


9-1-1985

Demonstrations of Arkansas' Water Management Simulator

Leslie E. Mack

University of Arkansas, Fayetteville

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.uark.edu/awrctr>

 Part of the [Fresh Water Studies Commons](#), and the [Water Resource Management Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Mack, Leslie E.. 1985. Demonstrations of Arkansas' Water Management Simulator. Arkansas Water Resources Center, Fayetteville, AR. MSC 028. 11

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Arkansas Water Resources Center at ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Technical Reports by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu, ccmiddle@uark.edu.

DEMONSTRATIONS OF ARKANSAS' WATER MANAGEMENT SIMULATOR

Leslie E. Mack, Director
Arkansas Water Resources Research Center
University of Arkansas
Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

Research Project Technical Completion Report

Project G-893-23

The research on which this report is based was financed in part by the United States Department of the Interior as authorized by the Water Research and Development Act of 1978, (P.L. 95-467).

Arkansas Water Resources Research Center
University of Arkansas
223 Ozark Hall
Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

Publication MSC-28

September, 1985

Contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Department of the Interior, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute their endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Government.

The University of Arkansas, in compliance with federal and state laws and regulations governing affirmative action and nondiscrimination, does not discriminate in the recruitment, admission and employment of students, faculty and staff in the operation of any of its educational programs and activities as defined by law. Accordingly, nothing in this publication should be viewed as directly or indirectly expressing any limitation, specification or discrimination as to race, religion, color or national origin; or to handicap, age, sex, or status as a disabled Vietnam-era veteran, except as provided by law. Inquiries concerning this policy may be directed to the affirmative Action Officer.

A B S T R A C T

DEMONSTRATIONS OF ARKANSAS' WATER MANAGEMENT SIMULATOR

One of the larger stumbling blocks to comprehensive water management is the lack of public understanding of the multitude of variables that operate at the same time within the hydrologic cycle. With more public understanding, there is greater public support for various water projects.

Dr. John R. Amend from Montana State University developed a water management simulator which could handle a large number of variables simultaneously of natural surface and groundwater flow plus a number of water use variables on an accelerated time sequence. By using several remote control devices, participants can "control" their water use but have no control over other participants competing for the same water molecule.

The purpose of this information transfer project was to demonstrate the use of the water management simulator and to begin to develop experienced teams of people from government agencies and academia to explain its operation to local professional and civic groups.

The interest level is very high for the team members to learn about the operation of the water management simulator. The simulator demonstrations have been well received by various audiences.

Mack, Leslie E.

Completion Report to the U. S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., September, 1985.

Keywords -- Water management/Simulation/Educational/Electronic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Introduction	1
A. Purpose and Objectives	1
B. Related Activities	2
Methods and Procedures	3
A. Description of the Simulator	3
B. Initial Team Organization	5
C. Demonstrations	6
Results	6
Conclusions	7

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The principal investigator of this project wishes to acknowledge the following persons and organizations:

1. Dr. Richard Peralta, Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.
2. Mr. Randy Young, Director, Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission, Little Rock, Arkansas and his many staff members who have shown an interest in this project. In particular, Mr. Jonathan Sweeney who has taken a personal interest in the benefits of public education with the water management simulator.

INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose and Objectives

With an increase in population and a greater dependency on technological innovations, water use in the United States continues to increase. As the basic water supply is essentially limited (rainfall, surface and groundwater storage), there is and will be greater competition of the same water molecule. More water projects are needed to handle an increase in competitive demand. Obviously, more money is needed for single and multi-purpose projects at an ever-increasing cost. In a democratic society, basic public approval is needed to expand large water uses, water projects, institutional arrangements, water law and financing. There has been some concern for several decades that public awareness of water problems was lacking or insufficient to keep pace with the needs.

The advent of the microprocessor relatively recently permitted the sorting of large amounts of data at very high speeds. With adequate data storage and a pleasing data display of interactive variables, a means was found to make public awareness and education of water management principles more palatable if not fun. The beauty of the water management simulator is that it accelerates time so that several years of runoff record can be observed at a rate of about one year per minute.

Aside from the public awareness and educational aspects of the simulator, one of the main purposes of this project was to train a few teams of two persons each to operate the simulator. The

initial effort was to train one team from the Department of Agricultural Engineering at the University of Arkansas in Fayetteville and another team from the Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission in Little Rock. This has proved satisfactory in order to avoid conflicts in scheduling as well as a broader geographical distribution.

B. Related Activities

The use of the water management simulator is part of a larger program of public awareness of Arkansas' water resources. It is believed that while many of our water problems can be solved by scientific and engineering techniques, one of the world's greatest water problems is the lack of public education concerning water resources.

During 1981-82, the Winthrop Rockefeller Foundation funded a public awareness project about Arkansas' water resources. In addition to publishing a document entitled Arkansas Water: Why Wait for the Crisis?, about two dozen volunteers made slide presentations to various professional and civic groups throughout the state. This was well received and was very effective. As a follow-up to these activities, it was thought that the water management simulator would be equally effective by having audience participation.

The author was first introduced to the water management simulator by Dr. Ted Mills at Oklahoma State University. Dr. Mills and his assistants were invited to make a presentation in Little Rock by using their simulators during a day-long workshop. The audience,

with widely diverse backgrounds, was very enthusiastic about the demonstration and this led to the decision for Arkansas to purchase its own custom-made simulator.

It is intended that this water management simulator be used on a regular basis for many years to come as part of the public awareness program concerning Arkansas' water resources.

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

A. Description of the Simulator

The water management simulator hardware consists of three basic units which are: 1) the central display, 2) a cathode ray tube monitor, and 3) a series of six small consoles to control various types of water uses.

The central display unit is approximately 36" x 24" x 8". The heart of the unit contains an 8080 microprocessor which is the computational element of the simulator. From thirty years of actual streamflow data (usually U.S.G.S. records), an algorithm is programmed and stored on an erasable read-only memory. This can be done for sixteen separate hydrographs for sixteen rivers and tributaries. In the Arkansas simulator, eight hydrographs represent different types of geology and climate around the country and eight hydrographs represent rivers and streams in Arkansas.

When the unit is switched on, a large time indicator showing the year and the month is set in motion, the electronic "clock" advances the hydrograph river stage about one month per minute. The "clock" can be stopped, started, speeded, slowed or paused.

Also displayed on the main panel are five different water use units showing a controllable amount of water used for livestock, municipal and industry, energy, irrigation and inter-basin transfer. There is also an additional and detachable reservoir unit. Each of the six units are controlled by a console operated by the audience participants. Each of the consoles, except the reservoir console, can use a variable amount of either surface or ground water or both.

Each participant is usually so busy operating his own console that he is unaware of the other uses taking place and too much water is used causing the demand to exceed the supply which creates a crisis. An alarm is sounded and red lights flash. This is the crux of the educational value of the simulator.

A cathode ray tube monitor (a portable modified television set), is also attached to the main panel so that the participants can observe a comparison of the FLOW, DEMAND and the RESERVOIR level. The participant can control his portion of the demand or the reservoir but has no control over the other participants or the hydrograph. At the completion of each year of the thirty years of record, the cathode ray tube starts a new display of the comparison of the flow, demand and the reservoir level.

One hydrograph, if allowed to run its entire thirty years, will consume a half-hour water competition and conflict. Participants will soon be aware that they should consider conjunctive use of surface and ground water. Also, it makes a big difference which time of the year water is or is not available.

B. Initial Team Organization

The first team of operators for the simulator was developed from the Department of Agricultural Engineering's Water Resources Laboratory at the University of Arkansas in Fayetteville. Dr. Richard Peralta, an Assistant Professor in the department had attended a simulator workshop four years ago at Oklahoma State University with the author. The workshop was conducted by Dr. Ted Mills.

A relatively new team is being developed within the Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission at Little Rock. The Director, Randy Young, will be assisted by John Sweeney, Tom Lane, Dave Ferguson, Danny Goodwin or Ed Cearly.

Another team is anticipated from the University of Arkansas at Little Rock. Dr. Gerry Hanson of the Geography Department will be the new leader.

The Fayetteville team will make presentations to professional and civic groups. The Soil and Water Conservation Commission team will make presentations to state and federal agencies and the County Soil and Water Conservation Districts around the state. The University of Arkansas at Little Rock team will make presentations to professional and civic groups in the Little Rock area and work with the Arkansas Department of Education.

There is a possibility that not only additional teams will be formed but also an additional simulator may be purchased or a new simulator will be designed to show the effects of specific types of water pollution.

C. Demonstrations

The following presentations, demonstrations and displays were made during the project period:

- a. 7-8/84 - Display at Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission in Little Rock for general public entering their offices.
- b. 9/84 - Demonstrations made during the week of the National Water Center Conference in Eureka Springs for 100 conferees.
- c. 10/84 - Demonstrations made during the week of the Arkansas Energy Exposition in Blytheville for the general public of about 2,000 persons.
- d. 11/84-2/85 - Simulator on display at the Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission in Little Rock for the general public.
- e. 3/85 - Demonstrations made during the week before the Arkansas Rural Water Association of about 100 persons.
- f. 4/85 - Demonstrations made in the Water Resources Laboratory at the Department of Agricultural Engineering at the University of Arkansas Fayetteville Campus for about 25 students.
- g. 5-9/85 - Simulator on display at the Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission in Little Rock for the general public.

More demonstrations would have been made except for other commitments of the operators.

Efforts are being made to set up displays at the Arkansas Museum of Science and Technology and to work out a traveling exhibit with the Arkansas Department of Education.

RESULTS

As part of a continuing program of public awareness of Arkansas' water resources, the water management simulator has shown its use to be a very effective tool. It is always made clear to the audience

and the participants that the water management simulator is only an educational device and is not to be considered a real-time, real-life water management control unit. Participants feel that they actually have a real role and a better understanding of the water management process. Therefore, they become better informed citizens for the public and private roles in the never-ending water management decision-making processes.

CONCLUSIONS

The water management simulator developed by Dr. John Amend has been very effective in stimulating interest in Arkansas' water resources at times other than floods or droughts. This has been a worthwhile investment for the Arkansas Water Resources Research Center to develop teams to lead simulator workshops. The process has advanced public interest in water resources which will lead to more aggressive programs in the future.