

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

BUREAUCRACY AND PROFESSIONALISM
IN AN EDUCATIONAL ORGANISATION

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Arts
in Education at
Massey University

Brian Shaw

1971

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In conducting my investigation, I wish to express my gratitude to Professor R.S. Adams, not only for discussing ideas and reading drafts, but for his personal interest and encouragement at all times. Thanks are due to all teaching staff who co-operated by completing a questionnaire. I am also indebted to Mr Graham Hubbard, Mathematics Department, Palmerston North Teachers College for advice and assistance in the statistical analysis of research data. To Avril, Hilary and Nicola, my gratitude for their prolonged tolerance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Title Page	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures and Tables	v
Introduction: Aims and Purposes	vi
Chapter I : Theoretical Considerations - Bureaucracy	1
The Weberian Model	1
Criticism of the Weberian Model	6
Rebuttal to Criticisms of the Weberian Model	7
Conclusion on the Weberian Model	9
Bureaucracy and Schools	14
Chapter II : Theoretical Considerations - Professionalism	19
The Structural Model	19
The Attitudinal Model	24
Professionalism and Schools	27
Chapter III : Theoretical Considerations - Professionalism	36
in Bureaucracies	
The Conflict Model	37
The Accommodation Model	39
Professionalism and Organisational Commitment	42
Professionalism and Organisational Commitment	
in Schools	42
Professionalism, Organisational Commitment, and	
this Investigation	44
Chapter IV : Research Hypotheses	45

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter V : Methodology	52
The Research Focus	52
Construction of Research Questionnaire	56
Administration of the Questionnaire	75
The Sample	76
Statistical Measures Used	76
 Chapter VI : Findings	 78
 Chapter VII : Discussion	 98
 Appendix A	 107
 Appendix B	 121
 Bibliography	 129

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE I	Hierarchy of Academic Groups in the College	69
TABLE 1	Sample Characteristics by Status; Tenure and Age.	79
2	Mean Scores for Professional Orientation, and Professional Orientation Subscales.	81
3	Distribution of Respondents by High, Medium, and Low Professional Orientation Scores.	82
4	Mean Scores for Organisational Commitment and Organisational Commitment Subscales	83
5	Distribution of Respondents by High, Medium and Low Organisational Commitment Scores.	84
6	Mean Scores for Organisational Commitment and Organisational Commitment Subscales by Status	85
7	Mean Scores for Organisational Commitment and Organisational Commitment Subscales by Years in the College.	86
8	Organisational Commitment - Distribution of Respondents by Major Commitment to Reference Groups.	87
9	Distribution of Respondents by High, Medium, and Low Professional Behaviour Scores.	88
10	Distribution of Respondents by High, Medium and Low Self Rating on Professionalism Scores.	89
11	Correlations and Analysis of Variance for Organisational Commitment and Professional Orientation Scores.	90
12	Analysis of Variance of Organisational Commitment by Status of Respondent.	92
13	Analysis of Variance of Organisational Commitment by Length of Service in the Organisation.	93
14	Percentages of Respondents Allocating Major Commitment to each Reference Group.	95