Title: Development of Peptide Vaccines in Dengue

Kavita Reginald[a,b], Yanqi Chan[a], Magdalena Plebanski[c], Chit Laa Poh[a*]

[a]*Research Centre for Biomedical Sciences, Sunway University, Bandar Sunway, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia;*
[b]*Department of Biological Science, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, Bandar Sunway, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia*
[c]*Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia*

Abstract: Dengue is one of the most important arboviral infection worldwide, infecting up to 390 million people and causing 25,000 deaths annually. Although a licensed dengue vaccine is available, it is not efficacious against dengue serotypes that infect people living in South East Asia, where dengue is an endemic disease. Hence, there is an urgent need to develop an efficient dengue vaccine for this region. Data from different clinical trials indicate that a successful dengue vaccine must elicit both neutralizing antibodies and cell mediated immunity. This can be achieved by designing a multi-epitope peptide vaccine comprising B, CD8$^+$ and CD4$^+$ T cell epitopes. As recognition of T cell epitopes are restricted by human leukocyte antigens (HLA), T cell epitopes which are able to recognize several major HLAs will be preferentially included in the vaccine design. While peptide vaccines are safe, biocompatible and cost-effective, it is poorly immunogenic. Strategies to improve its immunogenicity by the use of long peptides, adjuvants and nanoparticle delivery mechanisms are discussed.

Keywords: Dengue, peptide vaccine, multi-epitope, synthetic peptides, HLA.

INTRODUCTION

Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral disease that occurs mainly in the tropical and subtropical regions [1]. It causes an annual estimated 390 million infections, of which 500,000 require hospitalization and 25,000 deaths are reported [2, 3]. Dengue is transmitted to humans by female *Aedes aegypti* or *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes infected with the dengue virus. Most patients have asymptomatic or self-limiting dengue fever (DF), however others may suffer from life-threatening dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) or dengue shock syndrome (DSS) [4]. Severe dengue is a potentially deadly complication due to plasma leakage, fluid accumulation, respiratory distress, severe bleeding, and organ impairment.

Dengue virus belongs to the *Flaviviridae* family and is endemic in more than 100 countries worldwide [3]. Dengue infection can be caused by four distinct, but closely related serotypes, DENV-1, DENV-2, DENV-3 and DENV-4, sharing 65-70% sequence homology [5]. Dengue virus has a positive stranded ~11kb RNA genome, encoding for a polypeptide chain of approximately 3400 amino acids. This polypeptide is made up of three structural proteins (capsid, membrane and envelope), and seven non-structural (NS) proteins (NS1, 2a, 2b, 3, 4a, 4b and 5). The structural proteins form the external viral structure, while the NS proteins are involved in the viral replication machinery.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Research Centre for Biomedical Sciences, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 5 Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. Tel: +60-03-7491 8622 ext. 7338; E-mail: pohcl@sunway.edu.my

Host immunity against dengue virus

Immunity against viral infection can be divided into the innate and adaptive arms. Upon entry of viruses into the human host, it is first recognized by the innate immunity, which is the first line of defense against pathogens. Cells of the innate immunity recognize viral components as being 'foreign' by using different types of pattern recognition receptors such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), nucleotide oligomerization domain-like receptors (NOD) and retinoic acid-inducible gene I-like receptors (RLRs). These receptors trigger downstream intracellular signaling cascades, eliciting the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [6, 7]. Of these, type I interferons (IFNs) are the primary cytokines that inhibit viral replication in both infected and uninfected cells [8]. In addition, type I IFNs promote the initiation of adaptive immune responses by mediating activation of dendritic cells (DCs), enhancing the effector functions of macrophages and lymphocyte, and stimulating the humoral response to neutralize viruses [9].

Adaptive immunity against viral infections are mediated by antibodies (humoral immunity) and cellular mediated immunity. Humoral immunity is mediated by B cells, which when stimulated by CD4^{+} T helper cells, secrete specific anti-viral antibodies. These antibodies "neutralize" the virus by binding to its envelope or capsid proteins, thereby preventing its attachment and entry into host cells. Antibodies can also function to opsonize viral particles and promote their destruction by phagocytes such as macrophages and neutrophils [9]. Viral neutralization and opsonization by antibodies are only effective when they are extracellular.

Once virus has infected the cells, its elimination is mediated by the killing of the infected cell by CD8^{+} cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (T_c). Viral proteins are degraded by the proteolytic enzymes in the endosome, and resulting peptides are loaded on either major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I or class II molecules. Recognition of viral peptides bound to MHC-I by T-cell receptor (TCR), followed by co-stimulatory signals generated by CD4^{+} T-helper (T_h) cells and DCs [10] are necessary to stimulate the activation of CD8^{+} T-cells. Activated CD8^{+} T_c release cytotoxic granules containing granzymes, perforins and granulysin that induce the apoptosis of viral-infected cells, and cytokine production of TNF-α and IFN-γ.

During the primary DENV infection, antibodies against the virus are able to neutralize the viral infection and confer lifelong protection against it. Upon heterologous infection with another DENV serotype, there is an initial phase of cross-protection. However, these antibodies are short-lived, and are superseded by the non-neutralizing cross-reactive antibodies [11]. They form antibody-virus complexes and bind to the FcγR receptors of circulating monocytes and macrophages, allowing the heterologous DENV to infect these cells more efficiently, leading to high viral replication and viral load, eventually causing a more severe form of dengue infection. This phenomenon is known as antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) [12, 13].

While the humoral response to secondary heterologous dengue infection leads to ADE, the equivalent aberrant response in the cellular immunity is termed the "original antigenic sin" [14]. The original antigenic sin, or the Hoskins effect refers to the propensity of the immune system to favor the immunological memory of the first infecting antigen, when an altered version of the antigen is encountered later. In the case of dengue, during the secondary dengue infection (with a virus serotype different from the primary infection), the cellular immunity is dominated by cross-reactive memory T cells generated during the first infection. Although the cross-reactive T cells are activated more rapidly and are present in large numbers, they are inefficient in lysis of DENV-infected cells due to sub-optimal TCR triggering. This results in poor virus clearance from the host [14, 15].

The exact role of T cells, as being protective or pathologic during dengue infection is still being debated [16-18]. Initial research in this area mainly support the pathological role of T cells in dengue. The delay in viral elimination leading to a more severe dengue immunopathology observed during secondary infections could be due to lower affinity CD8^{+} T cells that were dysfunctional [14]. Several studies highlighted the observation of DENV-specific T cells that produced high levels of TNF-α (versus IFN-γ) during secondary infection as a potential cause of immunopathology [19-21]. High amounts of TNF-α can lead to vascular leakage, a symptom of severe dengue. Accordingly, as IFN-γ is implicated in antiviral activity, the lower IFN-γ secretions observed during heterologous infection indicates reduced clearance of viral infected cells. Apart from the TNF-α / IFN-γ balance, the lack of cytotoxic activity of T cells is also a contributing factor to the negative role of T cells in secondary infections [22].

Of late however, data from studies on CD8^{+} T cells support its protective role in secondary dengue infections. A recent study by Rivino *et. al.* (2015) reported that the DENV-specific CD8^{+} T cells in patients with acute secondary dengue infection had anti-viral effector phenotype, characterized by high IFN-γ, CD107a^{+} and low TNF-α cytokines [23]. It was previously suggested that high levels of TNF-α found in serum of severe dengue patients could be the causal factor of plasma leakage [24]. The TNF-α cytokine may not originate from dengue-specific T cells, as it has been reported that these cells were only detected in the blood of dengue infected patients post-viremia resolution, indicating that it was unlikely that T cells were linked to plasma leakage [25]. Furthermore, individual HLA alleles have been implicated in dengue susceptibility or protection (Table 2). In a study performed on dengue seropositive individuals from Sri Lanka, the protective CD8^{+} T cells had higher response magnitude and were polyfunctional in terms of cytokine production [26].

Current prevention options for dengue

The burden and costs of dengue continues to grow, with an estimation of more than 96 million symptomatic dengue infections in the world annually. At present, the most successful method of controlling dengue is based primarily on mosquito vector control and dengue case management, but these strategies are only partially successful. Of late, there has been an increasing amount of research in the field of dengue vaccine development. Although one vaccine (Dengvaxia®) has been registered and several others are in late phases of clinical trials, so far, none has provided effective protection against all DENV serotypes. The challenge in dengue vaccine development arises from the presence of four serotypes of viruses that can cause the disease, and the previous observations that subsequent heterologous infection often result in a more severe form of dengue, implying that natural humoral or cell-mediated immune response against the primary dengue infection is insufficient to confer complete protection against the heterologous DENV.

Dengvaxia® CYD-TDV by Sanofi Pasteur is currently the only vaccine approved for use in endemic populations. It is a tetravalent live-attenuated vaccine (LAV), composed of prM/E genes of the four DENV serotypes on the 17D yellow fever RNA backbone [27]. The yellow fever virus belongs to the same virus family as dengue, and has previously shown good immunogenicity and safety profile as a vaccine [28]. In general, Dengvaxia® showed higher efficacy in DENV seropositive recipients (having pre-existing anti-DENV antibodies) compared to seronegative recipients [29, 30]. The efficacy of Dengvaxia® varied according to serotype and age of the vaccinees. In individuals above 9 years old, higher overall protection was observed against DENV-4 (83.2%) and DENV-3 (73.6%), and was less efficient against DENV-1 (58.4%) and DENV-2 (47.1%) [31]. Protection against DENV-2 was particularly low in the Asian population at only 36.8% [31], although seroconversion was observed [32]. Efficacy of Dengvaxia® was higher against severe dengue and hospitalization in recipients above 9 years old (90.9% and 81.6%, respectively), compared to those below 9 years of age (44.5% and 56.1%, respectively) [31].

Reduced efficacies to all serotypes were observed in children less than 9 years old, in decreasing order from DENV-3 (62.1%), DENV-4 (51.7%), DENV-1 (46.6%) and DENV-2 (33.6%). In a large phase III study that involved 10,275 children from five Asian countries, Dengvaxia® CYD14 only produced an endpoint of 56.5% efficacy [29]. Asian children (2-5 years old) receiving Dengvaxia® had significantly higher hospitalization risk for virologically confirmed dengue cases, 15 cases in the vaccine group versus one case in the control group [30, 31, 33]. In addition, the number of serious adverse effects reported in the vaccinees (402 cases) was almost double of that in the control group (245 cases) [29], raising serious concerns against the use of Dengvaxia® as the preferred vaccine against dengue infection.

Although Dengvaxia® has several shortcomings, it is currently the only licensed vaccine against dengue infection. WHO has recommended the use of Dengvaxia® in countries where dengue is endemic, and for patients of 9 – 45 years old [34]. The lower efficacy of Dengvaxia® against DENV-1 and DENV-2, coupled with its low safety levels in children below 9 years old calls for the need of a more efficacious vaccine candidate, primarily in regions where DENV-1 and -2 are the prevailing serotypes, such as in Malaysia and Singapore [35-37]. The main mode of immune response of Dengvaxia® seems to be via the humoral route, by eliciting neutralizing antibodies. Despite the seroconversion against different serotypes, the lack of protection of Dengvaxia® (in particular against DENV-2) indicates that neutralizing antibodies alone are insufficient to confer protection, and there is a need for vaccine developers to pay attention on eliciting cellular immunity against dengue [26, 38].

Few other vaccine candidates are currently undergoing clinical trials, of which TV003/ TV005 and DENVax (TDV) are in the frontline [39]. TV003 and TV005 are tetravalent LAVs developed by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) in United States. The composition of TV003 and TV005 differs by its dose of the attenuated DENV-2, which was 10 fold higher in TV005 [40]. TV003/TV005 were attenuated by creating deletion of 30/31 base pairs in the 3' untranslated for each serotype, and for DENV-2, the prM/E proteins were substituted on the rDENV-4Δ30 backbone [41]. TV003 is currently in phase III clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02406729) while TV005 is in phase II trial (NCT02678455). The overall tetravalent neutralizing antibody response reported for TV003 and TV005 were 74.0% and 90.0%, respectively [39, 42]. Seroconversion rates for TV005 was more balanced between different serotypes, with 92% against DENV-1 and 97% against DENV 2-4, when compared to TV003 [42]. So far, results from clinical trials have been showing promising outcomes. In the phase II study, all 21 individuals vaccinated against TV003 and challenged with rDEN2Δ30 did not develop viremia, neutropenia or rash and was protected from infection. All patients were also protected against the second challenge administered 6 months after the initial vaccination [43]. In contrast to Dengvaxia®, TV003 conferred higher degree of protection against DENV-2, and induced strong CD8^{+} T cell responses, directed against the highly conserved non-structural protein epitopes of all DENV serotypes [44].

DENVax (TDV) is a tetravalent LAV developed by Takeda Pharmaceuticals, containing the prM and E genes of DEN-1, -3, and -4 on the DENV-2 PDK-53 genetic backbone [33]. The DENV2-PDK-53 has been attenuated by 53 serial passages in primary dog kidney cells, and further attenuated by gene mutation of NS3 [33, 39]. Phase I studies for DENVax were performed in participants aged 18-45 years old in United States and Columbia. Different doses and routes of vaccine administration were evaluated. Neutralizing antibodies were produced against all serotypes regardless of dose and injection routes. Approximately 62% of the participants were able to seroconvert to all four serotypes 30 days after the second vaccination dose was administered [45]. Titers of neutralizing antibodies varied according to the serotype, with the highest against DENV-1 and -2, and lowest to DENV-4 [46]. In the multicentre, double-blind phase II studies conducted in Puerto Rico, Columbia, Singapore and Thailand, DENVax was well tolerated with no serious adverse events reported, irrespective of pre-vaccination dengue exposure profile and age of volunteers [46]. In addition to eliciting neutralizing antibodies, as the architecture of DENVax contained the genes that encode non-structural proteins of DENV-2, it was able to elicit multifunctional CD8^{+} T cell responses against NS1, NS3 and NS5 proteins of DENV-2, which was able to cross-react to the same proteins in other serotypes [33, 47, 48].

Other anti-dengue vaccine candidates that are currently in the pipeline include live attenuated vaccines, inactivated vaccines, recombinant vector vaccines, DNA vaccines and subunit vaccines [33, 49-53]. The peptide based vaccine strategy for dengue is currently intensely researched,

via discovery of specific B, T_c and T_h epitopes (Table 1) and represents an approach with great potential to prevent the disease.

Table 1. Distribution of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ epitopes based on dengue proteins

No.	Protein	No. of epitopes	Subset	Ref.
1	C, NS2A, NS3, NS4B, NS5	8	CD8	[54]
2	C, NS4B, NS5	4	CD8	[55]
3	C	2	CD4	[56]
4	NS3	2	CD8	[57]
5	NS3	1	CD8	[14]
6	NS3	3	CD4	[58]
7	NS3	2	CD4	[21]
8	NS3	1	CD8	[59]
9	NS3	1	CD4	[60]
10	NS3	1	CD8	[61]
11	NS3	1	CD4	[62]
12	NS3	2	CD4	[63]
13	NS3	1	CD8	[64]
14	NS3, NS4B, NS5	10	CD8	[65]
15	NS3	1	CD8	[22]
16	NS3	1	CD8	[66]
17	NS3	1	CD8	[20]
18	NS3, NS5	2	CD8	[67]
19	NS3	3	CD8	[68]
20	NS3, NS4B, NS5	5	CD8	[69]
21	NS5	14	CD8	[70]
22	E	8	CD8	[71]
23	E	3	CD8	[72]
24	C, PrM, M, E, NS1, NS2A, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5	18	CD4, CD8	[73]
25	C, E, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5	13	CD8	[74]
26	C, M, E, NS2A, NS3, NS4B, NS5	25	CD8	[26]
27	E, NS2A, NS3, NS4B	9	CD8	[75]
28	M, E, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B	16	CD4, CD8	[76]
29	C, NS1, NS3	5	CD4, CD8	[77]
30	E, NS2A, NS4A, NS4B	7	CD8	[78]
31	NS2b, NS3, NS4a, NS4b, NS5	8	CD8	[79]
32	C, E, NS3	4	CD4	[80]
33	C, M, E, NS2A, NS4B, NS5	12	CD8	[81]
34	C, E, NS3, NS4B, NS5	5	CD8	[82]
35	E, NS4A, NS4B	4	CD8	[15]
36	C, PrM, M, E, NS3, NS4A	47	CD4, CD8	[83]
37	C, M, E, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4B NS5	30	CD4, CD8	[84]
38	E, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5	19	CD4, CD8	[85]
39	E, NS1	2	CD8	[86]
40	NS1, NS3, NS5	16	CD4	[87]
41	NS3, NS5	5	CD8	[88]
42	E	10	CD4	[89]
43	E	3	N/A	[90]
44	prM	4	N/A	[91]
45	E	9	CD4	[92]
46	E, NS1, NS3, NS5	29	N/A	[93]
47	E, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5	12	CD4, CD8	[94]
48	E	7	CD4	[95]

N/A – not available

Peptide vaccines compared to other types of dengue vaccinations

Peptide based vaccines are currently being developed to be used both as therapeutic or prophylactic treatment for a multitude of diseases, ranging from cancers and viral infections, to allergies and Alzheimer's disease. A total of 475 clinical trials (266 in phase I, 198 in phase II, 11 in phase III) that used peptide vaccines for different diseases have been reported in ClinicalTrials.gov, a database of the US National Institute of Health (Figure 1). So far, no peptide vaccine has reached phase IV trial. While the number of clinical trials reported from March 2014 (506 trials) [96] to date (15 June 2017, 475 trials) has not changed much, it still signifies a wide-spread interest of this vaccination strategy. Most of the peptide vaccines in clinical trials were against different cancers, followed by viral infections, allergy and auto-immune diseases (Figure 2). The viral based peptide vaccines were in phase I (29 clinical trials) and phase II (13 clinical trials) against HIV, HBV, HCV and CMV (Figure 2). No peptide vaccine against dengue is currently in clinical trial.

Currently, there are 103 clinical trial entries in ClinicalTrials.gov using the search terms "dengue" and "vaccine" (data accessed on 15 June 2017). This is a 72% increase of the number of trials, compared to the 60 studies reported in 2014 [41].

In dengue research, all four vaccines which are currently in the late clinical trial development stages (phase II and above) have been designed using the live attenuated virus (LAV) strategy. Although LAVs are very potent immunological stimulators, and are able to ideally generate appropriate immune responses to protect the host against subsequent viral infections, there are several risks associated with LAVs. As LAVs are living organisms, there is a risk of reversion to its original pathogenic form, which is capable of causing disease. This has been previously observed in the cases of vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis and disease-causing vaccine-derived poliovirus associated with oral polio vaccine [97, 98]. LAVs could cause potential harm to immunocompromised patients (eg. HIV infected) as they may not be able to respond adequately to the vaccine [99]. Other disadvantages include high storage and transportation costs, as LAVs usually require storage at low temperatures to remain active. In the case of dengue, as it can be caused by 4 serotypes, LAVs need to be prepared from all serotypes, and the resulting downstream growth and purification of these strains can be laborious and costly.

In an adaptive immunological response against foreign antigens, only short segments of the antigens (termed epitopes) are recognized by the B- and T-cell receptors [100]. Synthetic peptide vaccines (or "designer" vaccines) are composed of selected epitope(s) of the antigenic protein, thus enabling the induction of highly targeted immune responses. Using well defined antigen(s), the disadvantages commonly associated with whole pathogen vaccine, such as reversion to the wild type virus and contamination with other antigenic components can easily be avoided [101]. Compared to conventional vaccines, peptide synthesis is highly reproducible, fast and cost effective [96, 101]. However, despite its high safety profile compared to live attenuated vaccines, synthetic peptide vaccines are generally poorly immunogenic. They are susceptible to enzymatic degradation, making them unstable *in vivo* [101]. These shortcomings can be overcome by using several strategies, which will be explored in a greater detail in this review, such as increasing peptide length, addition of T-helper epitope sequences, addition of the third signal, adjuvants, delivery methods, or a combination of several approaches. The final goal is to develop a safe, reproducible and genetically stable vaccine, which is capable of inducing the appropriate immune response.

Viral T-cell epitopes are HLA restricted

Once the viral antigen is taken up by the antigen presenting cells (APCs) such as DCs, it is processed by proteolytic degradation in the proteasomes, and the resulting peptides are transported into the endoplasmic reticulum. Here, CD8$^+$ T cell epitopes of the virus binds to MHC class I molecule, and the complex is expressed on the cell surface of the APC [102]. MHC (or human leukocyte antigens, HLA in humans), are one of the most highly polymorphic genes determining specificity to antigen epitopes [103, 104]. MHC-I molecules are encoded by HLA-A, -B and –C (presenting CD8$^+$ T cell epitopes), while MHC-II molecules are encoded by HLA-DP, -DQ and –DR genes (presenting CD4$^+$ T cell epitopes). The polymorphic residues within the HLA molecule determine the peptide binding specificity, and this is termed HLA restriction. One of the main challenges in designing peptide vaccines is selecting the correct epitope, as T cell epitopes are restricted by HLA molecules. Furthermore, as there is a vast diversity of HLA types in a population, individual patients could recognize a different set of epitopes for the same antigen [101, 105]. However, several studies have demonstrated that some HLA supertypes can share high degree of peptide binding specificities. In approximately 90% of people, three peptide binding specificities are shared among HLA-A02, A03 and B07 [106, 107].

The HLA gene is located on chromosome 6p21 which is in close proximity to many other immune-related genes. Many studies have found that some HLA alleles were associated to disease susceptibility, while others were protective. Similarly, in dengue, studies investigating the association of HLA alleles to dengue susceptibility or protection have been carried out in many countries (Table 2). Most HLA associations have been investigated for class I HLA molecules, and very little is known regarding the role of HLA-II molecules. There seems to be a great diversity in HLA-alleles that confer susceptibility / protection across various populations (Table 2). This is perhaps due to two reasons. First, it has been shown that HLA alleles are specific to ethnicity and geographical location [108, 109]. Second, the four co-circulating dengue virus serotypes could

further influence the HLA-specificity leading to the heterogeneous HLA-molecules associated with protection / susceptibility of dengue.

Table 2. Susceptible and protective HLA to dengue based on population

Population	Susceptible HLA	Protective HLA	Reference
Malaysia (total)	HLA-B*53	HLA-A*03 HLA-B*18	[110]
Malaysia (Malay population)	HLA-B*13		[110]
Philippines (children)		HLA-A*3301(severe dengue)	[111]
Brazil (Latin American population)	HLA-B*44	HLA-B*07, HLA-DR*13	[112]
Brazil (White population)	HLA-DQ*01		[113]
Brazil	HLA-A*01 (DHF)		[114]
Cuba	HLA-A*01	HLA-B*14 HLA-A*29	[115]
	HLA-A*31 (DHF) HLA-B*51	HLA-DRB1*07 HLA-DRB1*04	[73]
Vietnam (children)	HLA-A*24 (DHF)	HLA-A*33 (DHF)	[88]
	HLA-A*2402 (DHF/DSS) HLA-A*2403 (DHF/DSS) HLA-A*2410 (DHF/DSS)	HLA-DRB1*0901 (DSS)	[116]
Mexico	HLA-DQB1*0302 (DHF, not DF) HLA-DQB1*0202 (DF only)	HLA-B*35 (DF)	[117]
Sri Lanka	HLA-DRB1*08 (DHF/DSS) HLA-A*31 (DHF/DSS)		[118]
Thai	HLA-B*51 (DHF, secondary infection) HLA-A*0207 (DHF) HLA-B*52 (DHF, primary infection)	HLA-A*0203 HLA-B*44 HLA-B*62 HLA-B*76 HLA-B*77	[119]
	HLA-A*02 (secondary infection) HLA-A*01 (secondary infection) HLA-A*03 (secondary infection)	HLA-B44 (DHF, secondary infection)	[120]
	HLA-A*02	HLA-B*13	[121]
Venezuela	HLA-B*57 (DF) HLA-B*40 (DHF)	HLA-A*03 (DHF)	[122]
Jamaica	HLA-A*24 HLA-DRB5*01 HLA-DRB5*02	HLA-A*23 HLA-CW*04 HLA-DQB1*02 HLA-DQB1*03	[123]

T cell epitope mapping by experimental or predictive approaches

Epitopes can be broadly classified into linear or conformational epitopes, based on their interaction with the paratope. T cell epitopes (specific to either CD4+ or CD8+ cells) are linear, where its sequence is continuous, based on the primary amino acid sequence of the antigen. B cell epitopes can be linear or conformational. Conformational epitopes are based on the three-dimensional protein structure interaction with the B-cell receptor, therefore its amino acid sequence is discontinuous.

Current vaccination formulations against dengue target the humoral immunity, and have been shown to be insufficient to effectively protect against the infection. Cytotoxic T cells play an important role in killing infected cells and control viremia of dengue virus. Hence, we focus the scope of this review on the use of T cell epitopes in peptide vaccine formulations, and the different strategies that could be employed to improve immunogenicity of the vaccine formulation.

The identification of T cell epitopes of antigens is the first step in designing peptide-based vaccines. This can be achieved either experimentally, using functional assays, or by *in silico* predictive approaches, with each method having its own advantages and limitations. An experimental method that is commonly used to identify T cell epitopes in dengue is by synthesizing a peptide library consisting of short overlapping synthetic peptides, spanning either the whole virus protein, or focusing on a specific protein of interest. These peptides are then tested using a functional assay such as ELISPOT to measure the release IFN-γ from PBMCs isolated from patients [83, 84, 124], intracellular cytokine staining of IFN-γ and TNF-α from patients CD4+ and CD8+ T cells of patients [19], or *in vitro* lymphocyte proliferation response of peptide-primed lymphocytes [89]. Alternatively, similar functional assays may also be performed using purified recombinant protein fragments [89]. MHC-microarray is another technique performed by spotting MHC-I molecules on microarray slides, and interacting it with peptides that can be recognized by T-cells. By adding anti-cytokine antibodies to this assay, the amount of cytokine secreted by the target T cell can be measured, similar to that in an ELISPOT. This method has been used to map T cell epitopes in HIV [125], but has not gained popularity due to high cost and complexity of sample preparation and instrumentation. In cell ELISAs, the solid multi-well surface is first coated with an anti-cytokine antibody, followed by the addition of peptides and T cells. If the T cells recognize the peptide, it would secrete cytokines which can be detected by capture of the solid-phase antibody. T cell epitope mapping of a multiple sclerosis related intracellular pathogen, *Mycobacterium avium,* has been delineated using this method [126].

The binding of peptide epitope to HLA molecules is the pre-requisite of peptide-TCR recognition and eventually T cell activation and differentiation. Identification of the antigenic peptides that bind to HLA molecules *in vivo* can now be performed using immunoproteomics approaches. Compared to other experimental approaches, this method identifies clinically-relevant epitopes in a straightforward and direct manner, not subjected to any biases such as selection of dominant epitopes based on its level of IFN-γ secretion, or predictive scores of HLA-binding based on certain algorithms. This approach has been used to identify the MHC-I binding epitopes of dengue virus [54, 55]. The MHC-I epitopes identified originated from both structural and non-structural DENV proteins, indicating that T_c epitopes of DENV is more wide-spread than previously thought [26]. More importantly, this approach enabled the identification of two promiscuous T_c epitopes, binding to HLA-A2 and HLA-A24 [54], which allows for broader vaccine coverage and efficacy.

While experimental methods are unbiased, epitope identification involves testing many peptides, rendering this approach costly and tedious. Predictive bioinformatics approaches, on the other hand, are high throughput and economical as only the predicted epitopes with high confidence would be tested in functional biological assays to validate its activity. The bioinformatics approach has been used heavily in predicting T cell epitopes in dengue. The first step of *in silico* T cell epitope prediction is to predict peptide binding to HLA alleles and supertypes. Several prediction methods are available, based on the method of machine learning used. These include position specific scoring matrices (PSSM) such as RANKpep [80, 82], SYFPEITHI [73, 78, 82], BIMAS [73], support vector machines (SVM) [127, 128] and artificial neural network (ANN) (netMHC [129]. The previously listed algorithms identify peptide binding to individual HLA alleles. Now, it is possible to predict promiscuous peptide binding to HLA, based on its binding grove, termed panHLA binding. Several pan-specific algorithms exists such as MULTIPRED [130] netMHCpan [70, 131], KISS [132], TEPITOPEpan [133], Pick-Pocket [134] and ADT [135]. Once the HLA-binding peptide is predicted, other computational algorithms that model the MHC class I antigen processing, such as proteosomal cleavage, transport associated protein (TAP) transport (eg. NetCTL [79, 136] and MHC-PATHWAY [137]) and hydopathy [138] may be applied to improve the prediction stringency. Finally, selected predicted epitopes should be tested using functional biological assays to validate its biological function. The predictive approaches have its own limitations - identification of false positive epitopes, and the poor discrimination between MHC-binding peptides which are T cell epitopes or non-epitopes.

Peptide length affects antigenicity

Immunogenicity has been shown to be dependent on peptide length [139, 140]. Short peptides (8 -11 amino acids) are able to bind directly to the MHC-I molecule, without the need of processing by professional APCs [141, 142]. As a consequence, such short peptides containing the

minimal T_c epitopes are capable of binding to MHC-I molecules on any cell, including non-professional APCs, which lack the co-stimulatory molecules required to generate effector T_c cells, resulting in CD8+ T cell tolerance [143, 144]. On the other hand, longer peptides (more than 17 amino acids) have been reported to require proteosomal processing by APCs prior to its presentation by MHC molecules [145]. Synthetic peptides that were extended so that they were now sufficiently long to be processed by DCs were able to trigger the expansion of effector T_c [146]. Several studies that performed direct comparisons between minimal T_c epitopes and long peptides containing the T_c epitopes in different antigens (human papillomavirus, ovalbumin or adenovirus) have shown that longer peptides were able to elicit more robust and effective T_c responses [140, 147].

Improving the efficacy of synthetic peptides – adding the CD4+ T cell epitope

Activation of cytotoxic T cells requires two signals. The first signal is the recognition of the antigen via its presentation on the MHC–I molecules by the T cell receptor on the naïve cytotoxic T cells. The second signal involves the interaction between co-stimulatory molecules which are expressed on the surfaces of APC and the naïve cytotoxic T cell. T-helper cells are among the APCs that express co-stimulatory molecules. Experiments performed by co-injecting the minimal epitopes of T_c and T_h were successful in preventing T_c tolerance [140], and augmenting the T_c response [148-150] as both signal 1 and 2 were present, allowing T_c cells to mature into effector cells. The delivery of multi-epitope peptide vaccines by linking both the minimal epitopes of T_c and T_h synthetically as a single peptide appears to be more efficient than co-injecting a mixture of each epitope [151-153]. As the multi-epitope vaccine is now a longer peptide, it is highly likely that its increased potency could be attributed to it being processed by the APCs, ensuring the accurate T_c epitopes presented on MHC-I, as well as the activation via co-stimulatory molecules. In fact, a 15-mer peptide which was initially reported to be able to induce T_c responses in the absence of any adjuvants, was later identified to also contain a T_h epitope, which likely aided this response [154].

As in MHC-I, MHC-II genes are also highly polymorphic, and the recognition of T_h epitopes are restricted by its HLA alleles. To overcome this, an artificial pan HLA-DR helper T-lymphocyte epitope (PADRE) peptide was constructed by introducing anchor residues for different DR motifs within a polyalanine backbone to generate a 'universal' T-helper epitope [10]. PADRE binds to majority of the human HLA-DR receptors with moderate to high affinity, hence it is able to overcome the HLA class II restriction by providing 'universal' immune stimulation in a MHC-heterologous population [101, 155]. PADRE has been shown to increase the immune response to associated peptide antigens. In a study using HBV-transgenic mice, a construct of HBV-specific T_c epitope and PADRE could break the T_c tolerance [156]. Potent HPV-16 E7-specific CD8+ T cell immune responses were generated when C57BL/6 mice were injected with HPV-16 E7$_{49-57}$ (9 aa-long peptide) in combination with PADRE and polyriboinosinic:polyribocytidylic acid [poly (I:C)] [157]. Ghaffari-Nazari *et al.* (2015) demonstrated that the addition of the PADRE peptide and CpG adjuvant to synthetic, multi-epitope, long Her2/neu CTL peptide, P$_{5+435}$ (44 aa-long peptide, inclusive of the RR linker) enhanced T_c immune response and anti-tumor effects [145]. In dengue vaccine development, a multi-epitope vaccine was designed containing a T cell epitope (E$_{345-359}$), a B cell epitope (E$_{383-397}$), both originating from the envelope domain III (EDIII) of DENV-2 and PADRE. Mice immunized with this multi-epitope vaccine generated specific antibodies against EDIII, and were able to induce *in vitro* lymphoproliferation and T_h1-dominated cytokine release [158].

Instead of manually selecting dominant T_c and T_h epitopes to design a peptide vaccine, an alternative is to use either very long synthetic peptides (approximately 100 amino acids long) [159], or a mixture containing long overlapping synthetic peptides spanning the antigen of choice [160]. In both cases, the long synthetic peptide used will contain the naturally occurring antigen-specific T_c and T_h epitopes. Due to its length, these synthetic peptides have to be processed by the professional APCs, prior to presentation on MHC-I or MHC-II receptors. This approach therefore offers the advantage of not biasing the T_c or T_h epitope selection. In addition, as the APC is required to proteolytically digest and present the epitopes on HLA-I and HLA-II molecules, the *in vivo* cellular machinery would select the correct HLA-restricted epitopes, eliminating the laborious (and perhaps erroneous) pre-selection of epitopes.

Other co-stimulatory molecules

The first signal alone (TCR recognition of antigen epitope presented via MHC) is insufficient for T cell activation and maturation, and often leads to anergy. The second signal of activation is provided upon interaction of co-stimulatory molecules expressed on the surfaces of T cells and APC. However, T cell activation and differentiation has been shown to occur in the absence of APC, if the co-stimulatory molecule was introduced together with the first signal. This was demonstrated in a study by den Boer *et. al.* (2001) where mice were injected subcutaneously with a T_c epitope derived from the human adenovirus type 5 E1A in incomplete Freud's adjuvant (IFA) with or without CD40-activating antibodies [161]. They observed that the T_c peptide alone was not able to generate E1A-specific T_c responses, but mice that received the T_c peptide and CD40 treatment were completely protected against tumor outgrowth [161]. In another study performed in murine models, co-injection of a nine-amino acid CD8+ T cell epitope peptide originating from HPV-16 E7 protein with soluble 4-1BBL, a costimulatory molecule, showed higher efficacy in eradicating established TC-1 tumors compared to

injection of the peptide alone [162]. In general, co-stimulatory receptors can be broadly classified into two families; the CD28 family (eg. CD28, CTLA-4, ICOS, PD-1 and BTLA) and the TNFR family (eg. CD27, 4-1BB, CD40, TRAIL, CD30 and OX40). Each member appears to be important in different phases of the immune response, and has been described in detail in a review by Duttagupta *et. al.* [163].

Adjuvants and carriers for peptide vaccines

Inclusion of inflammatory cytokines (third signal)

Adjuvants are agents that can stimulate the immune system, and when administered together with vaccines, improve the antigenicity of the vaccine. Various molecules have been used as adjuvants, including (but not limited to) inflammatory cytokines, TLR agonists, emulsions, bacterial cell components, carbohydrates, mineral salts or combinations of several molecules. In addition to signal 1 (recognition of MHC presented epitopes by TCR) and signal 2 (co-stimulation), optimal T-cell activation and maturation requires the correct inflammatory cytokine milieu (signal 3) [164, 165]. The types of cytokines present in the microenvironment of the $CD4^+$ T cells after activation and co-stimulation determine the differentiation pathway taken by the cell to become T_h1, T_h2 or T_h17 cells [165].

One of the early experiments to demonstrate the requirement of the third signal was performed in an APC-free environment, using naïve T cells from TCR transgenic mice and artificial MHC peptide/protein antigen complexes immobilized on inert latex microspheres [166]. It was observed that $CD8^+$ T cells required the presence of IL-12 in addition to the antigen peptide and IL-2 to have optimal differentiation and clonal expansion, while for $CD4^+$ T cells, IL-1 was the necessary third signal [166]. More recent studies have demonstrated that $CD8^+$ T cells require IL-12 or type I IFN to support the expansion of this cell type *in vivo*. In experiments where cells lacked the receptors for IL-12, type I IFN, or both, the dependency on the third signal changed when different infections were introduced. The $CD8^+$ T cell expansion was shown to be dependent on type I IFN for choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection [164]. In vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection, type I IFN was able to substitute the function of IL-12 in $CD8^+$ T cell expansion [150, 167]. Neither IL-12 nor type I IFN was required for cell expansion during vaccinia virus (VV) infection [164]. On one hand, it has been reported that high amounts of the co-stimulatory signal IL-2 supported $CD8^+$ T cell cytolytic activity and its differentiation into effector T_c, [147, 168] and that IL-2 was able to support T cell activation, but the question remains if IL-2 is able to compensate for IL-12 and type I IFN during vaccinia virus infection.

Another frequently used cytokine adjuvant is the granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF). GM-CSF is able to augment both humoral and cellular immunity by upregulating the surface expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules, CD40 and CD86 [169], enhancing antigen processing and presentation [170]. GM-CSF has been used successfully as an adjuvant with the commercialized HBV vaccine, Engerix-B® [171] and with several peptide vaccines in clinical trials [172, 173]. In dengue vaccination, the use of GM-CSF has so far been restricted to DNA vaccines where it was capable of eliciting stronger immune responses [174, 175]. While GM-CSF is safe and effective, it's only disadvantage seems to be its short circulating half-life [176], which can be overcome by either attaching a polyethylene glycol moiety to GM-CSF [177], or by encapsulation in a micro or nanoparticle [178, 179].

Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists

TLRs are transmembrane proteins that are localized either on the cell surface or intracellular components such as endosomes. TLRs of the cell surface (TLR1, 2, 4, 5, and 6) are primarily involved in detection of extracellular bacterial products, while TLRs of the endosomes (TLR 3, 7, 8 and 9) target the identification of viral and bacterial nucleic acids [180]. TLRs are able to identify pathogen-associated microbial patterns (PAMPs) from microorganisms, and initiate the signaling cascade of early innate immune responses. Agonists of TLR3, 4, 7 and 9 have been shown to enhance the efficacy and potency of anti-viral or anti-cancer peptide vaccines in mouse models [147, 181-186]. In fact, the success of early vaccines is due to its accidental contamination with bacterial components that were able to elicit TLR activation, providing it the additional "adjuvant effect". Some examples are the lesions from cowpox patients that contained vaccinia virus and TLR 9 agonists, and the presence of TLR 2, 4 and 9 in the heat-killed *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, which is a major component of complete Freund's adjuvant [180].

TLRs are able to act as adjuvants of the poorly immunogenic peptide vaccines, as they can activate dendritic cells (DCs) [187]. When DCs are activated, the expression of co-stimulatory molecules such as CD80/86 and CD40 get upregulated, and are key in subsequent T-cell activation and differentiation [188]. In addition, TLR ligands are able to recruit MHC molecules from the endosomal recycling compartments, and thus enhancing antigen cross-presentation [189]. TLRs are often used in viral vaccine formulation owing to their strong adjuvant properties. Imidazoquinoline, a TLR-7/8 agonist, was able to act as an adjuvant and broaden responses to the avian influenza virus H5N1 HA based antigens in related viral clades, conferring a wider protection against this virus [190]. A highly selective TLR7 agonist, GS-9620, when administered together with a HIV peptide showed increased $CD8^+$ T cell degranulation, cytolytic activity and cytokine secretion [190]. Some studies performed in humans and mice propose using several TLR agonists in combination for a more potent adjuvant effect [191, 192]. A combination of three TLRs (TLR 2/6, TLR 3 and TLR 9) increased protective efficacy of HIV envelope

peptide vaccination in mice, compared to when only 2 TLR ligands were used. Increased vaccine efficacy was most likely due to higher production of IL-15 and its receptor IL-15Rα which contributed to the high avidity of T cells to the HIV antigen, enhancing viral clearance [191]. In dengue vaccine development, a group from Taiwan has evaluated the use of CpG oligodeoxynucleotides (a TLR-9 agonist) in a subunit vaccine preparation containing dengue-1 envelope protein domain III and water-in-oil-in-water emulsion [193]. This vaccine preparation was able to induce anti-DENV-1 neutralizing antibodies and increased IFN-γ secretion from splenocytes following *in vitro* stimulation, unlike another preparation formulated using aluminium phosphate [193]. The applications of TLR agonists are vast, and has also been shown to be efficacious in the areas of cancer and infection immunotherapy [180, 194, 195].

Alum

Alum (aluminium hydroxide) is a safe, well-known, and US FDA (US Food and Drug Administration)-approved adjuvant for human use [196]. Antigens bind to alum by adsorption, and the resulting alum-antigen complex has enhanced antigen uptake and presentation by APCs [197]. Despite the wide use of alum in different vaccine formulations, it is a poor activator of DCs, and induces poor T_h1-associated immune response essential for anti-viral vaccines [198].

Emulsion based carriers

Complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) is one of the most commonly used adjuvants in animal research. CFA is not approved for human application as it's too toxic, exhibiting high reactogenicity and has reported to cause local neurotic ulcers. It is made up of a combination of bacterial and emulsion adjuvants, specifically heat killed bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) (*Mycobacterium bovis* strain) and mineral oils. The mode of action of CFA is two-pronged: the mineral oil encapsulation of antigen provides a depot for slow antigen release, favoring phagocytosis. The heat killed bacterium activates DCs and the T_h1 pathway via its adjuvant effect. In animal immunizations, CFA is usually only used during the first antigen injection, as it induces a strong T_h1 dominated inflammatory response at the site of injection. For subsequent injections, antigen is injected with the less toxic IFA, which lacks the *Mycobacterium* component.

As synthetic peptide vaccines are poorly immunogenic, encapsulation of peptide vaccines are helpful as it provides what is known as the "depot effect", which is a prolonged exposure, and slow release from the emulsion to ensure a complete immune response. In addition, encapsulation protects peptide vaccines against proteolytic digestion. However, in some cases, the depot effect has resulted in prolonged local chronic infection [10, 140, 199]. Additionally, in the absence of the second signal, the depot effect can risk promoting CD8^{+} T cell tolerance, which has been observed in vaccination carried out with minimal T cell peptides [10, 140, 200, 201].

Encapsulated carriers are customizable, and are either delivered as water-in-oil emulsions, or multi-loaded with other adjuvants such as inflammatory cytokines or TLR agonists. Two of the newer emulsion based carriers that have been used in human vaccinations include MF59 and Montanide. MF59 is a microfluidized water-in-oil emulsion made up of squalene, polysorbate 80 and sorbitan trioleate [202]. It is the first adjuvant to be used in FLUAD®, a seasonal influenza vaccine [203, 204]. Montanide™ is another family of water-in-oil emulsion adjuvants, of which two formulations, Montanide ISA51 (IFA) and Montanide ISA720 are specific for human use. Its applications are vast and has been used in about 300 clinical trials involving vaccines of malaria, AIDS, cancer and autoimmune diseases. Commercially, Montanide ISA51 has been used in the formulation of CimaVax-EGF, a vaccine against non-small-cell lung carcinoma [205]. Other squalene-based emulsions such as AF03, AS03 and AS04 have also been approved for human applications, but are disease and country specific.

Liposomes

Liposomes are self-assembling vesicular structures composed of phospholipid bilayer shell with an aqueous core, and have been used extensively as drug delivery vehicles [206]. They can be generated as either multilameller vesicles which consist of several concentric phospholipid shells separated by layers of water, or unilameller vesicles made of a single phospholipid bilayer [207, 208]. Liposomes are biocompatible and completely biodegradable, making them advantageous over other vaccine carriers because of their lack of toxicity. Liposome are chemically and structurally flexible, and can encapsulate or conjugate to either a hydrophilic antigen, or a lipophilic component which can intercalate between the lipid molecules, promoting a better uptake by APCs due to improved antigen accessibility [206]. In addition, surfaces of liposomes can be designed to target immune cells and co-deliver immune-stimulatory agents, to enhance the immune response [124, 209]. For example, liposomes have been used in the delivery of diphtheria toxoid in combination with poly (I:C) [209]. It has also been approved in vaccine formulations for human use, such as Inflexal® (influenza vaccine) and Epaxal V® (hepatitis A vaccine) [210, 211]. In the field of dengue vaccine research, a novel vaccine candidate was formulated using truncated recombinant envelope protein from all 4 serotypes (DEN-80E) and ionisable cationic lipid nanoparticles [212]. Immunization of mice, rodents and non-human primates with this vaccine formulation was able to elicit high titers of DENV neutralizing antibodies, and induced DEN-80E specific CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cell responses [212].

Virus-like particles (VLPs)

VLPs are self-assembling nanoparticle structures that resemble viruses, composed of viral structural proteins (such as capsid and envelope proteins). However, they are non-infectious and are unable to replicate as they do not contain any viral genetic material. Hence, VLPs are a safer alternative to LAVs, having the same external viral protein assembly that is capable of inducing strong humoral and cell-mediated immunity, without the adverse effects associated with LAVs [208, 213, 214]. The use of VLPs as a vaccination strategy in dengue has also been explored. Recombinant VLPs containing prM and E proteins of each dengue serotype were generated in mammalian cells, and evaluated for their humoral and cell-mediated immune responses in mice [215]. Both monovalent and tetravalent VLPs demonstrated specific antibody production and neutralizing antibody activity. Splenocytes harvested from mice vaccinated with either dengue VLPs or virions were then stimulated *in vitro* with VLPs, and both groups showed comparable IFN-γ release, indicating that the VLPs were capable of stimulating cell-mediated immunity [215]. In another similar study, the secretion of TNF-α and IL-10 were reported in mice immunized with tetravalent VLPs containing the prM and E proteins [216]. Although the VLP approach for dengue vaccination has been shown to be efficacious in mice, its effectiveness in humans are yet to be tested. These experiments would be important to do, as the current knowledge of epitopes point to the fact that dengue virus structural proteins (and NS1) mainly elicit the humoral response, while the cell mediated-immunity is primarily generated against T cell epitopes from the non-structural regions, and is mostly concentrated at NS3, NS4B and NS5 [26].

Other nanoparticles

Several other nanoparticle strategies for the delivery of peptide vaccines exist, and are summarized in Table 3. Among these nanoparticles, some have been investigated in relation to dengue vaccines such as polymeric nanoparticles (PLGA and chitosan) and inorganic nanoparticles (carbon nanotubes) (Table 3)

Nanoparticle	Use in dengue vaccine	Example of use
Immunostimulatory complexes (ISCOMs)	No	HBV, HSV type I, influenza [208]
Self-assembling peptide nanoparticles	No	Cancer [217], avian influenza [218] and HIV [219]
Polymeric nanoparticles (PLGA)	Yes [220]	H1N1 [221]
Polymeric nanoparticles (Chitosan)	Yes [222-224]	HPV [155]
Inorganic nanoparticles	Yes [225]	Influenza A [226], Hepatitis B core proteins [227]
Ligand Epitope Antigen Presentation System (L.E.A.P.S)	No	Influenza, HSV [228]

Table 3. Examples of other nanoparticles used for peptide vaccine delivery

| CEL-1000 | No | Malaria [229] |

Creative strategies in designing multi-epitope peptide vaccines

Designing a multi-epitope peptide vaccine allows for customization of the molecule, in order to stimulate the appropriate immune response desired. Using the multi-epitope strategy, it is possible to "hand-pick" epitopes of interest, thereby combining epitopes of interest from different protein subunits in one long synthetic peptide. This was elegantly demonstrated in a vaccine candidate of hepatitis C virus, where the peptide vaccine contained three immunodominant $CD8^+$ T cell epitopes from different protein subunits, a $CD4^+$ epitope and a B cell epitope [230]. When tested in Balb/c mice, this vaccine candidate was able to elicit specific HCV IgG_1 and IgG_{2a} antibodies, increased IFN-γ levels, and a stable and long lasting immune response when tested 9 weeks after the last immunization [230]. A similar approach was employed in designing an anti-foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) vaccine candidate, consisting of tandem repeats of five B cell epitopes from different FMDV variants and one T cell epitope [231].

Another study combined the approaches of multi-epitope peptides and subunit vaccine. The multi-epitope assembly peptide (MEAP) against herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) was constructed by inserting 12 different epitopes (six B cell epitopes, four $CD4^+$ T cell epitopes and two $CD8^+$ T cell epitopes) into the extracellular fragments of HSV-2 glycoprotein D [232]. This MEAP construct could be a potential vaccine candidate against HSV-2, as it was able to completely protect immunized mice against HSV-2 infection by eliciting high titers of neutralizing antibodies and cell-mediated immune responses [232].

The peptide vaccine approaches described so far have used different epitopes from a single protein. Creative designing of vaccines using multiple peptides derived from multiple proteins have been gaining attention of late. In cancer, as tumor associated antigen (TAA) expression is limited to a proportion of tumors, the use of multi-protein peptide vaccine is more advantageous compared to traditional single-protein peptide vaccine. Multiple peptides originating from different proteins have the possibility of targeting higher amounts of T_c, and have shown to be effective in studies involving myeloma [233], esophageal cancer [234] and non-small cell lung cancer [235]. A multi-protein peptide vaccine for renal cancer, IMA901, is currently undergoing phase III trial [236], and has shown to be clinically beneficial. This approach has a potential to be explored in the area of dengue, given that T_c epitopes have been identified in multiple proteins of a particular dengue virus serotype, and that epitopes of all four virus serotypes must be included in the final vaccine formulation to confer complete protection.

HLA restriction of epitopes is one of the major stumbling blocks in selecting the appropriate epitopes to design a successful peptide vaccine. Two different strategies could be used to overcome this issue. First, a mixture epitopes specific to different HLA molecules could be co-delivered during vaccination. This approach has been used successfully in a phase II trial for melanoma [237]. In this study, either 4 or 12 defined melanoma peptides from different proteins, melanocytic differentiation proteins (tyrosinase and gp100), cancer testis antigens (MAGE-A1, -A3, -A10 and NY-ESO-1) and five other novel peptides, that were restricted to HLA-A1, HLA-A2 and HLA-A3 were co-delivered with tetanus toxoid peptide, GM-CSF and Montanide ISA51 [237]. The authors observed that the more complex 12-peptide mixture induced a broader and more robust immune response. In addition, despite competition due to additional peptides specific to MHC molecules that did not match MHC of the patients, immunogenicity of individual peptides were maintained [237]. The second approach entails the use of T cell epitopes that are promiscuous in their binding to HLA. The HLA-DR restricted $CD4^+$ T cell epitope of cancer-testis antigen HCA587 was shown to behave as a promiscuous epitope, as it was able to stimulate T cells in the context of multiple HLA-II alleles [238]. In dengue research, the group of Ernesto Marques identified DENV-3 T cell epitopes that were highly conserved among all four dengue serotypes, and demonstrated that these epitopes were also promiscuous in their HLA binding, some able to bind to as many as 14 HLA molecules [93], making these epitopes promising candidates to be used in dengue vaccination.

Future outlooks in designing peptide vaccines against dengue

In the last few years, there has been concentrated research efforts in identifying T- and B- cell epitopes against dengue virus. This was reflected in the number of dengue-specific epitopes deposited in the immune epitope database (IEDB). In May 2017, there were 825 HLA-I restricted epitopes and 884 HLA-II restricted epitopes, which was a significant increase from what was previously reported [38]. The DENV proteome is made up of 10 proteins, three structural and seven non-structural. The results of multiple studies point out that the humoral response is mostly directed against the structural proteins (pre-membrane and envelope) and NS1 [239, 240], $CD8^+$ T-cell epitopes are concentrated in the non-structural region [76] (mainly NS3 and NS5) [19, 26, 69, 78] and $CD4^+$ T cell epitopes recognize the capsid, envelope and NS3 regions [19, 26, 77, 83, 84]. An exception

is DENV3, where the CD8^{+} T cell epitopes were found in both structural and non-structural proteins [241].

Dengvaxia®, the only licensed vaccine to date is not effective against DENV-1 and -2. As prM and E proteins were the only dengue proteins in Dengvaxia® which was built on a YFV backbone, it was not surprising that it only elicited anti-prM and anti-E antibody responses in vaccinated individuals, while no cell-mediated immunity was reported, likely due to the absence of dengue NS proteins in the vaccine construct. The other two most advanced vaccines in clinical trials, DENVax (Takeda) and TV003/TV005 (NIAID) is made up entirely of dengue proteins, and were therefore able to elicit both humoral and T-cell responses against DENV. As these vaccines are LAVs, there are two main disadvantages - the significant risks associated with its use, and the high cost factor of producing tetravalent LAVs.

Finding a good dengue vaccine has been difficult as the pathogenesis of the disease is complexed by the four co-circulating serotypes, and the worsening of the symptoms during secondary heterologous infections. An ideal dengue vaccine must mimic the natural immune response against the natural virus- that is having both humoral and cell-mediated immune responses against all four serotypes, while being safe, and ideally affordable. HLA-restriction of CD8^{+} and CD4^{+} T cell epitopes must also be factored in the vaccine design. As shown from multiple studies (Table 2), the HLA-restriction observed in different populations (with regards to the protective and susceptible HLA molecules) were heterogeneous. Studies done to investigate peptide binding to cell lines expressing single HLA molecules or purified HLA proteins showed that as several HLA molecules shared peptide binding specificities, only a small number of HLA needs to be targeted in order to cover the restriction profile of the majority of a population [106, 107]. In relation to dengue vaccines, once the major protective HLA molecules for a certain population has been identified, these HLA-restrictions should be taken into account to select the appropriate T_c epitopes in the multi-epitope vaccine design.

One of the hallmarks of a successful vaccine candidate is its ability to protect against viral infections. In the case of peptide vaccines against dengue, this was evaluated by first immunizing the mice with the peptides in relevant adjuvants, followed by infection using a mouse-adapted viral strain of dengue. Two groups have shown that different formulations of multi-epitope peptide vaccines were able to protect vaccinated mice against dengue viral challenge, likely via a combination of humoral and cell-mediated pathways [69, 158]. Similar promising outlooks were reported in peptide vaccines developed against other viruses such as influenza [242, 243], vaccinia and variola viruses [244] and foot-and-mouth disease virus [245] that were able to confer protection when challenged with virus.

An effective anti-dengue vaccine should be capable of eliciting both neutralizing antibodies and activating CD8^{+} cytotoxic T cells to kill virus-infected cells, eventually leading to viral clearance from the host. However, it is insufficient for a peptide vaccine candidate to elicit high levels of peptide-specific IgG antibodies without sufficient neutralizing activity [90], as this could be potentially cause ADE [246, 247], subsequently leading to severe dengue. The live attenuated tetravalent vaccine Dengvaxia® was able to elicit high levels of neutralizing antibodies [range: 47% (DENV2) to 83% (DENV4)] against all four dengue virus serotypes, but still reported poor efficacy against DENV1 (<58%) and DENV2 (<47%) [31]. This suggests that complete immune protection cannot be conferred solely by neutralizing antibodies, and should be complemented by the cellular immunity. Initial clinical trial data of the three other dengue vaccines, two from NIAID [42, 43], one from Takeda [46], showed that they were able to stimulate both neutralizing antibodies and cytotoxic T cell response against dengue virus. However, protective efficacies of both vaccines will be known based on the phase III clinical trial outcomes.

The multi-epitope peptide vaccine based strategy appears to be an attractive route to pursue as it is safe, reproducible and cost-effective. The vaccine will be able to elicit both humoral and cellular immunity to mimic the natural immune response against dengue as it is comprised of B- and T- cell epitope sequences that are highly conserved in all four serotypes. Immunogenicity of the peptide vaccine may be improved by the addition of adjuvants, and its delivery to lymphocyte cells targeted using nanoparticles.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

[1]   Beatty ME, Stone A, Fitzsimons DW, Hanna JN, Lam SK, Vong S, Guzman MG, Mendez-Galvan JF, Halstead SB, Letson GW, Kuritsky J, Mahoney R, Margolis HS, Asia P, Americas Dengue Prevention Boards Surveillance Working G. Best practices in dengue surveillance: a report from the Asia-Pacific and Americas Dengue Prevention Boards. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2010; 4: e890.

[2]   Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GR, Simmons CP, Scott TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature, 2013; 496: 504-7.

[3]   Guzman MG, Halstead SB, Artsob H, Buchy P, Farrar J, Gubler DJ, Hunsperger E, Kroeger A, Margolis HS, Martinez E, Nathan MB, Pelegrino JL, Simmons C, Yoksan S, Peeling RW. Dengue: a continuing global threat. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2010; 8: S7-16.

[4]   Lin HE, Tsai WY, Liu IJ, Li PC, Liao MY, Tsai JJ, Wu YC, Lai CY, Lu CH, Huang JH, Chang GJ, Wu HC, Wang WK. Analysis of epitopes on dengue virus envelope protein recognized by monoclonal antibodies and polyclonal human sera by a high throughput assay. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2012; 6: e1447.

[5]   Weaver SC, Vasilakis N. Molecular evolution of dengue viruses: contributions of phylogenetics to understanding the history and

epidemiology of the preeminent arboviral disease. Infect Genet Evol, 2009; 9: 523-40.

[6] Mogensen TH. Pathogen recognition and inflammatory signaling in innate immune defenses. Clin Microbiol Rev, 2009; 22: 240-73.

[7] Takeuchi O, Akira S. Innate immunity to virus infection. Immunol Rev, 2009; 227: 75-86.

[8] Swain SL, McKinstry KK, Strutt TM. Expanding roles for CD4(+) T cells in immunity to viruses. Nat Rev Immunol, 2012; 12: 136-48.

[9] Newton AH, Cardani A, Braciale TJ. The host immune response in respiratory virus infection: balancing virus clearance and immunopathology. Semin Immunopathol, 2016; 38: 471-82.

[10] Rosendahl Huber S, van Beek J, de Jonge J, Luytjes W, van Baarle D. T cell responses to viral infections - opportunities for Peptide vaccination. Front Immunol, 2014; 5: 171.

[11] Kliks SC, Nisalak A, Brandt WE, Wahl L, Burke DS. Antibody-dependent enhancement of dengue virus growth in human monocytes as a risk factor for dengue hemorrhagic fever. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 1989; 40: 444-51.

[12] Dejnirattisai W, Jumnainsong A, Onsirisakul N, Fitton P, Vasanawathana S, Limpitikul W, Puttikhunt C, Edwards C, Duangchinda T, Supasa S, Chawansuntati K, Malasit P, Mongkolsapaya J, Screaton G. Cross-reacting antibodies enhance dengue virus infection in humans. Science, 2010; 328: 745-8.

[13] Chan KR, Zhang SL, Tan HC, Chan YK, Chow A, Lim AP, Vasudevan SG, Hanson BJ, Ooi EE. Ligation of Fc gamma receptor IIB inhibits antibody-dependent enhancement of dengue virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011; 108: 12479-84.

[14] Mongkolsapaya J, Dejnirattisai W, Xu XN, Vasanawathana S, Tangthawornchaikul N, Chairunsri A, Sawasdivorn S, Duangchinda T, Dong T, Rowland-Jones S, Yenchitsomanus PT, McMichael A, Malasit P, Screaton G. Original antigenic sin and apoptosis in the pathogenesis of dengue hemorrhagic fever. Nat Med, 2003; 9: 921-7.

[15] Bashyam HS, Green S, Rothman AL. Dengue virus-reactive CD8+ T cells display quantitative and qualitative differences in their response to variant epitopes of heterologous viral serotypes. J Immunol, 2006; 176: 2817-24.

[16] Zompi S, Harris E. Original antigenic sin in dengue revisited. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2013; 110: 8761-2.

[17] Thein S, Aung MM, Shwe TN, Aye M, Zaw A, Aye K, Aye KM, Aaskov J. Risk factors in dengue shock syndrome. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 1997; 56: 566-72.

[18] Rivino L. T cell immunity to dengue virus and implications for vaccine design. Expert Rev Vaccines, 2016; 15: 443-53.

[19] Duangchinda T, Dejnirattisai W, Vasanawathana S, Limpitikul W, Tangthawornchaikul N, Malasit P, Mongkolsapaya J, Screaton G. Immunodominant T-cell responses to dengue virus NS3 are associated with DHF. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010; 107: 16922-7.

[20] Friberg H, Bashyam H, Toyosaki-Maeda T, Potts JA, Greenough T, Kalayanarooj S, Gibbons RV, Nisalak A, Srikiatkhachorn A, Green S, Stephens HA, Rothman AL, Mathew A. Cross-reactivity and expansion of dengue-specific T cells during acute primary and secondary infections in humans. Sci Rep, 2011; 1: 51.

[21] Mangada MM, Rothman AL. Altered cytokine responses of dengue-specific CD4+ T cells to heterologous serotypes. J Immunol, 2005; 175: 2676-83.

[22] Mongkolsapaya J, Duangchinda T, Dejnirattisai W, Vasanawathana S, Avirutnan P, Jairungsri A, Khemnu N, Tangthawornchaikul N, Chotiyarnwong P, Sae-Jang K, Koch M, Jones Y, McMichael A, Xu X, Malasit P, Screaton G. T cell responses in dengue hemorrhagic fever: are cross-reactive T cells suboptimal? J Immunol, 2006; 176: 3821-9.

[23] Rivino L, Kumaran EA, Thein TL, Too CT, Gan VC, Hanson BJ, Wilder-Smith A, Bertoletti A, Gascoigne NR, Lye DC, Leo YS, Akbar AN, Kemeny DM, MacAry PA. Virus-specific T lymphocytes home to the skin during natural dengue infection. Sci Transl Med, 2015; 7: 278ra35.

[24] Inyoo S, Suttitheptumrong A, Pattanakitsakul SN. Synergistic Effect of TNF-alpha and Dengue Virus Infection on Adhesion Molecule Reorganization in Human Endothelial Cells. Jpn J Infect Dis, 2017; 70: 186-191.

[25] Dung NT, Duyen HT, Thuy NT, Ngoc TV, Chau NV, Hien TT, Rowland-Jones SL, Dong T, Farrar J, Wills B, Simmons CP. Timing of CD8+ T cell responses in relation to commencement of capillary leakage in children with dengue. J Immunol, 2010; 184: 7281-7.

[26] Weiskopf D, Angelo MA, de Azeredo EL, Sidney J, Greenbaum JA, Fernando AN, Broadwater A, Kolla RV, De Silva AD, de Silva AM, Mattia KA, Doranz BJ, Grey HM, Shresta S, Peters B, Sette A. Comprehensive analysis of dengue virus-specific responses supports an HLA-linked protective role for CD8+ T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2013; 110: E2046-53.

[27] Graham RR, Juffrie M, Tan R, Hayes CG, Laksono I, Ma'roef C, Erlin, Sutaryo, Porter KR, Halstead SB. A prospective seroepidemiologic study on dengue in children four to nine years of age in Yogyakarta, Indonesia I. studies in 1995-1996. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 1999; 61: 412-9.

[28] Sangkawibha N, Rojanasuphot S, Ahandrik S, Viriyapongse S, Jatanasen S, Salitul V, Phanthumachinda B, Halstead SB. Risk factors in dengue shock syndrome: a prospective epidemiologic study in Rayong, Thailand. I. The 1980 outbreak. Am J Epidemiol, 1984; 120: 653-69.

[29] Capeding MR, Tran NH, Hadinegoro SR, Ismail HI, Chotpitayasunondh T, Chua MN, Luong CQ, Rusmil K, Wirawan DN, Nallusamy R, Pitisuttithum P, Thisyakorn U, Yoon IK, van der Vliet D, Langevin E, Laot T, Hutagalung Y, Frago C, Boaz M, Wartel TA, Tornieporth NG, Saville M, Bouckenooghe A, Group CYDS. Clinical efficacy and safety of a novel tetravalent dengue vaccine in healthy children in Asia: a phase 3, randomised, observer-masked, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet, 2014; 384: 1358-65.

[30] Ferguson NM, Rodriguez-Barraquer I, Dorigatti I, Mier YT-RL, Laydon DJ, Cummings DA. Benefits and risks of the Sanofi-Pasteur dengue vaccine: Modeling optimal deployment. Science, 2016; 353: 1033-1036.

[31] Hadinegoro SR, Arredondo-Garcia JL, Capeding MR, Deseda C, Chotpitayasunondh T, Dietze R, Muhammad Ismail HI, Reynales H, Limkittikul K, Rivera-Medina DM, Tran HN, Bouckenooghe A, Chansinghakul D, Cortes M, Fanouillere K, Forrat R, Frago C, Gailhardou S, Jackson N, Noriega F, Plennevaux E, Wartel TA, Zambrano B, Saville M, Group C-TDVW. Efficacy and Long-Term Safety of a Dengue Vaccine in Regions of Endemic Disease. N Engl J Med, 2015; 373: 1195-206.

[32] Sabchareon A, Wallace D, Sirivichayakul C, Limkittikul K, Chanthavanich P, Suvannadabba S, Jiwariyavej V, Dulyachai W, Pengsaa K, Wartel TA, Moreau A, Saville M, Bouckenooghe A, Viviani S, Tornieporth NG, Lang J. Protective efficacy of the recombinant, live-attenuated, CYD tetravalent dengue vaccine in Thai schoolchildren: a randomised, controlled phase 2b trial. Lancet, 2012; 380: 1559-67.

[33] Osorio JE, Huang CY, Kinney RM, Stinchcomb DT. Development of DENVax: a chimeric dengue-2 PDK-53-based tetravalent vaccine for protection against dengue fever. Vaccine, 2011; 29: 7251-60.

[34] Aguiar M, Stollenwerk N, Halstead SB. The Impact of the Newly Licensed Dengue Vaccine in Endemic Countries. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2016; 10: e0005179.

[35] Chew MH, Rahman MM, Hussin S. Molecular epidemiology and phylogenetic analysis of Dengue virus type-1 and 2 isolated in Malaysia. Pak J Med Sci, 2015; 31: 615-20.

[36] Ng LC, Chem YK, Koo C, Mudin RN, Amin FM, Lee KS, Kheong CC. 2013 dengue outbreaks in Singapore and Malaysia caused by different viral strains. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 2015; 92: 1150-5.

[37] Dhanoa A, Hassan SS, Ngim CF, Lau CF, Chan TS, Adnan NA, Eng WW, Gan HM, Rajasekaram G. Impact of dengue virus (DENV) co-infection on clinical manifestations, disease severity and laboratory parameters. BMC Infect Dis, 2016; 16: 406.

[38] Weiskopf D, Sette A. T-cell immunity to infection with dengue virus in humans. Front Immunol, 2014; 5: 93.

[39] Schwartz LM, Halloran ME, Durbin AP, Longini IM, Jr. The dengue vaccine pipeline: Implications for the future of dengue control. Vaccine, 2015; 33: 3293-8.

[40] Whitehead SS. Development of TV003/TV005, a single dose, highly immunogenic live attenuated dengue vaccine; what makes this vaccine different from the Sanofi-Pasteur CYD vaccine? Expert Rev Vaccines, 2016; 15: 509-17.

[41]   Thomas SJ. Developing a dengue vaccine: progress and future challenges. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 2014; 1323: 140-59.

[42]   Kirkpatrick BD, Durbin AP, Pierce KK, Carmolli MP, Tibery CM, Grier PL, Hynes N, Diehl SA, Elwood D, Jarvis AP, Sabundayo BP, Lyon CE, Larsson CJ, Jo M, Lovchik JM, Luke CJ, Walsh MC, Fraser EA, Subbarao K, Whitehead SS. Robust and Balanced Immune Responses to All 4 Dengue Virus Serotypes Following Administration of a Single Dose of a Live Attenuated Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine to Healthy, Flavivirus-Naive Adults. J Infect Dis, 2015; 212: 702-10.

[43]   Kirkpatrick BD, Whitehead SS, Pierce KK, Tibery CM, Grier PL, Hynes NA, Larsson CJ, Sabundayo BP, Talaat KR, Janiak A, Carmolli MP, Luke CJ, Diehl SA, Durbin AP. The live attenuated dengue vaccine TV003 elicits complete protection against dengue in a human challenge model. Sci Transl Med, 2016; 8: 330ra36.

[44]   Weiskopf D, Angelo MA, Bangs DJ, Sidney J, Paul S, Peters B, de Silva AD, Lindow JC, Diehl SA, Whitehead S, Durbin A, Kirkpatrick B, Sette A. The human CD8+ T cell responses induced by a live attenuated tetravalent dengue vaccine are directed against highly conserved epitopes. J Virol, 2015; 89: 120-8.

[45]   Osorio JE, Velez ID, Thomson C, Lopez L, Jimenez A, Haller AA, Silengo S, Scott J, Boroughs KL, Stovall JL, Luy BE, Arguello J, Beatty ME, Santangelo J, Gordon GS, Huang CY, Stinchcomb DT. Safety and immunogenicity of a recombinant live attenuated tetravalent dengue vaccine (DENVax) in flavivirus-naive healthy adults in Colombia: a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 1 study. Lancet Infect Dis, 2014; 14: 830-8.

[46]   Sirivichayakul C, Barranco-Santana EA, Esquilin-Rivera I, Oh HM, Raanan M, Sariol CA, Shek LP, Simasathien S, Smith MK, Velez ID, Wallace D, Gordon GS, Stinchcomb DT. Safety and Immunogenicity of a Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Candidate in Healthy Children and Adults in Dengue-Endemic Regions: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Phase 2 Study. J Infect Dis, 2016; 213: 1562-72.

[47]   Chu H, George SL, Stinchcomb DT, Osorio JE, Partidos CD. CD8+ T-cell Responses in Flavivirus-Naive Individuals Following Immunization with a Live-Attenuated Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Candidate. J Infect Dis, 2015; 212: 1618-28.

[48]   Brewoo JN, Kinney RM, Powell TD, Arguello JJ, Silengo SJ, Partidos CD, Huang CY, Stinchcomb DT, Osorio JE. Immunogenicity and efficacy of chimeric dengue vaccine (DENVax) formulations in interferon-deficient AG129 mice. Vaccine, 2012; 30: 1513-20.

[49]   Torresi J, Ebert G, Pellegrini M. Vaccines licensed and in clinical trials for the prevention of dengue. Hum Vaccin Immunother, 2017: 1-14.

[50]   Durbin AP, Kirkpatrick BD, Pierce KK, Schmidt AC, Whitehead SS. Development and clinical evaluation of multiple investigational monovalent DENV vaccines to identify components for inclusion in a live attenuated tetravalent DENV vaccine. Vaccine, 2011; 29: 7242-50.

[51]   Murphy BR, Whitehead SS. Immune response to dengue virus and prospects for a vaccine. Annu Rev Immunol, 2011; 29: 587-619.

[52]   Coller BA, Barrett AD, Thomas SJ. The development of Dengue vaccines. Introduction. Vaccine, 2011; 29: 7219-20.

[53]   Fernandez S, Thomas SJ, De La Barrera R, Im-Erbsin R, Jarman RG, Baras B, Toussaint JF, Mossman S, Innis BL, Schmidt A, Malice MP, Festraets P, Warter L, Putnak JR, Eckels KH. An adjuvanted, tetravalent dengue virus purified inactivated vaccine candidate induces long-lasting and protective antibody responses against dengue challenge in rhesus macaques. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 2015; 92: 698-708.

[54]   Comber JD, Karabudak A, Huang X, Piazza PA, Marques ET, Philip R. Dengue virus specific dual HLA binding T cell epitopes induce CD8+ T cell responses in seropositive individuals. Hum Vaccin Immunother, 2014; 10: 3531-43.

[55]   Testa JS, Shetty V, Sinnathamby G, Nickens Z, Hafner J, Kamal S, Zhang X, Jett M, Philip R. Conserved MHC class I-presented dengue virus epitopes identified by immunoproteomics analysis are targets for cross-serotype reactive T-cell response. J Infect Dis, 2012; 205: 647-55.

[56]   Gagnon SJ, Zeng W, Kurane I, Ennis FA. Identification of two epitopes on the dengue 4 virus capsid protein recognized by a serotype-specific and a panel of serotype-cross-reactive human CD4+ cytotoxic T-lymphocyte clones. J Virol, 1996; 70: 141-7.

[57]   Zivny J, DeFronzo M, Jarry W, Jameson J, Cruz J, Ennis FA, Rothman AL. Partial agonist effect influences the CTL response to a heterologous dengue virus serotype. J Immunol, 1999; 163: 2754-60.

[58]   Kurane I, Okamoto Y, Dai LC, Zeng LL, Brinton MA, Ennis FA. Flavivirus-cross-reactive, HLA-DR15-restricted epitope on NS3 recognized by human CD4+ CD8- cytotoxic T lymphocyte clones. J Gen Virol, 1995; 76 (Pt 9): 2243-9.

[59]   Mathew A, Kurane I, Green S, Stephens HA, Vaughn DW, Kalayanarooj S, Suntayakorn S, Chandanayingyong D, Ennis FA, Rothman AL. Predominance of HLA-restricted cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses to serotype-cross-reactive epitopes on nonstructural proteins following natural secondary dengue virus infection. J Virol, 1998; 72: 3999-4004.

[60]   Kurane I, Zeng L, Brinton MA, Ennis FA. Definition of an epitope on NS3 recognized by human CD4+ cytotoxic T lymphocyte clones cross-reactive for dengue virus types 2, 3, and 4. Virology, 1998; 240: 169-74.

[61]   Zivny J, Kurane I, Leporati AM, Ibe M, Takiguchi M, Zeng LL, Brinton MA, Ennis FA. A single nine-amino acid peptide induces virus-specific, CD8+ human cytotoxic T lymphocyte clones of heterogeneous serotype specificities. J Exp Med, 1995; 182: 853-63.

[62]   Okamoto Y, Kurane I, Leporati AM, Ennis FA. Definition of the region on NS3 which contains multiple epitopes recognized by dengue virus serotype-cross-reactive and flavivirus-cross-reactive, HLA-DPw2-restricted CD4+ T cell clones. J Gen Virol, 1998; 79 (Pt 4): 697-704.

[63]   Zeng L, Kurane I, Okamoto Y, Ennis FA, Brinton MA. Identification of amino acids involved in recognition by dengue virus NS3-specific, HLA-DR15-restricted cytotoxic CD4+ T-cell clones. J Virol, 1996; 70: 3108-17.

[64]   Livingston PG, Kurane I, Dai LC, Okamoto Y, Lai CJ, Men R, Karaki S, Takiguchi M, Ennis FA. Dengue virus-specific, HLA-B35-restricted, human CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) clones. Recognition of NS3 amino acids 500 to 508 by CTL clones of two different serotype specificities. J Immunol, 1995; 154: 1287-95.

[65]   Imrie A, Meeks J, Gurary A, Sukhbataar M, Kitsutani P, Effler P, Zhao Z. Differential functional avidity of dengue virus-specific T-cell clones for variant peptides representing heterologous and previously encountered serotypes. J Virol, 2007; 81: 10081-91.

[66]   Zivna I, Green S, Vaughn DW, Kalayanarooj S, Stephens HA, Chandanayingyong D, Nisalak A, Ennis FA, Rothman AL. T cell responses to an HLA-B*07-restricted epitope on the dengue NS3 protein correlate with disease severity. J Immunol, 2002; 168: 5959-65.

[67]   Chang CX, Tan AT, Or MY, Toh KY, Lim PY, Chia AS, Froesig TM, Nadua KD, Oh HL, Leong HN, Hadrup SR, Gehring AJ, Tan YJ, Bertoletti A, Grotenbreg GM. Conditional ligands for Asian HLA variants facilitate the definition of CD8+ T-cell responses in acute and chronic viral diseases. Eur J Immunol, 2013; 43: 1109-20.

[68]   Mathew A, Kurane I, Rothman AL, Zeng LL, Brinton MA, Ennis FA. Dominant recognition by human CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes of dengue virus nonstructural proteins NS3 and NS1.2a. J Clin Invest, 1996; 98: 1684-91.

[69]   Elong Ngono A, Chen HW, Tang WW, Joo Y, King K, Weiskopf D, Sidney J, Sette A, Shresta S. Protective Role of Cross-Reactive CD8 T Cells Against Dengue Virus Infection. EBioMedicine, 2016; 13: 284-293.

[70]   Shi J, Sun J, Wu M, Hu N, Li J, Li Y, Wang H, Hu Y. Inferring Protective CD8+ T-Cell Epitopes for NS5 Protein of Four Serotypes of Dengue Virus Chinese Isolates Based on HLA-A, -B and -C Allelic Distribution: Implications for Epitope-Based Universal Vaccine Design. PLoS One, 2015; 10: e0138729.

[71]   Chakraborty S, Chakravorty R, Ahmed M, Rahman A, Waise TM, Hassan F, Rahman M, Shamsuzzaman S. A computational approach for identification of epitopes in dengue virus envelope protein: a step towards designing a universal dengue vaccine targeting endemic regions. In Silico Biol, 2010; 10: 235-46.

[72]   Zhong H, Zhao W, Peng L, Li S-F, Cao H. Bioinformatics analysis and characteristics of envelop glycoprotein E epitopes of dengue virus. J Biomed Sci Eng, 2009; 2: 123.

[73]   Sierra B, Alegre R, Perez AB, Garcia G, Sturn-Ramirez K, Obasanjo O, Aguirre E, Alvarez M, Rodriguez-Roche R, Valdes L, Kanki P, Guzman MG. HLA-A, -B, -C, and -DRB1 allele frequencies in Cuban individuals with antecedents of dengue 2 disease: advantages of the Cuban population for HLA studies of dengue virus infection. Hum Immunol, 2007; 68: 531-40.

[74]   Appanna R, Huat TL, See LL, Tan PL, Vadivelu J, Devi S. Cross-reactive T-cell responses to the nonstructural regions of dengue viruses among dengue fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever patients in Malaysia. Clin Vaccine Immunol, 2007; 14: 969-77.

[75]   Rivino L, Tan AT, Chia A, Kumaran EA, Grotenbreg GM, MacAry PA, Bertoletti A. Defining CD8+ T cell determinants during human viral infection in populations of Asian ethnicity. J Immunol, 2013; 191: 4010-9.

[76]   Weiskopf D, Angelo MA, Sidney J, Peters B, Shresta S, Sette A. Immunodominance changes as a function of the infecting dengue virus serotype and primary versus secondary infection. J Virol, 2014; 88: 11383-94.

[77]   Weiskopf D, Yauch LE, Angelo MA, John DV, Greenbaum JA, Sidney J, Kolla RV, De Silva AD, de Silva AM, Grey H, Peters B, Shresta S, Sette A. Insights into HLA-restricted T cell responses in a novel mouse model of dengue virus infection point toward new implications for vaccine design. J Immunol, 2011; 187: 4268-79.

[78]   Duan Z, Guo J, Huang X, Liu H, Chen X, Jiang M, Wen J. Identification of cytotoxic T lymphocyte epitopes in dengue virus serotype 1. J Med Virol, 2015; 87: 1077-89.

[79]   Lund O, Nascimento EJ, Maciel M, Jr., Nielsen M, Larsen MV, Lundegaard C, Harndahl M, Lamberth K, Buus S, Salmon J, August TJ, Marques ET, Jr. Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I restricted epitope discovery in yellow fewer and dengue viruses: importance of HLA binding strength. PLoS One, 2011; 6: e26494.

[80]   Wen JS, Jiang LF, Zhou JM, Yan HJ, Fang DY. Computational prediction and identification of dengue virus-specific CD4(+) T-cell epitopes. Virus Res, 2008; 132: 42-8.

[81]   Yauch LE, Zellweger RM, Kotturi MF, Qutubuddin A, Sidney J, Peters B, Prestwood TR, Sette A, Shresta S. A protective role for dengue virus-specific CD8+ T cells. J Immunol, 2009; 182: 4865-73.

[82]   Wen J, Duan Z, Jiang L. Identification of a dengue virus-specific HLA-A*0201-restricted CD8+ T cell epitope. J Med Virol, 2010; 82: 642-8.

[83]   Simmons CP, Dong T, Chau NV, Dung NT, Chau TN, Thao le TT, Dung NT, Hien TT, Rowland-Jones S, Farrar J. Early T-cell responses to dengue virus epitopes in Vietnamese adults with secondary dengue virus infections. J Virol, 2005; 79: 5665-75.

[84]   Rivino L, Kumaran EA, Jovanovic V, Nadua K, Teo EW, Pang SW, Teo GH, Gan VC, Lye DC, Leo YS, Hanson BJ, Smith KG, Bertoletti A, Kemeny DM, MacAry PA. Differential targeting of viral components by CD4+ versus CD8+ T lymphocytes in dengue virus infection. J Virol, 2013; 87: 2693-706.

[85]   Malavige GN, McGowan S, Atukorale V, Salimi M, Peelawatta M, Fernando N, Jayaratne SD, Ogg G. Identification of serotype-specific T cell responses to highly conserved regions of the dengue viruses. Clin Exp Immunol, 2012; 168: 215-23.

[86]   Townsley E, Woda M, Thomas SJ, Kalayanarooj S, Gibbons RV, Nisalak A, Srikiatkhachorn A, Green S, Stephens HA, Rothman AL, Mathew A. Distinct activation phenotype of a highly conserved novel HLA-B57-restricted epitope during dengue virus infection. Immunology, 2014; 141: 27-38.

[87]   Khan AM, Miotto O, Nascimento EJM, Srinivasan KN, Heiny AT, Zhang GL, Marques ET, Tan TW, Brusic V, Salmon J, August JT. Conservation and Variability of Dengue Virus Proteins: Implications for Vaccine Design. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2008; 2: e272.

[88]   Loke H, Bethell DB, Phuong CX, Dung M, Schneider J, White NJ, Day NP, Farrar J, Hill AV. Strong HLA class I--restricted T cell responses in dengue hemorrhagic fever: a double-edged sword? J Infect Dis, 2001; 184: 1369-73.

[89]   Leclerc C, Deriaud E, Megret F, Briand JP, Van Regenmortel MH, Deubel V. Identification of helper T cell epitopes of dengue virus E-protein. Mol Immunol, 1993; 30: 613-25.

[90]   Rocha RP, Livonesi MC, Fumagalli MJ, Rodrigues NF, da Costa LC, Dos Santos MC, de Oliveira Rocha ES, Kroon EG, Malaquias LC, Coelho LF. Evaluation of tetravalent and conserved synthetic peptides vaccines derived from Dengue virus Envelope domain I and II. Virus Res, 2014; 188: 122-7.

[91]   Vazquez S, Guzman MG, Guillen G, Chinea G, Perez AB, Pupo M, Rodriguez R, Reyes O, Garay HE, Delgado I, Garcia G, Alvarez M. Immune response to synthetic peptides of dengue prM protein. Vaccine, 2002; 20: 1823-30.

[92]   Roehrig JT, Risi PA, Brubaker JR, Hunt AR, Beaty BJ, Trent DW, Mathews JH. T-helper cell epitopes on the E-glycoprotein of dengue 2 Jamaica virus. Virology, 1994; 198: 31-8.

[93]   Nascimento EJ, Mailliard RB, Khan AM, Sidney J, Sette A, Guzman N, Paulaitis M, de Melo AB, Cordeiro MT, Gil LV, Lemonnier F, Rinaldo C, August JT, Marques ET, Jr. Identification of conserved and HLA promiscuous DENV3 T-cell epitopes. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2013; 7: e2497.

[94]   Sanchez-Burgos G, Ramos-Castaneda J, Cedillo-Rivera R, Dumonteil E. Immunogenicity of novel Dengue virus epitopes identified by bioinformatic analysis. Virus Res, 2010; 153: 113-20.

[95]   Kutubuddin M, Kolaskar AS, Galande S, Gore MM, Ghosh SN, Banerjee K. Recognition of helper T cell epitopes in envelope (E) glycoprotein of Japanese encephalitis, west Nile and Dengue viruses. Mol Immunol, 1991; 28: 149-54.

[96]   Li W, Joshi MD, Singhania S, Ramsey KH, Murthy AK. Peptide Vaccine: Progress and Challenges. Vaccines (Basel), 2014; 2: 515-36.

[97]   Platt LR, Estivariz CF, Sutter RW. Vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis: a review of the epidemiology and estimation of the global burden. J Infect Dis, 2014; 210 Suppl 1: S380-9.

[98]   Boot HJ, Kasteel DT, Buisman AM, Kimman TG. Excretion of wild-type and vaccine-derived poliovirus in the feces of poliovirus receptor-transgenic mice. J Virol, 2003; 77: 6541-5.

[99]   Eibl MM, Wolf HM. Vaccination in patients with primary immune deficiency, secondary immune deficiency and autoimmunity with immune regulatory abnormalities. Immunotherapy, 2015; 7: 1273-92.

[100]   Hammerling GJ, Vogt AB, Kropshofer H. Antigen processing and presentation--towards the millennium. Immunol Rev, 1999; 172: 5-9.

[101]   Skwarczynski M, Toth I. Peptide-based synthetic vaccines. Chemical Science, 2016; 7: 842-854.

[102]   Blum JS, Wearsch PA, Cresswell P. Pathways of antigen processing. Annu Rev Immunol, 2013; 31: 443-73.

[103]   Sette A, Sidney J. Nine major HLA class I supertypes account for the vast preponderance of HLA-A and -B polymorphism. Immunogenetics, 1999; 50: 201-12.

[104]   Greenbaum J, Sidney J, Chung J, Brander C, Peters B, Sette A. Functional classification of class II human leukocyte antigen (HLA) molecules reveals seven different supertypes and a surprising degree of repertoire sharing across supertypes. Immunogenetics, 2011; 63: 325-35.

[105]   Jiang S, Song R, Popov S, Mirshahidi S, Ruprecht RM. Overlapping synthetic peptides as vaccines. Vaccine, 2006; 24: 6356-65.

[106]   Barber LD, Gillece-Castro B, Percival L, Li X, Clayberger C, Parham P. Overlap in the repertoires of peptides bound in vivo by a group of related class I HLA-B allotypes. Curr Biol, 1995; 5: 179-90.

[107]   Sidney J, del Guercio MF, Southwood S, Engelhard VH, Appella E, Rammensee HG, Falk K, Rotzschke O, Takiguchi M, Kubo RT, et al. Several HLA alleles share overlapping peptide specificities. J Immunol, 1995; 154: 247-59.

[108]   Fraser PA, Moore B, Stein R, Alosco S, Johnson AH, Marcus-Bagley D, Awdeh Z, Yunis EJ, Alper CA. Complotypes in individuals of African origin: frequencies and possible extended MHC haplotypes. Immunogenetics, 1990; 31: 89-93.

[109]   Tshabalala M, Mellet J, Pepper MS. Human Leukocyte Antigen Diversity: A Southern African Perspective. J Immunol Res, 2015; 2015: 746151.

[110]   Appanna R, Ponnampalavanar S, Lum Chai See L, Sekaran SD. Susceptible and protective HLA class 1 alleles against dengue

fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever patients in a Malaysian population. PLoS One, 2010; 5.

[111]   Mercado ES, Espino FE, Perez ML, Bilar JM, Bajaro JD, Huy NT, Baello BQ, Kikuchi M, Hirayama K. HLA-A*33:01 as protective allele for severe dengue in a population of Filipino children. PLoS One, 2015; 10: e0115619.

[112]   Xavier Eurico de Alencar L, de Mendonca Braga-Neto U, Jose Moura do Nascimento E, Tenorio Cordeiro M, Maria Silva A, Alexandre Antunes de Brito C, da Silva Mda P, Gil LH, Montenegro SM, Marques ET, Jr. HLA-B *44 Is Associated with Dengue Severity Caused by DENV-3 in a Brazilian Population. J Trop Med, 2013; 2013: 648475.

[113]   Polizel JR, Bueno D, Visentainer JE, Sell AM, Borelli SD, Tsuneto LT, Dalalio MM, Coimbra MT, Moliterno RA. Association of human leukocyte antigen DQ1 and dengue fever in a white Southern Brazilian population. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, 2004; 99: 559-62.

[114]   Monteiro SP, Brasil PE, Cabello GM, Souza RV, Brasil P, Georg I, Cabello PH, De Castro L. HLA-A*01 allele: a risk factor for dengue haemorrhagic fever in Brazil's population. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, 2012; 107: 224-30.

[115]   Paradoa Perez ML, Trujillo Y, Basanta P. Association of dengue hemorrhagic fever with the HLA system. Haematologia (Budap), 1987; 20: 83-7.

[116]   Nguyen TP, Kikuchi M, Vu TQ, Do QH, Tran TT, Vo DT, Ha MT, Vo VT, Cao TP, Tran VD, Oyama T, Morita K, Yasunami M, Hirayama K. Protective and enhancing HLA alleles, HLA-DRB1*0901 and HLA-A*24, for severe forms of dengue virus infection, dengue hemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2008; 2: e304.

[117]   Falcon-Lezama JA, Ramos C, Zuniga J, Juarez-Palma L, Rangel-Flores H, Garcia-Trejo AR, Acunha-Alonzo V, Granados J, Vargas-Alarcon G. HLA class I and II polymorphisms in Mexican Mestizo patients with dengue fever. Acta Trop, 2009; 112: 193-7.

[118]   Malavige GN, Rostron T, Rohanachandra LT, Jayaratne SD, Fernando N, De Silva AD, Liyanage M, Ogg G. HLA class I and class II associations in dengue viral infections in a Sri Lankan population. PLoS One, 2011; 6: e20581.

[119]   Stephens HA, Klaythong R, Sirikong M, Vaughn DW, Green S, Kalayanarooj S, Endy TP, Libraty DH, Nisalak A, Innis BL, Rothman AL, Ennis FA, Chandanayingyong D. HLA-A and -B allele associations with secondary dengue virus infections correlate with disease severity and the infecting viral serotype in ethnic Thais. Tissue Antigens, 2002; 60: 309-18.

[120]   Vejbaesya S, Thongpradit R, Kalayanarooj S, Luangtrakool K, Luangtrakool P, Gibbons RV, Srinak D, Ngammthaworn S, Apisawes K, Yoon IK, Thomas SJ, Jarman RG, Srikiakthachorn A, Green S, Chandanayingyong D, Park S, Friedman J, Rothman AL, Stephens HA. HLA Class I Supertype Associations With Clinical Outcome of Secondary Dengue Virus Infections in Ethnic Thais. J Infect Dis, 2015; 212: 939-47.

[121]   Chiewsilp P, Scott RM, Bhamarapravati N. Histocompatibility antigens and dengue hemorrhagic fever. Am J Trop Med Hyg, 1981; 30: 1100-5.

[122]   Fernández-Mestre M, Navarrete CV, Brown J, Brown C, Correa E, Layrisse Z. HLA Alleles and Dengue Virus Infection in Venezuelan Patients: A Preliminary Study. Inmunología, 2009; 28: 96-100.

[123]   Brown MG, Salas RA, Vickers IE, Heslop OD, Smikle MF. Dengue HLA associations in Jamaicans. West Indian Med J, 2011; 60: 126-31.

[124]   Kim M-G, Park JY, Shon Y, Kim G, Shim G, Oh Y-K. Nanotechnology and vaccine development. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2014; 9: 227-235.

[125]   Stone JD, Demkowicz WE, Jr., Stern LJ. HLA-restricted epitope identification and detection of functional T cell responses by using MHC-peptide and costimulatory microarrays. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005; 102: 3744-9.

[126]   Cossu D, Masala S, Frau J, Mameli G, Marrosu MG, Cocco E, Sechi LA. Antigenic epitopes of MAP2694 homologous to T-cell receptor gamma-chain are highly recognized in multiple sclerosis Sardinian patients. Mol Immunol, 2014; 57: 138-40.

[127]   Donnes P, Elofsson A. Prediction of MHC class I binding peptides, using SVMHC. BMC Bioinformatics, 2002; 3: 25.

[128]   Wan J, Liu W, Xu Q, Ren Y, Flower DR, Li T. SVRMHC prediction server for MHC-binding peptides. BMC Bioinformatics, 2006; 7: 463.

[129]   Calis JJ, Maybeno M, Greenbaum JA, Weiskopf D, De Silva AD, Sette A, Kesmir C, Peters B. Properties of MHC class I presented peptides that enhance immunogenicity. PLoS Comput Biol, 2013; 9: e1003266.

[130]   Zhang GL, Khan AM, Srinivasan KN, August JT, Brusic V. MULTIPRED: a computational system for prediction of promiscuous HLA binding peptides. Nucleic Acids Res, 2005; 33: W172-9.

[131]   Nielsen M, Lundegaard C, Blicher T, Lamberth K, Harndahl M, Justesen S, Roder G, Peters B, Sette A, Lund O, Buus S. NetMHCpan, a method for quantitative predictions of peptide binding to any HLA-A and -B locus protein of known sequence. PLoS One, 2007; 2: e796.

[132]   Jacob L, Vert JP. Efficient peptide-MHC-I binding prediction for alleles with few known binders. Bioinformatics, 2008; 24: 358-66.

[133]   Zhang L, Chen Y, Wong HS, Zhou S, Mamitsuka H, Zhu S. TEPITOPEpan: extending TEPITOPE for peptide binding prediction covering over 700 HLA-DR molecules. PLoS One, 2012; 7: e30483.

[134]   Zhang H, Lund O, Nielsen M. The PickPocket method for predicting binding specificities for receptors based on receptor pocket similarities: application to MHC-peptide binding. Bioinformatics, 2009; 25: 1293-9.

[135]   Jojic N, Reyes-Gomez M, Heckerman D, Kadie C, Schueler-Furman O. Learning MHC I--peptide binding. Bioinformatics, 2006; 22: e227-35.

[136]   Larsen MV, Lundegaard C, Lamberth K, Buus S, Brunak S, Lund O, Nielsen M. An integrative approach to CTL epitope prediction: a combined algorithm integrating MHC class I binding, TAP transport efficiency, and proteasomal cleavage predictions. Eur J Immunol, 2005; 35: 2295-303.

[137]   Petrovsky N, Brusic V. Virtual models of the HLA class I antigen processing pathway. Methods, 2004; 34: 429-35.

[138]   Chowell D, Krishna S, Becker PD, Cocita C, Shu J, Tan X, Greenberg PD, Klavinskis LS, Blattman JN, Anderson KS. TCR contact residue hydrophobicity is a hallmark of immunogenic CD8+ T cell epitopes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015; 112: E1754-62.

[139]   Wen Y, Collier JH. Supramolecular peptide vaccines: tuning adaptive immunity. Curr Opin Immunol, 2015; 35: 73-9.

[140]   Bijker MS, van den Eeden SJ, Franken KL, Melief CJ, Offringa R, van der Burg SH. CD8+ CTL priming by exact peptide epitopes in incomplete Freund's adjuvant induces a vanishing CTL response, whereas long peptides induce sustained CTL reactivity. J Immunol, 2007; 179: 5033-40.

[141]   Nijman HW, Houbiers JG, Vierboom MP, van der Burg SH, Drijfhout JW, D'Amaro J, Kenemans P, Melief CJ, Kast WM. Identification of peptide sequences that potentially trigger HLA-A2.1-restricted cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Eur J Immunol, 1993; 23: 1215-9.

[142]   De Bruijn ML, Schumacher TN, Nieland JD, Ploegh HL, Kast WM, Melief CJ. Peptide loading of empty major histocompatibility complex molecules on RMA-S cells allows the induction of primary cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses. Eur J Immunol, 1991; 21: 2963-70.

[143]   Bennett SR, Carbone FR, Toy T, Miller JF, Heath WR. B cells directly tolerize CD8(+) T cells. J Exp Med, 1998; 188: 1977-83.

[144]   Suhrbier A, Burrows SR, Fernan A, Lavin MF, Baxter GD, Moss DJ. Peptide epitope induced apoptosis of human cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Implications for peripheral T cell deletion and peptide vaccination. J Immunol, 1993; 150: 2169-78.

[145]   Ghaffari-Nazari H, Tavakkol-Afshari J, Jaafari MR, Tahaghoghi-Hajghorbani S, Masoumi E, Jalali SA. Improving Multi-Epitope Long Peptide Vaccine Potency by Using a Strategy that Enhances CD4+ T Help in BALB/c Mice. PLoS One, 2015; 10: e0142563.

[146]   Toes RE, van der Voort EI, Schoenberger SP, Drijfhout JW, van Bloois L, Storm G, Kast WM, Offringa R, Melief CJ. Enhancement of tumor outgrowth through CTL tolerization after peptide vaccination is avoided by peptide presentation on dendritic cells. J Immunol, 1998; 160: 4449-56.

[147]　Zwaveling S, Ferreira Mota SC, Nouta J, Johnson M, Lipford GB, Offringa R, van der Burg SH, Melief CJ. Established human papillomavirus type 16-expressing tumors are effectively eradicated following vaccination with long peptides. J Immunol, 2002; 169: 350-8.

[148]　Casares N, Lasarte JJ, de Cerio AL, Sarobe P, Ruiz M, Melero I, Prieto J, Borras-Cuesta F. Immunization with a tumor-associated CTL epitope plus a tumor-related or unrelated Th1 helper peptide elicits protective CTL immunity. Eur J Immunol, 2001; 31: 1780-9.

[149]　Ossendorp F, Mengede E, Camps M, Filius R, Melief CJ. Specific T helper cell requirement for optimal induction of cytotoxic T lymphocytes against major histocompatibility complex class II negative tumors. J Exp Med, 1998; 187: 693-702.

[150]　Utermohlen O, Schulze-Garg C, Warnecke G, Gugel R, Lohler J, Deppert W. Simian virus 40 large-T-antigen-specific rejection of mKSA tumor cells in BALB/c mice is critically dependent on both strictly tumor-associated, tumor-specific CD8(+) cytotoxic T lymphocytes and CD4(+) T helper cells. J Virol, 2001; 75: 10593-602.

[151]　Widmann C, Romero P, Maryanski JL, Corradin G, Valmori D. T helper epitopes enhance the cytotoxic response of mice immunized with MHC class I-restricted malaria peptides. J Immunol Methods, 1992; 155: 95-9.

[152]　Shirai M, Pendleton CD, Ahlers J, Takeshita T, Newman M, Berzofsky JA. Helper-cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) determinant linkage required for priming of anti-HIV CD8+ CTL in vivo with peptide vaccine constructs. J Immunol, 1994; 152: 549-56.

[153]　Hiranuma K, Tamaki S, Nishimura Y, Kusuki S, Isogawa M, Kim G, Kaito M, Kuribayashi K, Adachi Y, Yasutomi Y. Helper T cell determinant peptide contributes to induction of cellular immune responses by peptide vaccines against hepatitis C virus. J Gen Virol, 1999; 80 (Pt 1): 187-93.

[154]　Aichele P, Hengartner H, Zinkernagel RM, Schulz M. Antiviral cytotoxic T cell response induced by in vivo priming with a free synthetic peptide. J Exp Med, 1990; 171: 1815-20.

[155]　Correia-Pinto JF, Csaba N, Schiller JT, Alonso MJ. Chitosan-Poly (I:C)-PADRE Based Nanoparticles as Delivery Vehicles for Synthetic Peptide Vaccines. Vaccines (Basel), 2015; 3: 730-50.

[156]　Livingston BD, Alexander J, Crimi C, Oseroff C, Celis E, Daly K, Guidotti LG, Chisari FV, Fikes J, Chesnut RW, Sette A. Altered helper T lymphocyte function associated with chronic hepatitis B virus infection and its role in response to therapeutic vaccination in humans. J Immunol, 1999; 162: 3088-95.

[157]　Wu CY, Monie A, Pang X, Hung CF, Wu TC. Improving therapeutic HPV peptide-based vaccine potency by enhancing CD4+ T help and dendritic cell activation. J Biomed Sci, 2010; 17: 88.

[158]　Li S, Peng L, Zhao W, Zhong H, Zhang F, Yan Z, Cao H. Synthetic peptides containing B- and T-cell epitope of dengue virus-2 E domain III provoked B- and T-cell responses. Vaccine, 2011; 29: 3695-702.

[159]　Audran R, Cachat M, Lurati F, Soe S, Leroy O, Corradin G, Druilhe P, Spertini F. Phase I malaria vaccine trial with a long synthetic peptide derived from the merozoite surface protein 3 antigen. Infect Immun, 2005; 73: 8017-26.

[160]　Welters MJ, Kenter GG, Piersma SJ, Vloon AP, Lowik MJ, Berends-van der Meer DM, Drijfhout JW, Valentijn AR, Wafelman AR, Oostendorp J, Fleuren GJ, Offringa R, Melief CJ, van der Burg SH. Induction of tumor-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell immunity in cervical cancer patients by a human papillomavirus type 16 E6 and E7 long peptides vaccine. Clin Cancer Res, 2008; 14: 178-87.

[161]　den Boer AT, Diehl L, van Mierlo GJ, van der Voort EI, Fransen MF, Krimpenfort P, Melief CJ, Offringa R, Toes RE. Longevity of antigen presentation and activation status of APC are decisive factors in the balance between CTL immunity versus tolerance. J Immunol, 2001; 167: 2522-8.

[162]　Sharma RK, Elpek KG, Yolcu ES, Schabowsky RH, Zhao H, Bandura-Morgan L, Shirwan H. Costimulation as a platform for the development of vaccines: a peptide-based vaccine containing a novel form of 4-1BB ligand eradicates established tumors. Cancer Res, 2009; 69: 4319-26.

[163]　Duttagupta PA, Boesteanu AC, Katsikis PD. Costimulation signals for memory CD8+ T cells during viral infections. Crit Rev Immunol, 2009; 29: 469-86.

[164]　Keppler SJ, Rosenits K, Koegl T, Vucikuja S, Aichele P. Signal 3 cytokines as modulators of primary immune responses during infections: the interplay of type I IFN and IL-12 in CD8 T cell responses. PLoS One, 2012; 7: e40865.

[165]　Curtsinger JM, Mescher MF. Inflammatory cytokines as a third signal for T cell activation. Curr Opin Immunol, 2010; 22: 333-40.

[166]　Curtsinger JM, Schmidt CS, Mondino A, Lins DC, Kedl RM, Jenkins MK, Mescher MF. Inflammatory cytokines provide a third signal for activation of naive CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. J Immunol, 1999; 162: 3256-62.

[167]　Obar JJ, Jellison ER, Sheridan BS, Blair DA, Pham QM, Zickovich JM, Lefrancois L. Pathogen-induced inflammatory environment controls effector and memory CD8+ T cell differentiation. J Immunol, 2011; 187: 4967-78.

[168]　Obar JJ, Molloy MJ, Jellison ER, Stoklasek TA, Zhang W, Usherwood EJ, Lefrancois L. CD4+ T cell regulation of CD25 expression controls development of short-lived effector CD8+ T cells in primary and secondary responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010; 107: 193-8.

[169]　Hornell TM, Beresford GW, Bushey A, Boss JM, Mellins ED. Regulation of the class II MHC pathway in primary human monocytes by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. J Immunol, 2003; 171: 2374-83.

[170]　Toubaji A, Hill S, Terabe M, Qian J, Floyd T, Simpson RM, Berzofsky JA, Khleif SN. The combination of GM-CSF and IL-2 as local adjuvant shows synergy in enhancing peptide vaccines and provides long term tumor protection. Vaccine, 2007; 25: 5882-91.

[171]　Kapoor D, Aggarwal SR, Singh NP, Thakur V, Sarin SK. Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor enhances the efficacy of hepatitis B virus vaccine in previously unvaccinated haemodialysis patients. J Viral Hepat, 1999; 6: 405-9.

[172]　Ohno S, Okuyama R, Aruga A, Sugiyama H, Yamamoto M. Phase I trial of Wilms' Tumor 1 (WT1) peptide vaccine with GM-CSF or CpG in patients with solid malignancy. Anticancer Res, 2012; 32: 2263-9.

[173]　Weber J, Sondak VK, Scotland R, Phillip R, Wang F, Rubio V, Stuge TB, Groshen SG, Gee C, Jeffery GG, Sian S, Lee PP. Granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factor added to a multipeptide vaccine for resected Stage II melanoma. Cancer, 2003; 97: 186-200.

[174]　Zheng Q, Fan D, Gao N, Chen H, Wang J, Ming Y, Li J, An J. Evaluation of a DNA vaccine candidate expressing prM-E-NS1 antigens of dengue virus serotype 1 with or without granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) in immunogenicity and protection. Vaccine, 2011; 29: 763-71.

[175]　Raviprakash K, Ewing D, Simmons M, Porter KR, Jones TR, Hayes CG, Stout R, Murphy GS. Needle-free Biojector injection of a dengue virus type 1 DNA vaccine with human immunostimulatory sequences and the GM-CSF gene increases immunogenicity and protection from virus challenge in Aotus monkeys. Virology, 2003; 315: 345-52.

[176]　Byrne J, Russel, NH. Haemopoiertic growth factors. In: Hoffbrand A, Catovsky, D & Tuddenham, EGD, ed.^eds., Postgraduate Haematology. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2008; pp. 315.

[177]　Doherty DH, Rosendahl MS, Smith DJ, Hughes JM, Chlipala EA, Cox GN. Site-specific PEGylation of engineered cysteine analogues of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Bioconjug Chem, 2005; 16: 1291-8.

[178]　Zhai Y, Zhou Y, Li X, Feng G. Immune-enhancing effect of nano-DNA vaccine encoding a gene of the prME protein of Japanese encephalitis virus and BALB/c mouse granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Mol Med Rep, 2015; 12: 199-209.

[179]　Song R, Liu S, Adams RJ, Leong KW. Enhancing efficacy of HIV gag DNA vaccine by local delivery of GM-CSF in murine and macaque models. J Interferon Cytokine Res, 2006; 26: 380-9.

[180]　Dowling JK, Mansell A. Toll-like receptors: the swiss army knife of immunity and vaccine development. Clin Transl Immunology, 2016; 5: e85.

[181]   Gerard CM, Baudson N, Kraemer K, Bruck C, Garcon N, Paterson Y, Pan ZK, Pardoll D. Therapeutic potential of protein and adjuvant vaccinations on tumour growth. Vaccine, 2001; 19: 2583-9.

[182]   Fujimoto C, Nakagawa Y, Ohara K, Takahashi H. Polyriboinosinic polyribocytidylic acid [poly(I:C)/TLR3 signaling allows class I processing of exogenous protein and induction of HIV-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Int Immunol, 2004; 16: 55-63.

[183]   Schwarz K, Storni T, Manolova V, Didierlaurent A, Sirard JC, Rothlisberger P, Bachmann MF. Role of Toll-like receptors in costimulating cytotoxic T cell responses. Eur J Immunol, 2003; 33: 1465-70.

[184]   Ahonen CL, Doxsee CL, McGurran SM, Riter TR, Wade WF, Barth RJ, Vasilakos JP, Noelle RJ, Kedl RM. Combined TLR and CD40 triggering induces potent CD8+ T cell expansion with variable dependence on type I IFN. J Exp Med, 2004; 199: 775-84.

[185]   Wille-Reece U, Wu CY, Flynn BJ, Kedl RM, Seder RA. Immunization with HIV-1 Gag protein conjugated to a TLR7/8 agonist results in the generation of HIV-1 Gag-specific Th1 and CD8+ T cell responses. J Immunol, 2005; 174: 7676-83.

[186]   Welters MJ, Bijker MS, van den Eeden SJ, Franken KL, Melief CJ, Offringa R, van der Burg SH. Multiple CD4 and CD8 T-cell activation parameters predict vaccine efficacy in vivo mediated by individual DC-activating agonists. Vaccine, 2007; 25: 1379-89.

[187]   Gnjatic S, Sawhney NB, Bhardwaj N. Toll-like receptor agonists: are they good adjuvants? Cancer J, 2010; 16: 382-91.

[188]   Steinman RM. The dendritic cell system and its role in immunogenicity. Annu Rev Immunol, 1991; 9: 271-96.

[189]   Nair-Gupta P, Baccarini A, Tung N, Seyffer F, Florey O, Huang Y, Banerjee M, Overholtzer M, Roche PA, Tampe R, Brown BD, Amsen D, Whiteheart SW, Blander JM. TLR signals induce phagosomal MHC-I delivery from the endosomal recycling compartment to allow cross-presentation. Cell, 2014; 158: 506-21.

[190]   Van Hoeven N, Fox CB, Granger B, Evers T, Joshi SW, Nana GI, Evans SC, Lin S, Liang H, Liang L, Nakajima R, Felgner PL, Bowen RA, Marlenee N, Hartwig A, Baldwin SL, Coler RN, Tomai M, Elvecrog J, Reed SG, Carter D. A Formulated TLR7/8 Agonist is a Flexible, Highly Potent and Effective Adjuvant for Pandemic Influenza Vaccines. Sci Rep, 2017; 7: 46426.

[191]   Zhu Q, Egelston C, Gagnon S, Sui Y, Belyakov IM, Klinman DM, Berzofsky JA. Using 3 TLR ligands as a combination adjuvant induces qualitative changes in T cell responses needed for antiviral protection in mice. J Clin Invest, 2010; 120: 607-16.

[192]   Makela SM, Strengell M, Pietila TE, Osterlund P, Julkunen I. Multiple signaling pathways contribute to synergistic TLR ligand-dependent cytokine gene expression in human monocyte-derived macrophages and dendritic cells. J Leukoc Biol, 2009; 85: 664-72.

[193]   Chiang CY, Huang MH, Hsieh CH, Chen MY, Liu HH, Tsai JP, Li YS, Chang CY, Liu SJ, Chong P, Leng CH, Chen HW. Dengue-1 envelope protein domain III along with PELC and CpG oligodeoxynucleotides synergistically enhances immune responses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2012; 6: e1645.

[194]   Toussi DN, Massari P. Immune Adjuvant Effect of Molecularly-defined Toll-Like Receptor Ligands. Vaccines (Basel), 2014; 2: 323-53.

[195]   Steinhagen F, Kinjo T, Bode C, Klinman DM. TLR-based immune adjuvants. Vaccine, 2011; 29: 3341-55.

[196]   Brewer JM. (How) do aluminium adjuvants work? Immunol Lett, 2006; 102: 10-5.

[197]   Mannhalter JW, Neychev HO, Zlabinger GJ, Ahmad R, Eibl MM. Modulation of the human immune response by the non-toxic and non-pyrogenic adjuvant aluminium hydroxide: effect on antigen uptake and antigen presentation. Clin Exp Immunol, 1985; 61: 143-51.

[198]   Sokolova V, Westendorf AM, Buer J, Uberla K, Epple M. The potential of nanoparticles for the immunization against viral infections. Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 2015; 3: 4767-4779.

[199]   Salerno EP, Shea SM, Olson WC, Petroni GR, Smolkin ME, McSkimming C, Chianese-Bullock KA, Slingluff CL, Jr. Activation, dysfunction and retention of T cells in vaccine sites after injection of incomplete Freund's adjuvant, with or without peptide. Cancer Immunol Immunother, 2013; 62: 1149-59.

[200]   Toes RE, Blom RJ, Offringa R, Kast WM, Melief CJ. Enhanced tumor outgrowth after peptide vaccination. Functional deletion of tumor-specific CTL induced by peptide vaccination can lead to the inability to reject tumors. J Immunol, 1996; 156: 3911-8.

[201]   Toes RE, Offringa R, Blom RJ, Melief CJ, Kast WM. Peptide vaccination can lead to enhanced tumor growth through specific T-cell tolerance induction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1996; 93: 7855-60.

[202]   Tsai TF. Fluad®-MF59®-Adjuvanted Influenza Vaccine in Older Adults. Infection & Chemotherapy, 2013; 45: 159-174.

[203]   O'Hagan DT, Ott GS, Nest GV, Rappuoli R, Giudice GD. The history of MF59((R)) adjuvant: a phoenix that arose from the ashes. Expert Rev Vaccines, 2013; 12: 13-30.

[204]   O'Hagan DT, Ott GS, De Gregorio E, Seubert A. The mechanism of action of MF59 - an innately attractive adjuvant formulation. Vaccine, 2012; 30: 4341-8.

[205]   Saavedra D, Crombet T. CIMAvax-EGF: A New Therapeutic Vaccine for Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients. Front Immunol, 2017; 8: 269.

[206]   Vartak A, Sucheck SJ. Recent Advances in Subunit Vaccine Carriers. Vaccines (Basel), 2016; 4.

[207]   Salvador A, Igartua M, Hernandez RM, Pedraz JL. An overview on the field of micro- and nanotechnologies for synthetic Peptide-based vaccines. J Drug Deliv, 2011; 2011: 181646.

[208]   Gregory AE, Titball R, Williamson D. Vaccine delivery using nanoparticles. Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 2013; 3: 13.

[209]   Burke ML, Veer M, Pleasance J, Neeland M, Elhay M, Harrison P, Meeusen E. Innate immune pathways in afferent lymph following vaccination with poly(I:C)-containing liposomes. Innate Immun, 2014; 20: 501-10.

[210]   Giddam AK, Zaman M, Skwarczynski M, Toth I. Liposome-based delivery system for vaccine candidates: constructing an effective formulation. Nanomedicine (Lond), 2012; 7: 1877-93.

[211]   Watson DS, Endsley AN, Huang L. Design considerations for liposomal vaccines: influence of formulation parameters on antibody and cell-mediated immune responses to liposome associated antigens. Vaccine, 2012; 30: 2256-72.

[212]   Swaminathan G, Thoryk EA, Cox KS, Smith JS, Wolf JJ, Gindy ME, Casimiro DR, Bett AJ. A Tetravalent Sub-unit Dengue Vaccine Formulated with Ionizable Cationic Lipid Nanoparticle induces Significant Immune Responses in Rodents and Non-Human Primates. Sci Rep, 2016; 6: 34215.

[213]   Jones LH. Recent advances in the molecular design of synthetic vaccines. Nat Chem, 2015; 7: 952-60.

[214]   Zhao L, Seth A, Wibowo N, Zhao CX, Mitter N, Yu C, Middelberg AP. Nanoparticle vaccines. Vaccine, 2014; 32: 327-37.

[215]   Zhang S, Liang M, Gu W, Li C, Miao F, Wang X, Jin C, Zhang L, Zhang F, Zhang Q, Jiang L, Li M, Li D. Vaccination with dengue virus-like particles induces humoral and cellular immune responses in mice. Virol J, 2011; 8: 333.

[216]   Liu Y, Zhou J, Yu Z, Fang D, Fu C, Zhu X, He Z, Yan H, Jiang L. Tetravalent recombinant dengue virus-like particles as potential vaccine candidates: immunological properties. BMC Microbiol, 2014; 14: 233.

[217]   Li N, Li N, Yi Q, Luo K, Guo C, Pan D, Gu Z. Amphiphilic peptide dendritic copolymer-doxorubicin nanoscale conjugate self-assembled to enzyme-responsive anti-cancer agent. Biomaterials, 2014; 35: 9529-45.

[218]   Babapoor S, Neef T, Mittelholzer C, Girshick T, Garmendia A, Shang H, Khan MI, Burkhard P. A Novel Vaccine Using Nanoparticle Platform to Present Immunogenic M2e against Avian Influenza Infection. Influenza Res Treat, 2011; 2011: 126794.

[219]   Wahome N, Pfeiffer T, Ambiel I, Yang Y, Keppler OT, Bosch V, Burkhard P. Conformation-specific display of 4E10 and 2F5 epitopes on self-assembling protein nanoparticles as a potential HIV vaccine. Chem Biol Drug Des, 2012; 80: 349-57.

[220]   Metz SW, Tian S, Hoekstra G, Yi X, Stone M, Horvath K, Miley MJ, DeSimone J, Luft CJ, de Silva AM. Precisely Molded Nanoparticle Displaying DENV-E Proteins Induces Robust Serotype-Specific Neutralizing Antibody Responses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2016; 10: e0005071.

[221] Hiremath J, Kang K-i, Xia M, Elaish M, Binjawadagi B, Ouyang K, Dhakal S, Arcos J, Torrelles JB, Jiang X, Lee CW, Renukaradhya GJ. Entrapment of H1N1 Influenza Virus Derived Conserved Peptides in PLGA Nanoparticles Enhances T Cell Response and Vaccine Efficacy in Pigs. PLOS ONE, 2016; 11: e0151922.

[222] Hunsawong T, Sunintaboon P, Warit S, Thaisomboonsuk B, Jarman RG, Yoon IK, Ubol S, Fernandez S. A novel dengue virus serotype-2 nanovaccine induces robust humoral and cell-mediated immunity in mice. Vaccine, 2015; 33: 1702-10.

[223] Hunsawong T, Sunintaboon P, Warit S, Thaisomboonsuk B, Jarman RG, Yoon IK, Ubol S, Fernandez S. Immunogenic Properties of a BCG Adjuvanted Chitosan Nanoparticle-Based Dengue Vaccine in Human Dendritic Cells. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2015; 9: e0003958.

[224] Nantachit N, Sunintaboon P, Ubol S. Responses of primary human nasal epithelial cells to EDIII-DENV stimulation: the first step to intranasal dengue vaccination. Virol J, 2016; 13: 142.

[225] Versiani AF, Astigarraga RG, Rocha ES, Barboza AP, Kroon EG, Rachid MA, Souza DG, Ladeira LO, Barbosa-Stancioli EF, Jorio A, Da Fonseca FG. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes functionalized with recombinant Dengue virus 3 envelope proteins induce significant and specific immune responses in mice. J Nanobiotechnology, 2017; 15: 26.

[226] Tao W, Hurst BL, Shakya AK, Uddin MJ, Ingrole RS, Hernandez-Sanabria M, Arya RP, Bimler L, Paust S, Tarbet EB, Gill HS. Consensus M2e peptide conjugated to gold nanoparticles confers protection against H1N1, H3N2 and H5N1 influenza A viruses. Antiviral Res, 2017; 141: 62-72.

[227] Wang Y, Wang Y, Kang N, Liu Y, Shan W, Bi S, Ren L, Zhuang G. Construction and Immunological Evaluation of CpG-Au@HBc Virus-Like Nanoparticles as a Potential Vaccine. Nanoscale Res Lett, 2016; 11: 338.

[228] Zimmerman DH, Steiner H, 3rd, Carmabula R, Talor E, Rosenthal KS. LEAPS therapeutic vaccines as antigen specific suppressors of inflammation in infectious and autoimmune diseases. J Vaccines Vaccin, 2012; 3.

[229] Charoenvit Y, Brice GT, Bacon D, Majam V, Williams J, Abot E, Ganeshan H, Sedegah M, Doolan DL, Carucci DJ, Zimmerman DH. A small peptide (CEL-1000) derived from the beta-chain of the human major histocompatibility complex class II molecule induces complete protection against malaria in an antigen-independent manner. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2004; 48: 2455-63.

[230] Pishraft Sabet L, Taheri T, Memarnejadian A, Mokhtari Azad T, Asgari F, Rahimnia R, Alavian SM, Rafati S, Samimi Rad K. Immunogenicity of Multi-Epitope DNA and Peptide Vaccine Candidates Based on Core, E2, NS3 and NS5B HCV Epitopes in BALB/c Mice. Hepat Mon, 2014; 14: e22215.

[231] Lee HB, Piao DC, Lee JY, Choi JY, Bok JD, Cho CS, Kang SK, Choi YJ. Artificially designed recombinant protein composed of multiple epitopes of foot-and-mouth disease virus as a vaccine candidate. Microb Cell Fact, 2017; 16: 33.

[232] Wang X, Xie G, Liao J, Yin D, Guan W, Pan M, Li J, Li Y. Design and evaluation of a multi-epitope assembly peptide (MEAP) against herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in BALB/c mice. Virol J, 2011; 8: 232.

[233] Bae J, Smith R, Daley J, Mimura N, Tai YT, Anderson KC, Munshi NC. Myeloma-specific multiple peptides able to generate cytotoxic T lymphocytes: a potential therapeutic application in multiple myeloma and other plasma cell disorders. Clin Cancer Res, 2012; 18: 4850-60.

[234] Kono K, Mizukami Y, Daigo Y, Takano A, Masuda K, Yoshida K, Tsunoda T, Kawaguchi Y, Nakamura Y, Fujii H. Vaccination with multiple peptides derived from novel cancer-testis antigens can induce specific T-cell responses and clinical responses in advanced esophageal cancer. Cancer Sci, 2009; 100: 1502-9.

[235] Suzuki H, Fukuhara M, Yamaura T, Mutoh S, Okabe N, Yaginuma H, Hasegawa T, Yonechi A, Osugi J, Hoshino M, Kimura T, Higuchi M, Shio Y, Ise K, Takeda K, Gotoh M. Multiple therapeutic peptide vaccines consisting of combined novel cancer testis antigens and anti-angiogenic peptides for patients with non-small cell lung cancer. J Transl Med, 2013; 11: 97.

[236] Walter S, Weinschenk T, Stenzl A, Zdrojowy R, Pluzanska A, Szczylik C, Staehler M, Brugger W, Dietrich PY, Mendrzyk R, Hilf N, Schoor O, Fritsche J, Mahr A, Maurer D, Vass V, Trautwein C, Lewandrowski P, Flohr C, Pohla H, Stanczak JJ, Bronte V, Mandruzzato S, Biedermann T, Pawelec G, Derhovanessian E, Yamagishi H, Miki T, Hongo F, Takaha N, Hirakawa K, Tanaka H, Stevanovic S, Frisch J, Mayer-Mokler A, Kirner A, Rammensee HG, Reinhardt C, Singh-Jasuja H. Multipeptide immune response to cancer vaccine IMA901 after single-dose cyclophosphamide associates with longer patient survival. Nat Med, 2012; 18: 1254-61.

[237] Slingluff CL, Jr., Petroni GR, Chianese-Bullock KA, Smolkin ME, Hibbitts S, Murphy C, Johansen N, Grosh WW, Yamshchikov GV, Neese PY, Patterson JW, Fink R, Rehm PK. Immunologic and clinical outcomes of a randomized phase II trial of two multipeptide vaccines for melanoma in the adjuvant setting. Clin Cancer Res, 2007; 13: 6386-95.

[238] Wen W, Zhang L, Peng J, Chen J, Hao J, Li X, Qian X, Zeng P, Zhang Y, Yin Y. Identification of promiscuous HLA-DR-restricted CD4(+) T-cell epitopes on the cancer-testis antigen HCA587. Cancer Sci, 2011; 102: 1455-61.

[239] Rothman AL. Dengue: defining protective versus pathologic immunity. J Clin Invest, 2004; 113: 946-51.

[240] Ramanathan B, Poh CL, Kirk K, McBride WJ, Aaskov J, Grollo L. Synthetic B-Cell Epitopes Eliciting Cross-Neutralizing Antibodies: Strategies for Future Dengue Vaccine. PLoS One, 2016; 11: e0155900.

[241] Weiskopf D, Cerpas C, Angelo MA, Bangs DJ, Sidney J, Paul S, Peters B, Sanches FP, Silvera CG, Costa PR, Kallas EG, Gresh L, de Silva AD, Balmaseda A, Harris E, Sette A. Human CD8+ T-Cell Responses Against the 4 Dengue Virus Serotypes Are Associated With Distinct Patterns of Protein Targets. J Infect Dis, 2015; 212: 1743-51.

[242] Ma JH, Yang FR, Yu H, Zhou YJ, Li GX, Huang M, Wen F, Tong G. An M2e-based synthetic peptide vaccine for influenza A virus confers heterosubtypic protection from lethal virus challenge. Virol J, 2013; 10: 227.

[243] Ichihashi T, Yoshida R, Sugimoto C, Takada A, Kajino K. Cross-protective peptide vaccine against influenza A viruses developed in HLA-A*2402 human immunity model. PLoS One, 2011; 6: e24626.

[244] Snyder JT, Belyakov IM, Dzutsev A, Lemonnier F, Berzofsky JA. Protection against lethal vaccinia virus challenge in HLA-A2 transgenic mice by immunization with a single CD8+ T-cell peptide epitope of vaccinia and variola viruses. J Virol, 2004; 78: 7052-60.

[245] Cubillos C, de la Torre BG, Jakab A, Clementi G, Borras E, Barcena J, Andreu D, Sobrino F, Blanco E. Enhanced mucosal immunoglobulin A response and solid protection against foot-and-mouth disease virus challenge induced by a novel dendrimeric peptide. J Virol, 2008; 82: 7223-30.

[246] Moi ML, Takasaki T, Kurane I. Human antibody response to dengue virus: implications for dengue vaccine design. Trop Med Health, 2016; 44: 1.

[247] Watanabe S, Chan KW, Wang J, Rivino L, Lok SM, Vasudevan SG. Dengue Virus Infection with Highly Neutralizing Levels of Cross-Reactive Antibodies Causes Acute Lethal Small Intestinal Pathology without a High Level of Viremia in Mice. J Virol, 2015; 89: 5847-61.