Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Novel genetic engineering technology which increases leaf lipid content modifies the ensiling properties of perennial ryegrass

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Masters

In

Agricultural science

at Massey University, Palmerston North

Zachariah Beechey-Gradwell

2016

Abstract

A novel strategy to increase the metabolisable energy (ME) yield of pastures has been the development of a genetic engineering technology which increases the leaf lipid content and biomass production of perennial ryegrass (PRG). Outdoor plot/feeding trials of genetically engineered crops are prohibited under the current New Zealand (NZ) regulatory framework. However, this high lipid PRG may become available to farmers and eventually be used to make silage, which could fulfill an important role as a high ME, inexpensive, supplementary feed for livestock. Ensiling preserves a crop's nutrients at a high moisture content and at a low pH, by microbial fermentation of plant sugars into lactic acid under anaerobic conditions.

In a preliminary investigation into the ensiling biochemistry of this high lipid PRG, glasshouse-grown materials were wilted and inoculated, and then ensiled on a miniature scale. A series of method development ensiling experiments revealed that non-transgenic PRG grown in glasshouse conditions during the NZ spring/summer was very difficult to ensile naturally, due to its low water soluble sugar to buffering capacity ratio. In order to generate well-preserved silage in the main experiment, glucose was added (post-harvest) to a non-transgenic PRG genotype (WT) and two transgenic PRG genotypes containing 'medium' and 'high' leaf lipid levels (ML and HL).

The HL plants produced 51% more dry biomass than WT during the regrowth period. Pre-ensiled HL had 31% higher fatty acid content, 70% higher nitrate content and a 17% lower water soluble sugar to crude protein ratio than WT. ML was intermediate. The glasshouse growth environment resulted in an atypical overall PRG nutritional composition. WT, ML and HL underwent a similar fermentation, and nutrients were well-preserved. The nutritional differences in the ensiled material largely reflected those in their fresh counterparts, although a longer wilt caused greater overall digestible nutrient losses in HL. In an *in vitro* rumen incubation experiment the fatty acids in HL silage exhibited less complete biohydrogenation than in fresh and ensiled WT. Experiments using a range of high lipid PRG lines grown in a range of environments will be needed to validate these results.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the Plant Biotechnology group at AgResearch for their past and ongoing science, which created the motivation for this project, my academic supervisors Nick Roberts, Jennifer Burke, Allan Hardacre and Cory Matthew for their advice regarding the direction that the experimental work and writing took, Somrutai Winichayakul for providing lab training and help with data interpretation, Fliss Jackson and the Massey University Nutrition Laboratory staff for performing the majority of nutritional analyses, Hong Xue for performing additional nutritional analysis, Stephan Muetzel for providing the training required for the use of the *in vitro* rumen incubation system, Sarah Lewis for performing much of the post-*in vitro* incubation analytical work, Editha Meeking, Anne Allen and Kim Richardson for providing plants and for assistance with watering and plant maintenance, John Koolaard for statistical advice and coding, Trevor Holloway for providing a section of paddock, Zulfi Jahufer for advice on ryegrass reproduction, and Samra Arshad and Siripat Ngoennet for assistance with practical work.

| Abstract | ii |
|---|-----|
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Table of contents | iv |
| List of figures | vi |
| List of tables | vii |
| List of Photographs | ix |
| List of appendices | x |
| List of abbreviations | xi |
| CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW | 2 |
| 2.1 Principles of ensiling | 2 |
| 2.2 Silage microbiology and LAB inoculants | 5 |
| 2.3 Fermentation analyses | 9 |
| 2.4 Moisture content and wilting | 12 |
| 2.5 Factors affecting plant water-soluble carbohydrates | 15 |
| 2.6 Buffering capacity and the WSC:BC ratio | 20 |
| 2.7 Laboratory-scale silages | 23 |
| 2.8 Expression of 'high lipid' technology in Arabidopsis and perennial ryegrass | 27 |
| 2.9 Fatty acid and lipid metabolism during wilting and fermentation | 33 |
| 2.10 Conclusion | 39 |
| 2.11 Experimental objectives and hypothesis | 40 |
| CHAPTER 3. METHOD DEVELOPMENT | 41 |
| 3.1 Layout of the experimental work | 41 |
| 3.2 Small-scale ensiling | 43 |
| 3.3 Addition of a commercial LAB inoculant | 48 |
| 3.4 Controlled rapid wilt | 51 |
| 3.5 Addition of glucose | 54 |
| 3.6 Ensiling experiments 1-4 materials and methods | 57 |
| 3.7 Ensiling experiments 1-4 results | 67 |
| 3.8 Ensiling experiments 1-4 discussion | 75 |
| CHAPTER 4. TRANSGENIC VERSUS WILD TYPE SILAGE COMPARISON | 79 |
| 4.1 Experiment 5 material and methods | 79 |

Table of contents

| 4.2 Experiment 5 results | 85 |
|---|----|
| 4.3 Experiment 5 discussion | 95 |
| CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSIONS | |
| Literature cited | |
| APPENDICES | |
| Appendix I Glasshouse abiotic growth environments | |
| Appendix II Climatic data | |
| Appendix III Plant material vegetative propagation and cutting history | |
| Appendix IV Paddock section | |
| Appendix V Biohydrogenation of fatty acids during in vitro rumen incubation | |
| Appendix VI Example of automated spreadsheet for wilting calculations | |
| Appendix VII Construct design, transformation and regeneration procedure | |
| Appendix VIII Experiment 4 silage pH at intervals during the fermentation | |

List of figures

| Figure 2.1 The relationship between dry matter content (DM) and the pH required for anaerobic | | |
|---|----|--|
| stability as proposed by (Leibensperger & Pitt, 1987) for two crops which possess a different | | |
| water activity (a_w), for a given DM content. On account of their <u>lower</u> a_w legume silages require a | | |
| smaller pH decline in order to inhibit clostridial growth | | |
| Figure 3.1 pH of glasshouse and paddock perennial ryegrass silage, wilted to 20% dry matter, with | | |
| and without inoculant, at intervals during the fermentation. Values represent means \pm SD (n = 2). | | |
| Time zero pH was estimated from the literature (Playne & McDonald, 1966) | 68 | |
| Figure 3.2 pH of transgenic containment glasshouse silage, wilted to 32% dry matter and | | |
| inoculated, at intervals during the fermentation. Values represent means \pm SD (n = 2) | 70 | |
| Figure 3.3. pH of glasshouse and paddock perennial ryegrass silage, wilted to 40% dry matter and | | |
| inoculated, at intervals during the fermentation. Values represent means \pm SD (n = 2) | 72 | |
| Figure 4.1 Dry biomass production from wild type, medium lipid and high lipid perennial ryegrass | | |
| plants after 30 days regrowth. Bars represent means in grams of dry matter \pm SD (n = 18, 23, 24 | | |
| for wild type, medium lipid and high lipid respectively) | | |
| Figure 4.2 pH of wild type, medium lipid and high lipid perennial ryegrass silage, wilted to 37.5% | | |
| dry matter and inoculated, with glucose added at 7.5% DM, at intervals during the fermentation. | | |
| Values represent means ± SD (n = 2) | 87 | |
| Figure 4.3 Changes in fatty acid content and composition in wild type, medium lipid and high lipid | | |
| perennial ryegrass during wilting to 37.5% dry matter and then ensiling for 45 days. 'Fresh' and | | |
| 'Post-wilt' bars represent measurements of a single subsample of the pooled and mixed plants | | |
| from each genotype. 'Silage' bars represent means from multiple silos (n = 6) | 89 | |
| Figure 4.4 Changes in the fatty acid profile of fresh and ensiled wild type and high lipid perennial | | |
| ryegrass during a 24 hour in vitro rumen incubation. Bars represent means ± SD from two | | |
| independent incubations and multiple silos (n=3). a) Unsaturated C18 fatty acids as a % of total | | |
| fatty acids, b) Vaccenic acid as a % total fatty acids | 91 | |
| Figure 4.5 Total gas production from fresh and ensiled wild type and high lipid perennial ryegrass | | |
| during a 24 hour in vitro rumen incubation. Points represent means from a single incubation and | | |
| multiple silos (n=3) | 92 | |

List of tables

| Table 2.1 Typical (pre-ensiled) population counts of epiphytic bacterial and fungal groups (Pahlow | |
|---|----|
| et al., 2003) | 5 |
| Table 2.2 Typical range for fermentation end products in 30-35% dry matter grass silage | 9 |
| Table 2.3 The impact of adding glucose on the silage fermentation | 19 |
| Table 2.4 The impact of different wilting procedures on total fatty acid (FA) content in perennial | |
| ryegrass (Lolium perrene) and Timothy (Phleum pratense) | 34 |
| Table 3.1 pH of double-bagged, vacuum packed silos made from 20g and 50g wilted paddock- | |
| grown perennial ryegrass, with and without commercial inoculant. Values represent means \pm SD | |
| (n = 2). Day 0 pH was estimated from the literature (Playne & McDonald, 1966) | 46 |
| Table 3.2 Wilting accuracy achieved during Experiments 1-5. | 52 |
| Table 3.3 Average rate of LAB application by weight to perennial ryegrass in Experiment 3 | 61 |
| Table 3.4 Fermentation end products at day 22 of the fermentation of glasshouse and paddock | |
| perennial ryegrass silage, wilted to 20% dry matter, with and without inoculant. Values represent | |
| means \pm SD (n = 2). The pH values are presented as a reference point and represent | |
| measurements from different silage packets to those shown in Figure 3.1 | 69 |
| Table 3.5 Pre-ensiling characteristics of glasshouse-grown and paddock-grown perennial ryegrass | |
| after 30 days regrowth | 71 |
| Table 3.6 Fermentation end products at day 40 of the fermentation of glasshouse and paddock | |
| perennial ryegrass silage, wilted to 40% dry matter and inoculated. Values represent means \pm SD | |
| (n = 2). The pH values are presented as a reference point and represent measurements from | |
| different silage packets to those shown in Figure 3.3 | 73 |
| Table 3.7 Pre-ensiled nutritional characteristics of perennial ryegrass grown in the containment | |
| glasshouse; wild type, medium lipid and high lipid plants after 28 days regrowth | 74 |
| Table 4.1 Average rates of LAB and glucose application by weight to perennial ryegrass in | |
| experiment 5 | 80 |
| Table 4.2 Pre-ensiled nutritional properties of wild type, medium lipid and high lipid perennial | |
| ryegrass after 30 days regrowth. Values represent measurements of a single subsample of the | |
| pooled and mixed plants from each genotype | 85 |

| Table 4.3 Fermentation end products at day 45 of the fermentation of wild type, medium lipid | |
|---|----|
| and high lipid silage, wilted to 37.5% dry matter and inoculated, with glucose added at 7.5% DM. | |
| Values represent means in g/kgDM ± SD (n = 3) | 87 |
| Table 4.4 Nutritional composition of wild type, medium lipid and high lipid perennial ryegrass | |
| silage, and percentage <u>decrease in nutritional components</u> from the freshly harvested material. | |
| Values represent means \pm SD (n = 3 for all nutritional components with the exception of; n=2 | |
| nitrates, and n = 6 fatty acids) | 88 |
| Table 4.5 Total scVFAs, and the molar proportion of rumen fluid acetate, propionate, butyrate, | |
| and other scVFAs from fresh and ensiled wild type and high lipid perennial ryegrass during a 24 | |
| hour <i>in vitro</i> rumen incubation. Values represent means ± SD from two independent incubations | |
| and multiple silage packets (n=3) | 94 |
| Table 4.6 Containment glasshouse temperature data 27/12/2015-12/01/2016 (°C) | 97 |

List of photographs

| Photograph 2.1 A recently cut ryegrass-clover sward being field-wilted in a swath prior to | |
|--|----|
| ensiling on a New Zealand (Manawatu) dairy farm | 13 |
| Photograph 3.1 Steps for ensiling perennial ryegrass by double bagging and vacuum packing | 44 |
| Photograph 3.2 Preliminary silo assessment; 50g paddock-grown perennial ryegrass silage | |
| packets | 45 |
| Photograph 3.3 Layered, chopped and wilted perennial ryegrass during the inoculation | |
| procedure. The small atomiser bottles were used for inoculation in Experiments 2-5. | 50 |
| Photograph 3.4 Two wilting procedures. Left & center; transgenic perennial ryegrass being | |
| wilted in separate ovens within bags or plastic trays in the containment glasshouse ovens | |
| (Experiment 4). Right; non-containment glasshouse-grown perennial ryegrass being wilted in | |
| steel trays (Experiment 3) | 52 |
| Photograph 3.5 Top left; section of paddock during harvest. Top right; glasshouse plants after | |
| cutting. Bottom left; 5 x glasshouse tillers (left) and 5 x paddock tillers (right). Bottom right; 20g | |
| glasshouse-grown silos (bottom) and paddock-grown perennial ryegrass silos (top) at day 22 of | |
| fermentation | 57 |
| Photograph 3.6 Experiment 3 harvest. Left; paddock-grown perennial ryegrass during harvest. | |
| Right; two glasshouse-grown perennial ryegrass plants prior to harvest | 60 |
| Photograph 3.7 Buffering capacity measurement equipment | 63 |
| Photograph 3.8 Silage subsampling protocol. Left; open silage packet defrosting. Right; mixing | |
| packet contents prior to subsampling | 65 |
| Photograph 4.1 Left; perennial ryegrass plants at the beginning of the regrowth for experiment | |
| 5 (14/12/2015). Right; plants at the end of the regrowth, immediately before harvest | |
| (13/01/2016). Top; wild type. Center; medium lipid. Bottom; high lipid | 81 |
| Photograph 4.2 Perennial ryegrass silage packets at day 1 of fermentation. Left; wild type. | |
| Center; medium lipid. Right; high lipid | 88 |

List of appendices

| Appendix I Glasshouse abiotic growth environment | 119 |
|---|-----|
| Appendix II Climatic data | 121 |
| Appendix III Plant material vegetative propagation and cutting history | 123 |
| Appendix IV Paddock section | 124 |
| Appendix V Biohydrogenation of fatty acids during in vitro rumen incubation | 125 |
| Appendix VI Example of automated spreadsheet for wilting calculations | 127 |
| Appendix VII Construct design, transformation and regeneration procedure | 128 |
| Appendix VIII Experiment 4 silage pH at intervals during the fermentation | 129 |

List of abbreviations

| AA; acetic acid | ME; metabolisable energy |
|---|---|
| ACCase; acetyl-coA carboxylase | MJ; Mega joules |
| ACP; acyl carrier protein | ML; medium lipid |
| ADF; acid detergent fibre | N; nitrogen |
| a _w ; water activity | NDF; neutral detergent fibre |
| BA; butyric acid | NH3; ammonia |
| BC; buffering capacity | NO₃ ⁻ ; nitrate |
| BH; biohydrogenation | NPN; non-protein nitrogen |
| cfu/g; colony forming units per gram | NSC; non-structural carbohydrate |
| CLA; conjugated linoleic acid | NZ; New Zealand |
| CO ₂ ; carbon dioxide | OMD; organic matter digestibility |
| CP; crude protein | PAR; photosynthetically active radiation |
| DAC; days after cutting | PC2; physical containment level 2 |
| DAG; diacylglycerol | PRG; perennial ryegrass |
| DAS; days after sowing | PUFA; polyunsaturated fatty acid |
| DGAT; diacylglycerol acyl transferase | Rubisco; ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase |
| DGAT1; diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1 | scVFA; short chain volatile fatty acid |
| DM; dry matter | TAG; triacylglycerol |
| DMD; dry matter digestibility | VA; vaccenic acid |
| DOMD; dry organic matter digestibility | VFA; volatile fatty acid |
| DW; dry weight | VOC; volatile organic compound |
| ER; endoplasmic reticulum | WAC; weeks after cutting |
| FA; fatty acid | WSC; water soluble carbohydrates |
| FAME; fatty acid methyl ester | WT; wild type |
| FFA; free fatty acids | 16:0; palmitic acid |
| GE; gross energy | 16:1; palmitoleic acid |
| HL; high lipid | 18:0; stearic acid |
| iWUE; intrinsic water use efficiency | 18:1; oleic acid |
| LA; lactic acid | 18:2; linoleic acid |
| LAB; lactic acid bacteria | 18:3; linolenic acid |
| LD; lipid droplet | |