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Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $k$. Let $r_{\lambda}(n)$ denote the number of solutions in integers of $\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x_{m}^{2}=n$, and let $t_{\lambda}(n)$ denote the number of solutions in non negative integers of $\lambda_{1} x_{1}\left(x_{1}+1\right) / 2+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x_{m}\left(x_{m}+1\right) / 2=n$. We prove that if $1 \leq k \leq 7$, then there is a constant $c_{\lambda}$, depending only on $\lambda$, such that $r_{\lambda}(8 n+k)=c_{\lambda} t_{\lambda}(n)$, for all integers $n$.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ be a partition of $k$. That is, $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}$ are integers satisfying $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq$ $\lambda_{m}>0, \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m}=k$. For any integer $n$, let $r_{\lambda}(n)$ denote the number of solutions in integers of

$$
\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x_{m}^{2}=n
$$

and let $t_{\lambda}(n)$ denote the number of solutions in non negative integers of

$$
\lambda_{1} \frac{x_{1}\left(x_{1}+1\right)}{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} \frac{x_{m}\left(x_{m}+1\right)}{2}=n .
$$

The generating functions for $r_{\lambda}(n)$ and $t_{\lambda}(n)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{\lambda}(n) q^{n}=\phi\left(q^{\lambda_{1}}\right) \cdots \phi\left(q^{\lambda_{m}}\right) \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{\lambda}(n) q^{n}=\psi\left(q^{\lambda_{1}}\right) \cdots \psi\left(q^{\lambda_{m}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\phi(q)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j^{2}}, \quad \psi(q)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(j+1) / 2}
$$

Observe that if $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{m}=1$, then $r_{\lambda}(n)$ (resp. $t_{\lambda}(n)$ ) is the number of representations of $n$ as a sum of $m$ squares (resp. $m$ triangular numbers).

[^0]The purpose of this article is to present the following result.

## Theorem 1

If $1 \leq k \leq 7$ and $\lambda$ is a partition of $k$, then there exists a constant $c_{\lambda}$, depending only on $\lambda$, such that

$$
r_{\lambda}(8 n+k)=c_{\lambda} t_{\lambda}(n),
$$

for all integers $n$. Setting $n=0$ we see that the value of $c_{\lambda}$ is given by $c_{\lambda}=r_{\lambda}(k)$.

## 2 Examples

1. Let $d_{i, j}(n)$ denote the number of divisors $d$ of $n$ with $d \equiv i(\bmod j)$. ¿From (7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{(2,1)}(n) & =2\left(d_{1,8}(n)+d_{3,8}(n)-d_{5,8}(n)-d_{7,8}(n)\right), \\
r_{(3,1)}(n) & =2\left(d_{1,3}(n)-d_{2,3}(n)\right)+4\left(d_{4,12}(n)-d_{8,12}(n)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

while (1) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{(2,1)}(n) & =d_{1,8}(8 n+3)-d_{7,8}(8 n+3), \\
t_{(3,1)}(n) & =d_{1,6}(2 n+1)-d_{5,6}(2 n+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $d_{1,8}(8 n+3)=d_{3,8}(8 n+3)$ and $d_{5,8}(8 n+3)=d_{7,8}(8 n+3)$. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{(2,1)}(8 n+3) \\
& \quad=2\left(d_{1,8}(8 n+3)+d_{3,8}(8 n+3)-d_{5,8}(8 n+3)-d_{7,8}(8 n+3)\right) \\
& =4\left(d_{1,8}(8 n+3)-d_{7,8}(8 n+3)\right) \\
& =4 t_{(2,1)}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is Theorem 1 for the partition $\lambda=(2,1)$, and $c_{(2,1)}=4$.
Similarly, observe that

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{4,12}(8 n+4)=d_{1,3}(2 n+1), \quad d_{8,12}(8 n+4)=d_{2,3}(2 n+1), \\
d_{1,3}(8 n+4)-d_{2,3}(8 n+4)=d_{1,3}(2 n+1)-d_{2,3}(2 n+1),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
d_{1,3}(2 n+1)=d_{1,6}(2 n+1), \quad d_{2,3}(2 n+1)=d_{5,6}(2 n+1) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{(3,1)}(8 n+4) \\
& =2\left(d_{1,3}(8 n+4)-d_{2,3}(8 n+4)\right)+4\left(d_{4,12}(8 n+4)-d_{8,12}(8 n+4)\right) \\
& =2\left(d_{1,3}(2 n+1)-d_{2,3}(2 n+1)\right)+4\left(d_{1,3}(2 n+1)-d_{2,3}(2 n+1)\right) \\
& =6\left(d_{1,3}(2 n+1)-d_{2,3}(2 n+1)\right) \\
& =6\left(d_{1,6}(2 n+1)-d_{5,6}(2 n+1)\right) \\
& =6 t_{(3,1)}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is Theorem 1 for the partition $\lambda=(3,1)$, and $c_{(3,1)}=6$.
These examples motivated us to discover Theorem 1.
2. Let $\lambda=(1, \cdots, 1)$ be the partition consisting of $k$ 's. In this case $r_{\lambda}(n)=r_{k}(n)$ and $t_{\lambda}(n)=t_{k}(n)$, where $r_{k}(n)$ and $t_{k}(n)$ are the number of representations of $n$ as a sum of $k$ squares, and as a sum of $k$ triangular numbers, respectively. Then it was shown in (2), (3) that

$$
r_{k}(8 n+k)=2^{k-1}\left\{2+\binom{k}{4}\right\} t_{k}(n)
$$

for all $n$, provided $1 \leq k \leq 7$. Thus $c_{(1, \cdots, 1)}=2^{k-1}\left\{2+\binom{k}{4}\right\}$.
3. We conclude with tables listing the values of the constants $c_{\lambda}$ :

| $\lambda$ | $(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 |$\quad$| $\lambda$ | $(2)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 | 4 |$\quad$| $\lambda$ | $(3)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 | 4 | 8 |


| $\lambda$ | $(4)$ | $(3,1)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(2,1,1)$ | $(1,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 24 |


| $\lambda$ | $(5)$ | $(4,1)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,1,1)$ | $(2,2,1)$ | $(2,1,1,1)$ | $(1,1,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 8 | 40 | 112 |


| $\lambda$ | $(6)$ | $(5,1)$ | $(4,2)$ | $(4,1,1)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(3,2,1)$ | $(3,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 40 |


| $(2,2,2)$ | $(2,2,1,1)$ | $(2,1,1,1,1)$ | $(1,1,1,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 32 | 144 | 544 |


| $\lambda$ | $(7)$ | $(6,1)$ | $(5,2)$ | $(5,1,1)$ | $(4,3)$ | $(4,2,1)$ | $(4,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\lambda}$ | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 16 |


| $(3,3,1)$ | $(3,2,2)$ | $(3,2,1,1)$ | $(3,1,1,1,1)$ | $(2,2,2,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 8 | 40 | 112 | 16 |


| $(2,2,1,1,1)$ | $(2,1,1,1,1,1)$ | $(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | 544 | 2368 |

## 3 Technique of proof

We illustrate the technique of proof by proving Theorem 1 for the case
$\lambda=(3,2,1,1)$. Proofs for all the other partitions are similar, and in most cases simpler. The proofs all make use of various parts of the following lemma.

## Lemma

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(q)=\phi\left(q^{4}\right)+2 q \psi\left(q^{8}\right) \\
\phi(q)^{2}=\phi\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}+4 q \psi\left(q^{4}\right)^{2}, \\
\phi(q) \psi\left(q^{2}\right)=\psi(q)^{2} \\
\psi(q) \psi\left(q^{3}\right)=\phi\left(q^{6}\right) \psi\left(q^{4}\right)+q \psi\left(q^{12}\right) \phi\left(q^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof

The first three parts can be obtained by combining various results in (5, p. 40, Entry 25). See (2) for the specific details. A proof of the fourth part is given in (5, p. 69 , Eq. (36.8)) or (6,

Preliminary lemmas, part (xxxiii)).

Proof of Theorem 1 in the case $\lambda=(3,2,1,1)$
Using the generating function for $r_{(3,2,1,1)}(n)$ and the first two parts of the Lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{(3,2,1,1)}(n) q^{n} \\
= & \phi\left(q^{3}\right) \phi\left(q^{2}\right) \phi(q)^{2} \\
= & {\left[\phi\left(q^{12}\right)+2 q^{3} \psi\left(q^{24}\right)\right]\left[\phi\left(q^{8}\right)+2 q^{2} \psi\left(q^{16}\right)\right]\left[\phi\left(q^{4}\right)+2 q \psi\left(q^{8}\right)\right]^{2} } \\
= & {\left[\phi\left(q^{48}\right)+2 q^{12} \psi\left(q^{96}\right)+2 q^{3} \psi\left(q^{24}\right)\right]\left[\phi\left(q^{8}\right)+2 q^{2} \psi\left(q^{16}\right)\right] } \\
& \times\left[\phi\left(q^{4}\right)^{2}+4 q \phi\left(q^{4}\right) \psi\left(q^{8}\right)+4 q^{2} \psi\left(q^{8}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & {\left[\phi\left(q^{48}\right)+2 q^{12} \psi\left(q^{96}\right)+2 q^{3} \psi\left(q^{24}\right)\right]\left[\phi\left(q^{8}\right)+2 q^{2} \psi\left(q^{16}\right)\right] } \\
& \times\left[\phi\left(q^{8}\right)^{2}+4 q^{4} \psi\left(q^{16}\right)^{2}+4 q\left(\phi\left(q^{16}\right)+2 q^{4} \psi\left(q^{32}\right)\right) \psi\left(q^{8}\right)+4 q^{2} \psi\left(q^{8}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Extract the terms in which the power of $q$ is congruent to $7(\bmod 8)$, divide by $q^{7}$ and replace $q^{8}$ by $q$, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & r_{(3,2,1,1)}(8 n+7) q^{n}=16 \phi\left(q^{6}\right) \psi\left(q^{4}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q) \\
& +16 q \psi\left(q^{12}\right) \phi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)+8 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \phi(q)+16 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now use the third and fourth parts of the Lemma to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{(3,2,1,1)}(8 n+7) q^{n} \\
= & 16\left[\phi\left(q^{6}\right) \psi\left(q^{4}\right)+q \psi\left(q^{12}\right) \phi\left(q^{2}\right)\right] \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)+8 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right)\left[\phi(q) \psi\left(q^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+16 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)^{2} \\
= & 16 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)^{2}+8 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)^{2}+16 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)^{2} \\
= & 40 \psi\left(q^{3}\right) \psi\left(q^{2}\right) \psi(q)^{2} \\
= & 40 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{(3,2,1,1)}(n) q^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem 1 for the partition $\lambda=(3,2,1,1)$, and we see that $c_{(3,2,1,1)}=40$.

## 4 Concluding remarks

If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ is a partition of $k=8$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)=1$, then it is straightforward to verify, by checking each partition one at a time, that there does not exist a constant $c_{\lambda}$ such that $r_{\lambda}(8 n+8)=c_{\lambda} t_{\lambda}(n)$ for all $n$. We conjecture that Theorem 1 does not hold for any partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ of $k$, for which $k \geq 8$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)=1$. This conjecture is known to be true when $\lambda_{1}=\cdots \lambda_{m}=1, m \geq 8$; see (4).
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