Dynamics of pyroclastic density currents

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Earth Sciences

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Eric Christophe Pascal Breard

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

"The only real knowledge is experience." E.



Rare painting of a pyroclastic flow that likely occurred during the 1810 Vesuvius eruption. Alfano and Friedlander, Die Geschichte des Vesuv, plate 35.

Abstract

Pyroclastic density currents (PDCs) are the most dangerous mass flows on Earth. Yet they remain poorly understood because internal measurements and observations are hitherto non-existent. In this thesis, the first measurements and views into experimental large-scale PDCs synthesized by "column collapse" provide insights into the internal structure, transport and emplacement dynamics of dense PDCs or pyroclastic flows.

While from an outside point of view, PDCs resemble dilute gravity currents, the internal flow structure shows longitudinal and vertical complexities that greatly influence the PDCs' propagation and emplacement dynamics. Internal velocity and concentration profiles from direct observations provide the evidence of an unforeseen intermediate zone that plays an important role into the transfer of mass from the ash-cloud to the underflow. The intermediate zone is a "dense suspension" where particle cluster in bands to form mesoscale structures. These reduce particle drag and yield an extreme sedimentation rate of particles onto the newly-formed underflow. These findings call into question the existing paradigm of a continuous vertical concentration profile to explain the formation of massive layers and an underflow from ash-clouds. Instead, a sharp concentration jump occurs between the intermediate zone, with concentrations of the order of few volume percent, and the underflow, with concentrations of c.45%.

PDCs were found to be composed of 4 main zones identified as the underflow, and the ashcloud head, body and wake. Following the evolution of the PDC structure over time allows the formation of a complex ignimbrite deposit sequence to be uncovered, reproducing experimentally the "standard ignimbrite sequence" reported from field studies. Experiments revealed that each flow zone deposited the particulate load under contrasting emplacement timescales (spanning up to 5 orders of magnitude), which are primarily controlled by the concentration of the zone.

The ash-cloud head is the most dynamic zone of the PDC, where proximally mass is intensively transferred downward and feeds the underflow front, while at all times, the finest particles are entrained upward and feed the wake through detachment of large Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities. Subsequently, kinematic coupling between the moving underflow and overriding ash-cloud leads to a forced-supercriticality, preferentially affecting the head. The wide range of particle sizes and densities yield a spectrum of gas-transport behaviours ranging from a poorly coupled and rapid-sedimenting mesoscale regime up to a homogeneously coupled long-lived suspending regime.

Internal velocity and concentration profiles illuminate the role of boundary velocity, which yields forced-acceleration of the ash-cloud. Kinematic coupling of the ash-cloud with the underflow induces a velocity at the lower flow boundary, while shear stress at the ash-cloud/underflow wanes and results in the shrinking of the maximum velocity and concentration heights. Therefore, the ash-cloud can reach high velocities and multiply its destruction potential.

The experimental work presented in this thesis provides the first datasets of the internal physical properties of PDCs, which can be used to test the validity of current numerical models and highlight their limitations.

This thesis also presents the study of a small hydrothermal blast that occurred at Mt. Tongariro, New Zealand, on the 6^{th} of August 2012. The study of the blast is subdivided into two phases: the PDC phase and the ballistic phase. The detailed study of the PDC along the main propagation axis highlighted the role of the longitudinal zoning of the current, which was reflected in the complex tripartite deposit architecture.

The study of the blast-derived ballistic crater field revealed a zone of high crater density that was related to the focus of ballistic trajectories around the main explosion direction. Simple inverse ballistic modelling provided evidence for a shallow blast (c. 5° above horizontal) from Te Maari. Furthermore, a comparison of ballistic block lithologies confirmed the origin of the elongated succession of craters or fissures formed by successive blasting during the eruption.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to those who played a role during the past three years and contributed to this academic journey that one calls a PhD.

First and foremost, I thank Gert Lube who gave me the opportunity to be part of an extraordinary large-scale project and introduced me to the marvellous Tongariro volcano with the recent Te Maari eruption. I am very grateful for the outstanding supervision he provided in all possible aspects. By sharing his knowledge, passion, and philosophy of research, the past years have been fascinating.

I am thankful to my co-supervisors Shane Cronin (University of Auckland) and Jim Jones (Massey) who helped through advices and fruitful discussions to improve my work. I also thank Greg Valentine (Univ. at Buffalo), and Joe Dufek (Georgia Tech, Atlanta) for discussion regarding the physics of pyroclastic density currents. Many thanks to Luke Fullard (Massey), with whom I became very interested in the physics of granular flows.

I feel very grateful to Anja Möbis for her immense help with the LPA analysis, to Kate Arentsen who is the pillar of VRS, to Cristian Montanaro and Bettina Scheu (LMU, Munich) with whom I had a great time studying ballistics at Tongariro and to Harry Keys (D.O.C), who allowed me to be part of the team studying the 2012 Tongariro eruption.

Thanks go to all the staff and students at Massey University, including Javier Agustin-Flores, Marco Brenna, Magret Damaschke, Gaby Gomez, Matt Irwin, Adam Neather, Natalia Pardo, Bob Stewart, Rafael Torres-Orozco, Manuela Tost, Georg Zellmer, Liza Haarhoff, David Feek, Rickus Van Niekerk, Bob Toes, Daniel Farley, Clive Bardell, Damian Jones, Anthony Wade, David Wiltshire and Jon Procter.

This PhD work has been supported through a MARSDEN grant of the Royal Society of New Zealand (MAU0906) awarded to Gert Lube, Shane Cronin and Jim Jones.

The completion of a PhD is a result of years of work and guidance that were not solely restricted to the past three years. I am thankful to Jean-Luc Le Pennec who is the first person that introduced me to pyroclastic density currents and their wonders in 2010. Furthermore, I am very grateful to Eliza Calder for reinforcing my passion for PDCs and for directing me to Gert as a potential PhD advisor.

This short tribute would not be complete without a special thanks to Layla, who has known me since my early days in geology and is my best support, my friends here (particularly those amongst "*La Familia Purili*" with whom life in PN was much better than expected), Braden for being "Mr. Awesome", Aalderik for his help with the large-scale experiment and friendship, and my family who accepted that I follow my passion *at world'end*. Nonetheless, it is not "*any*" end, Middle Earth is the most beautiful land I encountered. At last, I ought to thank Pete Jackson for portraying such paradise in LOTR, which made me dream of this country since childhood... a place where volcanoes and fantasy mingle.

Table of Contents

Abstract	
Acknowledgments	
Table of Contents	
List of Figures List of Supplementary Figures	
List of Tables	
List of Supplementary Tables	
Chapter 1 - Introduction	
1.1 Research problem and motivation	2
1.2 Research questions and objectives	6
1.3 Thesis outline and structure	10
1.4 Literature review	13
1.4.1 Terminology	13
1.4.2 History	14
1.4.3 Introduction to pyroclastic density currents	19
1.4.4 Pyroclastic density currents hazards	23
1.4.5 PDC deposits	26
1.4.5.1 Trigger mechanisms	26
1.4.5.2 Field characteristics of PDC deposits	
1.4.6 Transport mechanism	42
1.4.7 Physics of PDCs	49
1.4.7.1 Physics of dilute PDCs	49
1.4.7.2 Dynamic of concentrated PDCs	53
1.4.8 Modelling of PDCs	57
1.4.8.1 Experimental modelling of PDCs	58
1.4.8.1.1 Dilute flows	58
1.4.8.1.2 Dense flows	61
1.4.8.1.3 The rise of large-scale experiments	65
1.4.9 Numerical modelling	70
1.4.10 Summary and future perspectives	76
Chapter 2 – Material and Methods	
2.1 Large scale experimental setup	80
2.1.1 Introduction to the setup	
2.1.2 Introduction to the experimental procedure	

2.1.3 The apparatus	83
2.1.4 Initial and boundary conditions	86
2.1.5 Reproducibility	
2.2 Material	
2.3 Measurements, sensors and software	91
2.3.1 Sensors for flow measurements	91
2.3.2 Flow measurement – Particle concentration	97
2.3.2.1 Measuring high concentration $> \sim 5-10\%$	97
2.3.2.2 Measuring medium to low concentration < 5–10%	98
2.3.3 Software	
2.4 Grain-size analysis, density measurements and componentry	102
2.5 Scaling of the experimental PDCs	
Chapter 3 – Revealing the internal structure of pyroclastic density currents . 3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Methods	110
3.2.1 Generating PDC in large-scale experiments	110
3.2.2 Sensors and analytical methods.	111
3.3 Results	
3.4 Conclusion	
3.5 Supplementary material	123
Chapter 4 – Inside pyroclastic density currents – uncovering the enigmatic fl	
structure and transport behaviour in large-scale experiments 4.1 Introduction	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2.1 Synthesizing PDCs in large-scale experiments	
4.2.2 Sensors and analytical methods4.2.3 Non-dimensional number estimates	
4.3 Results	
4.3.1 Viewing inside experimental PDCs	
4.3.2. Fluid dynamic characteristics of PDC regions	
4.3.3 Coupled motion between underflow and ash-cloud regions	
4.3.4 The internal flow structure	
4.3.5 Distribution of dynamic pressure inside experimental PDCs	
4.3.6 Multiphase transport	153

4.4. Discussion
4.4.1. The PDC structure
4.4.2. Coupled underflow/ash-cloud motion159
4.4.3. Gas-particle transport160
4.5. Conclusion
4.6. Supplementary material163
Chapter 5 – Dynamics of the PDC frontal region – The influence of underflow and ash- cloud interactions on the head kinematics and structure
5.2. Methods
5.2.1 Producing PDC in large-scale experiments
5.2.2 Sensors and analytical methods174
5.3. Results
5.3.1 Outer flow kinematics
5.3.1.1 Outer appearance of experimental flows176
5.3.1.2 Kinematics data of the flow front
5.3.1.3 Kinematic data of the ash-cloud and underflow
5.3.1.4 Densimetric Froude number of the ash-cloud head
5.3.2 Inner flow kinematics
5.3.2.1 Internal velocity through the head region
5.3.2.2 Vertical velocity and concentration structure in the middle of the head188
5.4. Discussion
5.4.1 Kinematic coupling of underflow and ash-cloud190
5.4.2 Interdependence of the head vertical structure to the Froude number
5.4.3 Forced supercriticality and head shape – comparison with other gravity currents 194
5.4.4 Implication of the underflow-ash-cloud coupling on PDC hazards195
5.5 Conclusions
5.6 Supplementary material
Chapter 6 - Using the spatial distribution and lithology of ballistic blocks to interpret eruption sequence and dynamics: August 6 2012 Upper Te Maari eruption203 6.1 Introduction
6.1.1 Tongariro Volcano and the 6 August 2012 Te Maari eruption
6.2 Methods
6.2.1 Mapping
6.2.2 Ballistic modelling

6.3 Results	212
6.3.1 Geology of the fissure area	212
6.3.2 Ballistic crater distribution	217
6.3.3 Ballistic craters and block lithologies	
6.3.4 Estimation of launch-angles of the western ballistic field	222
6.4 Discussion	
6.4.1 Crater distribution	
6.4.2 Eruption source	
6.5 Conclusions	230
Chapter 7 – Transport and deposition processes of the hydrothermal blast of August 2012 Te Maari eruption, Mt. Tongariro 7.1 Introduction	234
7.2 Methods	
7.2.1 Characterizing the PDC deposit along the WNW sector	240
7.2.2 Total grain-size distribution	240
7.3 Results	241
7.3.1 General characteristics and stratigraphy	241
7.3.2 Granulometry	
7.3.3 Local facies variations	252
7.4 Discussion	257
7.4.1 General interpretation	257
7.4.2 Architecture of the PDC deposit	258
7.4.3 Bottom-and-top deposit at the lava flow obstacle	
7.4.4 Grain-size distribution within the PDC	
7.4.5 Longitudinal PDC zoning	
7.4.6 Density stratification of the blast current	
7.5 Conclusions	
7.6 Supplementary material: Method for estimation of the total blast grain-size	
Chapter 8 – Synthesis and conclusions	
8.1 Synthesis	
8.2 Specific findings	
8.2.1 Gas-particle transport in PDCs at intermediate concentration and turbule intensity	
8.2.2 Tractional interaction between PDC underflow and ash-cloud regions	279

8.2.3 Forced-supercriticality – how interaction between underflow and ash-cloud reg	
leads to supercritical flow	280
8.2.4 Entrainment of ambient air	281
8.2.5 Head vortex	281
8.2.6 Hydrothermal blast: PDC	282
8.2.7 Hydrothermal blast: ballistics	282
8.2.8 Hypothesized similarities between experimental PDCs and the Tongariro blast.	283
8.3 Future perspectives	285
References	288
Appendices	331
Appendix A: Supplementary data	
Appendix B: Statement of contribution	333

List of Figures

Fig. 1.3. Origins of "magmatic" pyroclastic density currents. A: Short single-pulse current derived by momentary collapse of a plinian column. B: Sustained current derived from prolonged pyroclastic fountaining. The height of the jet (gas thrust) that feeds the current may vary and is transitional into (C). C: A sustained current derived from prolonged low pyroclastic fountaining (boiling-over) explosive eruption. This lacks the kinetic energy derived from the potential energy of a high fountain. It may be accompanied by a buoyant eruption column (not shown) that does not feed the current. This may also be accompanied by the collapse of vent-proximal piles of agglutinate that feed scoria-and-ash flows (Lube et al., 2007a). D: Current with a single (or multiple) surge derived from lateral blasts initiated by catastrophic decompression of a magmatic and/or hydrothermal system. E: Single surge current derived from a collapsing lava dome or flow front. Hot rock avalanches generate turbulent density currents. F: Deposit-derived pyroclastic density current caused by gravitational collapse and avalanching of a loose ignimbrite or a rhyolite lava flow front. The current may be single surge or more sustained where the collapse is retrogressive. Most ignimbrite deposits derive from current type (B) and (C), which may involve periods of quasi steady flow. Many may include significant components derived from currents type (F). PDC generation mechanisms summarized from Branney and Kokelaar (2002) and Lockwood and

Fig. 1.4. Basaltic-andesite massive ignimbrite overlain by stratified units of similar composition at Llaima volcano, Chile. Such outcrop depicts the dichotomy of PDC deposits as the massive, thick gray layer is overlain by thin beds that display multiple bedforms......32

Fig. 1.5. Median (Md Φ) and sorting ($\sigma\Phi$) showing different fields drawn depending on whether they are from pyroclastic surge (solid line), cross bedded deposits from Ubehebe crater (USA) and Taal volcano (Philippes) (dotted line), fallout (dashed-line), pyroclastic flows (point and dash alternate), (solid line). Modified from Fisher and Schmincke, 1984)..33

Fig. 1.8. Base surge spreading out during the eruption of Te Maari, 21 st of November 2012. Picture by Lomi Schaumkel
Fig. 1.9. Tripartite hydrothermal blast deposit from the 2012 Upper Te Maari eruption. Note the massive, stratified and topmost laminated (appearing massive in the picture) units
Fig. 1.10. Block-and-ash flow at Sinabung volcano (Indonesia) in July 2015. Courtesy of Umar Rosadi
Fig. 1.11. Block-and-ash flow deposit, Mont-Dore, France. Note the ashy matrix that support angular blocks that are from the dome from which the collapse initiated
Fig. 1.12. Complex of multiple pumice flow lobes at Lascar volcano, Chile. (Courtesy of Patrick Whelley, NASA Goddard Space Flight Centre)
Fig. 1.13. PDC and debris avalanche mobility plot. The mobility is assessed as the non- dimensional ratio of the Area/Volume ^{2/3} for data of pyroclastic density currents separated into pumice flows, block-and-ash flows, pyroclastic surges and lateral blasts. Data from debris avalanches are indicated as a comparison. The red line separates dilute transport from concentrated transport regimes. Data have been combined from Hayashi and Self, 1992; Yamamoto et al., 1999; Calder et al., 1999; Fujinawa et al., 2008; Komorowski et al., 2013; Lube et al., 2014
Fig. 1.14. Photographs of three-layered saline currents in lock-exchange experiments (modified from Gladstone et al., 2004)
Fig. 1.15. Example of vertical velocity profiles in granular flows (Modified from Lube et al. 2004)
Fig. 1.16. Deposit of initially non-fluidized flows (a) on smooth substrate and (b) on a 3 mm "rough" substrate, and of initially fluidized flows on (c) smooth substrate and (d) "rough" substrate. (Modified from Chedeville and Roche, 2014)
Fig. 1.17. Sequence of pictures taken from an experiment of column collapse. A: Initiation of the column at the conduit exit. B: Start of the column collapse. C: Impact of the dense collapsing column on the ground and initiation of the PDC. D: Propagation of the density current
Fig. 1.18. Experimental flows at ambient (A) and (B) temperature and heated (C) and (D). Time-averaged velocity fields are shown and depict the complexity in the rising buoyant plume. Modified from Andrews (2014)
Fig. 1.19. Experimental PDCs and example of depositional sequence. A: Initiation of the column collapse from the hopper. B: Sideview of a fully dilute and turbulent PDC. C: Sideview of the internal structure of a concentrated ash-cloud. D: Cross section through a dune-bedform generated in "dilute" experiments
Fig. 2.1. PELE components. A: Sketch of the PDC generator depicting the four main components (Tower, free-fall section, chute, flat section), modified from Lube et al., (2015). B: Overview photograph of the indoor setup. C: Overview photograph of the outdoor section.

Fig. 2.2. Sketch of hopper B and dimensions.

Fig. 2.3. Picture of one of the four 1.5t loadcells implemented to the hopper to measure the time-variant mass discharge rate. B: Mass discharged over time from the hopper B for a $0.5 \times 1.2 \text{m}^2$ area of discharge. 86

Fig. 2.4. Air piston that opens the trapdoor. B: Compressed air bottle with solenoid valve. C: the trapdoor opening mechanism.

Fig. 2.5. Velocity of the front of the collapsing column with distance from the trapdoors. The black dots are the experimental data and the black line is the free fall velocity predicted from Newton's law as $V = (2gh)^{0.5}$, where V is the velocity, g the gravitational acceleration (9.8 ms⁻²) and h is the drop height. 87

Fig. 2.6. Outcrop picture of the ash-rich Taupo ignimbrite near Hatepe. B: Grain-size distribution of the two facies use to create the initial experimental mixture. C: Outcrop photograph of the location where the Taupo ignimbrite facies is sampled near Taupo city. D: Median grain-size diameter versus sorting coefficient following Walker (1983) of the two deposits and path of the two-component mixture with different proportions of mixing. 90

Fig. 2.7. A: Grain-size distribution of the blend of 17% of the fines-rich Taupo ignimbrite facies with 83% of the Taupo ignimbrite. B: Averaged clast density versus grain-size of the 17-83% blend. 91

Fig. 2.8. Picture of the NAC HotShot 1280 high speed camera. B: Picture of the BasleracA2000-340km high speed camera. C: Casio Exilim EX-F1.93

Fig. 2.9. A: Sensor locations in the large-scale setup. B: Load-cell and pore pressure sensor installed into chute floor. C: Picture of the experimental setup with sediment samplers installed in vertical profiles. 95

Fig. 2.10. A: MEAS piezoresistive differential pressure transducer (154N-1G). B: MEAS DBBSM S-Beam load-cell transducer. C: 64 channel National Instruments data logger. D: Electronic board that amplifies the signal of the near-IR OBP608B sensor. E: Infrared sensor placed in a vertical profile. F: Glass cover slip protecting the sensor from impact and abrasion. 95

Fig. 2.11. Grayscale versus voltage measured by near-IR sensor. 0% gray corresponds to white and 100% would be black. 99

Fig. 2.12. A: Picture of the flow with three tubes installed. B: Close-up of the glass tube sampling particles. C: Calibration curve between the voltage of the IR-sensor and the particle volumetric concentration measured from the tubes.

Fig. 2.13. Estimates of Reynolds number (Re), Stokes number (S_T) and Stability number (\sum_T) for natural pyroclastic surges (dashed lines) and PELE large-scale experimental flows (grey box). Estimates of scaling parameters were compiled by (Burgisser et al., 2005). Comparison with recent large-scale experiments from Dellino et al. (2007) and talc experiments by Andrews (2014) is made (black solid line). Modified from Lube et al. (2015).

Fig. 3.4. Internal structure of experimental PDCs. a, vertical profiles of vorticity, horizontal and vertical velocity components, and particle concentration at 3.1 m from source during passage of the rear of the head. b, same as a at a runout distance of 10.6 m. Dotted and dashed lines demark interfaces between underflow (U), intermediate (CL) and high turbulence (CU) zones of the ash-cloud, respectively, with their depth-averaged Reynolds numbers given also. For the underflow, red solid lines show depth-averaged particle concentrations. Light-red bar indicates maxima (in the central part) and minima (at the base and top of underflow). 118

Fig. 4.2. Photographs of the experimental flows and internal velocity fields for various time steps. A: Photographs of the flow for 5 time steps. Note the progressive formation of a wedge-shape snout, and the appearance of an underflow layer underneath the ash-cloud. B: Longitudinal cross-sections of the advancing experimental PDC at four different times. Grey dotted line, grey dashed line, solid line, dotted line, grey area and black area demark upper flow boundary, a visible diffuse interface within the ash-cloud separating the wake from the

Fig. 5.5. Variation of the head geometry and the non-dimensional lower boundary velocity of the ash-cloud as a function of the densimetric head Froude number. A and B: Definition of the head ratio R is defined as equal to $H/2L_{1/2}$. Definition of the parameter noted A as the non-dimensional velocity at the lower ash-cloud boundary. C: Plot of A against the densimetric head Froude number. D: Plot of R against the densimetric Froude number (Fr') of the head. Data from the literature are from (1) Britter and Linden, 1980; (2) Simpson and Britter, 1979; (3) Sequeiros et al., 2010; (4) Maxworthy and Nokes, 2007; (5) Hacker et al., 1996; (6) Marino et al., 2005; (7) Nogueira et al., 2013; (8) Shin et al., 2004; (9) Gladstone et al., 2004.

Fig. 5.8. Velocity and concentration profiles versus the ash-cloud head densimetric Froude number. A: Ratio of the maximum flow height over the maximum height (Hp/H) of the head as a function of the Froude number. B: Maximum velocity over the depth-averaged velocity (Up/U_avg) as a function of the Froude number of the head. C: Height of the deflection point in the concentration profile over the total head height (Hc/H) as a function of the Froude number (Fr'). D: Ratio of the maximum concentration over the depth-averaged concentration (Cc/C_avg) in the middle of the head (where H was measured). The black lines are the fits to the data of the present study, with the equation and corresponding R^2 indicated. The red solid lines are the fits to saline and turbidity currents combined by Sequeiros et al. (2010), with the

Fig. 5.9. Schematic diagram of the ash-cloud forced to a supercritical behaviour by the underflow. The diagrams show the penetration from A to C of the underflow within the ashcloud and subsequent effects upon the ash-cloud head internal and outer structures. A: The underflow is lagging behind the ash-cloud head. The velocity of the ash-cloud front U_f is greater than the underflow front velocity U u. Velocity and concentration profiles through the middle of the head depict a thick inner boundary layer. Note the head of the ash-cloud with an aspect ratio Height/Length ~0.5. The head densimetric Froude number Fr' is near 1. B: The underflow front is within the ash-cloud head. The underflow is faster than the ashcloud and provides a velocity in the lower ash-cloud head, which affects the internal velocity and concentration profiles. The inner region of both velocity and concentration profiles shrinks while the underflow densifies near the lower boundary and accelerates. Coupling between underflow and ash-cloud yields the forced-supercriticality of the ash-cloud where Fr' of the head is >1. C: Similar to B, but the underflow and ash-cloud fronts are "merged". While the relative higher mobility of the underflow leads to scenarios from A to C, the reverse is also possible (C to A), where the underflow would slow down faster than the ashcloud (for instance on shallow slopes) and the latter would "decouple" from the underflow

Fig. 6.8. Impact craters: A: A 6m diameter crater within the high-density western part of the ballistic field. A': PDC deposit covering the crater, scale in cm. B: 3 m-wide crater in the eastern high-density impact area. B': PDC deposit (massive and stratified layer) inside and beside the crater. C: 2 m-wide crater in the northern ballistic field. C': Very thin laminated ash cover. D: 4 m ballistic crater with preserved block (circled) outside of the PDC inundation area WNW of the crater. E: Scattered ballistics blocks (highlighted) in a 4 m-wide crater in the western deposit area. F: Block deposited during the PDC emplacement. The Block impacted the massive PDC layer and is covered by the stratified layer. The flow direction (left to right) is indicating by the thinning of the top PDC layer behind the block.

Fig. 6.10. Calculated possible ballistic trajectories for the range of block sizes and density seen in the western high impact density zone using Eject! (Mastin, 2001). A: Relationship of crater diameter to type 1 block-diameter. B: Crater density profile on the westerly traverse (Fig. 6.7B) for 2.5–3.5 m diameter craters, showing the limits of the high-density zone (>650 craters m⁻²) between 1010 and 1350m from source. C: Calculation for velocities "v"=202 m s⁻¹ of the optimal angle 33° for blocks of 0.32m of diameter with a density 2.4 g cm⁻³ and

Fig. 7.2. Topographic profile along the main WNW PDC axis with the distribution of the main depositional facies and the locations of large dune fields also shown. B: Relative proportions of units A, B and C against distance from source. C: Deposit thickness against distance from source. Black, grey and dotted lines are exponential fits of the form e^{ax+b}242

Fig. 7.8. Strong change in PDC deposit facies across a 40 m high ridge at 1200 m from source. A: Overview sketch of different sampling locations across the ridge. B: Dune bedform which nucleated around vegetation and characterized by a rounded crest and shallow slopes; C: Dune bedform on the ridge crest that shows a lee length longer than the stoss

Fig. 7.11. Cartoons of the blast evolution with distance at Tongariro. After the burst phase, the pyroclastic mixture rapidly collapses and develops vertical and longitudinal density stratifications. Rapid deposition leads to the formation of unit A (A). At 500 m from source, a second unit B is deposited from the slower and more dilute zone of the flow (A). Dune bedforms are generated regularly on the stoss sides of five ridges located along the main flow axis. For illustrative purposes, unit A and B are represented in black and white respectively.

List of Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 3.1. Grain-size and particle density distributions. a: Grain-size distribution of the initial experimental mixture. b: density distribution of the natural volcanic particles composing the experimental mixture. The average particle density is 1950 kg m⁻³.

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Initial and boundary conditions of experiments and ranges of flow parameters at impact
Table 2.2. Flow measurements with associated sensors and measurement frequency
Table 2.3. Vertical spacing of the near-IR sensors from the channel base.
Table 2.4. Bulk flow scaling of natural dilute PDCs and PELE experimental currents, and comparison of non-dimensional deposit length scales. Natural PDC ranges are from Burgisser et al. (2005). 104
Table 2.5. Scaling parameters of natural concentrated PDCs (Natural PDCs) and for the dense underflow in the large-scale experiments. Natural PDC data are from Roche (2012)
Table 5.1. Experimental variables and resulting initial flow conditions at impact for the six different experiments. Impact velocities were measured from high-speed video footage, while the impact particle concentrations were estimated following the method of Lube et al., (2015).

Table 6. 1. Initial parameters used in the Eject! ballistic model
Table 6.2. Characteristics of the eastern and western fissures. Western and eastern PDC deposit volumes after Lube et al. (2014) are presented for comparison
Table 6.3. Proportions of different lithologies within and outside the eastern and western high-density impact area and the northern impact field

List of Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 3.1. Bulk flow scaling of natural dilute PDCs (Burgisser and Bergantz, 2005) and PELE experimental currents. *V* and L are characteristic velocity and length scales of the flow. μ corresponds to the dynamic viscosity of the flow. ρ is the mixture density and ρo is the ambient medium density. *D* is the particle diameter. * Estimates of the range of negative values of the Richardson number corresponding to hot PDCs with buoyancy reversal is based on the study of volcanic plume (Carazzo et al., 2015) and PDCs (Dufek, 2016).

Supplementary Table 4.1. Bulk flow scaling of natural dilute PDCs (Burgisser and Bergantz, 2005) and PELE experimental currents. Where U and L are characteristic velocity and length scales of the flow. μ corresponds to the dynamic viscosity of the flow. ρ is the mixture density. ρ is the flow density and ρo is the ambient medium density. D is the particle diameter. * Estimates of the range of negative values of the Richardson number corresponding to hot PDCs with buoyancy reversal is based on the study of volcanic plume (Carazzo et al., 2015) and PDCs (Dufek, 2016).

Supplementary Table 5.2. Dense underflow scaling of Natural dilute PDCs (Burgisser and Bergantz, 2005) and PELE experimental currents. With ρp and ρg the particle and gas density, γ the shear rate, *d* the particle diameter, *hc* the current height, g the acceleration of gravity. D is the particle diameter. c denotes the flow concentration during propagation, c_0 is the maximum concentration at loose packing, γ is the shear rate. k is the permeability of the granular medium. Rep is the particle Reynolds number defined as follows: Re_p = 2DU $\rho s / \mu$.