

Received 7 April 2017 Accepted 13 April 2017

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

‡ Additional correspondence author, e-mail: j.wardell@abdn.ac.uk.

**Keywords:** crystal structure; co-crystal; naphthalene-1,4-dione; dihydroxyanthracene-9,10dione; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 1543933

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



# OPEN ∂ ACCESS

# Marlon D. L. Tonin,<sup>a</sup> Simon J. Garden,<sup>a</sup> Mukesh M. Jotani,<sup>b</sup> Solange M. S. V. Wardell,<sup>c</sup> James L. Wardell<sup>d,e</sup><sup>‡</sup> and Edward R. T. Tiekink<sup>f\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Centro Tecnológica, Bloco A, Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, 21949-909 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Bhavan's Sheth R. A. College of Science, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380 001, India, <sup>c</sup>CHEMSOL, 1 Harcourt Road, Aberdeen AB15 5NY, Scotland, <sup>d</sup>Fundaçaö Oswaldo Cruz, Instituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos-Far Manguinhos, 21041-250 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, <sup>e</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Scotland, and <sup>f</sup>Research Centre for Chemical Crystallography, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia. \*Correspondence e-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my

The asymmetric unit of the title co-crystal, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>5</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub>·C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [systematic name: 2-bromo-1,4-dihydronaphthalene-1,4-dione-1,8-dihydroxy-9,10-dihydroanthracene-9,10-dione (1/1)], features one molecule of each coformer. The 2-bromonaphthoquinone molecule is almost planar [r.m.s deviation of the 13 non-H atoms = 0.060 Å, with the maximum deviations of 0.093 (1) and 0.099 (1) Å being for the Br atom and a carbonyl-O atom, respectively]. The 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone molecule is planar (r.m.s. deviation for the 18 non-H atoms is 0.022 Å) and features two intramolecular hydroxy-O-H...O(carbonyl) hydrogen bonds. Dimeric aggregates of 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone molecules assemble through weak intermolecular hydroxy-O-H...O(carbonyl) hydrogen bonds. The molecular packing comprises stacks of molecules of 2-bromonaphthoquinone and dimeric assembles of 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone with the shortest  $\pi - \pi$  contact within a stack of 3.5760 (9) Å occurring between the different rings of 2-bromonaphthoquinone molecules. The analysis of the Hirshfeld surface reveals the importance of the interactions just indicated but, also the contribution of additional  $C-H \cdots O$  contacts as well as C=O··· $\pi$  interactions to the molecular packing.

#### 1. Chemical context

The formation of co-crystals is one of the major activities of crystal engineering endeavours and is motivated by various considerations. The concept of non-covalent derivatization of active pharmaceutical ingredients (API's) by this technology, in the hope of producing new formulations with improved bioavailability, etc. is a prominent motivation for investigation (Duggirala et al., 2016; Bolla & Nangia, 2016). Over and above this are applications ranging from enhancing non-linear optical materials, crystallization of materials that normally do not crystallize, optical resolution, etc. (Aakeröy, 2015). The above notwithstanding, the title co-crystal, (I), was isolated serendipiously during attempts to react 2-bromonaphthoquinone with 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone. Subsequently, it was shown that an equimolar ethyl acetate (or ethanol) solution of 2-bromonaphthoquinone and 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone could be co-crystallized to give the same product. Herein, the crystal and molecular structures of (I) are described along with a detailed analysis of the supramolecular association by means of an analysis of the Hirshfeld surfaces.



#### 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structures of the constituents of (I) are shown in Fig. 1, the asymmetric unit comprising one molecule each of 2-bromonaphthoquinone, Fig. 1a, and 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone, Fig. 1b. The six carbon atoms comprising the cyclohexa-2,5-diene-1,4-dione ring of the naphthoquinone molecule are not strictly planar with the r.m.s. deviation being 0.030 Å; the maximum deviations are 0.025 (1) and -0.031 (2) Å for the C4a and C4 atoms, respectively. The appended Br1, O1 and O4 atoms lie, respectively, 0.077 (1), 0.078 (1) and -0.117 (1) Å out of the plane with the Br1 atom lying to one side of the ring and the carbonyl-O atoms to the other. Overall, the r.m.s. deviation for the best plane defined by the 13 non-H atoms comprising the naphthoquinone molecule is 0.060 Å, with the maximum deviations being 0.093 (1) Å for atom Br1 and -0.099 (1) Å for the O4 atom, again with these atoms lying to opposite sides of the plane. With respect to the anthraquinone molecule, the r.m.s. deviation for the 18 non-H atoms is 0.022 Å with the maximum deviations being 0.039 (2) Å for C(13) and 0.026 (1) Å for the C19 and C23 atoms. As seen from Fig. 1b, the hydroxy-H atoms are orientated to be proximate to the centrally located carbonyl-O atom to form intramolecular hydroxy-O- $H \cdots O(\text{carbonyl})$  hydrogen-bonds, Table 1.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In addition to the intramolecular hydroxy-O– H···O(carbonyl) hydrogen-bonds in the anthraquinone molecule, both hydroxy-H atoms from weaker intermolecular hydrogen-bonds with a centrosymmetrically related molecule indicating each hydroxy-H atom is bifurcated, Table 1. The resulting dimeric aggregate, Fig. 2a, is connected by a centrosymmetric planar, eight-membered {···HO···O···H}<sub>2</sub> synthon which incorporates two transannular hydroxy-O–

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,	°).

, , ,				
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O11−H11 <i>O</i> ···O19	0.83 (2)	1.81 (2)	2.5766 (16)	153 (2)
O18−H18O···O19	0.83 (2)	1.89 (2)	2.6097 (16)	144 (2)
$O11-H11O\cdots O19^{i}$	0.83 (2)	2.40 (2)	2.8730 (16)	117 (2)
$O18-H18O\cdots O11^{\circ}$	0.83 (2)	2.35 (2)	2.9677 (17)	131 (2)
$C3-H3\cdots O20^{n}$	0.95	2.25	3.1657 (18)	161
$C13 - H13 \cdots O1^{m}$	0.95	2.46	3.348(2)	150
$C13 - H13 \cdots O4$ $C17 - H17 \cdots O4^{v}$	0.93	2.30	3.4338 (18)	135
01/=111/04	0.95	2.45	5.226 (2)	141

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x, y - 1, z; (iii)  $x, -y - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv) x, y + 1, z; (v)  $x + 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

 $H \cdots O(\text{carbonyl})$  hydrogen bonds. The dimeric aggregates stack along the b axis being surrounded by two columns of similar dimeric aggregates and six columns comprising naphthoquinone molecules, Fig. 2b. Connections between columns, leading to a three-dimensional architecture, are of the type  $sp^2$ -C-H···O(carbonyl) and involve all the remaining carbonyl-O atoms with the O atom of the naphthoquinone-C4=O4 moiety forming two such contacts, Table 1. Within columns comprising molecules of naphthoquinone,  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interactions are noted, *i.e.* between the (C1-C4,C4a,C8a) and (C4a,C5-C8,C8a) rings with the intercentroid separation being 3.5760 (9) Å and the angle of inclination being 1.64 (7)° for symmetry operation x, -1 + y, z. The closest comparable interaction within the stack of anthraquinone molecules is 4.1013 (9) Å, *i.e.* between (C15-C21) and (C19–C24) rings; angle of inclination =  $0.65 (7)^{\circ}$  for symmetry operation: x, -1 + y, z.





The molecular structures of (a) 2-bromonaphthoquinone and (b) 1,8dihydroxyanthraquinone, *i.e.* the coformers comprising the asymmetric unit of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 70% probability level.

## research communications



#### Figure 2

The molecular packing in (I): (a) dimeric aggregate comprising centrosymmetrically related 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone molecules connected by hydroxy-O-H···O(carbonyl) hydrogen bonds and (b) a view of the unit-cell contents in projection down the b axis. The O-H···O and phenyl-C-H···O(carbonyl) interactions are shown as orange and blue dashed lines, respectively.

#### 4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

The Hirshfeld surface analysis of title 1:1 co-crystal, (I), was performed as per recent publications on co-crystals (Syed, Jotani, Halim *et al.*, 2016; Syed, Halim, Jotani *et al.*, 2016) and provides more detailed information on the supramolecular association formed by the individual coformers and overall packing in the crystal. The Hishfeld surfaces are mapped over  $d_{\text{norm}}$ , Figs. 3 and 4, the calculated electrostatic potential, Figs. 5 and 6, and shape-index, Figs. 7 and 8.

The donors and acceptors of intermolecular hydroxy-O- $H \cdots O(\text{carbonyl})$  hydrogen-bonds between anthraquinone molecules are viewed as bright-red spots labelled with '1' and '2' on the Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over  $d_{\text{norm}}$  in Fig. 3a. On the Hirshfeld surface mapped over the calculated electrostatic potential, the respective donors and acceptors appear as the blue (positive potential) and red regions (negative potential) in Fig. 5a. The presence of faint-red spots near carbon atoms C11, C19, Fig. 3a, and near the atoms C15 and C20, Fig. 3b, also indicate the links between molecules through short interatomic  $C \cdots C$  contacts, Table 2. These short contacts are also illustrated by white dashed lines in Fig. 6a. Links between the coformers involving their carbonyl-C4=O4 and C20=O20 groups through short interatomic  $C \cdots O / O \cdots C$  contacts, Table 2, are viewed as a pair of bright- and faint-red spots near these atoms in Fig. 3b and 4b. This is also illustrated by the black dashed lines on the Hirshfeld surface mapped over

Table 2	
Summary of short inter-atomic contacts (Å) in (I).	

Contact	distance	symmetry operation
C11···C20	3.299 (2)	x, -1 + y, z
C15···C19	3.347 (2)	x, 1 + y, z
C4···O20	3.0273 (18)	x, y, z
C20· · · O4	3.1585 (18)	x, y, z
O18···H5	2.60	$1-x, -\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$
C16· · ·H16	2.89	$1-x, -\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$
$H8 \cdot \cdot \cdot H8$	2.27	-x, 2 - y, -z

electrostatic potential in Fig. 6b. The donors and acceptors of intermolecular  $C-H\cdots O(\text{carbonyl})$  interactions can be viewed as bright-red spots having labels '3'-'5' in Figs. 3 and 4, and as blue and red regions, respectively, in Fig. 5. The comparatively weak anthraquinone-C15-H···O4 hydrogen bond is represented with faint-red spots near these atoms in Fig. 3b and 4a, labelled with '6'. The immediate environments about reference anthraquinone and naphthoquinone mol-



#### Figure 3

Two views of the Hirshfeld surface for the anthraquinone molecule in (I) mapped over  $d_{\rm norm}$  over the range -0.120 to 1.190 au.



#### Figure 4

Two views of the Hirshfeld surface for the naphthoquinone molecule in (I) mapped over  $d_{\rm norm}$  over the range -0.125 to 1.157 au.

ecules within shape-index-mapped Hirshfeld surfaces highlighting intermolecular O-H···O, C-H···O,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking and C-O··· $\pi$  interactions influential on the packing are illustrated in Figs. 7 and 8.

The two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the individual naphthoquinone and anthraquinone molecules, and for the overall co-crystal are illustrated in Fig. 9*a*. The plots delineated into  $H \cdots H$ ,  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ ,  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ ,  $C \cdots C$  and  $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$  contacts (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) are shown in Fig. 9*b*-*f*, respectively; the relative contributions from various contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces are quantitatively summarized in Table 3. The different immediate environments of intermolecular interactions around the naphthoquinone and anthraquinone coformers result in different shapes and a distinct distribution of points in the respective delineated fingerprint plots: there is a clear distinction between these and those for the overall co-crystal.

Table 3
Percentage contribution of inter-atomic contacts to the Hirshfeld surface
for (I).

Contact	percentage contribution	n		
	naphthoquinone	anthraquinone	(I)	
$H \cdots H$	20.5	21.4	20.6	
$O{\cdots} \cdot H/H{\cdots} O$	29.2	28.4	31.3	
$C\cdot\cdot\cdot H/H\cdot\cdot\cdot C$	15.2	25.2	20.2	
$C{\cdots}C$	9.7	7.1	9.3	
$C \cdot \cdot \cdot O / O \cdot \cdot \cdot C$	3.9	11.9	5.4	
$Br \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$	10.0	4.1	6.5	
$Br \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$	4.6	0.0	2.4	
$Br \cdot \cdot \cdot C/C \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$	5.2	0.0	2.8	
$Br \cdot \cdot \cdot O / O \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$	1.1	0.1	0.7	
00	0.5	1.8	0.8	

The fingerprint plots delineated into  $H \cdots H$  contacts arise from relatively low percentage contributions to their respective Hirshfeld surfaces, Table 3, as a result of their relatively their low contents in the molecules and the involvement of





Views of the Hirshfeld surfaces for the (a) anthraquinone and (b) naphthoquinone molecules in (I) mapped over the electrostatic potential in the range  $\pm 0.059$  au. The red and blue regions represent negative and positive electrostatic potentials, respectively.

## research communications



Figure 6

Views of Hirshfeld surfaces for the molecules in (I) mapped over the electrostatic potential highlighting (a) short interatomic C···C contacts as with white dashed lines in the stacking of anthraquinone molecules in the range  $\pm 0.059$  au and (b) short interatomic C···O/O···C contacts as black dashed lines between approximately co-planar anthraquinone and naphthoquinone molecules in the range  $\pm 0.060$  au.

many hydrogen atoms in specific intermolecular interactions. The presence of short interatomic  $H \cdots H$  contacts between naphthoquinone-H8 atoms, Table 2, is evident in the respective plot as a single peak at  $d_e + d_i \sim 2.2$  Å.

The donors and acceptors of the naphthoquinone-H3 and anthraquinone-O20(carbonyl) atoms are viewed as a thin, long spike at  $d_e + d_i \sim 2.2$  Å in each of the fingerprint plots of  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$  contacts, Fig. 9*c*; the spikes for the donor and acceptor interactions are viewed separately in the plots for the naphthoquinone and anthraquinone coformers, respectively. The  $O-H \cdots O$  interactions instrumental in linking anthraquinone molecules are evident in the respective  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ delineated plot, Fig. 9*c*, and is characterized by a pair of short spikes at  $d_e + d_i \sim 2.3$  Å where in the acceptor spike is merged within the plot of the aforementioned C3 $-H \cdots O^{ii}$  interaction. The other intermolecular  $C-H \cdots O$  contacts involving anthraquinone-H13 and -H17, and naphthoquinone-O1 and -O4(carbonyl) atoms are viewed as a pair of short spikes at





Views of Hirshfeld surface for a reference anthraquinone molecule in (I) mapped over the shape-index property highlighting: (a)  $O-H\cdots O$  and  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions as black dashed lines and (b)  $C-O\cdots \pi$  and reciprocal  $\pi \cdots O-C$  interactions as blue and white dotted lines, respectively.

 $d_{\rm e} + d_{\rm i} \sim 2.4$  Å in the donor and acceptor regions of their respective plots in Fig. 9c. The points corresponding to anthraquinone-C15-H15···O4(carbonyl) interactions and other short interatomic O···H contacts, Table 2, are merged within the plots.

A pair of short peaks at  $d_e + d_i < 2.9$  Å, *i.e.* less than sum of their van der Waals radii, in the fingerprint plot delineated into C···H/H···C contacts for anthraquinone, Fig. 9d, are indicative of short interatomic C···H contacts, Table 2, in the crystal. The remaining interatomic C···H/H···C contacts in the crystal are beyond van der Waals separations but still make notable contributions to the Hirshfeld surfaces. The 9.7% contribution from C···C contacts to the Hirshfeld surface of the naphthoquinone coformer is the result of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interaction between its symmetry related

Table 4	
Summary of C=O··· $\pi$ contacts (Å, °) in	(I).

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C11–C14/C24/C23 and C15–C18/C22/C21 rings, respectively.

Y	X	Cg	$X \cdots Cg$	$Y - X \cdots Cg$	$Y \cdots Cg$	symmetry operation
C20	O20	Cg1	3.2667 (12)	85.61 (8)	3.3999 (16)	x, 1 + y, z
C19	O19	Cg2	3.3191 (12)	85.51 (8)	3.4551 (16)	x, -1 + y, z

(C1–C4,C4a,C8a) and (C4a,C5–C8,C8a) rings and is highlighted as the parabolic distribution of points in Fig. 9e, having high density at around  $d_e = d_i \sim 1.8$  Å. The parabolic distribution of points with the peak at  $d_e = d_i \sim 1.6$  Å in the plot for the anthraquinone coformer, Fig. 9e, indicates links between these molecules through short interatomic C···C contacts



Figure 8

Views of Hirshfeld surface for a reference naphthoquinone molecule in (I) mapped over the shape-index property highlighting: (a)  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions as black dashed lines and (b)  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interaction as red dotted lines.

along the *b* axis. The presence of  $C \cdots C$  contacts in (I) results in an overall 9.3% contribution to the Hirshfeld surface.

The 3.9% contribution from  $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$  contacts to the Hirshfeld surface for the naphthoquinone molecule, Fig. 9*f*, results from short, inter-atomic  $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$  contacts whereas the 11.9% contribution from  $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$  contacts for the anthraquinone molecule has a contribution from  $C=O \cdots \pi$  interactions involving carbony-O19 and -O20 atoms and (C11–C14,C24,C23) and (C15–C18, C22, C21) rings, Table 4. Most of these features disappear in the overall fingerprint plot delineated into these contacts with only features due to the  $C=O \cdots \pi$  interactions remaining, Fig. 9*f*.

Although the naphthoquinone-bromide substituent makes a notable contribution to the Hirshfeld surface, Table 3, it does not form inter-atomic contacts with other atoms less than sum of the respective van der Waals radii. Therefore, it exerts no significant influence on the packing. The small contribution from  $O \cdots O$  contacts also has a negligible effect on the packing.

#### 5. Database survey

The coformers comprising (I) are relatively unexplored in the crystallographic literature (Groom et al., 2016). For example, the structure of 2-bromonaphthoquinone has only been reported on one previous occasion, namely in its pure form (Gaultier & Hauw, 1965). This structure presents the same features as the molecule in (I) with the r.m.s deviation of the 13 fitted atoms being 0.059 Å, cf. 0.060 Å in (I). More attention has been directed towards 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone. The structure of the pure molecule was originally reported in 1965 (Prakash, 1965) and a recent study focussed upon the several polymorphic forms of this compound (Rohl et al., 2008). In all known forms of 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone, an essentially planar molecule is observed along with the two intramolecular hydroxy- $O-H \cdots O(carbonyl)$  hydrogenbonds persisting as in (I). A co-crystal of 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone is also known, i.e. a 3:1 co-crystal with acetic acid (Cheuk et al., 2015). This structure is particularly notable in that there are six independent 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone molecules in the asymmetric unit, each with the same conformation as in the parent compound and in (I), along with two independent acetic acid molecules.

#### 6. Synthesis and crystallization

Compound (I) was isolated during attempts to chemically bond 2-bromonaphthoquinone and 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone under basic conditions. Upon work up of the reaction mixture, the crude material was obtained after evaporation of all the volatiles. This was filtered through a short column of silica gel eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane (1:1  $\nu/\nu$ ) and a single, yellow fraction was collected. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, a yellow solid was obtained. This was recrystallized from ethyl acetate solution to give small orange– red crystals with yields of 78–85% based upon the quantity of 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone initially used. Notably, the

# research communications



Figure 9

(a) The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the individual naphthoquinone and anthraquinone molecules and the overall co-crystal (I), and fingerprint plots delineated into (b)  $H \cdots H$ , (c)  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ , (d)  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ , (e)  $C \cdots C$  and (f)  $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$  contacts.

substrates 2-bromonaphthoquinone and 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone could not be chromatographically distinguished as they ran with equivalent  $R_{\rm f}$ 's in a wide range of solvents and solvent mixtures. NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) were consistent with a one to one mixture of the same components as there was no deviation of chemical shifts in comparison to the spectra of the individual components. A sample of the cocrystal material had a well defined melting point of 413–414 K, which is intermediate between the melting points of the pure components 2-bromonaphthoquinone, 405–406 K (Brimble *et al.*, 2007) and 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone, 465–466 K (Cameron *et al.*, 1982).

#### 7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 5. Carbon-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with  $U_{iso}(H)$  set to  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The O-bound H atoms were located from a difference map but refined with O-H =  $0.84\pm0.01$  Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ .

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Crystallographic Service, based at the University of Southampton, for collecting the data. The authors also thank the following Brazilian agencies CAPES, CNPq and FAPERJ for financial assistance, and are also grateful to Sunway University (INT-RRO-2017-096) for supporting this research.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Sunway University (award No. INT-RRO-2017-096).

#### References

- Aakeröy, C. (2015). Acta Cryst. B71, 387-391.
- Bolla, G. & Nangia, A. (2016). Chem. Commun. 52, 8342-8360.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Brimble, M. A., Bachu, P. & Sperry, J. (2007). Synthesis, pp. 2887–2893.
- Cameron, D. W., Feutrill, G. I. & Mckay, P. G. (1982). Aust. J. Chem. 35, 2095–2109.
- Cheuk, D., Khamar, D., McArdle, P. & Rasmuson, Å. C. (2015). J. Chem. Eng. Data, **60**, 2110–2118.

Table 5
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{10}H_5BrO_2 \cdot C_{14}H_8O_4$
M <sub>r</sub>	477.25
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	17.55090 (12), 4.85939 (3),
	22.83423 (16)
β(°)	106.7429 (7)
$V(Å^3)$	1864.90 (2)
Z	4
Radiation type	Си Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	3.39
Crystal size (mm)	$0.42 \times 0.05 \times 0.03$
crystar size (min)	0.12 X 0.05 X 0.05
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Rigaku Saturn724+ (2x2 bin mode)
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.697, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and	27708, 3507, 3489
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	, ,
R <sub>int</sub>	0.021
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	0.610
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.025, 0.075, 1.02
No. of reflections	3507
No. of parameters	286
No. of restraints	2
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained
$\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0 \cdot (e^{\dot{\Lambda}} - 3)$	0.30 = 0.32
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} (C \Lambda)$	0.59, -0.52

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015), SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

- Duggirala, N. K., Perry, M. L., Almarsson, Ö. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2016). Chem. Commun. 52, 640–655.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Gaultier, J. & Hauw, C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 604-608.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Prakash, A. (1965). Z. Kristallogr. 122, 272-282.
- Rigaku Oxford Diffraction (2015). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA.
- Rohl, A. L., Moret, M., Kaminsky, W., Claborn, K., McKinnon, J. J. & Kahr, B. (2008). Cryst. Growth Des. 8, 4517–4525.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Syed, S., Halim, S. N. A., Jotani, M. M. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 76–82.
- Syed, S., Jotani, M. M., Halim, S. N. A. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 391–398.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supporting information

### Acta Cryst. (2017). E73, 738-745 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017005667]

The 1:1 co-crystal of 2-bromonaphthalene-1,4-dione and 1,8-dihydroxyanthracene-9,10-dione: crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis

## Marlon D. L. Tonin, Simon J. Garden, Mukesh M. Jotani, Solange M. S. V. Wardell, James L. Wardell and Edward R. T. Tiekink

### **Computing details**

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); data reduction: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

2-Bromo-1,4-dihydronaphthalene-1,4-dione-1,8-dihydroxy-9,10-dihydroanthracene-9,10-dione (1/1)

Crystal data  $C_{10}H_5BrO_2 \cdot C_{14}H_8O_4$  $M_r = 477.25$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 17.55090 (12) Åb = 4.85939 (3) Å *c* = 22.83423 (16) Å  $\beta = 106.7429 (7)^{\circ}$ V = 1864.90 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

Rigaku Saturn724+ (2x2 bin mode) diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed X-ray tube, Enhance (Cu) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015)

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ 286 parameters Least-squares matrix: full 2 restraints  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$  $wR(F^2) = 0.075$ S = 1.023507 reflections

F(000) = 960 $D_{\rm x} = 1.700 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ Cu *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å Cell parameters from 22842 reflections  $\theta = 2.6 - 69.9^{\circ}$  $\mu = 3.39 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KPlate, orange  $0.42 \times 0.05 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ 

 $T_{\min} = 0.697, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 27708 measured reflections 3507 independent reflections 3489 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 70.2^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.6^\circ$  $h = -21 \rightarrow 21$  $k = -5 \rightarrow 4$  $l = -27 \rightarrow 27$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0507P)^2 + 1.0878P]$ where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.39$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Br1	0.03352 (2)	0.06602 (3)	0.19884 (2)	0.02850 (9)	
01	-0.00432 (7)	0.4511 (2)	0.08868 (6)	0.0283 (3)	
O4	0.30880 (7)	0.4406 (2)	0.20139 (5)	0.0240 (3)	
C1	0.06668 (9)	0.4618 (3)	0.11528 (7)	0.0212 (3)	
C2	0.10318 (9)	0.2887 (3)	0.17016 (7)	0.0212 (3)	
C3	0.18140 (9)	0.2861 (3)	0.19828 (7)	0.0220 (3)	
H3	0.2015	0.1702	0.2328	0.026*	
C4	0.23713 (9)	0.4592 (3)	0.17689 (7)	0.0193 (3)	
C4A	0.20374 (8)	0.6514 (3)	0.12522 (6)	0.0189 (3)	
C5	0.25340 (9)	0.8282 (3)	0.10564 (7)	0.0221 (3)	
Н5	0.3089	0.8299	0.1259	0.027*	
C6	0.22192 (10)	1.0033 (4)	0.05623 (7)	0.0255 (3)	
H6	0.2558	1.1259	0.0431	0.031*	
C7	0.14060 (11)	0.9984 (4)	0.02611 (8)	0.0271 (3)	
H7	0.1192	1.1172	-0.0077	0.032*	
C8	0.09098 (9)	0.8207 (3)	0.04535 (7)	0.0248 (3)	
H8	0.0357	0.8171	0.0244	0.030*	
C8A	0.12158 (9)	0.6468 (3)	0.09523 (7)	0.0198 (3)	
011	0.36741 (7)	-0.0561 (2)	0.48553 (5)	0.0244 (3)	
H11O	0.4113 (8)	0.004 (5)	0.4841 (10)	0.037*	
018	0.58338 (6)	0.5207 (3)	0.42739 (5)	0.0245 (2)	
H18O	0.5660 (13)	0.396 (4)	0.4450 (9)	0.037*	
019	0.47299 (6)	0.2395 (2)	0.45660 (5)	0.0217 (2)	
O20	0.24399 (6)	0.7932 (2)	0.29021 (5)	0.0261 (2)	
C11	0.31370 (9)	0.0927 (3)	0.44373 (7)	0.0199 (3)	
C12	0.23328 (10)	0.0292 (3)	0.43377 (7)	0.0229 (3)	
H12	0.2184	-0.1123	0.4570	0.027*	
C13	0.17525 (9)	0.1711 (4)	0.39025 (7)	0.0251 (3)	
H13	0.1208	0.1253	0.3837	0.030*	
C14	0.19585 (9)	0.3800 (4)	0.35607 (7)	0.0234 (3)	
H14	0.1556	0.4771	0.3265	0.028*	
C15	0.40221 (10)	0.9337 (3)	0.30130 (7)	0.0230 (3)	
H15	0.3619	1.0299	0.2716	0.028*	
C16	0.48208 (11)	0.9953 (4)	0.30923 (7)	0.0251 (3)	
H16	0.4960	1.1350	0.2851	0.030*	
C17	0.54127 (9)	0.8551 (3)	0.35188 (7)	0.0236 (3)	
H17	0.5955	0.8990	0.3568	0.028*	

# supporting information

C18	0.52207 (9)	0.6495 (3)	0.38783 (7)	0.0206 (3)
C19	0.41987 (9)	0.3695 (3)	0.41798 (6)	0.0183 (3)
C20	0.29639 (9)	0.6680 (3)	0.32790 (6)	0.0200 (3)
C21	0.38191 (9)	0.7318 (3)	0.33688 (7)	0.0197 (3)
C22	0.44137 (9)	0.5846 (3)	0.38098 (7)	0.0179 (3)
C23	0.33587 (8)	0.3038 (3)	0.40931 (6)	0.0180 (3)
C24	0.27558 (9)	0.4463 (3)	0.36537 (7)	0.0193 (3)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Br1	0.02564 (12)	0.02819 (13)	0.03685 (13)	-0.00434 (6)	0.01723 (9)	-0.00040 (6)
01	0.0168 (5)	0.0350 (7)	0.0321 (6)	0.0009 (4)	0.0054 (5)	-0.0024 (5)
04	0.0182 (5)	0.0298 (7)	0.0237 (5)	0.0035 (4)	0.0055 (4)	0.0024 (4)
C1	0.0180 (7)	0.0225 (8)	0.0239 (7)	0.0026 (6)	0.0072 (6)	-0.0046 (6)
C2	0.0216 (7)	0.0202 (7)	0.0250 (7)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0118 (6)	-0.0018 (6)
C3	0.0239 (7)	0.0212 (7)	0.0220 (7)	0.0032 (6)	0.0085 (6)	0.0019 (6)
C4	0.0194 (7)	0.0199 (8)	0.0194 (7)	0.0020 (6)	0.0070 (6)	-0.0017 (5)
C4A	0.0179 (7)	0.0195 (7)	0.0201 (7)	0.0035 (6)	0.0066 (5)	-0.0010 (6)
C5	0.0211 (7)	0.0226 (8)	0.0242 (7)	0.0031 (6)	0.0091 (6)	-0.0004 (6)
C6	0.0303 (9)	0.0227 (7)	0.0277 (8)	0.0036 (7)	0.0151 (7)	0.0023 (7)
C7	0.0327 (9)	0.0261 (8)	0.0242 (8)	0.0094 (7)	0.0111 (7)	0.0046 (7)
C8	0.0233 (7)	0.0269 (8)	0.0229 (7)	0.0067 (6)	0.0049 (6)	0.0016 (6)
C8A	0.0180 (7)	0.0205 (7)	0.0212 (7)	0.0038 (6)	0.0064 (5)	-0.0019 (6)
011	0.0228 (6)	0.0244 (6)	0.0265 (6)	-0.0019 (4)	0.0077 (5)	0.0069 (4)
O18	0.0180 (5)	0.0250 (6)	0.0315 (6)	-0.0010 (5)	0.0086 (4)	0.0047 (5)
019	0.0182 (5)	0.0232 (5)	0.0233 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0052 (4)	0.0045 (4)
O20	0.0241 (5)	0.0279 (6)	0.0257 (5)	0.0058 (5)	0.0061 (4)	0.0049 (5)
C11	0.0211 (8)	0.0196 (7)	0.0193 (7)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0064 (6)	-0.0039 (5)
C12	0.0241 (8)	0.0239 (8)	0.0241 (7)	-0.0051 (6)	0.0125 (6)	-0.0023 (6)
C13	0.0186 (7)	0.0298 (9)	0.0294 (8)	-0.0038 (6)	0.0109 (6)	-0.0055 (7)
C14	0.0190 (7)	0.0272 (8)	0.0235 (7)	0.0019 (6)	0.0053 (6)	-0.0030 (6)
C15	0.0293 (8)	0.0195 (8)	0.0220 (7)	0.0024 (6)	0.0100 (6)	0.0006 (5)
C16	0.0352 (8)	0.0202 (7)	0.0253 (8)	-0.0024 (7)	0.0172 (7)	0.0009 (7)
C17	0.0233 (7)	0.0238 (8)	0.0280 (8)	-0.0037 (6)	0.0144 (6)	-0.0035 (7)
C18	0.0210 (7)	0.0195 (7)	0.0228 (7)	-0.0002 (6)	0.0088 (6)	-0.0039 (6)
C19	0.0196 (7)	0.0176 (7)	0.0185 (7)	0.0002 (6)	0.0066 (5)	-0.0032 (6)
C20	0.0226 (7)	0.0196 (7)	0.0184 (7)	0.0021 (6)	0.0068 (6)	-0.0016 (6)
C21	0.0225 (7)	0.0184 (7)	0.0197 (7)	0.0006 (6)	0.0085 (5)	-0.0019 (6)
C22	0.0194 (7)	0.0172 (7)	0.0190 (7)	-0.0005 (5)	0.0083 (6)	-0.0022 (5)
C23	0.0185 (7)	0.0179 (7)	0.0187 (6)	-0.0006 (6)	0.0072 (5)	-0.0020 (5)
C24	0.0196 (7)	0.0201 (8)	0.0195 (7)	0.0004 (5)	0.0074 (6)	-0.0026 (5)

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C2	1.8857 (15)	O20—C20	1.2248 (18)
O1—C1	1.220 (2)	C11—C12	1.398 (2)
O4—C4	1.2239 (19)	C11—C23	1.413 (2)

# supporting information

C1 $C9A$	1 492 (2)	C12 C12	1 285 (2)
C1 - C8A	1.403(2)	C12— $C13$	1.585 (2)
$C_1 - C_2$	1.492(2)		0.9300
$C_2 = C_3$	1.338 (2)		1.390 (2)
C3-C4	1.4/6 (2)	C13—H13	0.9500
C3—H3	0.9500	C14—C24	1.391 (2)
C4—C4A	1.486 (2)	C14—H14	0.9500
C4A—C5	1.386 (2)	C15—C21	1.384 (2)
C4A—C8A	1.407 (2)	C15—C16	1.393 (2)
C5—C6	1.394 (2)	C15—H15	0.9500
С5—Н5	0.9500	C16—C17	1.382 (2)
C6—C7	1.395 (2)	C16—H16	0.9500
С6—Н6	0.9500	C17—C18	1.395 (2)
С7—С8	1.385 (3)	C17—H17	0.9500
С7—Н7	0.9500	C18—C22	1.415 (2)
C8—C8A	1.395 (2)	C19—C22	1.460 (2)
C8—H8	0.9500	C19—C23	1.465 (2)
011—C11	1.3433 (19)	C20—C24	1.485 (2)
011—H110	0.833 (10)	$C_{20}$ $C_{21}$	1488(2)
018-C18	1 3436 (19)	$C_{21} - C_{22}$	1.100(2) 1.417(2)
018_H180	0.831(10)	C23_C24	1.117(2) 1.412(2)
010 C10	1.2541(10)	025-024	1.412 (2)
01)	1.2341 (10)		
01—C1—C8A	122.25 (15)	C11—C12—H12	119.8
01 - C1 - C2	121 59 (15)	C12-C13-C14	120.66 (14)
C8A - C1 - C2	116 16 (13)	C12 - C13 - H13	119.7
$C_{3}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$	122 79 (14)	C14 - C13 - H13	119.7
$C_3 C_2 B_{r1}$	122.79(14) 120.42(12)	$C_{24}$ $C_{14}$ $C_{13}$	119.7 110.74(14)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = B_{1}$	120.42(12) 116.70(11)	$C_{24} = C_{14} = C_{15}$	119.74 (14)
$C_1 = C_2 = B_{11}$	110.79(11) 121.47(14)	$C_{24}$ $C_{14}$ $H_{14}$	120.1
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	121.47 (14)	C13 - C14 - H14	120.1
C2-C3-H3	119.5	$C_{21}$ $-C_{15}$ $-C_{16}$	119.09 (13)
C4—C3—H3	119.3	C21—C15—H15	120.2
04 - C4 - C3	119.89 (14)	C16—C15—H15	120.2
04—C4—C4A	121.84 (14)	C17—C16—C15	120.65 (15)
C3—C4—C4A	118.26 (13)	C17—C16—H16	119.7
C5—C4A—C8A	120.29 (14)	C15—C16—H16	119.7
C5—C4A—C4	120.30 (13)	C16—C17—C18	120.53 (15)
C8A—C4A—C4	119.38 (14)	C16—C17—H17	119.7
C4A—C5—C6	119.99 (14)	C18—C17—H17	119.7
C4A—C5—H5	120.0	O18—C18—C17	116.53 (14)
С6—С5—Н5	120.0	O18—C18—C22	123.57 (14)
C7—C6—C5	119.96 (16)	C17—C18—C22	119.90 (14)
С7—С6—Н6	120.0	O19—C19—C22	120.26 (13)
С5—С6—Н6	120.0	O19—C19—C23	120.02 (14)
C8—C7—C6	120.14 (15)	C22—C19—C23	119.71 (13)
С8—С7—Н7	119.9	O20—C20—C24	120.36 (14)
С6—С7—Н7	119.9	O20—C20—C21	121.12 (14)
C7—C8—C8A	120.47 (15)	$C_{24}$ $C_{20}$ $C_{21}$	118 52 (13)
С7—С8—Н8	119.8	$C_{15}$ $C_{21}$ $C_{22}$	120 88 (14)

		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
С8А—С8—Н8	119.8	C15—C21—C20	119.13 (14)
C8—C8A—C4A	119.15 (15)	C22—C21—C20	119.99 (14)
C8—C8A—C1	119.16 (14)	C18—C22—C21	118.35 (14)
C4A—C8A—C1	121.69 (14)	C18—C22—C19	120.85 (14)
C11—O11—H11O	104.5 (16)	C21—C22—C19	120.80 (14)
C18—O18—H18O	109.2 (16)	C24—C23—C11	118.74 (13)
O11—C11—C12	117.75 (14)	C24—C23—C19	120.53 (13)
011-011-023	122 46 (14)	$C_{11} - C_{23} - C_{19}$	120 71 (13)
$C_{12}$ $C_{11}$ $C_{23}$	119.78(14)	C14 - C24 - C23	120.66 (14)
$C_{12}$ $C_{11}$ $C_{23}$	119.70(14) 120.41(15)	$C_{14} = C_{24} = C_{25}$	120.00(14)
	120.41 (13)	C14 - C24 - C20	110.90(14)
C13-C12-H12	119.8	C23—C24—C20	120.44 (13)
O1—C1—C2—C3	176.47 (15)	C16—C15—C21—C20	-179.96 (14)
C8A - C1 - C2 - C3	-3.6(2)	Q20-C20-C21-C15	-0.9(2)
01-C1-C2-Br1	-30(2)	$C_{24}$ $C_{20}$ $C_{21}$ $C_{15}$	17856(13)
C8A - C1 - C2 - Br1	176.89 (11)	020 - 020 - 021 - 013	179.75 (14)
$C_1$ $C_2$ $C_3$ $C_4$	170.09(11)	$C_{20} = C_{20} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	-0.8(2)
C1 = C2 = C3 = C4	0.7(2)	$C_{24} = C_{20} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	-0.8(2)
Br1 - C2 - C3 - C4	-1/9./6(11)	018 - 018 - 022 - 021	-1/8.89 (14)
C2—C3—C4—O4	-1/5.82 (15)	C17—C18—C22—C21	0.4 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C4A	3.7 (2)	O18—C18—C22—C19	0.5 (2)
O4—C4—C4A—C5	-3.8 (2)	C17—C18—C22—C19	179.80 (14)
C3—C4—C4A—C5	176.67 (14)	C15—C21—C22—C18	0.1 (2)
O4—C4—C4A—C8A	174.35 (14)	C20-C21-C22-C18	179.47 (13)
C3—C4—C4A—C8A	-5.2 (2)	C15—C21—C22—C19	-179.30 (14)
C8A—C4A—C5—C6	0.3 (2)	C20-C21-C22-C19	0.1 (2)
C4—C4A—C5—C6	178.50 (14)	O19—C19—C22—C18	0.2 (2)
C4A—C5—C6—C7	-0.7(2)	C23—C19—C22—C18	-179.10(13)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.3 (3)	Q19—C19—C22—C21	179.56 (13)
C6-C7-C8-C8A	0.5(3)	$C^{23}$ $C^{19}$ $C^{22}$ $C^{21}$	0.3(2)
C7 - C8 - C8A - C4A	-0.9(2)	011 - 011 - 022 - 021	17868(13)
C7 C8 C8A C1	170.08(15)	$C_{12} C_{11} C_{23} C_{24}$	1/0.00(13)
$C_{-}C_{0}C_{0}C_{0}C_{0}C_{0}C_{0}C_{0}C_{0$	1/9.08(13)	C12 - C11 - C23 - C24	0.0(2)
$C_3 = C_4 A = C_8 A = C_8$	0.3(2)	011 - 011 - 023 - 019	0.1(2)
C4 - C4A - C8A - C8	-1//./1(14)		-1/8.5/(14)
C5-C4A-C8A-C1	-179.51 (14)	019-019-023-024	-179.12 (13)
C4—C4A—C8A—C1	2.3 (2)	C22—C19—C23—C24	0.2 (2)
O1—C1—C8A—C8	1.9 (2)	O19—C19—C23—C11	-0.6(2)
C2—C1—C8A—C8	-178.02 (14)	C22—C19—C23—C11	178.72 (13)
O1—C1—C8A—C4A	-178.11 (15)	C13—C14—C24—C23	-0.1(2)
C2—C1—C8A—C4A	2.0 (2)	C13—C14—C24—C20	179.34 (14)
O11—C11—C12—C13	-178.50 (14)	C11—C23—C24—C14	-0.1(2)
C23-C11-C12-C13	0.2 (2)	C19—C23—C24—C14	178.52 (14)
C11—C12—C13—C14	-0.4 (2)	C11—C23—C24—C20	-179.52 (13)
C12—C13—C14—C24	0.4 (2)	C19—C23—C24—C20	-0.9 (2)
C21—C15—C16—C17	0.6 (2)	O20-C20-C24-C14	1.2 (2)
C15-C16-C17-C18	-0.1(2)	$C_{21}$ $C_{20}$ $C_{24}$ $C_{14}$	-17820(13)
$C_{16}$ $C_{17}$ $C_{18}$ $O_{18}$	178 93 (14)	020 - C20 - C24 - C23	-17931(14)
$C_{16}$ $C_{17}$ $C_{18}$ $C_{22}$	-0.1(2)	$C_{21} C_{20} C_{24} C_{23} C_{24} C_{23}$	13(2)
$C_{10} - C_{17} - C_{10} - C_{22}$	0.4(2)	$C_{21} - C_{20} - C_{24} - C_{23}$	1.3 (2)
U10 - U13 - U21 - U22	-0.0(2)		

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
011—H11 <i>O</i> …019	0.83 (2)	1.81 (2)	2.5766 (16)	153 (2)
O18—H18 <i>O</i> …O19	0.83 (2)	1.89 (2)	2.6097 (16)	144 (2)
O11—H11 <i>O</i> ···O19 <sup>i</sup>	0.83 (2)	2.40 (2)	2.8730 (16)	117 (2)
O18—H180····O11 <sup>i</sup>	0.83 (2)	2.35 (2)	2.9677 (17)	131 (2)
С3—Н3…О20 <sup>іі</sup>	0.95	2.25	3.1657 (18)	161
C13—H13…O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.46	3.348 (2)	156
C15—H15…O4 <sup>iv</sup>	0.95	2.56	3.4358 (18)	153
C17—H17····O4 <sup>v</sup>	0.95	2.43	3.228 (2)	141

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ii) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (iii) *x*, -*y*-1/2, *z*+1/2; (iv) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (v) *x*+1, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2.