THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SELF-QUESTIONING STRATEGY TOWARD STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION SKILL AT THE THIRD SEMESTER ENGLISH STUDENTS OF STAIN PALANGKA RAYA

THESIS

Presented to Department of Education of the State Islamic College Palangka Raya in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan Islam



By <u>DESY DAMAYANTI</u> SRN. 100 112 0595

THE STATE ISLAMIC COLLEGE OF PALANGKA RAYA THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION THE STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH EDUCATION 1436 H / 2014 M

APPROVAL OF THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Title of the Thesis : THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SELF-

QUESTIONING STRATEGY TOWARD STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION SKILL AT THE THIRD SEMESTER ENGLISH STUDENTS OF STAIN

PALANGKA RAYA

Name : Desy Damayanti

SRN : 1001120595

Department : Education

Study Program : English Education

Level : (S-1)

Palangka Raya, November 28th, 2014

Approved by:

Advisor I, Advisor II,

Santi Erliana, M.Pd Catharina Elmayanti, M.Pd ORN. 19801205 200604 2 003

OFFICIAL NOTE

Palangka Raya, November 28th, 2014

Case : Examination of

Desy Damayanti

Thesis To, Director of the State Islamic

College of Palangka Raya

In

Palangka Raya

Peace be unto you and God's mercy and blessing us well.

By reading and analyzing of this thesis revision, we state that the thesis in the name

of:

Name : Desy Damayanti

Student Registration Number: 1001 120 595

Title of the thesis : The Effectiveness of Self-Questioning Strategy

toward Students' Reading Comprehension Skill at the Third Semester English Students of STAIN

Palangka Raya

Can be examined in partial fulfillment of the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan in English Education of the Department of Education STAIN Palangka Raya.

Thank you for your attention.

Peace be you and God's blessing us.

Advisor I, Advisor II,

<u>Santi Erliana, M.Pd</u> <u>Cath</u> ORN. 19801205 200604 2 003

Catharina Elmayantie, M.Pd

LEGALIZATION OF THESIS EXAMINING COMMITTEE

This thesis entitles THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SELF-QUESTIONING STRATEGY TOWARD STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION SKILL AT THE THIRD SEMESTER ENGLISH STUDENTS OF STAIN PALANGKA RAYA in the name of Desy Damayanti, and her Students Registration Number is 100 112 0595. It has been examined by Board Examiners of the State Islamic College of Palangka Raya on:

	Day	: Friday	
	Date	: December 5	5 th , 2014
			Palangka Raya, December, 2014
			Board of Examiners:
1.	Dra. Halimah Chairman/ Men		()
2.	Siminto, M. H Member	um.	()
3.	Santi Erliana, Member	M.Pd.	()
4.	Catharina Eln Secretary/ Men		<u>d.</u> ()

The State Islamic College of Palangka Raya Director,

<u>Dr. Ibnu Elmi A.S. Pelu, SH. MH.</u> ORN. 19750109 199903 1 002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the writer wishes to express her particular thanks to Allah SWT. In this light chance, the writer would like to give her greatest thanks to:

- 1. Dr. Ibnu Elmi AS. Pelu, SH, MH., as the Director of State Islamic College of Palangka Raya for his direction and encouragements of conducting the study;
- 2. Triwid S.N., M.Pd., as the chair of the Department of Education for her permission and also her cooperation so that the writer can complete the requirements for writing this thesis;
- 3. Santi Erliana, M.Pd., as the coordinator of the English Education Study Program, as the first advisor, and as an English lecturer of Reading II for her advice, direction and motivation in conducting the study also for her guidance during writing this thesis;
- Catharina Elmayantie, M.Pd., as the second advisor, and as an English lecturer of Reading II, for her valuable criticsm, patience and suggestions in conducting the study;
- 5. All of the lecturers of English Education Study Program that have given time to teach us;
- 6. All of the lecturers of the State Islamic College of Palangka Raya who have given her everything that related with religion and education;
- 7. All of employees of the State Islamic College of Palangka Raya for their help in her study until finishing this thesis.
- 8. All of writer's friends of TBI 2010 for their helps and supports.

Last, the writer is very sincere thanks to writer's parents, brother and

sisters who always give their supports, praying, and affections sincerely to the

writer's effort in doing the study.

The writer realizes that the research is still far from the perfect, therefore

some constructive critical and suggestions are warmly welcome. Hopefully, may

Allah keep us on the straight path and reward us for what we have done and this can

be useful for all of us.

Palangka Raya, November 28th, 2014

Writer

Desy Damayanti SRN. 1001 120 595

vi

The Effectiveness of Self-Questioning Strategy toward Students' Reading Comprehension Skill at the Third Semester English Students of STAIN Palangka Raya

ABSTRACT

The principle purpose of the study is to measure the effect of self-questioning strategy toward students' reading comprehension skill at the third semester English students of STAIN Palangka Raya.

The type of study was quasi-experimental study especially non-randomize control group, pre-test - post-test design and the writer used quantitative approach in finding out the answer of the problem of study.

The population of the study was the whole students of the third semester English students of STAIN Palangka Raya. There were two classes becoming sample of study namely A class as experiment group and C class as control group with the total number of each class are 26 students and 27 students. The sample of study is determined using cluster sampling technique.

Both of groups were given a pre-test to gain the first students' reading score. After gaining the pretest score, the students in the experimental group were taught using self-questioning and the students in the control group were taught without using self-questioning. After that, the writer gave a post-test to the both experimental and control group to gain the students' final score.

After getting the data from experimental and control group, the writer analyzed the data using t-test calculation with manual and also SPSS 17.0 program to test the hypothesis. The result of t-test with manual calculation found the calculated value ($t_{\rm observed}$) was greater than $t_{\rm table}$ at 1% and 5% significance level or 2.021 < 3.020 > 2.704 and the result of t-test with SPSS 17.0 calculation also found the calculated value ($t_{\rm observed}$) was greater than $t_{\rm table}$ at 1% and 5% significance level or 2.021 < 3.041 > 2.704. The result of testing hypothesis determined that alternative hypothesis (h_a) stating that self-questioning strategy gives effect on the students' reading comprehension skill was rejected. It meant that reading with self-questioning strategy gave significant effect on the students' reading comprehension skill of the third semester English students of STAIN Palangka Raya.

Key Words: Self-questioning, and Reading Comprehension.

The Effectiveness of Self-Questioning Strategy toward Students' Reading Comprehension Skill at the Third Semester English Students of STAIN Palangka Raya

ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mengukur pengaruh strategi Self-questioning terhadap kemampuan pemahaman membaca mahasiswa semester tiga jurusan Bahasa Inggris di STAIN Palangka Raya.

Jenis penelitian ini adalah penelitian eksperimen semu khususnya desain tanpa pengacakan, kelompok kontrol, pra-uji - pasca-uji dan penulis menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif untuk menemukan jawaban dari penelitian.

Populasi dari penelitian ini adalah seluruh mahasiswa semester tiga jurusan Bahasa Inggris STAIN Palangka Raya. Terdapat dua kelas yang menjadi sampel penelitian yaitu kelas A sebagai kelompok eksperimen dan kelas C sebagai kelompok kontrol yang masing-masing kelas berjumlah 26 mahasiswa dan 27 mahasiswa. Penentuan sampel penelitian dengan menggunakan teknik cluster sampling.

Kedua kelompok diberikan pra-uji untuk memperoleh nilai pertama siswa. Setelah memperoleh nilai pra-uji, siswa di kelompok eksperimen diajarkan dengan strategi self-questioning dan siswa di kelompok kontrol diajarkan tanpa strategi self-questioning. Kemudian, penulis memberikan pasca-uji kepada kedua kelompok ekperimen dan kontrol untuk memperoleh nilai akhir siswa.

Setelah mendapatkan data dari kelompok eksperimen dan kontol, penulis menganalisis data tersebut menggunakan perhitungan T-test dengan perhitungan manual dan program SPSS 17.0 untuk pengujian hipotesis. Hasil dari perhitungan uji-t dengan perhitungan manual menunjukkan nilai thitung lebih besar dari pada ttable pada 1% and 5% tingkat signifikansi atau 2.021 < 3.020 > 2.704 dan hasil dari perhitungan uji-t dengan perhitungan program SPSS 12.0 juga menunjukkan nilai t_{hitung} lebih besar dari pada t_{table} pada 1% and 5% tingkat signifikansi atau 2.021 < 3.041 > 2.704. Hasil pengujian hipotesis menunjukkan bahwa Hipotesis Alternative (h_a) yang menyatakan bahwa mengajar membaca menggunakan strategi selfquestioning memberikan pengaruh pada kemampuan pemahaman membaca mahasiswa telah diterima dan Hipotesis Nihil (h_o) yang menyatakan bahwa mengajar membaca menggunakan strategi self-questioning tidak memberikan pengaruh pada kemampuan pemahaman membaca mahasiswa telah ditolak. Ini berarti bahwa mengajar membaca menggunakan strategi self-questioning memberikan pengaruh terhadap kemampuan pemahaman membaca mahasiswa semester tiga jurusan Bahasa Inggris di STAIN Palangka Raya.

Kata Kunci: Self-Questioning, dan Pemahaman Membaca.

DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICATION

In the name of God

I myself make declaration that this thesis entitle The Effectiveness of Self-

Questioning Strategy toward Students' Reading Comprehension Skill at the Third

Semester English Students of STAIN Palangka Raya is truly my own writing. If it is

not my own writing so, it is given a citation and shown in the list of references.

If my own declaration is not right in this thesis one day so. I am ready to

be given academic sanction namely, the cancellation of the degree of this thesis.

Palangka Raya, November 28th, 2014

Writer

Desy Damayanti SRN. 1001 120 595

ix

MOTTO

"Every Problem

is an Opportunity

to Generate Better Solutions"

(ROBIN SHARMA)

DEDICATION

With all of my proud, I dedicated this thesis to:

- 1. The most wonderful and amazing parents in the world, my father H. Drs. Rawansyah, MM and my mother Hj. Norkhalis, SE. Thanks for all of your love, affection, praying, struggle, and encouragement for my study that I couldn't repay with such a greatest things. I am so happy being born as your daughter.
- 2. My beloved sisters Dina Maryati, S.Farm, Apt., and Dhiya Alfi Azizah and my brother Amir Muhaimin with their biggest love, attention and support in finishing this study. I do love you all.
- 3. Santi Erliana, M.Pd., as my first advisor and Catharina Elmayantie as my second advisor who gives me guidance and advices during the thesis done.
- 4. All of my teachers and lecturers. Thanks for everything that has given to me for my education.
- 5. All my (keleuswati) Best Friends: Munawarah, Rima Yanti, Astuti Mujahidah, Anisa Hardianti, Ina Asmiati, Rabiatul Adawiyah, and Mamik Rustika Sari who always make me smile, laugh, and given me a memorable time to remember. Thank you for being born as my friends.
- 6. All my lovely friends of English Study Program at academic year 2010, I am very happy to be your friend. Keep moving forward and always try to do the best for your life!

LIST OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL OF	THE THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE	1
	Е	j
LEGALIZATIO	N OF THESIS EXAMINING COMMITTEE	j
	GEMENT	,
ABSTRACT		,
ABSTRAK		
DECLARATION	N OF AUTHENTICATION	
MOTTO		
DEDICATION		
	ENTS	
LIST OF TABLE	3	
	EVIATION	
LIST OF APPEN	NDICES	
CHAPTER I:	INTRODUCTION	
	A. Background of Study	
	B. Previous Study	
	C. Problems of Study	
	D. Hypotheses of the Study	
	E. Delimitation of Study	
	F. Assumption of the Study	
	G. Objectives of Study	
	H. Significances of Study	
	I. Operational Definition	
	J. Frame of Discussion	
CHAPTER II:	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
	A. The Nature of Reading	
	B. Reading Comprehension Strategies	
	C. Self-Questioning	
	D. Level of Comprehension	
	E. Assessing Reading	
	F. The Taxonomy of Educational Objectives	
CHAPTER III:	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
	A. Research Type	
	B. Research Design	
	C. Variable of Study	
	D. Population and Sample	
	E. Research Instrument	
	F. Data Collecting Procedure	
	G. Data Analysis Procedure	

CHAPTER IV:	RESULT OF THE STUDY		
	A. Students' Difference Score on Pre-Test and Post Test	58	
	B. Students' Difference Number of Producting Self Questioning	60	
	C. Percentage of the Students' Score Category	62	
	D. Students' Score Percentage Based on the Item Level	63	
	E. The Result of Data Analysis	65	
CHAPTER V:	DISCUSSION		
CHAPTER VI:	CLOSURE	74	
	A. Conslusion	74	
	B. Suggestion	76	
REFERENCES			

REFERENCES
APPENDICES
CURRICULUM VITAE

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. The Scheme of Quasi-Experimental Design40
Table 3.2. The Description of Population and Sample
Table 3.3. Scoring Rubric for Students' Reading Comprehension
Table 3.4 Item Discrimination Level
Table 3.5 Prediction for Reading Test Ability
Table 4.1. Students' Difference Score on Experiment Group
Table 4.2. Students' Difference Score on Control Group
Table 4.3. Students' Difference Number of Producting Self-Questioning in
Experiment Group60
Table 4.4. Students' Difference Number of Producting Self-Questioning in Control
Group61
Table 4.5. Percentage of Experiment Group's Score based on Category62
Table 4.6. Percentage of Control Group's Score based on Category63
Table 4.7. Percentage of Experiment Group's Score based on the Item Level63
Table 4.8. Percentage of Control Group's Score based on the Item Level64
Table 4.9. The Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of X_1 and X_2 66
Table 4.10. The Result of T-test
Table 4.11. The Standard Deviation and the Standard Error of X_1 and X_2 69
Table 4.12. The Calculation of T-test Using SPSS 17.070
Table 4.13. The Result of T-test Using SPS 17.071

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EFL : English as a Foreign Language

ESL : English as a Second Language

C : Control Group

E : Experiment Group

K-R : Kuder-Rechardson

SPSS : Statistical Package for Service Solution

STAIN : Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Negeri

TOEFL : Test of English as a Foreign Language

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 : Research Schedule

Appendix 2 : Lesson Plan

Appendix 3 : Try Out Instrument

Appendix 4 : Pre-Test Instrument

Appendix 5 : Post-Test Instrument

Appendix 6 : Answer Key and the Analyzes

Appendix 7: The Data Distribution of Try Out

Appendix 8 : Validity

Appendix 9 : Reliability

Appendix 10 : Index Difficulties

Appendix 11: Item Discrimination

Appendix 12 : Destructor Analysis

Appendix 13 : Students' Name and Code

Appendix 14 : Students' Score

Appendix 15 : The Data Distribution of Post Test

Appendix 16 : Documentation

Appendix 17 : Data Calculation of Pre-Test (Experiment Group)

Appendix 18 : Data Calculation of Pre-Test (Control Group)

Appendix 19 : Data Calculation of Post-Test (Experiment Group)

Appendix 20 : Data Calculation of Post-Test (Control Group)

Appendix 21 : Data Calculation of T-test

Appendix 22 : The Values of T-table

Appendix 23: The Values of R-table

Appendix 24 : Curriculum Vitae

REFERENCES

- Afzali, Katayoon. 2012. The Impact of Instructing Self-Questioning in Reading Literary Texts, International Journal of Linguistics ISSN 1948-5425 2012, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2012.
- Alhaqbani, Ahmad and Mehdi Riazi, 2012. Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategy Use in Arabic as A Second Language, Reading in a Foreign Language, Volume 24, No. 2 ISSN 1539-0578, 2012.
- Anderson, Jonathan. 1983. *Efficient Reading; ata Practical*, Sydney: McGraw hill book company.
- Arikunto, Suharsimi. Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Blachowicz, Camille and Donna Ogle. 2008. *Reading Comprehension*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Block, E. L. 1992. See How They Read: Comprehension Monitoring of L1 and L2 Readers. TESOL Quarterly, 26.
- Brantmeier, C. 2005. Second Language Reading Strategy Research At The Secondary And University Levels: Variations, Disparities And Generalizability. The Reading Matrix: Ninternational Online Journal, 3 (2), 2005. http://www.readingmatrix.com/current.html.
- Brigham, F. J. and S. Berkeley, and P. Simpkins and M. S. P. Brigham. 2007. Reading comprehension strategy instruction. Current Practice Alerts, 12, 2007.
- Brown, H. Douglas. 2000. *Teaching by Principles an Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*, New York: Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.
- Carrell, P. L. and B. G. Pharis and J. C. Liberto. 1989. *Metacognitive Strategy Training for ESL* Reading. TESOL Quarterly, 23, 1989.
- Carrell, P. L. 1984. *The Effects of Rhetorical Organization on ESL Readers*. TESOL Quarterly, 18(3).
- Chair, Abdul and Leony Agustina. 2004. *Sosiolinguistik: Perkenalan Awal.* Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta.
- Cohen, A. D. 1986. *Meta-Linguistic Measures in Reading Strategies Research: Some Recent Findings*, English for Special Purposes, 5.

- Cooper, David J. 1986. *Improving Reading Comprehension*. Boston: Houghton Miffin Company.
- Dakir. Improving Students' Ability in Understanding English Text through Jigsaw Strategy at the Second Semester Students of the Islamic Education Study Program of Palangka Raya State Islamic College. Palangka Raya: STAIN.
- Dehnad, A. 2005. *Graphic Organizers as An Effective Study Technique in An ESP Class*. In Kiani & Khayamdar (Eds.) Proceedings of the First National ESP/EAP Conference, vol. II, Tehran, SAMT Publication.
- Department for Education and Skill. 2006. *Understanding Reading Comprehension: 1.* UK: DfES Publication.
- Djiwandono, M. Soenardi. 2008. *Tes Bahasa Pegangan bagi Pengajar Bahasa*. Jakarta: PT. Indeks.
- Duke, N. K. 2000. 3.6 Minutes Per Day: The Scarcity of Informational Texts in First Grade. Reading Research Quarterly, 35, 2000.
- Dunlap, Jo A. 1999. The Effect of Self-Questioning on Comprehension of Expository Text and Development of Content Writing with Second Grade Students. Thesis, Kansas, United States: Wichita State University.
- Eliason, C. 2009. Encouraging students to respond to reading to deepen understanding. Illionois Reading Council Journal. 37(3), 2009.
- Ephraim, Kristen. 2009. Reading Comprehension Instruction for Expository Text in Elementary Education. New York: Liberty University Fall.
- Fauziaty, Endang. 2002. *Teaching of English as Foreign Language*. Surakarta: Muhammadiyah University Press.
- Frase, L. and B. Schwartz. 1975. *Effect of question production and answering on prose recall*. Journal of Educational Psychology, 72(5), 1975.
- Gebhard, Jerry G. 1996. *Teaching English as a Foreign or Second Language*. America: The University of Michigan Press.
- Gilani, Mohammad Reza Ahmadi. 2012. *Impacts of Learning Reading Strategy on Students' Reading Comprehension Proficiency. The* International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World (IJLLALW) Volume 1 (1), December 2012; 78-95 ISSN: 2289-2737 Copyright IJLLALW, Norway.
- Gillespie, C. 1990. *Questions about student-generated questions*. Journal of Reading, 34(4).

- Harris, T. L. & R. E. Hodges. 1995. *The literacy dictionary: The vocabulary of reading and writing: Newark.* DE: International Reading Association.
- Hauptman, P. C. 1979. A Comparison of First and Second Language Reading Strategies among English-Speaking University Students. Interlanguage Studies Bulletin, 4, 1979.
- Heaton, J.B. 1975. Writing English Language Test. England: Longman.
- Hornby, A. S. 1995. Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Katayoon Afzali. 2012. The Impact of Instructing Self-Questioning in Reading Literary Texts, International Journal of Linguistics ISSN 1948-5425 2012, Vol. 4, No. 2.
- Kletzien, S. B. 1991. Strategy Use by Good and Poor Comprehenders Reading Expository Text of Differing Levels. Reading Research Quarterly, 26 (1), 1991.
- Ko, M. 2002. A Study on Teachers' Perception of Strategy Use: Reading Strategy Instruction and Students' Motivation To Read, Journal of N.H. I. T., 5, 2002.
- Leo, Elenanor S. 1994. *Powerful Reading, Efficient Learning*, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Li, Yusheng and Chuang Wang. 2010. An Empirical Study of Reading Self-efficacy and the Use of Reading Strategies in the Chinese EFL Context. The Asian EFL Journal Quarterly June 2010 Volume 12, Issue 2.
- Margono. 2003. Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan, Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Mastropieri, M. A. and T. E. Scruggs and J. E. Graetz. 2003. Reading comprehension instruction for secondary students: Challenges for struggling students and teachers. Learning Disability Quarterly, 26, 2003.
- Mckay, S. 1987. Cultural knowledge and the teaching of reading, Forum, 25(2).
- McNamara, Danielle S. *Reading Comprehension Strategies*, New Jersey: Lawrence Elbaurn Associates. Inc., Publisher, p.40
- Mertler, Craig A. 2005 *Designing Scoring Rubrics for Your Classroom*, Practical Assessment, research & evaluation, 7(25).2005 Retrieved July 8, 2005
- Mokhtari, K. & C. Reichard. 2002. Assessing Students' Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategies, Journal of Educational Psychology, 94 (2).

- Mostow, Jack and friends. 2009. Generating Instruction Automatically for the Reading Strategy Use of Self-Questioning. Project Listen, Carnegie Mellon University.
- Murcia, Celce. 1991. *Teaching English as a second or foreign language*. Massachusetts: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Nation, I.S.P. 2009. *Teaching ESL/EFL Reading and Writing*. New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Nunan, David. 1992. Research Methods in Language Learning, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Othman, Yahya and Noradinah Hj Jaidi. 2012. The Employment of Metacognitive Strategies to Comprehend Texts Among Pre-University Students in Brunei Darussalam, American International Journal of Contemporary Research Vol. 2 No. 8; August 2012.
- Patzelt, Karen E. 1995. Principles of WL and Implications for ESL Learners, Pall.
- Pirozzi, Richard. 2003. Critical Reading Critical Thinking, New York: Wesley Educational Publisher.
- Report of the Strategy Group. 2011. *National Strategy for Higher Education to 2030*. Dublin: Department of Education and Skills.
- Riduwan. 2010. Metode dan Teknik Menyusun Tesis. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Schumm, Jeanne Shay. 2006. *Reading Assessment and Instruction for All learner.*, New York: The Guilford Press.
- Shang, Hui-Fang and I-Ju Chang-Chien. 2010. The Effect of Self-Questioning Strategy on EFL Learners' Reading Comprehension Development. The International Journal of Learning Volume 17, Number 2, ISSN 1447-9494, 2010.
- Singer, H. and D. Donlan. 1982. Active comprehension: Problem-solving schema with question generation for comprehension of complex short stories. Reading Research Quarterly, 17(2).
- Sudijono, Anas. 1978. Pengantar Statistik Pendidikan. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.
- Sunggingwati, Dyah. 2009. Developing Teachers' and Students Use of Self-Questioning Strategy in an English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Context in Indonesia. Australia: The University of Queensland.

- Tapinta, Pataraporn. 2006. Exploring Thai EFL University Students' Awareness of Their Knowledge, Use, and Control of Strategies In Reading and Writing. Texas: University of North Texas.
- Toendan, Wido H. 2006. *Educational Research Method an Instroduction*. Palangka Raya: University of Palangka Raya.
- Virginia. 1981. Language Introductory Readings. New York: ST. Martin' Press.
- Wainwright, Gordon. 2007. How to Read Faster and Recall More. UK: How To Books.
- Watson, Three. 2002. *Reading Comprehension Skills and Strategies*. USA: Saddlback Educational Publishing.
- Wijayanti, Dwi. 2010. "Descriptive Study of Teaching Reading Comprehension Using Jigsaw to the Second Year Students of Smp N 2 SimoBoyolali Academic YEAR'S 2009/2010 (A Classroom Action Research)". Surakarta: Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta.
- Wright, Edmond. 1983. Inspecting Images. Philosophy 58 (223): 57–72.

ELECRONIC REFERENCES

- Berry, James H. 2005. *Levels of Reading Comprehension*. (Online), (http://www.sc4.edu, accessed on January 20, 2014)
- Byrnes, Heidi. *Teaching Reading*. 2009. (Online), (http://www.nclrc.org/essentials/reading/reindex.htm, accessed on January 20, 2014
- KU The University of Kansas, *Teaching After Reading Self-Questioning Strategies*, (Online), (http://www.specialconnections.ku.edu/?q=instruction/reading_comprehension/teacher_tools/teaching_during_reading_self_questioning_strategies#top, accessed on February 20, 2014)
- Lauren, Nathan V. 2002. *Difficulties with Reading*. (online) (http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/misunderstoodminds/ readingdiffs. http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/misunderstoodminds/ readingdiffs.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Desy Damayanti was born on December 20th, 1992 in Palangka Raya, Central Borneo. She was the second child from four children of Drs. H. Rawansyah, MM. and Hj. Nurkhalis, SE. She has one older sister, Dina Maryati, S.Farm, Apt., she also has one younger brother and sister, Amir Muhaimin and Dhiya Alfi Azizah. Her hobbies are reading novels, watching K-drama, singing and hanging out with friends.



She began her study when she was six years old at the Islamic Elementary School (MIN Langkai, Palangka Raya). She graduated Elementary in 2004. Then, she continued her study to Islamic Junior High School at MTsN 1 Model Palangka Raya from 2004 till 2007 and to Islamic Senior High School at MAN Model Palangka Raya from 2007 till 2010 and took science program class in the last two years to finish her study.

To continue her study, she entered the State Islamic College of Palangka Raya in 2010. In order to be an English Teacher, she chose English Study Program and finished her study for 4,5 years. Finally, she got her Sarjana Degree and graduated in 2014, with an expectation she wants to be a professional English teacher.