

Organising Therapists' Emotional-Social Skills: Are Therapists that Different?

**A thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology
at Massey University, Palmerston North
New Zealand**

Andreas Marwick

2016

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ABSTRACT

Wampold and Imel (2015) argue that therapeutic outcomes may be more dependent on variables associated with therapists than treatment systems. An element of these therapist variables include the emotional and social skills of therapists, however, to date, little has been done to investigate the relationships between these therapy factors. One exception to this is pilot research conducted by my supervisors, their students, and myself (Harvey, Marwick, Baken, Bimler, & Dickson, 2016). This thesis aims to replicate and extend on this pilot research as to better understand therapists' emotional and social skills in practice. Using three complementary approaches including thematic analysis of therapist transcripts, a date-specific literature review, and revision of foundational research, Harvey et al.'s original pool of emotional and social skills was revised and extended. Subsequently, using a statistical method for mapping psychological constructs, therapists' emotional practices were transformed into a 'map' with three spatial dimensions, which was generally supported by comparative reliability checks including a validation study with a foreign-language sample. Finally, the nature of emotional practice was further investigated by administering a questionnaire of emotional practice items to 79 therapists. From this, eight salient practice constructs were identified. Statistical links were also found between these and both demographic data and a modified measure of the therapeutic relationship. Furthermore, using Q-analysis, a general consensus of responding was found between therapists' emotional response patterns and as a result, a tentative pathway to therapists' practice styles was developed. From these findings important research and clinical applications are apparent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis was constructed with the help of many. Thank you to my supervisors, I feel extremely privileged to have worked with you. I could not have persisted and completed this enormous undertaking without your support and guidance. Shane, your unremitting enthusiasm for my topic has been inspiring and, at times, much needed. Don, your input always conveys new insights and this thesis would be sorely lacking without you. David, your knowledge (and patience communicating such knowledge) is greatly appreciated, you are truly an expert of this methodology. Thank you to my family and friends. You brighten up my life and for that, I am grateful. Last but not least, to my participants. I cannot thank you enough for selflessly spending your valuable time. You made this research possible and its results are as much yours as they are mine. My hope is that, in some way, this research can help improve the experiences of therapy consumers.

PROLOGUE

For doctoral students the construction of a thesis is generally a daunting prospect, requiring patience, determination, and passion to achieve. Interestingly, from my perspective, the key to its completion is profoundly dependent on a single stimulating idea that sends the student down their indirect pathway to discovery. Long before my stint as a postgraduate student, unbeknown to me, this idea was already captivating my supervisors. After becoming a postgraduate student, they enlisted me to help investigate. This idea has continued to captivate me past my initial postgraduate research and is the key research question of this thesis — can we discover therapists' practice styles?

It will come as no surprise that emotional experiences are rife throughout therapy. In session, very personal thoughts, feelings, and experiences are aired, and therefore, it is understandable that the therapist's emotional and social responses in practice have some bearing on therapy process and outcome. This notion has resonated with me since beginning my clinical training. Consequently, I consciously attend to how I am feeling, and how I am portraying these feelings throughout my practice.

While a therapist's specific emotional and social responses probably differ between clients, underlying practice themes will likely remain recognisable. In other words, there is a consistency in one's practice behaviours, differing largely by when and how these behaviours are implemented. A seasoned and effective clinician I have been fortunate to observe expertly incorporates humour into his diverse clinical practice. However, how this humour is used changes between clients. In general for this clinician, humour is employed with new and unenthusiastic clients to promote interest and foster rapport. Alternatively however, for engaged yet distracted clients, humour is more likely to be used to capture attention and guide the flow of therapy. Humour for this clinician is implemented during different situations for different purposes, ultimately to benefit the client. This example demonstrates that when considering a therapist's emotional and social practice responses, it is not just a question of what to employ, but also, of how and when to implement these skills. I believe, if studied correctly, that these responses may partially identify a therapist's particular practicing style.

From my limited professional experience, I have little doubt that therapists practice in ways that reflect their unique personalities — after all, they were individuals first and psychologists second. As my instructor once told me in praise, “I saw your personality in that session,” an achievement I had strived to accomplish for some time. My colleague’s personal easy-going, quiet, and caring manner helps her to swiftly build rapport in practice, while my experienced, just, and supportive supervisor threads these factors into his practice to facilitate the therapeutic process. Our personalities are intertwined with who we are, and to practice in a way that is incongruent with our personalities would, in my mind, be noticeable and appear insincere. What we think, feel, value, and believe is reflected in our behaviour and will ultimately influence our clients for better or worse.

So why investigate therapists’ practice styles then if they largely reflect individuals’ diverse and unique personalities? Well, just as their practices are unique, they also have remarkable similarities. The colleague and supervisor previously mentioned are both meticulous and passionate about their chosen clinical fields. It is my belief that both the similarities and differences that exist between therapists at a practice level are able to be quantified. By understanding the collective and individual components of therapy, we may better understand how one’s practice style evolves and ultimately, which parts may influence client change.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| PROLOGUE | iii |
| CONTENTS..... | v |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS..... | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| LIST OF APPENDICES..... | xii |
| CHAPTER ONE | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| Constructing the Question..... | 1 |
| CHAPTER TWO | 5 |
| LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 5 |
| Outcome Variability | 5 |
| Therapist Variables..... | 9 |
| Emotional-Social Variables | 15 |
| Therapist Profiling and Styling..... | 22 |
| Summary | 25 |
| Foundational Research..... | 26 |
| Specific Aims and Expectations..... | 29 |
| CHAPTER THREE..... | 31 |
| STUDY ONE..... | 31 |
| Methodology | 31 |
| Foundational Research..... | 32 |
| STUDY ONE: METHOD..... | 34 |
| Item Generation..... | 34 |
| STUDY ONE: RESULTS..... | 38 |
| Approach One: Content Analysis | 38 |
| Approach Two: Literature Search | 39 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Approach Three: Map Analysis | 40 |
| Item Reduction | 40 |
| STUDY ONE: DISCUSSION..... | 42 |
| Approach One: Analysis of Exceptional Therapists' Transcripts..... | 42 |
| Approach Three: Analysis of Original Model..... | 45 |
| Limitations | 47 |
| Conclusions and Implications..... | 48 |
| LINKED THOUGHTS I | 49 |
| CHAPTER FOUR..... | 50 |
| STUDY TWO: METHOD | 50 |
| Participants..... | 50 |
| Materials | 50 |
| Procedure | 52 |
| Analysis and Reliability Check | 53 |
| STUDY TWO: RESULTS..... | 58 |
| Hierarchical Cluster Analysis..... | 58 |
| Multi-Dimensional Scaling..... | 61 |
| STUDY TWO: DISCUSSION | 75 |
| Surface Coverage | 75 |
| Dimensions | 76 |
| Clusters..... | 77 |
| Limitations | 83 |
| Conclusions and Implications..... | 85 |
| LINKED THOUGHTS II..... | 87 |
| CHAPTER FIVE..... | 89 |
| STUDY THREE: METHOD..... | 89 |
| Participants..... | 89 |
| Materials | 89 |
| Procedure | 91 |
| Analysis and Reliability Check | 91 |
| STUDY THREE: RESULTS..... | 92 |
| Multi-Dimensional Scaling..... | 92 |
| Combined Solution..... | 97 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| STUDY THREE: DISCUSSION | 112 |
| Surface Coverage..... | 112 |
| Dimensions..... | 112 |
| Clusters | 114 |
| Limitations..... | 122 |
| Implications | 123 |
| Conclusion | 126 |
| LINKED THOUGHTS III..... | 127 |
| CHAPTER SIX..... | 129 |
| STUDY FOUR: METHOD | 129 |
| Participants | 129 |
| Materials..... | 130 |
| Procedures..... | 131 |
| Analysis: Hotspot Modelling, Subjectivity Profiling, and Q-analysis | 132 |
| STUDY FOUR: RESULTS..... | 134 |
| STAR-C | 134 |
| Hotspots and Interpretation..... | 135 |
| Styling..... | 148 |
| Response Patterns..... | 150 |
| STUDY FOUR: DISCUSSION..... | 164 |
| Hotspots..... | 164 |
| Mean and Consensus Profiles..... | 165 |
| G-factor | 174 |
| Divergent Findings | 176 |
| Practice Styles | 178 |
| Limitations..... | 180 |
| Implications and Future Research | 182 |
| Conclusion | 183 |
| CHAPTER SEVEN | 185 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 185 |
| REFERENCES..... | 187 |
| APPENDICES..... | 210 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- CER – Cognitive Emotional Relational
- Da – Deviation A
- Db – Deviation B
- DPCCCQ – Development of Psychotherapists' Common Core Questionnaire
- ED – Emotional Difficulties
- ES – Emotional-Social
- FIS – Facilitative Interpersonal Skills
- GOPA – Group Opposite Partition Add
- HCA – Hierarchical Cluster Analysis
- HI – Healing Involvement
- Hotspot Labels AG – Altruistic Growth
- CE – Client Empowerment
- D – Directive
- DTB – Deliberate Therapeutic Behaviours
- EC – Emotion Coaching
- ESA – Emotional Self-Awareness
- SC – Self-Confidence
- TC – Therapeutic Collaboration
- MDS – Multidimensional Scaling
- NS – Non-Sorted
- NZTCI – New Zealand Translation Centre International
- ORS – Outcome Rating Scale
- PoC – Positive Collaboration
- PCA – Principal Components Analysis
- PCI – Positive Clinician Input
- SI – Stressful Involvement
- SPSS – Statistical Products and Service Solutions
- STAR-C – Scale To Assess the Therapeutic Relationship – Clinician

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 1. Percentage (%) of improvement in clinical practice as a function of therapeutic factors (adapted from Norcross & Lambert, 2011). | 6 |
| Figure 2. The Contextual Model (adapted from Wampold & Imel, 2015). | 8 |
| Figure 3. Developing therapeutic rapport in consultation. | 22 |
| Figure 4. Representation of the three dimensional model developed in Harvey et al.'s (2015) study viewed from Z direction. | 27 |
| Figure 5. Representation of the three dimensional model developed in Harvey et al.'s (2015) study viewed from Y axis. | 27 |
| Figure 6. Harvey et al.'s (2016) Original MDS model showing individual items with emphasis on item void. | 29 |
| Figure 7. Harvey et al.'s (2016) Original MDS model with clusters displayed emphasising item void. | 29 |
| Figure 8. Dendrogram with item text truncated and preliminary cluster descriptions. | 60 |
| Figure 9. X- and X+ hemispheric views displaying item placement and cluster membership in the NZ model. | 63 |
| Figure 10. Scree plot of Stress ₁ versus dimensionality for New Zealand, Japanese, and Combined samples. | 95 |
| Figure 11. Combined sample dendrogram with item text truncated and preliminary cluster descriptions. | 98 |
| Figure 12. X- and X+ hemispheric views displaying item placement and cluster membership in the combined model. | 100 |
| Figure 13. All ideographic participant profiles plotted according to hotspot scores with mean profile score emphasised. | 149 |
| Figure 14. Scree plot of Eigenvalues versus factors for Q-analysis across five factors. | 150 |
| Figure 15. All ideographic participant profiles plotted according to hotspot scores with mean profile and consensus profile emphasised. | 151 |
| Figure 16. G-factor, positive and negative Da and Db modulations across hotspots. | 153 |
| Figure 17. G-factor and positive and negative Da modulations across hotspots. | 154 |
| Figure 18. G-factor and positive and negative Db modulations across hotspots. | 155 |
| Figure 19. Proposed theoretical pathway to practice consensus. | 176 |
| Figure 20. Proposed partial pathway to therapists' ES practice styles. | 179 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 1. Saarni's skills and implications of emotional competence | 16 |
| Table 2. Cluster labels and items | 65 |
| Table 3. Upper quartile of X coordinates | 70 |
| Table 4. Lower quartile of X coordinates..... | 71 |
| Table 5. Upper quartile of Y coordinates | 71 |
| Table 6. Lower quartile of Y coordinates..... | 72 |
| Table 7. Upper quartile of Z coordinates | 72 |
| Table 8. Lower quartile of Z coordinates..... | 73 |
| Table 9. Pole and dimension labels with respective salient clusters..... | 73 |
| Table 10. Comparison of item groupings between this study and Harvey et al.'s (2016)..... | 78 |
| Table 11. Statistical comparison of Combined solution with NZ and Japanese solutions | 94 |
| Table 12. Cluster items, themes and locations | 102 |
| Table 13. Upper quartile of X coordinates..... | 108 |
| Table 14. Lower quartile of X coordinates | 109 |
| Table 15. Upper quartile of Y coordinates..... | 109 |
| Table 16. Lower quartile of Y coordinates | 110 |
| Table 17. Upper quartile of Z coordinates..... | 110 |
| Table 18. Lower quartile of Z coordinates | 111 |
| Table 19. Pole and dimension labels with respective salient clusters | 111 |
| Table 20. Comparison of item groupings between NZ and Combined solutions of this research as well as those from Harvey et al.'s (2016) | 116 |
| Table 21. Comparison of Combined solution clusters and FIS constructs..... | 118 |
| Table 22. Means, standard deviations (SD) and ranges of Scale to Assess the Therapeutic Relationship (STAR-C) scores..... | 134 |
| Table 23. Commonly reported item groups representing their described hotspots | 135 |
| Table 24. Pearson's (r) Correlations between hotspot scores and scores on the Scale to Assess the Therapeutic Relationship (STAR-C) scale and subscales..... | 138 |
| Table 25. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing hotspot scores by gender..... | 139 |
| Table 26. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing hotspot scores by age | 141 |
| Table 27. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing hotspot scores by profession..... | 143 |
| Table 28. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing hotspot scores by experience..... | 145 |
| Table 29. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing hotspot scores by setting..... | 147 |

Table 30. Six most extreme items, including their hotspots, for each factor 157

Table 31. Pearson’s (r) correlations between hotspot scores and response patterns
 158

Table 32. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing styles by gender . 159

Table 33. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing styles by age 160

Table 34. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing styles by profession
 161

Table 35. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing styles by experience
 162

Table 36. Summary of analyses of variance results comparing styles by setting.. 163

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|--|-----|
| APPENDIX A – Harvey et al.’s (2016) Original MDS model | 210 |
| APPENDIX B – Harvey et al.’s (2016) original item list..... | 211 |
| APPENDIX C – Combined item list..... | 214 |
| APPENDIX D – Study two research objectives and procedure instructions | 218 |
| APPENDIX E – Study two demographics response sheet..... | 221 |
| APPENDIX F – Study two response form | 222 |
| APPENDIX G – Study two 106 item list..... | 224 |
| APPENDIX H – Harvey et al. (2016) original dendrogram with items removed.... | 227 |
| APPENDIX I – Recruitment email to Japanese associations in New Zealand..... | 229 |
| APPENDIX J – Study three research objectives and procedure instructions | 231 |
| APPENDIX K – Study three demographics response sheet..... | 234 |
| APPENDIX L – Study three response form | 235 |
| APPENDIX M – Study three item list..... | 237 |
| APPENDIX N – Study four item list..... | 241 |
| APPENDIX O – Study four modified dendrogram with items removed | 243 |
| APPENDIX P – Study four participant email..... | 245 |
| APPENDIX Q – Harvey, Marwick, Baken, Bimler, and Dickson (2016) supplementary article | 251 |