

**Generational Differences in Work Values, Work-Related Outcomes
and Person-Organisation Values Fit**

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

in

Psychology

at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand.

LUCY K. CENNAMO

2005

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr Dianne Gardner, for her continuous help and invaluable feedback through this process. Her support and willingness to respond so readily to requests for advice – irrespective of her own hectic schedule – were greatly appreciated.

I would also like to thank my workplace, QED Services Limited, for their help and patience. The flexibility and ongoing support provided made this year much more manageable and enjoyable.

Thanks also go to Dr Richard Fletcher, Dr Stuart Carr and Dr Steve Atkins in the Psychology Department for always being helpful and keen to provide additional advice when needed. I am also grateful to Martin Adams and Rita Barnes for their good suggestions and guidance. Also, a big thanks goes to Tamara Packman for proofreading.

I would also like to acknowledge the organisations that provided me with access to staff and were so enthusiastic about this project from the outset. Without them this study would not have been possible. I am also thankful for the cooperation of the respondents who selflessly took the time to participate in the research.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for being so encouraging and understanding, and also humouring me through this process. In particular, I acknowledge my late grandfather who believed that I could go this far and made every effort to get me here.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Why Study Generational Differences in New Zealand?.....	4
1.2 Values	7
1.2.1 Definitions	7
1.3 Work Values	8
1.3.1 Definitions	8
1.3.2 Structure and Measurement of Work Values.....	9
1.3.3 Relevance of Values to the Study of Work	12
1.4 Generations	14
1.4.1 Definitions and Theoretical Background.....	14
1.4.2 The Development of Values in Generational Groups.....	16
1.4.3 Defining Generational Boundaries	19
1.5 Generations and Work Values	23
1.5.1 Matures: Born 1925-1945.....	23
1.5.2 Baby Boomers: Born 1946-1961	25
1.5.3 Generation X: Born 1962-1979	28
1.5.4 Baby Boom Echo: Born 1980-2000	31
1.5.5 Summary of the Research Findings into Generational and Age-Related Work Values	34
1.6 Generations and Work-Related Outcomes	35
1.6.1 Definitions	35
1.6.2 Age and Job Satisfaction	37
1.6.3 Age and Affective Organisational Commitment	38
1.6.4 Age and Intention to Leave.....	39
1.7 Work Values, Generations, Work-Related Outcomes and Person-Organisation Values Fit	40
1.7.1 Definitions and Measurement of P-O Values Fit	40
1.7.2 The Process of P-O Values Fit.....	42
1.7.3 Generations and P-O Values Fit	43
1.7.4 Building the Model of P-O Values Fit.....	45
1.7.5 Age as a Moderator of P-O Values Fit	51

2.0 METHOD	53
2.1 Selection of the Scales	53
2.1.1 Work Values	53
2.1.2 Person-Organisation Values Fit.....	57
2.1.3 Work-Related Outcomes	58
2.1.4 Demographics	61
2.2 Procedure	61
2.2.1 Development of Web-Based and Pencil and Paper Versions of the Questionnaire	61
2.2.2 Data Collection	62
2.3 Data Analysis.....	64
2.3.1 Data Screening.....	65
2.3.2 Representativeness of the Study Sample	66
2.3.3 Preliminary Analyses.....	66
2.3.4 Bivariate Analyses	69
2.3.5 Multivariate Analyses.....	69
2.3.6 Structural Equation Modelling	70
2.3.7 Model Testing for Invariance across Age.....	71
3.0 RESULTS	72
3.1 Sample Characteristics.....	72
3.1.2 Representativeness of the Study Sample	75
3.2 Preliminary Analyses.....	76
3.2.1 Generational Work Values Scale-Individual	76
3.2.2 Generational Work Values Scale-Organisational.....	77
3.2.3 Person-Organisation Values Fit.....	77
3.2.4 Work-Related Outcomes	78
3.3 Bivariate Correlations.....	82
3.4. Hypothesis Testing	86
3.4.1 Generational Differences in Work Values.....	86
3.4.2 Generational Differences in Work-Related Outcomes	89
3.4.3 Generational Differences in P-O Values Fit.....	90
3.5 Structural Equation Modelling	92
3.5.1 Significance of Regression Weights.....	93
3.5.2 Fit Statistics for Model 1 and Model 2	95

3.5.3 Summary of the Relationships between Variables in the Proposed Model	95
3.6 Model Testing for Invariance across Age	96
3.6.1. Summary of Invariance Testing Across Age	98
4.0 DISCUSSION	99
4.1 The Present Research	99
4.2 Research Findings	100
4.2.1 Generational Differences in Work Values	100
4.2.2 Generational Differences in Work-Related Outcomes	106
4.2.3 Generational Differences in P-O Values Fit	109
4.2.4 Modelling Overall P-O Values Fit and Work-Related Outcomes	111
4.2.5 Age as a Moderator of P-O Values Fit.....	113
4.3 Limitations of the Study and Directions for Future Research	114
4.4 Practical Implications.....	117
4.5 Concluding Comments.....	120
5.0 REFERENCES.....	121

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Date boundaries and names used to describe generations in previous studies.....	151
APPENDIX B: Research questionnaire for the present study.....	153
APPENDIX C: Work values scales reviewed for Lyons's (2004) 'Work Values Scale'..	163
APPENDIX D: Hypothesised factor structure and associated work value items for the 'Generational Work Values Scale' (2005).....	165
APPENDIX E: An example from a section of the web-based version of the questionnaire.....	167
APPENDIX F: Consent form for organisations to be involved in the present research.....	169
APPENDIX G: Additional information about online data collection.....	171
APPENDIX H: Email introduction for web-based data collection.....	173
APPENDIX I: Questionnaire advertisement wall-poster.....	175
APPENDIX J: Participant information sheet (web-based version).....	177
APPENDIX K: Participant information sheet (pencil and paper-based version).....	180
APPENDIX L: Discussion of the purposes and advantages of structural equation modelling.....	183

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Classification of generational groups used in the present study (Lyons, 2004).....	22
Table 2: Sample demographic characteristics	74
Table 3: Comparison of gender and age characteristics between the current sample and data from Statistics New Zealand (2001, 2005)	75
Table 4: Descriptive statistics for the study variables	81
Table 5: Correlation matrix showing the relationships between study variables	84
Table 6: Means and standard deviations of individual work values scores for the generational groups	87
Table 7: Mean differences between generational groups on outcome variables	90
Table 8: Mean differences between generational groups according to P-O values fit	91
Table 9: Critical ratio (C.R.) values (parameter estimates divided by standard error) of the regression paths in Model 1	93
Table 10: Critical ratio (C.R.) values (parameter estimates divided by standard error) of the regression paths in Model 1 when specified with non-significant regression path removed	94
Table 11: Fit indices for Model 1 and Model 2. P-O values Fit and outcomes (job satisfaction, affective organisational commitment and intention to leave).....	95
Table 12: Chi-Square statistics for tests of invariance across older and younger groups	97
Table 13: Goodness-of-fit statistics for the baseline and comparative models	98
Table C-1: Work values scales reviewed for Lyons's (2004) 'Work Values Scale'	164
Table D-1: Hypothesised factor structure for the 'Generational Work Values Scale' (2005)...	166

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Process of P-O values fit adapted from the Theory of Work Adjustment (Dawis & Lofquist, 1984)	43
Figure 2: The interplay between individual and organisational values (Miller & Yu, 2003)	44
Figure 3: The hypothesised relationship between P-O values fit and job satisfaction	45
Figure 4: The hypothesised relationship between P-O values fit and affective organisational commitment	46
Figure 5: The hypothesised relationship between P-O values fit and intention to leave.....	47
Figure 6: The hypothesised relationship between job satisfaction and affective organisational commitment.	48
Figure 7: The hypothesised relationship between job satisfaction, affective organisational commitment, and intention to leave.....	49
Figure 8: The hypothesised mediated relationship between overall P-O values fit, job satisfaction, affective organisational commitment and intention to leave.....	50
Figure 9: Model 1. The hypothesised relationships between P-O values fit, job satisfaction, affective organisational commitment and intention to leave	51
Figure 10: Model 1. Overall P-O values fit and work-related outcomes (latent variables only) .	92
Figure 11: Model 2. SEM results of the P-O values fit and work-related outcomes model.....	94
Figure 12: Model 3. The model tested for invariance across age groups (latent variables only).	97