Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Development of a Shotgun Lipidomics Approach for Analysis of Lipids in Perennial Ryegrass

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Chemistry

at Massey University,

Manawatu, New Zealand.

Thomas David Featonby 2015

Abstract

Mass Spectrometry is a powerful analytical tool which is used for identification and quantitation of compounds within samples for a variety of sample matrices. One example of this is to look into the lipid profile (lipidome) of perennial ryegrass (*lolium perenne*). The lipid profile tells us many things about the inner workings of rye grass which can lead to better understanding of mechanisms behind desirable traits (such as lipid quantity and composition). Traditional high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is the most widely used chromatographic technique when researching into the lipidome of different plants (Burgos et al., 2011; Chen, Markham, & Cahoon, 2012; Degenkolbe et al., 2012). Shotgun lipidomics applies major principles of the traditional methods but differs in the delivery of the sample to the Mass Spectrometer and data analysis; providing considerable advantages, disadvantages and challenges.

A shotgun lipidomics method for analysing the lipids in perennial ryegrass has been developed. This involved first determining the most efficient extraction protocol and then establishing a methodology (based on one found in the literature for animal samples) for shotgun lipidomic analysis of perennial ryegrass. The shotgun data was problematic to analyse using traditional methods so LCMS data was investigated and the results were transferred to the shotgun data. Investigation was conducted to find the limiting factor for the analysis of the shotgun data. This limiting factor was found to be pheophytin a and other chlorophyll derivatives. The high abundance and ion suppression effects attributed to pheophytin a and other chlorophyll derivatives

contributed to unfavourable conditions for analysing the lipidome of perennial ryegrass. The major outcomes of this study are the annotation of 118 lipids in perennial ryegrass using LCMS, with 27 of those being found in the shotgun data also and also the understanding of the limitations of using shotgun techniques for perennial ryegrass. With this understanding further research can be conducted to enhance the methodologies detailed herein.

Acknowledgements

I would like thank my two supervisors, Dr. David Harding and Dr. Karl Fraser, without whom I would not have been able to complete this thesis. You have both been amazingly supportive and encouraging throughout the process and I really thank you both for that. It has been quite a challenging time but your confidence in me really helped to get it done.

I also need to thank AgResearch for their financial support. It would have been a much harder decision if I had to pay for it myself so thank you to both Karl for backing me and to Tony Conner for giving the green light.

To my family and friends (including a special thank you to Ben Munro for your help), your support and faith in me has driven me to succeed so I thank you all for that.

To Amy, thank you for all your love and support, without it I would not have had the fortitude to complete this study. Thank you for your patience and your unwavering support throughout, it has been a huge help. Thank you for looking after Ferg so I could achieve this goal. To Fergus, thank you for being such a source of joy and happiness in my life. This Masters is not only for me but it is dedicated to you and your mum too. I hope you gain inspiration from this so you can also achieve the goals you have in your life.

Contents

Abs	tract			i
Acknowledgements			iii	
Contents		iv		
List	of F	igures		vi
List	of T	ables		ix
List	of A	bbrevia	tions	X
1	Intr	oduction	ı	1
	1.1	Lipids		1
		1.1.1	The dairy industry and milk lipids	1
		1.1.2	Sheep and beef meat lipids	6
		1.1.3	Perennial ryegrass lipids	7
	1.2	Mass S	spectrometry	10
		1.2.1	Introduction	10
		1.2.2	Sample delivery system	10
		1.2.3	Chromatography	10
		1.2.4	Source	13
		1.2.5	Detector	16
		1.2.6	Q Exactive™ Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass	
			Spectrometer (Used in this Masters project)	18
		1.2.7	Fragmentation	21
	1.3	Lipido	mics	21
		1.3.1	Introduction	21
		1.3.2	Lipids	22
		1.3.3	Instrumentation	28
		1.3.4	Fragmentation patterns of lipids	29
		1.3.5	Applications	30
	1.4	Aim of	thesis	31
2	Materials & Methods			32
	2.1 Materials			

	2.2	Method	ls	33
		2.2.1	Lipid extraction test	33
		2.2.2	Mass shifting	35
		2.2.3	Direct infusion/Shotgun	36
		2.2.4	HPLC-MS	36
		2.2.5	Mass spectrometer settings	36
		2.2.6	Data analysis	39
3	Results & Discussion			40
	3.1	Lipid e	xtraction test	40
	3.2	Laborat	tory procedures	40
	3.3	3 Interpretation of chromatographic results		41
	3.4	Shotgun method development and analysis		43
		3.4.1	Mass shifting	43
		3.4.2	Direct infusion/shotgun	47
		3.4.3	Automated LMSD Full MS search	48
		3.4.4	LipidSearch	50
		3.4.5	Comparing LipidSearch results to Shotgun data	53
		3.4.6	Annotation of m/z 871.57	54
		3.4.7	Investigation of pheophytin a like structures	64
4	Cor	nclusion		68
Re	ferenc	ce List		70
Ap	pendi	x 1 Lipi	dSearch Results	75

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Ideal values of fatty acids as determined by the Wisconsin Milk	
	Board 1988 Milk Fat Roundtable compared to typical values.	
	(Grummer, 1991)	3
Figure 1.2	Chemical pathway of Biohydrogenation of linoleic and linolenic acid	
	in the rumen. (Lock & Bauman, 2004)	4
Figure 1.3	Visual diagram of perennial ryegrass. (Anderton, 2009)	8
Figure 1.4	Basic diagram of a liquid chromatography pump system.	
	(Crawford Scientific, 2012)	12
Figure 1.5	Diagram of electrospray ionisation. (Lamond Laboratory, 2010)	14
Figure 1.6	Expanded diagram of a quadrupole. True form of the quadrupole	
	has the rods very close together (opposite poles are closer together	
	than the diameter of a single rod) and rods must be exactly parallel.	
	(Henderson and McIndoe, 2005)	15
Figure 1.7	Thermo Scientific's Orbitrap. (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2015)	17
Figure 1.8	Thermo Scientific Q Exactive (top). Bottom shows inner working of	
	the instrument. From the right hand side following the path of the	
	ions is the S-lens (A), the quadrupole (B), the C-trap (C), the HCD	
	collision cell (D) and finally the Orbitrap (E).	
	(Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2011)	19
Figure 1.9	Biological functions of lipids in the cell. (Bou Khalil et al., 2010)	22
Figure 1.10	Examples of the most common lipid classes.	
	(Blanksby & Mitchell, 2010)	24
Figure 1.11	Examples of the 14 sub classes of fatty acids. A-Fatty acids and	
	conjugates. B-Octadecanoids. C-Eicosanoids. D-Docosanoids.	
	E-Fatty alcohols. F-Fatty aldehydes. G-Fatty esters. H-Fatty amides.	
	I-Fatty nitriles. J-Fatty ethers. K-Hydrocarbons. L-Oxygenated	
	hydrocarbons. M-Fatty acyl glycosides. N-Other fatty acyls.	
	(Bou Khalil et al., 2010)	26

Figure 1.12	The major sub classes of glycerophospholipids where R_1 and R_2 are	
	hydrocarbon chains. A-Glycerophosphocholine.	
	B- Glycerophosphoethanolamine. C- Glycerophosphoserine.	
	D- Glycerophosphoglycerol. E- Glycerophosphoglycerophosphate.	
	F- Glycerophosphoinositol. G- Glycerophosphoinositol	
	monophosphate. (Bou Khalil et al., 2010)	27
Figure 1.13	PE class lipid and possible points of fragmentation depicted using	
	dashed lines.	30
Figure 2.1	Solvent gradient profile used in the HPLC-MS experiment.	37
Figure 3.1	Total Ion Chromatograms of the four samples compared in the lipid	
	extraction test.	42
Figure 3.2	PE class lipid and the theorised amino group protection reaction	
	using Fmoc.	44
Figure 3.3	PS class lipid and the theorised amino group protection reaction	
	using d_6 -DMBNHS ester.	46
Figure 3.4	Visual representation of steps taken to confirm an annotation in	
	both the R script and LipidSearch Results. Above shows	
	lysophosphotidylcholine(16:0). Top shows the typical headgroup	
	fragments and bottom shows the loss of a C16:0 hydrocarbon chain.	52
Figure 3.5	Top spectra is the Full MS scan of the shotgun data showing the high	
	abundance of 871.57 m/z . Bottom chromatogram shows (as indicated	
	with a star symbol) the peak at 9.09 minutes which is the 871.57 m/z	
	peak.	55
Figure 3.6	Fragmentation pattern (MS2 spectra) of mass $871.57 m/z$ in the LCMS	5
	experiment. Note: MS2 spectra of the 871.57 mass using the shotgun	
	data was almost identical, but did have a noisier baseline so the	
	'cleaner' spectra was used.	56
Figure 3.7	Experimental isotope pattern of mass 871.57 as seen in rye grass	
	lipid extract.	58
Figure 3.8	Structural representation of pheophytin a.	
	(Royal Society of Chemistry, 2014a)	60
Figure 3.9	Pheophytin a, highlighting fragment losses which align to MS2	
	results (Royal Society of Chemistry 2014a)	61

Figure 3.10	Comparison of the MS2 fragmentation of mass 871.57 m/z	
	experimental (top) and found in literature (Goericke et al., 2000)	
	(bottom).	63
Figure 3.11	Comparison of pheophorbides pheophytin a (left) and chlorophyll a	
	(right). (Royal Society of Chemistry, 2014a and 2014b)	64
Figure 3.12	MS2 spectra of mass 893.54 m/z, tentatively annotated as chlorophyll	a
	showing the familiar fragmentation pattern as that of 871.57 m/z but	
	shifted to a higher mass.	66

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Indicative losses calculated or seen in lipid extracts using mass	
	spectral techniques.	49
Table 3.2	Isotope intensities of both search results for mass $871.57 m/z$ in	
	neutral form.	58
Table 3.3	Isotope deviation calculations showing the deviation between	
	the calculated and the experimental/observed isotope peaks.	59

List of Abbreviations

BP Before present

C Choline

CID Collision-induced dissociation

CLA Conjugated linoleic acid

CVD Cardiovascular disease

 d_6 -DMBNHS d_6 -S,S'-Dimethylthiobutanoylhydroxysuccinimide ester

dd-MS2 Data dependent MS2

DG Diglycerol

DGDG Digalactosyldiacylglycerol

DIA Direct injection analysis

DMF Dimethyl formamide

E Ethylamine

EIC Extracted ion chromatogram

ESI Electrospray ionisation

Fmoc-Cl Fmoc chloride

GC Gas chromatography

HESI Heated electrospray ionisation

HILIC Hydrophilic interaction chromatography

HPLC High performance liquid chromatography

HPLC-MS High performance liquid chromatography mass spectrometry

I Inositol

IPA Isopropyl alcohol

LCMS Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry

LMSD LIPID MAPS Structure Database

LPC Lysophosphotidylcholine

m/z Mass to charge ratio

MALDI Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation

MG Monoglyceride

MGMG Monogalactosylmonoacylglycerol

MS Mass spectrometry

MS2 Fragmentation of an ion or ions. MS1 is a Full MS scan, where

MS2 is the fragmented spectra of ions, which can be targeted

or non-targeted depending on experiment and mass

spectrometer used.

MTBE Methyl tert-butyl ether

MUFA Monounsaturated fatty acid

NPLC Normal phase liquid chromatography

P680 Chlorophyll P680

PA Phosphatidic acid

PC Phosphocholine

PE Phosphoenthanolamine

PG Phosphoglycerol

PI Phosphoinositol

PUFA Polyunsaturated fatty acid

PS phosphoserine

PS II Photosystem II

RPLC Reverse phase liquid chromatography

S Serine

SFA Saturated fatty acid

TEA Triethylamine

TLC Thin layer chromatography

TOF Time of flight

UCD University of California, Davis