 5.3 EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY ON THE PARTICLE GUN STICKY POINT. 5.3.1 Effect of Air Velocity on (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.3.2 Effect of Air Velocity on the Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.4 COMPARISON OF FLUID BED & PARTICLE GUN 5.4.1 Effect of Powder a_w on Fluid Bed Results. 5.5 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VELOCITY, CONTACT TIME, FORCE & T-TG 5.6.1 Angle of Impact & (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.6.2 Angle of Impact & Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.6.3 Effect of Force on (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.7.1 Collection Plate vs. (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.7.2 Collection Plate vs. Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.8 CONCLUSIONS. CHAPTER 6 - EFFECT OF LACTOSE SOURCE ON MILK POWDER STICKINESS. | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-83 6-85 |
| 4.5.3 Reproductionly of the Rate of Stickiness Development. 4.6 CONCLUSIONS. CHAPTER 5 - ADDITIONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING STICKINESS AS MEASURED PARTICLE GUN. 5.1 INTRODUCTION. 5.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE. 5.3 EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY ON THE PARTICLE GUN STICKY POINT. 5.3.1 Effect of Air Velocity on (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.3.2 Effect of Air Velocity on the Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.4 COMPARISON OF FLUID BED & PARTICLE GUN. 5.5 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VELOCITY, CONTACT TIME, FORCE & T-TG. 5.6 EFFECT OF ANGLE OF IMPACT ON T-TG PLOT. 5.6.1 Angle of Impact & (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.6.2 Angle of Impact & Rate of Stickiness Development. 5.7 EFFECT OF COLLECTION PLATE MATERIAL ON SMP STICKINESS. 5.7.1 Collection Plate vs. (T-Tg)_{critical}. 5.8 CONCLUSIONS. CHAPTER 6 - EFFECT OF LACTOSE SOURCE ON MILK POWDER STICKINESS. | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-83 6-85 6-85 |
| 4.5.3 Reproductibility of the Rate of Stickiness Development. 4.6 CONCLUSIONS | 4-65 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-83 6-85 |
| 4.3.3 Reproductivity of the Rate of Stickiness Development. 4.6 CONCLUSIONS | 4-03 4-66 DBY THE 5-68 5-68 5-68 5-69 5-70 5-71 5-72 5-73 5-74 5-76 5-76 5-76 5-77 5-78 5-80 5-81 5-83 6-85 |

| 6.2.2 Surface Composition | 6-88 |
|---|---|
| 6.2.2.1 Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis | 6-88 |
| 6.2.2.2 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy | 6-91 |
| 6.2.2.3 Surface Composition Effect on (T-Tg)critical | 6-95 |
| 6.3 SMP RESULTS | 6-97 |
| 6.4 WMP RESULTS | 6-99 |
| 6.5 CONCLUSIONS | 6-100 |
| CHAPTER 7 - INDUSTRIAL APPLICATION OF STICKINESS WORK | 7-102 |
| 7.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 7.2 INDUSTRIAL SITUATION | |
| 7.2.1 Implementation of Sticky Curves | |
| 7.2.2 Te Rapa Blockage Data | |
| 7.3 POSSIBLE REASONS FOR PLANT BLOCKAGES | |
| 7.3.1 Cyclone Air Flow Patterns | 7-108 |
| 7.3.2 Cyclone Pressure Dron | 7-109 |
| 7 3 3 Cyclone Temperature Investigation D5 Te Rana | 7-110 |
| 7 3 4 Particle Residence Times | 7_113 |
| 7.4 CONCLUSIONS | .7-115 |
| CHAPTER 8 - CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS | 8-117 |
| NOMENCI ATUDE | 0 120 |
| DEVEDENCES | |
| REFERENCES | 8-123 |
| APPENDIX 1 - RH PROBE CALIBRATION CURVES | 8-130 |
| APPENDIX 2 - SKIM & WHOLE MILK POWDERS | 8-132 |
| APPENDIX 3 - COMMERCIAL POWDERS | 8-133 |
| APPENDIX 4 - ADDITIONAL PARTICLE GUN RESULTS | 8-134 |
| A. Factorial results | 8-134 |
| B. Particle gun nowder feed rate results | 8-135 |
| C. Particle gun plate height results | .8-136 |
| D. Particle gun results from ambient air condition and initial powder water activity trials. | .8-137 |
| E. Effect of impact force on particle gun results | 8-139 |
| APPENDIX 5 - CALCULATIONS | 8-140 |
| | |
| A Air drawn into narticle own harrel due to venturi effects | 8-140 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects R RH change in particle gun barrel due to powder particle flow | 8-140 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects B. RH change in particle gun barrel due to powder particle flow C. Temperature pressure and RH changes in cyclone air in response to centre vortex | 8-140 8-141 8-142 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects B. RH change in particle gun barrel due to powder particle flow C. Temperature, pressure and RH changes in cyclone air in response to centre vortex D. RH and T-Ta calculations from D5 cyclone wall temperature | 8-140 8-141 8-142 8-143 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects B. RH change in particle gun barrel due to powder particle flow C. Temperature, pressure and RH changes in cyclone air in response to centre vortex D. RH and T-Tg calculations from D5 cyclone wall temperature. F. Heat transfer calculations for inside wall temperature estimations | 8-140 8-141 8-142 8-143 8-144 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects B. RH change in particle gun barrel due to powder particle flow C. Temperature, pressure and RH changes in cyclone air in response to centre vortex D. RH and T-Tg calculations from D5 cyclone wall temperature E. Heat transfer calculations for inside wall temperature estimations F. Prediction of (T. Ta) | 8-140 8-141 8-142 8-143 8-144 8-144 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects | 8-140 8-141 8-142 8-143 8-144 8-146 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects B. RH change in particle gun barrel due to powder particle flow C. Temperature, pressure and RH changes in cyclone air in response to centre vortex D. RH and T-Tg calculations from D5 cyclone wall temperature E. Heat transfer calculations for inside wall temperature estimations F. Prediction of (T-Tg)_{critical} using equation (5-1), Palzer (2005) G. Algebraic manipulation of equation (5-1), Palzer (2005) | 8-140 8-141 8-142 8-143 8-144 8-144 8-148 |
| A. Air drawn into particle gun barrel due to venturi effects | 8-140 8-141 8-142 8-143 8-144 8-146 8-148 8-150 |

TABLE OF FIGURES

| FIGURE 2-1. DIAGRAM OF AMORPHOUS LACTOSE STICKING AND CAKING MECHANISM, FOSTER (2002)2-17 FIGURE 3-1. THE MODIFIED PARTICLE GUN RIG. A VIBRATORY FEEDER. B GUN BARREL, C COLLECTION |
|--|
| PLATE D VORTEX CHAMBER WITH GLASS FUNNEL E WATER BURBLE COLUMN 3-40 |
| FIGURE 3-2 PARTICLE GUN RIG SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM 710 (2004) 3-41 |
| FIGURE 3-3 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF ELITIDISED BED BIG |
| FIGURE 3-7. BENCH TOP SCALE ELUTIONED BED DIG. LEET HAND SIDE SHOWS AID HUMIDIEICATION COLUMN |
| FIGURE 3-4. DENCH TOP SCALE FLUIDISED BED RIG, LEFT HAND SIDE SHOWS AIR HUMIDIFICATION COLUMN, |
| FIGHT HAND SIDE SHOWS AIR HEATER WITH INSULATED FLUID BED COLUMN |
| FIGURE 4-1. MOISTURE CONTENT CHANGE ACHIEVED (1) WITH TIME FOR SMF. 1 CREPRESENTS CHANGE |
| ACHIEVED IN PARTICLE CENTRE, T _{AV} REPRESENTS AVERAGE CHANGE ACHIEVED ACROSS THE PARTICLE. |
| From A.2. From the superior of PH as (T. Ta) |
| FIGURE 4-2. EFFECT OF AMBIENT AIR KH ON $(1-1G)_{CRITICAL}$ AT 20 ± 5 C. REPLICATIONS AT VARIOUS |
| AMBIENT RH CONDITIONS INCLUDED |
| FIGURE 4-3. EFFECT OF POWDER A_{W} ON $(1-1G)_{CRITICAL}$ UNDER DIFFERENT AMBIENT KH CONDITIONS AT ROOM |
| TEMPERATURE. OBTAINED USING SMP. THE ERROR BARS REPRESENT THE 95% CI FOR EACH (1- |
| 1G) _{CRITICAL} |
| FIGURE 4-4. EFFECT OF POWDER A _W ON THE RATE OF STICKINESS DEVELOPMENT UNDER DIFFERENT AMBIENT |
| RH CONDITIONS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE. OBTAINED USING SMP4-62 |
| FIGURE 4-5. STANDARD DEVIATION OF REPLICATES AT VARIOUS DEPOSITION LEVELS |
| FIGURE 4-6. T–TG PLOT SHOWING THE REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE RESULTS UNDER CONSTANT TESTING |
| CONDITIONS FOR UNSTANDARDISED SMP |
| FIGURE 5-1. EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY AND ANGLE OF IMPACT ON $(T-TG)_{CRITICAL}$ OBTAINED UNDER STANDARD |
| AMBIENT CONDITIONS, SMP 0.24 A_w . The error bars represent the S.E. found for $(T-T_G)_{critical}$ |
| AND THE ERROR IN VELOCITY |
| FIGURE 5-2. EFFECT OF AIR VELOCITY AND ANGLE OF IMPACT ON THE RATE OF STICKINESS DEVELOPMENT |
| OBTAINED UNDER STANDARD AMBIENT CONDITIONS, SMP 0.24 A _B |
| FIGURE 5-3. EFFECT OF ANGLE OF IMPACT AND VELOCITY ON (T-TG) _{CRITICAL} OBTAINED UNDER STANDARD |
| OPERATING CONDITIONS, SMP 0.24 A_{R} . The error bars represent the S.E. found for (T- |
| TG) _{CRITICAL} |
| FIGURE 5-4. EFFECT OF ANGLE OF IMPACT AND VELOCITY ON THE RATE OF STICKINESS DEVELOPMENT |
| OBTAINED UNDER STANDARD OPERATING CONDITIONS, SMP 0.24 Am. |
| FIGURE 5-5. EFFECT OF FORCE ON $(T-T_G)_{CRITICAL}$ FOR SMP 0.24 A_B UNDER STANDARD OPERATING |
| CONDITIONS. ANGLE OF IMPACT CONVERTED TO NORMAL FORCE VELOCITY |
| FIGURE 5-6. EFFECT OF FORCE ON THE RATE OF STICKINESS DEVELOPMENT FOR SMP 0.24 A_{W} under |
| STANDARD OPERATING CONDITIONS. ANGLE OF IMPACT CONVERTED TO NORMAL FORCE VELOCITY 5- |
| 79 |
| FIGURE 6-1. SMP STAINS: A) LACTOSE STANDARDISED 3D FAT IMAGE, B) LACTOSE STANDARDISED DUAL |
| FAT AND PROTEIN STAIN, C) UNSTANDARDISED DUAL FAT AND PROTEIN STAIN, D) PERMEATE |
| STANDARDISED DUAL FAT AND PROTEIN STAIN |
| FIGURE 6-2. PERMEATE STANDARDISED SMP PROTEIN STAINS: A) CROSS SECTION SHOWING PARTICLE |
| POROSITY, B) SURFACE SHOWING SURFACE INDENTATIONS |
| FIGURE 6-3. WMP FAT STAINS: A) LACTOSE STANDARDISED, B) UNSTANDARDISED, C) PERMEATE |
| STANDARDISED, D) WMP UNSTANDARDISED 3D FAT IMAGE. NOTE PRESENCE OF SOLIDIFIED FAT |
| BRIDGING IN TOP RIGHT HAND CORNER |
| FIGURE 6-4. BULK AND SURFACE (10 NM) LACTOSE (%TS) AFFECT ON (T-TG) _{CRITICAL} FOR VARIOUS POWDERS |
| TESTED BY THE PARTICLE GUN TECHNIQUE |
| FIGURE 6-5. SURFACE LACTOSE (%TS) AFFECT ON (T-TG)CRITICAL OF VARIOUS POWDERS TESTED BY THE |
| PARTICLE GUN TECHNIQUE |
| FIGURE 7-1. DRYER 5 CYCLONE RISER TUBE AFTER SMP BLOCKAGE |
| FIGURE 7-2. DRYER 5 CYCLONE 4 ROTARY VALVE AFTER SMP BLOCKAGE7-103 |
| FIGURE 7-3. CURRENT MODEL COMPARED TO WMP AND SMP BLOCKAGE DATA PRIOR TO 2004/05 SEASON. |
| N. T. RUSSELL (2005, PERSONAL COMMUNICATION) |

| FIGURE 7-4. EXAMPLE OF A STICKY CURVE USED TO GUIDE DRYER OPERATORS, N. T. RUSSELL (2005, |
|--|
| FIGURE 7-5. OPERATING POINT DATA AT THE TIME OF BLOCKAGE FOR D5 PROCESSING SMP. 2005 SEASON. |
| |
| FIGURE 7-6. SAMPLE 1: INLET - 2 PROBES UN-INSULATED, SAMPLE 2: TOP OF CYCLONE - 1 PROBE |
| INSULATED, 1 PROBE UN-INSULATED, SAMPLE 3: BOTTOM OF CYCLONE - 1 PROBE INSULATED, 1 PROBE |
| UN-INSULATED, SAMPLE 4: ABOVE ROTARY VALVE - 2 PROBES UN-INSULATED |
| FIGURE 7-7. TEMPERATURE READINGS FOR D5 CYCLONE OPERATING WITH SMP. – CONE TOP INSULATED |
| (68°C) – cone top un-insulated (66°C) , – cyclone inlet (66°C) – cyclone inlet (69°C) – cone |
| BOTTOM INSULATED $(64^{\circ}C)$ – CONE BOTTOM UN-INSULATED $(52^{\circ}C)$ – ROTARY VALVE $(46^{\circ}C)$ – |
| ROTARY VALVE (44°C) |
| FIGURE A1-1. HR1 AND PET 509 PROBE CALIBRATIONS USING SALT SOLUTIONS LICL (11.3%RH) AND |
| NACL (75.4%RH) APRIL 20058-130 |
| FIGURE A1-2. HR1 RECALIBRATION AUGUST 2005 USING SALT SOLUTIONS LICL (11.3%RH) AND NACL |
| (75.4%RH) |
| FIGURE A1-3. HYGROCAL RH PROBE CALIBRATIONS USING ROTRONIC AG CHEMICAL STANDARDS 35%, |
| 65% AND 80%, MAY 2005 |
| FIGURE A1-4. FLUID BED RH PROBE CALIBRATIONS USING ROTRONIC AG CHEMICAL STANDARDS 80%, 65% |
| AND 10%, JUNE 2005 |
| FIGURE A4-1. 2' FACTORIAL RESULTS USING UNSTANDARDISED SMP. FACTORS LISTED IN ORDER OF A, B, |
| C, D. A REFERS TO INITIAL POWDER A_{B} , B TO AMBIENT TEMPERATURE, C TO AMBIENT AIR RH AND D |
| TO POWDER FEED RATE |
| FIGURE A4-2. EFFECT OF SMP FEED RATE ON T-TG RESULTS FROM THE PARTICLE GUN RIG |
| FIGURE A4-3. EFFECT OF PARTICLE GUN PLATE HEIGHT ON T-IG AT 20 M.S ⁺ , 90° ANGLE OF IMPACT, |
| UNSTANDARDISED SMP |
| FIGURE A4-4. EFFECT OF PARTICLE GUN PLATE HEIGHT ON 1–1G AT 20 M.S ⁺ , 29" ANGLE OF IMPACT, |
| UNSTANDARDISED SMP |
| FIGURE A4-5. PARTICLE GUN RESULTS SHOWING THE EFFECT OF INITIAL POWDER WATER ACTIVITY AND |
| AMBIENT AIR CONDITIONS USING UNSTANDARDISED SMP AT 20 M.S * |
| FIGURE A4-6. PARTICLE GUN RESULTS SHOWING THE EFFECT OF INITIAL POWDER WATER ACTIVITY AT |
| AMBIENT AIR $39 \pm 3\%$ RH AND 24 °C ON $1-1$ G USING UNSTANDARDISED SMP AT 20 M.S ⁺ 8-13 / |
| FIGURE A4-7. PARTICLE GUN RESULTS SHOWING THE EFFECT OF INITIAL POWDER WATER ACTIVITY AT |
| AMBIENT AIR 60 \pm 2%RH AND 15.5°C ON 1–1G USING UNSTANDARDISED SMP AT 20 M.S ' |
| FIGURE A4-8. PARTICLE GUN RESULTS SHOWING THE EFFECT OF INITIAL POWDER WATER ACTIVITY AT |
| AMBIENT AIR 70 \pm 2%RH AND 20°C ON T-TG USING UNSTANDARDISED SMP AT 20 M.S ⁺ |
| FIGURE A4-9. ANGLE OF IMPACT AND AIR VELOCITY CONVERTED TO NORMAL FORCE VERSUS (T–TG) _{CRITICAL} . 8-139 |
| FIGURE A4-10. ANGLE OF IMPACT AND AIR VELOCITY CONVERTED TO NORMAL FORCE VERSUS RATE OF |
| POWDER DEPOSITION |
| FIGURE A6-1. ESTIMATION OF SURFACE LACTOSE COMPOSITION FROM BULK LACTOSE (%TS) |
| FIGURE A7-1. EXTENDED TEMPERATURE DATA FROM EYE BUTTON LOGGER TRIALS ON D5 PROCESSING SMP |
| FROM START UP TO SHUT DOWN |

TABLE OF TABLES

| TABLE 2-1. BULK COMPOSITION COMPARED TO SURFACE COMPOSITION FOR SPRAY DRIED MILK POWDERS. 2-20 |
|--|
| TABLE 2-2 CLASSIFICATION OF THE STICKINESS CHAPACTERISATION TECHNIOLES FOR FOOD ROWDERS |
| ADAPTED FROM BOONVALET AL (2004) |
| TABLE 5-1 (T-TG) COMPARISONS BETWEEN PARTICLE GUN AND FLUID BED METHODS 5-73 |
| TABLE 5-7. (1 TO/CRITICAL COMPARISONS DETWEEN FARTICLE OUT AND LEOD DED METHODS |
| 74 |
| TABLE 5-3. EFFECT OF COLLECTION PLATE MATERIAL ON $(T-TG)_{CRITICAL}$ USING THE PARTICLE GUN WITH |
| PERMEATE STANDARDISED SMP UNDER STANDARD OPERATING CONDITIONS |
| TABLE 5-4. EFFECT OF COLLECTION PLATE MATERIAL ON THE RATE OF SMP STICKINESS DEVELOPMENT |
| USING THE PARTICLE GUN WITH PERMEATE STANDARDISED SMP UNDER STANDARD OPERATING |
| CONDITIONS |
| TABLE 6-1. POWDER PROPERTIES AND MILK COMPOSITION FOR SMP SAMPLES |
| TABLE 6-2. POWDER PROPERTIES AND MILK COMPOSITION FOR WMP SAMPLES |
| TABLE 6-3. SURFACE AND BULK COMPOSITION (%WT) FOR SMP SAMPLES. SURFACE COMPOSITION |
| ESTIMATED BY ESCA |
| TABLE 6-4. SURFACE AND BULK COMPOSITION (%WT) FOR WMP SAMPLES. SURFACE COMPOSITION |
| ESTIMATED BY ESCA |
| TABLE 6-5. SMP STICKINESS RESULTS FROM PARTICLE GUN AND FLUID BED METHODS |
| TABLE 6-6. WMP STICKINESS RESULTS FROM PARTICLE GUN AND FLUID BED METHODS6-100 |
| TABLE A2-1. SMP PRODUCTION CONDITIONS |
| TABLE A2-2. WMP PRODUCTION CONDITIONS |
| TABLE A2-3. BULK COMPOSITION FOR SMP STANDARDISED BY LACTOSE SOLUTION AND MILK PERMEATE. 8-132 |
| TABLE A2-4. BULK COMPOSITION FOR WMP STANDARDISED BY LACTOSE SOLUTION AND MILK PERMEATE. |
| |
| TABLE A3-1. ADDITIONAL SMP OBTAINED FROM FONTERRA TE RAPA, HAMILTON |
| TABLE A3-2. ADDITIONAL SMP OBTAINED FROM WAITOA, HAMILTON |
| TABLE A4-1. RESULTS FROM SMP FEED RATE TRIALS ON THE PARTICLE GUN |
| TABLE A5-1. PREDICTION OF (T-TG)CERTICAL FOR THE FLUID BED RIG USING HERTIZIAN THEORY EQUATIONS |
| FOR TWO CONTACTING SPHERES. NOTE THE EQUATIONS USED FOR FORCE AND THE INCLUSION OF |
| COLLISION THEORY CALCULATIONS (XU AND ZHU 2005) |
| TABLE A5-2. HERTZIAN EQUATIONS FOR FORCE AND TIME FOR A PARTICLE IMPACTING AGAINST STEEL 8- |
| 148 |
| TABLE A6-1. COMPOSITIONAL DATA FOR POWDERS WITH (T-TG) _{CRITICAL} RESULTS USED TO PREDICT (T- |
| TG) _{CRITICAL} FROM SURFACE COMPOSITION |
| TABLE A7-1. AVERAGE CYCLONE WALL TEMPERATURES FOR COMPLETE SMP DRYING CYCLE, TE RAPA, |
| 22/02/2006 - 10/03/2006 |