

**AN ERROR ANALYSIS ON ENGLISH WRITING MADE BY
SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMK MUHAMMADIYAH
KARTASURA**



RESEARCH PAPER

**Submitted as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements For Getting
the Bachelor Degree of Education in English Department**

By :

Wasilah

NIM : A. 320 970 091

NIRM : 97.6.106.13031.5.0091

**SCHOOL OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURAKARTA**

2004

**AN ERROR ANALYSIS ON ENGLISH WRITING MADE BY
SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMK MUHAMMADIYAH
KARTASURA**

Accepted by the Board of Examiners
School of Teacher Training and Education
Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta

Team of Examiners :

1. Dra Endang Fauziati, M. Hum

2. Drs. M. Thoyibi

3. Drs. Abdillah Nugroho, M. Hum

Dean,

(Drs. Bambang Sumardjoko, M.pd)

MOTTO

- *Allah never gives us an insoluble problem, believe in him whenever, and wherever you are.*
- *Be grateful for a challenge, because it will develop your character, and creativity. (Proverb)*

DEDICATION

This research is dedicated to :

My beloved father, A. Munawir Awam SH.

My beloved mother, Shalehah

My beloved brothers and sister :

M. Idris, Nasrul, Hobir, Akin, and Tika

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillahirobbil'alamin, first and foremost my gratitude goes to Allah SWT for blessing and inspiration leading her thesis entitled AN ERROR ANALYSIS ON ENGLISH WRITING MADE BY SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMK MUHAMMADIYAH KARTASURA to the completion.

In this good opportunity, the writer wishes to reveal her special gratitude to :

1. Dra. Endang Fauziati. M. Hum. As the consultant who gives her guidance, advices, suggestions, information and correction, from the beginning the preparation of writing thesis till the end of this thesis.
2. Drs. Bambang Sumardjoko. M.Pd. as the dean of school of Teacher Training and Education of Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
3. All the lectures of English department, especially Drs. M. Thoyibi, M.S. and Drs. Abdillah Nugroho, M.Hum as the examiners of this thesis.
4. The Head Master of SMK Muhammadiyah Kartasura, who had allowed her to carry out the research in his school, and. And unforgettable thanks to the second year students of SMK Muhammadiyah Kartasura who help me in getting the data.
5. Librarians of UMS for their help in providing the reference books.
6. Finally, her deepest gratitude is dedicated to her beloved parents, brothers and sister who have given motivation, moral support, and prays for the writer throughout her entire study. Her appreciation also goes to the best friends in

campus, especially: Santi, Ranti, Ririn, Eny, Etik, Eki, Ifah, Yanti, Wiwik and all of my friends in KPMKB and all of my friends who can not be mentioned in this thesis.

Surakarta, 5 September 2003

Wasilah

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|---|------|
| TITLE | i |
| APPROVAL | ii |
| ACCEPTANCE | iii |
| MOTTO | iv |
| DEDICATION | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | viii |
| SUMMARY | x |
| CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION | |
| A. Background of the Study | 1 |
| B. Literature Review | 3 |
| C. Research Problems | 5 |
| D. Objective of the Study | 5 |
| E. Benefit of the Study | 5 |
| F. Paper Organization | 6 |
| CHAPTER II: UNDERLYING THEORY | |
| A. Error Analysis | 8 |
| 1. The Notion of Error Analysis | 8 |
| 2. Contribution of Error Analysis to Language Teaching | 9 |
| 3. Mistake and Error | 11 |
| B. Procedure of Error Analysis | 12 |
| 1. Error Identification | 12 |
| 2. Error Description | 13 |
| a. Error Type Based on Linguistic Category..... | 14 |
| b. Surface Strategy Taxonomy | 15 |
| c. Comparative Taxonomy | 18 |
| d. Communicative Effect Taxonomy | 20 |
| 3. Error Explanation | 21 |

| | |
|---|----|
| a. Interlingual Errors | 22 |
| b. Intralingual Errors | 24 |
| c. Context of Learning | 26 |
| C. Remedial Teaching | 27 |
| D. Error Analysis and Remedial Teaching | 28 |
| CHAPTER III : RESEARCH METHODS | |
| A. Type of Research | 30 |
| B. Data and Data sources | 30 |
| C. Elicitation Technique | 30 |
| D. Method of Analysing Data | 31 |
| E. Propose of Remedial Teaching | 33 |
| CHAPTER IV : RESEARCH FINDING | |
| A. Type of Errors Based on Linguistic Category and Surface Strategy taxonomy | 34 |
| 1. Use of Present Tense | 35 |
| 2. Use of Simple Past Tense | 40 |
| 3. Use of Preposition | 42 |
| 4. Use of Pronoun | 44 |
| 5. Use of Article | 45 |
| 6. Use of Auxiliary | 47 |
| 7. Use of Unnecessary Adverbs of Manner | 48 |
| B. Frequency of Each Type of Error | 48 |
| C. Dominant of Error | 51 |
| D. Sources of Errors | 51 |
| 1. Interlingual Transfer | 51 |
| 2. IntralingualTransfer | 52 |
| E. Proposed of Remedial Teaching | 54 |
| CHAPTER V : CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION | |
| A. Conclusion | 57 |
| B. Suggestion | 58 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | |

S U M M A R Y

WASILAH. A. 320 970 091/ 97.6.106.13031.5.0091 AN ERROR ANALYSIS ON ENGLISH WRITING MADE BY SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMK MUHAMMADIYAH KARTASURA. Research paper. Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta. June, 2003.

This research aims at describing the errors on English writing of the second year students of SMK Muhammadiyah Kartasura. The writer uses random sampling as a technique of collecting the data. The writer classifies the errors based on linguistic category and surface strategy taxonomy.

Based on the result of data analysis, the writer finds out twenty types of error's in the students' English writing, namely: *Omission of to be as a verb in the present tense, omission of -s in the verb of present tense, verb -ing used in the present tense, misformation of to be in the present tense, additional of to be in the present tense, additional of -s in the present tense, present verb used in the past tense, misformation of verb in the past tense, verb -ing used in the past tense, omission of necessary preposition, additional of unnecessary preposition, misformation of preposition "in", omission of necessary possessive pronoun, misformation of quantitative pronoun, additional of unnecessary article, the use article instead of possessive pronoun, the use article instead of subject, omission of necessary auxiliary, the use of to be instead of auxiliary, and the last is the use of unnecessary adverbs of manner.* After classifying the errors, the writer has found the dominant error, namely the *omission of to be in the present tense (22,66%)*.

Besides, the writer also finds the sources of errors, namely: *interlingual transfer* and *intralingual transfer*. As a result, most of students still make a lot of errors in writing English, especially grammatical error.