Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Equity in a Pastoral Commons: Bayan Mountain, Mongolia

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Philosophy in Development Studies

at Massey University, Palmerston North New Zealand

Ri Weal

2004

#### Abstract

Common property regimes (CPRs) have been embraced as a means to achieve sustainable use of resources. However, it is also claimed that these and other forms of communal resource management can allow for equitable access to resources, which is of some importance given the heavier reliance of poorer households on common resources. This view however appears to be contradicted by the existence of wealth differentiation among resource users, suggesting that the reality is more complex.

This case study of a local pastoral commons used by Kazak herders in western Mongolia explores factors influencing both access to resources and wealth differentiation, which has been considerable since the end of socialism, and attempts to evaluate the extent to which resource access in the CPR can be considered equitable. There is differential resource access in the study community, both in terms of rights of access, with some inequities linked to non-wealth-related household characteristics, and in terms of the ability to realise nominal access rights, which is chiefly affected by relative wealth. Although allocation of access rights is not discriminatory, the interrelationship between wealth and resource access thus serves to reinforce wealth differentiation. Wealth differentiation itself however depends on multiple factors including but not limited to resource access, and does not reliably indicate inequitable access to resources.

The case study indicates that CPRs cannot be considered inherently equitable, but that instead equity in resource access is affected by social differentiation in the user group. In addition, where factors considered necessary to successful collective action are wanting, equitable outcomes seem less likely. Thus most inequities in access rights date back to privatisation in Mongolia and the resulting institutional breakdown and lack of user participation both in allocation of resources and in devising appropriate resource access rules. Despite this, evidence from both the case study and other pastoral commons suggests that CPRs may still offer more equitable access to resources than do other types of property regime.

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors; Tony Banks for his input and assistance in getting to Mongolia, and Susan Maiava for her encouragement and support and for going the extra mile.

Fieldwork in Mongolia was made possible by scholarships from both Massey University and the New Zealand Federation of Graduate Women.

Various people at JCS International were tremendously helpful with logistics and advice both while I was preparing for fieldwork and once I was in Mongolia. Particular thanks are due to Daniel Parker and Lindsay King.

Most importantly, I am deeply grateful to the wonderful people of Bayan mountain and Sogoog township, who graciously opened their homes to an unknown foreigner. This thesis is the product of their generous gift of time and experience and their willingness to share some of their story. The further the thesis travels from fieldwork to the finished product, the more the theoretical seems to overtake the personal. Behind every line of general description however, there are faces, personal histories, shared laughs and countless bowls of salt tea.





## **Table of Contents**

Abstract	III
Acknowledgements	V
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
Photographs	xi
List of Tables	xi
Note on Transcription	xii
Kazak and Mongolian alphabets  Transcription and language	
Glossary	xiv
Place Names	xv
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.2 Does "common" mean equitable?	
Existing studies	
Mobile pastoralism	
1.3 Focus of the study and research questions	
1.4 Overview of the thesis	
Chapter 2: Common Property and Equity	
2.1 Common Property  Property regimes	
Institutional arrangements	
Advantages of common property in resource management	
2.2 Equity and common property	
A default setting for equity?	15
Defining equity	16
The "community"	
Equity in heterogeneous groups	
Social differentiation reflected in the CPR	
Relative wealth and resource access	
2.3 Mobile pastoralism.	
2.3.1 Equity in traditional pastoral commons	
2.4 Changes to traditional mobile pastoralism      2.4.1 Africa	
2.4.2 Central Asia	
China	

Former Soviet Union	
Mongolia	38
2.5 Chapter Summary	39
Chapter 3: Mongolia	41
3.1 People, land and pastoralism	41
3.1.1 People	41
3.1.2 Land	42
3.1.3 Pastoralism	43
3.2 Overview of change in the pastoral sector	45
3.3 Feudalism	47
3.4 Revolution and early socialism	48
3.5 Collectivisation	49
3.5.1 Wealth differentiation under the collective	52
3.5.2 Access to resources under the collective	
3.6 Privatisation and the post-socialist period	54
3.6.1 Decollectivisation	55
3.6.2 Wealth differentiation	
3.6.3 Changes in the institutional setting	
Informal institutions	
Formal regulation: the Land Law	
3.6.4 Changes in the herding community	
Community change and collective action	
3.6.5 Resource access and use	
3.7 Chapter Summary	69
Chapter 4: Methodology	71
4.1 General approach	
4.2 Selection of site	
4.3 Methods and implementation issues	
Visual methods	
Wealth ranking	
Interviews	
Sensitive information	
4.4 Chapter Summary	79
Chapter 5: Bayan Mountain	81
5.1 Study area and community	81
5.1.1 Bayan Mountain	
5.1.2 Sogoog	
5.2 Resource use and access	
5.2.1 Seasonal movement	
5.2.2 Changes in seasonal movement patterns	90

5.2.3 Summer pasture and water access	94
5.2.4 Mountain winter houses and winter pastures	97
Allocation of winter houses	98
5.2.5 Sogoog pastures: autumn, winter, spring	100
5.2.6 Hayfields	101
Irrigation	103
Allocation of hayfield land	104
Labour	105
Obtaining sufficient hay	106
Hay as an investment	107
User fees	
5.2.7 Key resources and access	
5.3 Participation in resource governance	
5.4 Wealth differentiation	114
5.4.1 Differences in wealth	
Definitions of wealth	115
Characteristics of different wealth groups	
Spread of wealth groups	
5.4.2 Changes in wealth	120
Differences in wealth in the last ten years	
Reasons for changes in wealth	
5.5 Resource access and relative wealth	125
5.6 Chapter Summary	127
Chapter 6: Discussion	129
6.1 Revisiting the research questions	129
6.2 Differential resource access	
6.2.1 Differential rights of access	129
Seniority: new and ex-collective herders	
Kinship	
Connections	132
Personal standing and influence	133
Wealth	
6.2.2 Differential ability to realise access rights	135
Contingent access rights	135
Wealth	136
6.2.3 Differential participation in the operation of the CPR	138
6.2.4 Differential resource access in the literature	140
Prioritising and differences in key endowments	140
Community heterogeneity	
6.3 Resource access and wealth differentiation	143
6.4 Equity in the case study	145

Compliance and minimal conflict	145
Satisfaction with the rules	145
Inherent advantages in resource access	146
Perspectives on equity and the CPR's response	148
Assessing equity in the case study	149
The case study in the literature	151
6.5 Equity in common property	153
Defining and evaluating equity	153
How equitable are CPRs?	154
The importance of the community setting	156
Negatives and positives for equity in the case study	157
Equity in common property	159
6.6 Chapter Summary	160
Chapter 7: Conclusion	161
Bibliography	169

# **List of Figures**

Figure 3.1: Mongolia with aimag boundaries	42
Figure 3.2: Changes in the number of full-time herding households	63
Figure 5.1: Study area, Bayan mountain and Sogoog, Ulaan-khus sum	82
Figure 5.2: Bayan mountain social map	85
Figure 5.3: Typical seasonal movement patterns	89
Figure 5.4: Winter house and livestock shelter, Bayan mountain	97
Figure 5.5: Sogoog river flats and hayfields	102
Figure 5.6: New and ex-collective herders on Bayan by wealth group	118
Figure 5.7: Relative wealth and resource access	126
Figure 6.1: Operation of factors in effective access to resources	137
Photographs	
Photo Page 1: Bayan Mountain	93
Photo Page 2: Sogoog	109
List of Tables	
Table 3.1: Households grouped by size of private herd	58
Table 5.1: Herding households and average herd size, Ulaan-khus sum	84
Table 5.2: Resource management decisions	112
Table 5.3: Bayan and Sogoog wealth groups compared	116
Table 5.4: Factors in changing wealth and their relative importance	123

#### Note on Transcription

#### Kazak and Mongolian alphabets

Both the Kazak and Mongolian alphabets are based on the Russian Cyrillic alphabet, with some differences in pronunciation and additional letters. Mongolian lacks letters 2, 6, 15, 19, 27, 31 and 38 of the Kazak alphabet. The Cyrillic letters have been transliterated into Latin script as shown below, and are generally pronounced similarly in both Kazak and Mongolian.

	<u>Cyrillic</u>	Latin Script		Cyrillic	Latin Script
1	Аа	a (ah)	22	Пп	р
2	Әə	a (h <i>a</i> t)	23	Рp	r
3	Бб	b	24	Сс	s
4	Вв	V	25	Ττ	t
5	Γŗ	g	26	Уу	u
6	F₹	gh <sup>1</sup>	27	Υ¥	ou
7	Дд	d	28	Υγ	ü/w
8	Еe	e (ye)	28	Фф	f
9	Ëë	o ( <i>yo</i> )	30	Хх	kh (lo <i>ch</i> )
10	Жж	j (jump)	31	hh	ħ
11	3 з	z	32	Цц	ts
12	Ии	i (k <i>i</i> w <i>i</i> )	33	Чч	ch
13	Йй	i (shorter)	34	Шш	ch / sh <sup>2</sup>
14	Кк	k	35	Щщ	shch
15	Ққ	q	36	ъ	(hard sign)
16	Лл	I	37	ы	y (brother)3
17	Мм	m	38	ł i	i (h <i>i</i> t)
18	Нн	n	39	ь	(soft sign)
19	Ӊӊ	ng	40	Ээ	e (eh)
20	0 0	0	41	Юю	yu
21	Өө	ö (euh)	42	Яя	ya

<sup>1</sup> Similar to French "r".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Kazak  $\omega$  seems to be pronounced "ch" in word-initial position, "sh" elsewhere. In Mongolian it seems always to be "sh".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Similar to the unstressed vowel here, but can be stressed in Kazak.

#### Transcription and language

It is difficult to transcribe languages which use 36 or 42 letters into an alphabet of 26, particularly when there is no international agreement on how this should be done (Sanders and Bat-Ireedui 1995:9). Nor is there even agreement on the original script - Kazak is written in a Cyrillic script in Kazakstan and Mongolia and an Arabic script in Xinjiang, China, and has also been written in a modified Latin script (Benson and Svanberg 1988:94-7).

For this thesis the transcription system used by the Lonely Planet Mongolian Phrasebook (Sanders and Bat-Ireedui 1995) has been adopted for both Mongolian and Kazak, with some reference to the Kyrgyz section of Lonely Planet's Central Asia Phrasebook for the additional letters in Kazak; Kyrgyz and Kazak pronunciation are very similar (Rudelson 1998:76,104). Anything not covered by this is the author's own transcription system of sounds as heard in the field.

Although some Cyrillic letters have a slightly different pronunciation in Mongolian and Kazak, such as  $\mathfrak{z}$  (like English z in Kazak but closer to dz in Mongolian), the same letter has been used to transliterate them in both languages.

Two points deserve special note. Firstly, the use of the English "s" to indicate the plural has been avoided since the original languages follow a different pattern. Since in most cases the correct plural form was not known, the singular has been used as both singular and plural in both languages (aimag, kigizwi).

The second point relates to the spelling of the name Kazak. The common alternative spelling "Kazakh" is a transliteration of the Cyrillic spelling introduced by the Soviets, Kasax (Benson and Svanberg 1988:2). Kazaks' own name for themselves is written Kasak in Mongolia, which should correctly be transliterated "Qazaq". As this is not only unrecognisable but somewhat disconcerting for English speakers, the spelling "Kazak" is used here instead.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Kazak translators were also very reluctant to use "q" in transliterating Қазақ.

# Glossary

Terms defined here are Mongolian unless indicated as Kazak (K).

<u>Latin Script</u>	Cyrillic	<u>Definition</u>
aimag	аймаг	province (21 in Mongolia)
aral	арал	"the land"; local name for the open area of the Sogoog hayfields
auyl (K)	ауыл	herding group (1-8 households, in study area); Mongolian <i>khot ail</i>
bag	баг	subdistrict (8 in Ulaan-khus; bag #4 contains 240 families)
khoshuu	хошуу	"banner"; pre-revolutionary administrative division
khot ail	хот айл	herding group (Kazak <i>auyl</i> )
kigizwi (K)	кигіз үй	felt tent; Mongolian ger
neg nutgiinkhan	нэг нутгийнхан	neighbourhood group of several khot ail; literally "people of one place"
negdel	нэгдэл	collective
sum	сум	district (12 in Bayan-Ölgii, higher than average)
suur	суур	smallest herding unit within collective; 1-2 households
zud	зуд	very deep or frozen snow making it impossible for livestock to find forage

### **Place Names**

Place names are Kazak unless indicated as Mongolian (M). Meanings were supplied by translators in Bayan-Ölgii, with some assistance from a Mongolian-English dictionary while still in Mongolia.

Latin Script	Cyrillic	<u>Meaning</u>
Aral	арал	land, area
Bakytjan Chappa	Бакытжан Шаппа	Bakytjan's hayfields
Bayan Zürkh (M)	Баян Зүрх	rich heart
Bayan tau (M: Bayan uul)	Баян тау (Баян уул)	rich mountain
Bor Burgas	Бор Бургас	willow thicket
Choqpar Tas	Шоқпар Тас	big rock
lyq Jourt	Иық Жұрт	shoulder shape
Jumakhan Jailau	Жумахан Жайлау	Jumakhan's summer pastures
Khatuu (M)	Хатуу	hard
Kök Choqy	Көк Шоқы	blue hill / peak
Ölgii (M)	Өлгий	cradle
Orta Kharaghan	Орта Хараған	middle thornbush
Ospan Jailau	Оспан Жайлау	Ospan's summer pastures
Qara Jaryq	Қара Жарық	black gorge / cleft
Qara Saz	Қара Саз	black swamp
Qyzyl Bastau	Қызыл Бастау	red well
Qyzyl Kharaghan	Қызыл Хараған	red thornbush
Sogoog (M) / Soghaq (K)	Согоог / Соғақ	(translator unaware of any meaning)
Terekti	Теректі	forested
Tor Kharaghan	Тор Хараған	thornbush fence
Ulaan-khus (M)	Улаан хус	red birch
Ülken Kharaghan	Үлкен Хараған	big thornbush
Üsh Bulaq	Үш Булақ	three streams