

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Homoacetogenesis as an alternative hydrogen sink in the rumen**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Microbiology and Genetics

at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.

**Preeti Raju**

**2016**



## Abstract

Ruminant livestock contribute significantly to global greenhouse gas emissions. This is due to microorganisms, known as methanogens that generate methane from hydrogen and carbon dioxide during feed fermentation in the rumen. Mitigation strategies are being developed to reduce methane emissions from ruminants. However, inhibiting methane production may cause accumulation of unused hydrogen in the rumen, which may slow down rumen fermentation and affect animal productivity. Homoacetogens, microbes known to reside in the rumen, can use hydrogen and carbon dioxide to form acetate. Homoacetogens could take over the role of ruminal hydrogen disposal following inhibition of methanogens. The aims of this study were to quantify the involvement of alternative hydrogen utilisers, such as homoacetogens, in hydrogen or electron utilisation. Chemical compounds were screened to identify specific inhibitors of methanogens (BES, acetylene), and both methanogens and homoacetogens (chloroform). Homoacetogenesis was measured via incorporation of  $^{13}\text{CO}_2$  into  $^{13}\text{C}$ -acetate using a short-term *in vitro* assay. This short-term *in vitro* assay measured and confirmed the occurrence of homoacetogenesis in sheep rumen fluid, and it accounted for 1.67% of electron utilisation in fresh rumen fluid. Homoacetogenesis increased in the assay when BES was added, suggesting homoacetogens could increase their activity in the absence of methanogens. Homoacetogenesis decreased with the addition of chloroform, which is known to partially inhibit homoacetogens. Methane formation was inhibited by acetylene in an *in vitro* serial batch fermentation inoculated with sheep rumen fluid. Homoacetogenesis did not increase, but the homoacetogens were able to grow and maintain themselves as the rumen material was repeatedly diluted and supplemented with fresh feed. Their activity accounted for 2.32% of electron utilisation. To study their significance in the rumen, methane formation was inhibited in sheep using acetylene. Homoacetogenesis increased and accounted for 6.53% of electron utilisation. However, propionate appeared to be the major electron sink (58-88%) in the absence of methanogenesis both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In the future, knowledge of these hydrogen-utilising microorganisms could be used to divert hydrogen or electrons into more beneficial end-products, leading to the transition from a normal methane-producing rumen to an equally or even more productive low methane one.



## Acknowledgements

It is rightly said that life is a constant learning process, and the quest for knowledge is a never-ending process, as it is fuelled by its own curiosity. The learning process that I have begun long back has continued throughout my PhD tenure and towards the end of this course. I utilize this opportunity to thank everyone who has assisted me. Foremost, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to Dr. Peter H. Janssen, who has contributed enormously to the genesis of this thesis, both in terms of intellectual input and encouragement. His extraordinary scientific acumen has chiselled me as a budding researcher. My PhD studies would not have been possible without his constant support and expert guidance. I am indebted to his role as a mentor.

I duly acknowledge Dr. Gemma Henderson for her continuous support throughout my PhD. Her guidance, insightful suggestions, motivation and immense knowledge made a profound difference to my studies. My sincere thanks goes to Dr. Michael Tavendale for help in planning, supervision, being approachable and timely advice throughout my studies. I would also like to thank Associate Professor Jasna Rakonjac, Massey University, for attending my monthly supervisor meetings and her valuable suggestions, and timely support. I highly appreciate the consistent feedback, support and expert advices from all my four supervisors. I also convey my thanks to Dr. Graeme Attwood and Dr. Sinead Leahy for attending my monthly supervisor meetings and their extended interest in my project.

My thesis would not have been possible without the technical help and encouragement by many people in the Rumen Microbiology Team, AgResearch, especially Debjit Dey, Faith Cox, Michelle Kirk and Priya Soni, and all the other lab members for their friendly and fantastic nature. I am highly grateful to Dr. Stefan Muetzel, from the Animal Nutrition and Physiology team, for his valuable suggestions and for helping me with the *in vitro* and sheep trials. I am also thankful to Sarah Lewis, Sarah Maclean, Greg Skelton, Dan Robinson, German Molano and Edgar Sandoval Rodriguez, members of the Animal Nutrition and Physiology team, for helping me with rumen sample collection and GC analysis, and in various ways during the course of my study.

Brian Treloar provided expert help with VFA analysis using HPLC. I am greatly thankful to Dr. Siva Ganesh and Catherine Lloyd-West for helping me with statistical analysis of my study. I owe a great deal of gratitude to Sharron Smith and Caroline Costall for their effort to administer necessary formalities during my PhD tenure. My journey was continued to be pleasant with the friends Lovepreet Kaur, Sandeep Kumar, Navjot Kaur, Harinder Kaur, Dr. Helal Ansari, Dr. Wajid Hussain, Dr. Ajmal Khan, Phil Theobald, Won Hong, Lydia Koolaard and my office buddies Nikola Palevich and Li Yang. I will ever cherish the convivial moments that I spent with them during my stay.

The financial assistance provided by New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Centre (NZAGRC) and Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium (PGgRc) is duly acknowledged. I thank Kate Parlane and Dr. Heather Went (NZAGRC) for assistance with NZAGRC formalities during my studies.

Last but not the least, my family is my perpetual source of inspiration and driving force. My mother, brother and my best friend Sandeep Singh were invaluable for their spiritual support and encouragement during my tough times. I must not forget to acknowledge my Dad, whose memories are always with me, will remain forever and keep encouraging me to work hard.

# Contents

Abstract .....	iii
Acknowledgements .....	v
List of Tables.....	xv
List of Figures .....	xviii
Abbreviations .....	xxii
Mathematical abbreviations .....	xxv
<b>Chapter 1 Literature review .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Global and New Zealand greenhouse gas emissions.....	1
1.2 Rumen function .....	2
1.2.1 Ruminant digestive system and microbial feed digestion .....	2
1.2.2 Rumen microbes .....	5
1.2.3 Ruminant methane production.....	6
1.3 Strategies to reduce methane production and consequences of inhibition of methane production.....	7
1.3.1 Dietary manipulation .....	8
1.3.2 Alternative hydrogen or electron sinks.....	9
1.3.3 Direct inhibition of methanogens using chemical compounds or vaccines....	13
1.3.4 Consequences of inhibition of methane production .....	14
1.4 Overview of homoacetogens .....	15
1.4.1 Discovery and abundance of homoacetogens.....	15
1.4.2 Wood-Ljungdahl pathway .....	17
1.4.3 Significance of the formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase gene.....	19
1.4.4 Homoacetogens in animal gut environment .....	20
1.4.5 Significance of homoacetogenesis in ruminants.....	21
1.4.6 Measure homoacetogenesis and VFA inter-conversion in rumen.....	25
1.5 Rationale of the study .....	26



1.6 Research questions and outline of the thesis .....	27
<b>Chapter 2 General methodology</b> .....	<b>29</b>
2.1 Animal use.....	29
2.2 Collection of rumen fluid for preparation of medium and other additives .....	29
2.3 Rumen fluid and medium additives.....	29
2.3.1 Base clarified rumen fluid .....	29
2.3.2 No Substrate Rumen Fluid Vitamin mix (NoSubRFV).....	30
2.3.3 Vitamin 10 concentrate.....	30
2.3.4 Selenite/tungstate solution.....	30
2.3.5 Trace element solution SL10 .....	31
2.3.6 Salt solution A .....	31
2.3.7 Salt solution 2B.....	31
2.3.8 Acetate (1 M):methanol (1 M):formate (3 M).....	31
2.3.9 Glucose (1 M).....	31
2.3.10 GCXAL-CPY-Rumen Fluid-Vitamin mix (2GenRFV) .....	31
2.3.11 Pectin (10% w/v) .....	32
2.3.12 Co-enzyme M (100 mM).....	32
2.4 BY medium .....	32
2.5 RM02 medium.....	33
2.6 Pure culture experiments .....	33
2.7 Culture growth measurement techniques .....	35
2.7.1 Phase contrast microscopy.....	35
2.7.2 Gas chromatography .....	35
2.7.3 Spectrophotometer.....	35
2.9 Preparation of inhibitors for pure culture studies.....	36
2.9.1 Sodium 2-bromoethanesulfonate (BES).....	36
2.9.2 Chloroform .....	37

2.9.3 Acetylene .....	37
2.9.4 Fluoroacetate.....	39
2.9.5 <i>n</i> -butyl isocyanide.....	39
2.9.6 Phosphate.....	39
2.9.7 1,10-phenanthroline .....	40
2.9.8 Rifampicin .....	41
2.9.9 5, 5'-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid; also known as Ellman's reagent) .....	41
2.10 DNA extraction from pure cultures .....	42
2.11 Agarose gel electrophoresis.....	42
2.12 DNA quantification .....	43
2.13 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	43
2.14 PCR product purification.....	43
2.15 Extraction and purification of DNA from agarose gels.....	43
2.16 Cloning for qPCR plasmid preparation .....	46
2.16.1 LB (Luria-Bertani) medium.....	46
2.16.3 LB agar plates with ampicillin/IPTG/X-Gal.....	47
2.16.4 Preservation of clones in glycerol and regeneration of frozen clones (archaea and bacteria).....	47
2.17 Assessment of the microbial community composition.....	47
2.17.1 454 pyrosequencing .....	47
2.17.2 qPCR (Quantitative real time-PCR) .....	48
2.17.2.1 qPCR for archaea .....	49
2.17.2.2 qPCR for bacteria.....	49
2.17.3 Phylogenetic analysis of microbial pyrosequencing reads .....	50
2.18 Mixed culture experiments .....	51
2.18.1 Mould's medium.....	51
2.18.2 Preparation of inhibitors for <i>in vitro</i> studies.....	52

2.18.3 Preparation of unlabelled VFA for <i>in vitro</i> studies .....	52
2.18.4 Preparation of <sup>13</sup> C-labelled tracers.....	52
2.18.5 Sample collection from <i>in vitro</i> bottles and processing.....	53
2.18.6 Preparation of derivatised VFA samples for gas chromatography mass spectrometry .....	56
2.19 <i>In vivo</i> trial.....	57
2.19.1 General purpose (GP) diet .....	57
2.19.2 Preparation of inhibitors for <i>in vivo</i> trial .....	58
2.19.3 Sample collection from animals .....	59
2.20 Software used for statistical analysis of data.....	59
2.21 FTHFS cloning and analysis of FTHFS sequences.....	59
<b>Chapter 3 Identification of inhibitors that can be used to differentiate between methanogenesis and homoacetogenesis .....</b>	<b>61</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	61
3.2 Objectives.....	68
3.3 Summary of materials and methods .....	68
3.4 Results and discussion.....	70
3.4.1 Chloroform .....	70
3.4.2 2-bromoethanesulfonate (BES) .....	70
3.4.3 Acetylene .....	70
3.4.4 Inhibitors of homoacetogens.....	71
3.4.5 Discussion.....	74
3.5 Summary and perspectives.....	76
<b>Chapter 4 Development of an assay to measure homoacetogenesis by <i>in vitro</i> incubation of rumen fluid.....</b>	<b>78</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	78
4.2 Objectives.....	80
4.3 Summary of materials and methods .....	81

4.4 Results and discussion.....	85
4.4.1 Experiment 1. Development of an <i>in vitro</i> assay to measure homoacetogenesis	85
4.4.1.1 Methane production was inhibited by addition of inhibitors .....	86
4.4.1.2 Shift in volatile fatty acids with inhibition of methane production .....	88
4.4.1.3 Equilibrium between dissolved and headspace CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> was reached within 8 h .....	92
4.4.1.4 Formation of <sup>13</sup> C-labelled acetate, propionate and butyrate from <sup>13</sup> CO <sub>2</sub> ..	93
4.4.1.5 Homoacetogenic activity in fresh rumen fluid estimated using short-term assay .....	94
4.4.1.6 Accounting for butyrate from homobutyrogenesis .....	96
4.4.2 Experiment 2. Effect of addition of large concentrations of VFA on <i>in vitro</i> rumen fermentation.....	98
4.4.2.1 Gas production was not affected by addition of large concentrations of VFA.....	98
4.4.2.2 <i>In vitro</i> VFA production was slightly affected by addition of large concentrations of VFA .....	99
4.4.3 Experiment 3. VFA inter-conversion from propionate to acetate and butyrate .....	100
4.4.4 Experiment 4. VFA inter-conversion between three VFA (acetate, propionate and butyrate) .....	104
4.4.4.1 Recalculating acetate formed from homoacetogenesis correcting VFA inter-conversions .....	109
4.4.4.2 Recalculating butyrate from homobutyrogenesis using VFA inter-conversion corrections .....	111
4.4.4.3 Hydrogen concentration versus relative rate of hydrogen metabolism for homoacetogens .....	113
4.4.5 Flow of electrons during short-term <i>in vitro</i> rumen fermentation (electron balance).....	116
4.4.5.1 Calculations for electron balance .....	116

4.4.5.2 Proportion of electron utilisation accounted for by each metabolite .....	117
4.4.5.3 Electron recovery .....	118
4.4.6 Discussion.....	119
4.5 Summary and perspectives.....	124
<b>Chapter 5 Effect of inhibition of methanogenesis on fermentation and homoacetogenesis in sheep rumen fluid incubated in an <i>in vitro</i> serial batch fermentation experiment .....</b>	<b>127</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	127
5.2 Objectives.....	129
5.3 Summary of materials and methods .....	130
5.3.1 Rumen fluid sampling and <i>in vitro</i> incubation .....	132
5.3.2 Sampling from <i>in vitro</i> bottles and homoacetogenesis assay .....	132
5.3.3 Analysis of samples .....	133
5.3.4 Statistical analysis of data from <i>in vitro</i> serial batch fermentation experiment .....	133
5.3.4.1 Repeated measures ANOVA .....	133
5.3.4.2 Transformations .....	134
5.3.4.3 Software .....	134
5.3.4.4 Principal component analysis.....	134
5.3.5 Statistical analysis of data from short-term <i>in vitro</i> homoacetogenesis assay.....	134
5.4 Results and discussion.....	135
5.4.1 Acetylene inhibited methane production during <i>in vitro</i> serial batch fermentation.....	135
5.4.2 Changes in volatile fatty acid profile of rumen fluid in the presence of acetylene	137
5.4.3 Changes in the microbial community during inhibition of methane production in serial batch <i>in vitro</i> fermentation.....	139
5.4.4 Measurement of homoacetogenesis using short-term <i>in vitro</i> assay .....	145

5.4.4.1 Methane decreased with addition of inhibitors .....	147
5.4.4.2 Changes in volatile fatty acids formed.....	149
5.4.4.3 Homoacetogens were more active when methane formation was inhibited with acetylene in serial batch fermentation.....	151
5.4.4.4 Hydrogen concentration versus relative rate of hydrogen metabolism for homoacetogens .....	153
5.4.4.5 Electron balances during short-term <i>in vitro</i> rumen fermentation .....	156
5.4.4.5.1 Electron utilisation by homoacetogenesis and other products in 8-h assay .....	157
5.4.4.5.2 Electron recovery.....	159
5.4.5 Discussion.....	160
5.5 Summary and perspectives .....	165
<b>Chapter 6 Effect of inhibition of methanogenesis on fermentation in sheep rumen and homoacetogenesis .....</b>	<b>167</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	167
6.2 Objectives.....	168
6.3 Summary of materials and methods .....	169
6.3.1 Animals and diet.....	171
6.3.2 Administration of inhibitors and sampling from sheep .....	171
6.3.3 Analysis of rumen samples .....	172
6.3.4 Statistical analysis of data from animal trial.....	172
6.3.4.1 Repeated measures ANOVA.....	172
6.3.4.2 Transformations .....	173
6.3.4.3 Software .....	173
6.3.4.4 Principal component analysis.....	173
6.3.5 Statistical analysis of data from short-term <i>in vitro</i> homoacetogenesis assay.....	174
6.4 Results and discussion.....	174

6.4.1 Acetylene and chloroform inhibited methane production in sheep .....	174
6.4.2 Volatile fatty acid profile of sheep changed following inhibition of methanogenesis.....	176
6.4.4 Identifying formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase sequences in sheep rumen samples using homoacetogen FTHFS profile hidden Markov model (HoF-HMM).....	185
6.4.5 Measuring homoacetogenesis in sheep rumen contents using short-term <i>in vitro</i> homoacetogenesis assay .....	188
6.4.5.1 Inhibition of methane production <i>in vitro</i> with addition of inhibitors ...	188
6.4.5.2 Changes in volatile fatty acid profile of rumen fluid incubated <i>in vitro</i>	189
6.4.5.3 Homoacetogenesis is present in sheep rumen fluid and increases during inhibition of methanogenesis over five days in sheep .....	192
6.4.5.4 Hydrogen concentration versus relative rate of hydrogen metabolism for homoacetogens.....	195
6.4.5.5.1 Increase in electron utilisation by homoacetogenesis during inhibition of methane production.....	198
6.4.5.5.2 Electron recovery .....	201
6.4.6 Discussion.....	203
6.5 Summary and perspectives.....	209
<b>Chapter 7 Summary, conclusions and future recommendations .....</b>	<b>211</b>
7.1 Summary and conclusions.....	211
7.2 Recommendations for future work.....	218
Bibliography.....	221
Appendix 1.....	254
Appendix 2.....	256
Appendix 3.....	268

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1.1</b> <i>In vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> studies of rumen contents to determine the role of homoacetogens.....	22
<b>Table 2.1</b> Methanogen, homoacetogen and bacterial cultures used, and the media, substrates, and additives required for their growth.....	34
<b>Table 2.2</b> Concentrations of BES tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added to Hungate tubes.....	37
<b>Table 2.3</b> Concentrations of CHCl <sub>3</sub> tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added to Hungate tubes.....	38
<b>Table 2.4</b> Concentrations of acetylene tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added to Hungate tubes.....	38
<b>Table 2.5</b> Concentrations of fluoroacetate tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added to Hungate tubes.....	40
<b>Table 2.6</b> Concentrations of <i>n</i> -butyl isocyanide tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added into the Hungate tubes.....	40
<b>Table 2.7</b> Concentrations of phosphate tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added to Hungate tubes.....	40
<b>Table 2.8</b> Concentrations of 1,10-phenanthroline tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added into the Hungate tube.....	41
<b>Table 2.9</b> Concentrations of rifampicin tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added into the Hungate tube.....	41
<b>Table 2.10</b> Concentrations of Ellman's reagent tested, stock solutions prepared and amounts added into the Hungate tube.....	42
<b>Table 2.11</b> Primers, PCR conditions and components used to amplify specific genes....	44
<b>Table 2.12</b> Preparation of unlabelled VFA for addition <i>in vitro</i> .....	52
<b>Table 2.13</b> Ions monitored with mass to charge ratio (m/z) of fragment ion.....	57
<b>Table 3.1</b> Overview of inhibitor compounds screened against archaeal and bacterial cultures, and some selected inhibitors of homoacetogens.....	64
<b>Table 3.2</b> Growth of selected rumen methanogens, homoacetogens (grown with either glucose or H <sub>2</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub> ) and rumen-relevant bacteria in the presence of known inhibitors of methanogens.....	72
<b>Table 3.3</b> Growth of selected rumen methanogens and homoacetogens in the presence of potential inhibitors of homoacetogens.....	73



<b>Table 4.1</b> Expected effects of inhibitors <i>in vitro</i> (mixed culture experiment).....	85
<b>Table 4.2</b> Mean amounts of lactate, formate and ethanol produced in the absence of inhibitor and in the presence of BES or chloroform over time.....	91
<b>Table 4.3</b> Mean of ratios of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and amounts of $^{13}\text{A}$ , $^{13}\text{P}$ and $^{13}\text{B}$ produced after 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation.....	95
<b>Table 4.4</b> Mean amounts of $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled acetate and butyrate formed from propionate due to VFA inter-conversion after 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation in the absence of inhibitor (control) and in the presence of BES or chloroform.....	102
<b>Table 4.5</b> Fractional amounts of conversion of $^{13}\text{C}_3$ -propionate and 2- $^{13}\text{C}_1$ -propionate to acetate and butyrate after 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation in the absence of inhibitor and in the presence of BES or chloroform.....	105
<b>Table 4.6</b> Mean amounts of $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled VFA (acetate, propionate and butyrate) formed due to VFA inter-conversion after 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation.....	106
<b>Table 4.7</b> Electron recovery in sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> after 8 h.....	119
<b>Table 5.1</b> Expected effects in the serial batch <i>in vitro</i> fermentation over 108 h.....	135
<b>Table 5.2</b> Electron recovery in short-term incubations of fresh sheep rumen contents (pre-treatment), and of contents serially-transferred without and with acetylene.....	160
<b>Table 6.1</b> Expected effects of inhibitors in sheep rumen.....	174
<b>Table 6.2</b> Number of FTHFS sequences analysed from each sheep.....	187
<b>Table 6.3</b> Electron recovery in sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> after 8 h in the pre-treatment period (day 1) and during the treatment period (day 8) period.....	202
<b>Table A.1</b> Mole percent excess (MPE <sub>i</sub> ) calculations for $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled isomers.....	254
<b>Table A.2.1</b> Mean amounts and proportions of products produced in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation.....	256
<b>Table A.2.2</b> The number of reads and OTUs for each sample sequence for archaea and bacteria in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation.....	259
<b>Table A.2.3</b> The most abundant and prevalent rumen bacterial genus-level groups in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation.....	261
<b>Table A.2.4</b> The most abundant and prevalent rumen bacterial genus-level groups in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation.....	263
<b>Table A.2.5</b> Mean of ratios of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and amounts of $^{13}\text{A}$ , $^{13}\text{P}$ and $^{13}\text{B}$ produced in short-term incubations of fresh sheep rumen contents.....	266

<b>Table A.3.1</b> Sheep weights, amounts of dry matter intake (DMI), diet refusals, methane and carbon dioxide produced in respiratory chambers.....	268
<b>Table A.3.2</b> Mean concentrations and proportions of products in the control, acetylene- and chloroform-treated sheep over time.....	271
<b>Table A.3.3</b> Mean amounts of methane and dry matter intake (DMI) of control, acetylene- and chloroform-treated sheep over time.....	273
<b>Table A.3.4</b> The number of reads and OTUs for each sample sequence for archaea and bacteria in the rumen contents of control, acetylene- and chloroform-treated sheep over time.....	274
<b>Table A.3.5</b> The most abundant and prevalent rumen bacterial genus-level groups in the rumen contents of control sheep over time.....	276
<b>Table A.3.6</b> The most abundant and prevalent rumen bacterial genus-level groups in the rumen contents of acetylene-sheep over time.....	278
<b>Table A.3.7</b> The most abundant and prevalent rumen bacterial genus-level groups in the rumen contents of chloroform-treated sheep over time.....	281
<b>Table A.3.8</b> Mean ratios of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and amounts of $^{13}\text{A}$ , $^{13}\text{P}$ and $^{13}\text{B}$ produced after 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation of rumen contents.....	285
<b>Table A.3.9</b> Mean of ratios of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and amounts of $^{13}\text{A}$ , $^{13}\text{P}$ and $^{13}\text{B}$ produced after 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation of rumen contents.....	287

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b> Total anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by economic sector.....	2
<b>Figure 1.2</b> Foregut showing the rumen and its compartments in relation to the stomach (abomasum) of a cow.....	3
<b>Figure 1.3</b> Major substrate fermentation pathways in the rumen.....	5
<b>Figure 1.4</b> Strategies to redirect rumen fermentation (unbalanced reactions) towards less methane being produced.....	8
<b>Figure 1.5</b> Wood-Ljungdahl pathway.....	18
<b>Figure 1.6</b> Outline of the thesis.....	28
<b>Figure 4.1</b> Experiment 1. Overview of the short-term <i>in vitro</i> assay used to measure homoacetogenesis.....	82
<b>Figure 4.2</b> Experiment 2. Overview of the short-term <i>in vitro</i> experiment used to measure the effects of addition of large amounts of VFA on rumen fermentation.....	82
<b>Figure 4.3</b> Experiment 3. Overview of the short-term <i>in vitro</i> experiment used to measure VFA inter-conversion from propionate to acetate and butyrate.....	83
<b>Figure 4.4</b> Experiment 4. Overview of the short-term <i>in vitro</i> experiment used to measure VFA inter-conversion between acetate, propionate and butyrate.....	83
<b>Figure 4.5</b> Methane, hydrogen and total gas produced by sheep rumen contents.....	87
<b>Figure 4.6</b> Volatile fatty acids (acetate, propionate and butyrate) produced by sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> in the absence of inhibitor.....	89
<b>Figure 4.7</b> Branched and minor short-chain fatty acids (isobutyrate, isovalerate, valerate and caproate) produced in sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> .....	90
<b>Figure 4.8</b> Ratios of $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ to $^{12}\text{CO}_2$ or $^{13}\text{CH}_4$ to $^{12}\text{CH}_4$ in the liquid phase or in the headspace when sheep rumen contents were incubated <i>in vitro</i> .....	93
<b>Figure 4.9</b> Amount of acetate (a) and percentage of total acetate (b) produced from homoacetogenesis following incubation of sheep rumen contents <i>in vitro</i> .....	96
<b>Figure 4.10</b> Amount of butyrate (a) and percentage of total butyrate (b) produced from homobutyrogenesis following incubation of sheep rumen contents <i>in vitro</i> .....	97
<b>Figure 4.11</b> Methane, hydrogen and total gas produced by sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> over time.....	99
<b>Figure 4.12</b> Acetate, propionate and butyrate produced by sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> .....	100

<b>Figure 4.13</b> One tracer substrate pathway models ( $^{13}\text{C}_3$ -propionate (a) or 2- $^{13}\text{C}_1$ -propionate (b)) depicting label transfer during 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation of rumen fluid....	103
<b>Figure 4.14</b> Three tracer substrate pathway model ( $^{13}\text{C}_2$ -acetate, $^{13}\text{C}_3$ -propionate and $^{13}\text{C}_4$ -butyrate) depicting label transfer during 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation of rumen fluid.....	108
<b>Figure 4.15</b> One tracer substrate pathway model ( $\text{NaH}^{13}\text{CO}_3$ ) depicting label transfer during 8 h <i>in vitro</i> incubation of rumen fluid.....	109
<b>Figure 4.16</b> Amount of acetate (a) and percentage of acetate (b) produced from homoacetogenesis following incubation of sheep rumen contents <i>in vitro</i> .....	111
<b>Figure 4.17</b> Amount of butyrate (a) and percentage of butyrate (b) produced from homobutyrogenesis following incubation of sheep rumen contents <i>in vitro</i> .....	112
<b>Figure 4.18</b> Theoretical relative rates of metabolism versus hydrogen concentration for homoacetogens.....	115
<b>Figure 4.19</b> Electrons utilised (%) in production of various metabolites in sheep rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> .....	118
<b>Figure 5.1</b> Overview of the <i>in vitro</i> serial batch fermentation experiment using sheep rumen fluid.....	131
<b>Figure 5.2</b> Methane, hydrogen and total gas produced by sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation system.....	136
<b>Figure 5.3</b> Volatile fatty acids (acetate, propionate and butyrate) produced.....	138
<b>Figure 5.4</b> Branched and minor short-chain fatty acids (isobutyrate, isovalerate, valerate and caproate) produced by sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation system.....	139
<b>Figure 5.5</b> Rumen archaeal and bacterial 16S rRNA gene numbers in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation system.....	140
<b>Figure 5.6</b> Archaeal community composition in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation system.....	143
<b>Figure 5.7</b> Bacterial community composition in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch fermentation system .....	144
<b>Figure 5.8</b> Scatter plot of principal component analysis (PCA) of bacterial communities in sheep rumen contents incubated in the serially-transferred <i>in vitro</i> batch.....	146
<b>Figure 5.9</b> Methane, hydrogen and total gas produced <i>in vitro</i> over 8 hours by fresh sheep rumen contents.....	148
<b>Figure 5.10</b> Volatile fatty acids (acetate, propionate and butyrate) produced <i>in vitro</i> over 8 hours by fresh sheep rumen contents.....	150

<b>Figure 5.11</b> Amount of acetate formed from homoacetogenesis ( $A_{ha}$ ) and butyrate formed from homobutyrogenesis ( $B_{hb}$ ) <i>in vitro</i> over 8 hours by fresh sheep rumen contents.....	154
<b>Figure 5.12</b> Contribution of homoacetogenesis ( $A_{ha}$ ) and homobutyrogenesis ( $B_{hb}$ ) to total acetate and butyrate formation <i>in vitro</i> over 8 hours by fresh sheep rumen contents.....	155
<b>Figure 5.13</b> Theoretical relative rates of metabolism versus hydrogen concentration for homoacetogens.....	156
<b>Figure 5.14</b> Electrons utilised (%) in production of various products <i>in vitro</i> over 8 hours by fresh sheep rumen contents.....	159
<b>Figure 6.1</b> Overview of the animal trial.....	170
<b>Figure 6.2</b> Daily methane yields from sheep that received no inhibitor (a) and sheep that were dosed with acetylene (b) or chloroform (c).....	175
<b>Figure 6.3</b> Volatile fatty acid concentrations (a; b; c) and proportions (d; e; f) of each VFA (acetate, propionate and butyrate) in sheep rumen contents that received no inhibitor (a; d) and that were dosed with acetylene (b; e) or chloroform (c; f).....	177
<b>Figure 6.4</b> Branched and short-chain fatty acids (isobutyrate, isovalerate, valerate and caproate) concentrations in rumen contents of sheep that received no inhibitor (a) and sheep that were dosed with acetylene (b) or chloroform (c).....	179
<b>Figure 6.5</b> Absolute rumen archaeal and bacterial 16S rRNA gene numbers per g of freeze-dried rumen contents of sheep that received no inhibitor (a) and that were dosed with acetylene (b) or chloroform (c).....	180
<b>Figure 6.6</b> Archaeal community composition in rumen contents of sheep that received no inhibitor (a) and sheep that were dosed with acetylene (b) or chloroform (c).....	182
<b>Figure 6.7</b> Bacterial community composition in rumen contents of sheep that received no inhibitor (a) and sheep that were dosed with acetylene (b) or chloroform (c).....	184
<b>Figure 6.8</b> Scatter plot of principal component analysis (PCA) of bacterial communities in rumen contents of sheep that received no inhibitor and sheep.....	186
<b>Figure 6.9</b> Similarity of FTHFS sequences from control and acetylene-treated sheep at day 1 (pre-treatment) and day 8 (during five days of dosing) to a Hidden Markov model containing FTHFS sequences from known homoacetogens.....	187
<b>Figure 6.10</b> Methane, hydrogen and total gas produced by rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> for 8 h.....	190
<b>Figure 6.11</b> Volatile fatty acids produced by rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> after 8 h.....	191

<b>Figure 6.12</b> Amounts of acetate formed from homoacetogenesis ( $A_{ha}$ ) and butyrate formed from homobutyrogenesis ( $B_{hb}$ ) following incubation of sheep rumen contents <i>in vitro</i> for 8 h.....	194
<b>Figure 6.13</b> Percentage of total acetate produced from homoacetogenesis ( $A_{ha}$ ) and total butyrate produced from homobutyrogenesis ( $B_{hb}$ ) following incubation of rumen contents <i>in vitro</i> for 8 h.....	195
<b>Figure 6.14</b> Theoretical relative rates of metabolism versus hydrogen concentration for homoacetogens.....	197
<b>Figure 6.15</b> Electrons utilised (%) in production of various products in rumen contents incubated <i>in vitro</i> for 8 h.....	200

## Abbreviations

With a few exceptions (to avoid confusion), standard SI units are not defined here.

ACS	acetyl-CoA synthetase
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
atm	atmosphere
BES	2-bromoethanesulfonate
bp	base pair
BSA	bovine serum albumin
CH <sub>4</sub>	methane
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	chloroform
CoM	coenzyme M
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
CODH	carbon monoxide dehydrogenase
Conc.	concentration, concentrated
dNTP	deoxynucleotide triphosphate
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FAD	flavin adenine dinucleotide
FTHFS	formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase
<i>g</i>	gravity
$\Delta G^{\circ}$	Gibb's (free) energy change
GC	gas chromatography
GC-FID	gas chromatography with flame ionisation detector
GC-MS	gas chromatography mass spectrometry
GC-IRMS	gas chromatography with isotope ratio mass spectrometry
2GenRFV	GCXAL-CPY-rumen fluid-vitamin mix with double substrate concentrations
GHG	greenhouse gases
GP	general purpose diet
H <sub>2</sub>	hydrogen
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
HMM	hidden Markov model

HMMER	profile hidden Markov model software
IPTG	isopropyl $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
kJ/mol	kilojoules per mole
$K_s$	half saturation constant (also referred to as Monod's constant)
LB	Luria-Bertani
Ltd.	limited
M	molar
min	minutes
m/z	mass-to-charge ratio
N <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen
NADH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NAD(P)H	NADH or NADPH
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	sodium hydrogen carbonate
NoSubRFV	rumen fluid vitamin mix with no added growth substrates
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
psi	pounds per square inch
qPCR	quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
QIIME	quantitative insights into microbial ecology
RCC	Rumen Cluster C
RF	rumen fluid
RM02	rumen medium number 2
rpm	revolutions per minute
rRNA	ribosomal ribonucleic acid
$S$	dissolved substrate concentration
$S_{min}$	minimum threshold substrate concentration
SPME	solid-phase micro extraction
SD	standard deviation
SEM	standard error of the mean
TAE	tris-acetate-EDTA
U/ $\mu$ l	units/microlitre
v/v	volume per volume
$V$	relative rate of metabolism
$V_{max}$	maximum rate of metabolism



VFA	volatile fatty acid(s)
w/v	weight per volume
w/w	weight per weight
X-gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside

## Mathematical abbreviations

$A$	amount of acetate produced
$A_f$	amount of acetate formed from fermentation
$A_{ha}'$	amount of acetate produced from homoacetogenesis (VFA inter-conversion uncorrected)
$A_{ha}$	amount of acetate (unlabelled and labelled) produced via homoacetogenesis (VFA inter-conversion corrected)
$A^*$	$^{13}\text{C}_1$ -acetate
$A^{**}$	$^{13}\text{C}_2$ -acetate
$^{13}A$	amount of excess labelled acetate
$^{13}A_{ha}$	amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled acetate produced via homoacetogenesis
$B$	amount of butyrate produced
$B_f$	amount of butyrate formed from fermentation
$B_{hb}'$	amount of butyrate produced from homobutyrogenesis (VFA inter-conversion uncorrected)
$B_{hb}$	amount of butyrate (unlabelled and labelled) produced via homobutyrogenesis (VFA inter-conversion corrected)
$B^*$	$^{13}\text{C}_1$ -butyrate
$B^{**}$	$^{13}\text{C}_2$ -butyrate
$B^{***}$	$^{13}\text{C}_3$ -butyrate
$B^{****}$	$^{13}\text{C}_4$ -butyrate
$^{13}B$	amount of excess labelled butyrate
$^{13}B_{hb}$	amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled butyrate produced via homobutyrogenesis
$f_{ab}$	fractional amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -acetate converted to $^{13}\text{C}$ -butyrate
$f_{ap}$	fractional amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -acetate converted to $^{13}\text{C}$ -propionate
$f_{ba}$	fractional amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -butyrate converted to $^{13}\text{C}$ -acetate
$f_{bp}$	fractional amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -butyrate converted to $^{13}\text{C}$ -propionate
$f_{pa}$	fractional amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -propionate converted to $^{13}\text{C}$ -acetate
$f_{pb}$	fractional amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ -propionate converted to $^{13}\text{C}$ -butyrate
$H_2$	amount of hydrogen formed from fermentation
$2H$	two reduced protons, representing two electrons
$m/z$	mass-to-charge ratio
$M$	amount of methane formed from fermentation

$MPE_i$	mole percent excess for any species containing $i$ labelled carbons
$MPE_0$	mole percent excess for any species containing no labelled carbon
$MPE_1$	mole percent excess for any species containing one labelled carbon
$MPE_2$	mole percent excess for any species containing two labelled carbons
$MPE_3$	mole percent excess for any species containing three labelled carbons
$MPE_4$	mole percent excess for any species containing four labelled carbons
$P$	amount of propionate produced
$P_f$	amount of propionate formed from fermentation
$P^*$	$^{13}C_1$ -propionate
$P^{**}$	$^{13}C_2$ -propionate
$P^{***}$	$^{13}C_3$ -propionate
$^{13}P$	amount of excess labelled propionate
$rA_{Lferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in acetate in fermentations with $NaH^{13}CO_3$
$rA_{Uferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in acetate in fermentations with unlabelled $NaHCO_3$
$rB_{Lferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in butyrate in fermentations with $NaH^{13}CO_3$
$rB_{Uferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in butyrate in fermentations with unlabelled $NaHCO_3$
$rCO_{2Lferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in $CO_2$ in fermentations with $NaH^{13}CO_3$
$rCO_{2Uferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in $CO_2$ in fermentations with unlabelled $NaHCO_3$
$rP_{Lferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in propionate in fermentations with $NaH^{13}CO_3$
$rP_{Uferm}$	ratio of $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in propionate in fermentations with unlabelled $NaHCO_3$
$r^{13}CO_2$	ratio of excess of dissolved $^{13}CO_2$ relative to control without $NaH^{13}CO_3$
$R_1$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 108:107 for sample
$R_{10}$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 108:107 for control
$R_2$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 109:107 for sample
$R_{20}$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 109:107 for control
$R_3$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 110:107 for sample
$R_{30}$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 110:107 for control
$R_4$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 111:107 for sample
$R_{40}$	selected ion peak area ratios for ions m/z 111:107 for control
$V_f$	amount of valerate formed from fermentation
$X_a$	fraction of acetate coming from $CO_2$
$X_b$	fraction of butyrate formed from homobutyrogenesis