

ON HARDY AND CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG INEQUALITIES

HOAI-MINH NGUYEN AND MARCO SQUASSINA

ABSTRACT. We establish improved versions of the Hardy and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities by replacing the standard Dirichlet energy with some nonlocal nonconvex functionals which have been involved in estimates for the topological degree of continuous maps from a sphere into itself and characterizations of Sobolev spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Improved Hardy's inequality	6
3. Improved Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality	10
4. Results in bounded domains	18
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

In many branches of mathematical physics, harmonic and stochastic analysis, the classical *Hardy inequality* plays a central role. It states that, if $1 \leq p < d$,

$$\left(\frac{d-p}{p}\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^p dx,$$

for every $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with optimal constant which, contrary to the Sobolev inequality, is never attained. Another class of relevant inequalities is given by the so called *Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities* [14, 15]. Precisely, let $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{d}, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} > 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha-1}{d} \right) + (1-a) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right),$$

and, with $\gamma = a\sigma + (1-a)\beta$,

$$0 \leq \alpha - \sigma$$

and

$$\alpha - \sigma \leq 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha-1}{d}.$$

Then, for every $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\| |x|^\gamma u \|_{L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

for some positive constant C independent of u . This inequality has been an object of a large amount of improvement and extensions to more general frameworks.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 39B62, 49A50.

Key words and phrases. Characterization of Sobolev spaces, Hardy and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality.

The second author is member of *Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni* (GNAMPA) of the *Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica* (INdAM). Part of this paper was written during a visit of M.S. in Lausanne in June 2017. The hosting institution is gratefully acknowledged.

In the non-local case, it was shown in [18, 19] that there exists $C > 0$, independent of $0 < \delta < 1$, such that

$$(1.2) \quad C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^{p\delta}} dx \leq J_\delta(u),$$

for all $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$J_\delta(u) := (1 - \delta) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\delta}} dx dy.$$

In light of the results of Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu [3, 4] and an refinement of Davila [17], it holds

$$\lim_{\delta \searrow 0} J_\delta(u) = K_{d,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \text{for } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad K_{d,p} := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |e \cdot \sigma|^p d\sigma,$$

for some $e \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, being \mathbb{S}^{d-1} the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . This allows to recover the classical Hardy inequality from (1.2) by letting $\delta \searrow 0$. Various problems related to J_δ are considered in [7, 9, 10, 12, 33, 34]. The full range of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities and their variants were established in [30] (see [1] for partial results in the case $a = 1$).

Set, for $p \geq 1$, Ω a measurable set of \mathbb{R}^d , and $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$,

$$I_\delta(u, \Omega) := \iint_{\substack{\Omega \times \Omega \\ \{|u(x) - u(y)| > \delta\}}} \frac{\delta^p}{|x - y|^{d+p}} dx dy.$$

In the case, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, we simply denote $I_\delta(u, \mathbb{R}^d)$ by $I_\delta(u)$. The quantity I_δ with $p = d$ has its roots in estimates for the topological degree of continuous maps from a sphere into itself in [5, 22]. This also appears in characterizations of Sobolev spaces [6, 11, 12, 21, 24] and related contexts [8, 11, 12, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29]. It is known that (see [21, Theorem 2] and [12, Proposition 1]), for $p \geq 1$,

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} I_\delta(u) = K_{d,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \text{for } u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
¹

and, for $p > 1$,

$$(1.4) \quad I_\delta(u) \leq C_{d,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \text{for } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

for some positive constant $C_{d,p}$ independent of u .

The aim of this paper is to get improved versions of the local Hardy and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities and their variants which involve nonlinear nonlocal nonconvex energies $I_\delta(u)$ and its related quantities. In what follows for $R > 0$, B_R denotes the open ball of \mathbb{R}^d centered at the origin of radius r . Our first main result concerning Hardy's inequality is:

Theorem 1.1 (Improved Hardy inequality). *Let $d \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$, $0 < r < R$, and $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have*

i) if $1 \leq p < d$ and $\text{supp } u \subset B_R$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq C \left(I_\delta(u) + R^{d-p} \delta^p \right),$$

¹In the case $p > 1$, one can take $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in (1.3). Nevertheless, (1.3) does not hold for $u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the case $p = 1$. An example for this is due to Ponce presented in [21].

ii) if $p > d$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq C \left(I_\delta(u) + r^{d-p} \delta^p \right),$$

iii) if $p = d \geq 2$ and $\text{supp } u \subset B_R$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^d(2R/|x|)} dx \leq C \left(I_\delta(u) + \ln(2R/r) \delta^d \right),$$

iv) if $p = d \geq 2$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r$, then

$$\int_{B_R} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^d(2|x|/r)} dx \leq C \left(I_\delta(u) + \ln(2R/r) \delta^d \right),$$

where C denotes a positive constant depending only on p and d .

In light of (1.3), by letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, one obtains variants of *i*), *ii*), *iii*), *iv*) of Theorem 1.1 where the RHS is replaced by $C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^p dx$; see Proposition 1.1 for a more general version. By (1.3) and (1.4), Theorem 1.1 provides improvement of Hardy's inequalities in the case $p > 1$.

We next discuss an improved version of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg in the case the exponent $a = 1$. The more general case is considered in Theorem 3.1 (see also Proposition 3.1). Set, for $p \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and Ω a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$I_\delta(u, \Omega, \alpha) := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\delta^p |x|^{p\alpha}}{|x-y|^{d+p}} dx dy, \quad \text{for } u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega).$$

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, we simply denote $I_\delta(u, \mathbb{R}^d, \alpha)$ by $I_\delta(u, \alpha)$. We have

Theorem 1.2 (Improved Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality for $a = 1$). *Let $d \geq 2$, $1 < p < d$, $\tau > 0$, $0 < r < R$, and $u \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume that*

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \alpha - \gamma \leq 1.$$

We have

i) if $d - p + p\alpha > 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset B_R$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u(x)|^\tau dx \right)^{p/\tau} \leq C \left(I_\delta(u, \alpha) + R^{d-p+p\alpha} \delta^p \right),$$

ii) if $d - p + p\alpha < 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u(x)|^\tau dx \right)^{p/\tau} \leq C \left(I_\delta(u, \alpha) + r^{d-p+p\alpha} \delta^p \right),$$

iii) if $d - p + p\alpha = 0$, $\tau > 1$, and $\text{supp } u \subset B_R$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau} |u(x)|^\tau}{\ln^\tau(2R/|x|)} dx \right)^{p/\tau} \leq C \left(I_\delta(u, \alpha) + \ln(2R/r) \delta^p \right),$$

iv) if $d - p + p\alpha = 0$, $\tau > 1$, and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r$, then

$$\left(\int_{B_R} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau} |u(x)|^\tau}{\ln^\tau(2|x|/r)} dx \right)^{p/\tau} \leq C \left(I_\delta(u, \alpha) + \ln(2R/r) \delta^p \right).$$

Here C denotes a positive constant independent of u , r , and R .

Remark 1.1. In contrast with Theorem 1.1, in Theorem 1.2, we assume that $1 < p < d$. This assumption is required due to the use of Sobolev's inequality related to $I_\delta(u, \Omega, 0)$ (see Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2).

Remark 1.2. Using the theory of maximal functions with weights due to Muckenhoupt [20] (see also [16]), one can bound $I_\delta(u, \alpha)$ by $C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{p\alpha} |\nabla u|^p dx$ for $-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p$ and get an improvement of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality for $a = 1$ via Theorem 1.2 and for $0 < a < 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha - \sigma \leq 1$ via Theorem 3.1 in Section 3. The details of this fact are given in Remark 3.3 (see also Remark 3.2 for a different approach covering a more general result).

We later prove a general version of Theorem 1.2 in Theorem 3.1, where $0 < a \leq 1$, which implies Proposition 3.1 by interpolation. As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 (see also Remark 3.2) and Proposition 3.1, we have

Proposition 1.1. *Let $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \right) + (1 - a) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right),$$

and, with $\gamma = a\sigma + (1 - a)\beta$,

$$0 \leq \alpha - \sigma$$

and

$$\alpha - \sigma \leq 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d}.$$

We have, for $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

A1) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

A2) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d < 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)}.$$

Assume in addition that $\alpha - \sigma \leq 1$ and $\tau > 1$. We have

A3) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset B_R$ for some $R > 0$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^\tau(2R/|x|)} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

A4) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_r$ for some $r > 0$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^\tau(2|x|/r)} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)}.$$

Here C denotes a positive constant independent of u , r , and R .

Assertion A1) is a slight improvement of the classical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg. Indeed, in the classical setting, Assertion A1) is established under the *additional* assumptions

$$1/p + \alpha/d > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/q + \beta/d > 0,$$

as mentioned in (1.1) in the introduction. Assertion A2) with $a = 1$ and $\tau = p$ was known (see, e.g., [18]). Concerning Assertion A3) with $a = 1$, this was obtained for $d = 2$ in [13] and [2] and,

for $d \geq 3$, this was established in [2]. Assertion A4) with $a = 1$ might be known; however, we cannot find any references for it. To our knowledge, the remaining cases seem to be new.

Analogous versions in a bounded domain will be given in Section 4.

The ideas used in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and their general version (Theorem 3.1) are as follows. On one hand, this is based on Poincaré's and Sobolev inequalities related to $I_\delta(u, \Omega)$ (see Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.1). These inequalities have their roots in [25]. Using these inequalities, we derive the key estimate (see Lemma 3.2 and also Lemma 2.1), for an annulus D centered at the origin and for $\lambda > 0$,

$$(1.5) \quad \left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(\lambda^{p-d} I_\delta(u, \lambda D) + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^q dx \right)^{(1-a)/q},$$

for some positive constant C independent of u and λ . On the other hand, decomposing \mathbb{R}^d into annuli \mathcal{A}_k which are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^k \leq |x| < 2^{k+1}\},$$

and applying (1.5) to each \mathcal{A}_k , we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \left| u - \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k) + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k} |u|^q \right)^{(1-a)/q},$$

Similar idea was used in [14]. Using (1.5) again in the cases *i*) and *ii*), we can derive an appropriate estimate for

$$2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau.$$

This is the novelty in comparison with the approach in [14]. Combining these two facts, one obtains the desired inequalities. The other cases follow similarly. Similar approach is used to establish Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequalities for fractional Sobolev spaces in [30].

We now make some comments on the magnetic Sobolev setting. If $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is locally bounded and $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$\Psi_u(x, y) := e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The following *diamagnetic inequality* holds

$$\left| |u(x)| - |u(y)| \right| \leq \left| \Psi_u(x, x) - \Psi_u(x, y) \right|, \quad \text{for a.e. } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In turn, by defining

$$I_\delta^A(u, \alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta^p |x|^{p\alpha}}{|x-y|^{d+p}} dx dy, \quad \{\Psi_u(x, y) - \Psi_u(x, x) > \delta\}$$

we have, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_\delta(|u|, \alpha) \leq I_\delta^A(u, \alpha) \quad \text{for all } \delta > 0.$$

Then, the assertions of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 keep holding with $I_\delta^A(u, 0)$ (resp. $I_\delta^A(u, \alpha)$) on the right-hand side in place of $I_\delta(u)$ (resp. $I_\delta(u, \alpha)$). For the sake of completeness, we refer the reader to [27] for some recent results about new characterizations of classical magnetic Sobolev spaces in the terms of $I_\delta^A(u, 0)$ (see [27, 32, 35] for the ones related to J_δ).

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.1 which imply Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.1. In Section 4 we present versions of Theorems 1.1 and 3.1 in a bounded domain Ω .

2. IMPROVED HARDY'S INEQUALITY

We first recall that a straightforward variant of [25, Theorem 1] yields the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$ and set*

$$D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r < |x| < R\}.$$

Then

$$\int_D \left| u(x) - \int_D u \right|^p dx \leq C_{r,R} (I_\delta(u, D) + \delta^p), \quad \text{for all } u \in L^p(D).$$

As a consequence, we have, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\lambda D} \left| u(x) - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^p dx \leq C_{r,R} (\lambda^{p-d} I_\delta(u, \lambda D) + \delta^p), \quad \text{for all } u \in L^p(\lambda D),$$

where $\lambda D := \{\lambda x : x \in D\}$. Here $C_{r,R}$ denotes a positive constant independent of u , δ , and λ .

The following elementary inequality will be used several times in this paper.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Lambda > 1$ and $\tau > 1$. There exists $C = C(\Lambda, \tau) > 0$, depending only on Λ and τ such that, for all $1 < c < \Lambda$,*

$$(2.2) \quad (|a| + |b|)^\tau \leq c|a|^\tau + \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}} |b|^\tau, \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Since (2.2) is clear in the case $|b| \geq |a|$ and in the case $b = 0$, by rescaling and considering $x = |a|/|b|$, it suffices to prove, for $C = C(\Lambda, \tau)$ large enough, that

$$(2.3) \quad (x+1)^\tau \leq cx^\tau + \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}}, \quad \text{for all } x \geq 1.$$

Set

$$f(x) = (x+1)^\tau - cx^\tau - \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}} \text{ for } x > 0.$$

We have

$$f'(x) = \tau(x+1)^{\tau-1} - c\tau x^{\tau-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f'(x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = x_0 := (c^{\frac{1}{\tau-1}} - 1)^{-1}.$$

One can check that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) < 0 \text{ if } C = C(\Lambda, \tau) \text{ is large enough.}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad f(x_0) = cx_0^{\tau-1} - \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}}.$$

If $c^{\frac{1}{\tau-1}} > 2$ then $x_0 < 1$ and (2.3) follows from (2.4). Otherwise $1 \leq s := c^{\frac{1}{\tau-1}} \leq 2$. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$s^{\tau-1} - 1 \leq (s-1) \max_{1 \leq t \leq 2} (\tau-1)t^{\tau-2} \text{ for } 1 \leq s \leq 2.$$

We derive from (2.5) that, with $C = \Lambda [\max_{1 \leq t \leq 2} (\tau-1)t^{\tau-2}]^{\tau-1}$,

$$f(x_0) < 0.$$

The conclusion now follows from (2.4). □

We are now ready to give

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that

$$2^{n-1} \leq R < 2^n \quad \text{and} \quad 2^m \leq r < 2^{m+1}.$$

It is clear that $n - m \geq 1$. By (2.1) of Lemma 2.1, we have, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \left| u(x) - \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p dx \leq C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k) + \delta^p \right).$$

Here and in what follows in this proof, C denotes a positive constant independent of k , u , and δ . This implies

$$2^{-pk} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \left| u(x) - \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p dx \leq C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k) + 2^{(d-p)k} \delta^p \right).$$

It follows that

$$(2.6) \quad 2^{-pk} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} |u(x)|^p dx \leq C 2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p + C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k) + 2^{(d-p)k} \delta^p \right).$$

• **Step 1:** Proof of *i*). Summing (2.6) with respect to k from $-\infty$ to n , we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^n 2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p + C I_\delta(u) + C 2^{(d-p)n} \delta^p,$$

since $d > p$. We also have, by (2.1), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u - \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| \leq C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

This implies

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p \leq \frac{2^{d-p+1}}{1 + 2^{d-p}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^p + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right).$$

It follows that, with $c = 2/(1 + 2^{d-p}) < 1$,

$$2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p \leq c 2^{(d-p)(k+1)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^p + C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + 2^{(d-p)k} \delta^p \right).$$

We derive that

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^n 2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^n I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + C 2^{(d-p)n} \delta^p.$$

A combination of (2.7) and (2.8) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d} dx \leq C I_\delta(u) + C 2^{(d-p)n} \delta^p.$$

The conclusion of *i*) follows.

• **Step 2:** Proof of *ii*). Summing (2.6) with respect to k from m to $+\infty$, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq C \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} 2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p + CI_\delta(u) + C2^{(d-p)m} \delta^p,$$

since $p > d$. We also have, by (2.1), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u - \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| \leq C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

This implies that

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right| + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^p \leq \frac{1 + 2^{d-p}}{2^{d-p+1}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right).$$

It follows that, with $c = (1 + 2^{d-p})/2 < 1$,

$$2^{(d-p)(k+1)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^p \leq c 2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p + C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + 2^{(d-p)k} \delta^p \right).$$

We derive that

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} 2^{(d-p)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^p \leq CI_\delta(u) + C2^{(d-p)m} \delta^p.$$

A combination of (2.9) and (2.10) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq CI_\delta(u) + C2^{(d-p)m} \delta^p.$$

The conclusion of *ii*) follows.

• **Step 3:** Proof of *iii*). Let $\alpha > 0$. Summing (2.6) with respect to k from m to n , we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\{2^m < |x| < 2^n\}} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^{\alpha+1}(2R/|x|)} dx \leq C \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{\alpha+1}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^d + CI_\delta(u) + C(n-m)\delta^d.$$

We also have, by (2.1), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2.12) \quad \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| + C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})^{1/d} + \delta \right).$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 with

$$c = \frac{(n-k+1)^\alpha}{(n-k+1/2)^\alpha},$$

it follows from (2.12) that, for $m \leq k \leq n$,

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^\alpha} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^d \leq \frac{1}{(n-k+1/2)^\alpha} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^d + C(n-k+1)^{d-1-\alpha} \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^d \right).$$

We have, $m \leq k \leq n$,

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(n-k+3/2)^\alpha} \sim \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Taking $\alpha = d-1$ and combining (2.13) and (2.14) yield

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^d} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^d \leq CI_\delta(u) + C(n-m)\delta^d.$$

From (2.11) and (2.15), we obtain

$$\int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^d(2R/|x|)} dx \leq CI_\delta(u) + C(n-m)\delta^d.$$

This implies the conclusion of *iii*).

• **Step 4** Proof of *iv*). Let $\alpha > 0$. Summing (2.6) with respect to k from m to n , we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\{2^m < |x| < 2^n\}} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^{\alpha+1}(2|x|/R)} dx \leq C \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{(k-m+1)^{\alpha+1}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^d + CI_\delta(u) + C\delta^d.$$

We have, by (2.1), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2.17) \quad \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right| + C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})^{1/d} + \delta \right).$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 with

$$c = \frac{(n-k+1)^\alpha}{(n-k+1/2)^\alpha},$$

it follows from (2.17) that, for $m \leq k+1 \leq n$,

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{(k-m+1)^\alpha} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^d \leq \frac{1}{(k-m+1/2)^\alpha} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^d + C(k-m+1)^{d-1-\alpha} \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^d \right).$$

We have, $m \leq k+1 \leq n$,

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{(k-m+1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(k-m+3/2)^\alpha} \sim \frac{1}{(k-m+1)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Taking $\alpha = d-1$ and combining (2.18) and (2.19) yield

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{(k-m+1)^d} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^d \leq CI_\delta(u) + C(n-m)\delta^d.$$

From (2.16) and (2.20), we obtain

$$\int_{\{2^m < |x| < 2^n\}} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^d(2|x|/R)} dx \leq CI_\delta(u) + C(n-m)\delta^d.$$

This implies the conclusion of *iv*).

The proof is complete. \square

3. IMPROVED CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG'S INEQUALITY

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we use the following result

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p < d$, Ω be a smooth bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , and $v \in L^p(\Omega)$. We have*

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega} \left(I_{\delta}(u)^{1/p} + \|u\|_{L^p} + \delta \right),$$

where $p^* := dp/(d-p)$ denotes the Sobolev exponent of p .

Proof. For $\tau > 0$, let us set

$$\Omega_{\tau} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x, \Omega) < \tau\}.$$

Since Ω is smooth, by [12, Lemma 17], there exists $\tau > 0$ small enough and an extension U of u in Ω_{τ} such that

$$(3.1) \quad I_{\delta}(U, \Omega_{\tau}) \leq C I_{\delta}(u, \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|U\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\tau})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

for $0 < \delta < 1$. Fix such a τ . Let $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\text{supp } \varphi \subset \Omega_{2\tau/3}, \quad \varphi = 1 \text{ in } \Omega_{\tau/3}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Define $v = \varphi U$ in \mathbb{R}^d . We claim that

$$(3.2) \quad I_{2\delta}(v) \leq C \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right).$$

Indeed, set

$$f(x, y) = \frac{\delta^p}{|x - y|^{d+p}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|v(x) - v(y)| > 2\delta\}}.$$

We estimate $I_{2\delta}(v)$. We have

$$\iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq \iint_{\Omega_{\tau/3} \times \Omega_{\tau/3}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy + \iint_{\substack{\Omega_{\tau} \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \{|x-y| > \tau/4\}}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

and, since $v = 0$ in $\Omega_{\tau} \setminus \Omega_{2\tau/3}$,

$$\iint_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega_{\tau}) \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega_{\tau}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega_{\tau})} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy + \iint_{\substack{\Omega_{\tau} \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \{|x-y| > \tau/4\}}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{(\Omega_{\tau} \setminus \Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy &\leq \iint_{(\Omega_{\tau} \setminus \Omega) \times (\Omega_{\tau} \setminus \Omega)} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + \iint_{\Omega_{\tau/3} \times \Omega_{\tau/3}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy + \iint_{\substack{\Omega_{\tau} \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \{|x-y| > \tau/4\}}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that, by (3.1),

$$(3.3) \quad \iint_{\Omega_{\tau/3} \times \Omega_{\tau/3}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq C I_{\delta}(u, \Omega),$$

by the fact that $\varphi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega_\tau$,

$$(3.4) \quad \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega_\tau) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega_\tau)} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy = 0,$$

and, by a straightforward computation,

$$(3.5) \quad \iint_{\substack{\Omega_\tau \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \{|x-y|>\tau/4\}}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq C\delta^p.$$

We have, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$v(x) - v(y) = \varphi(x)(U(x) - U(y)) + U(y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)).$$

It follows that if $|v(x) - v(y)| > 2\delta$ then either

$$|U(x) - U(y)| \geq |\varphi(x)(U(x) - U(y))| > \delta$$

or

$$C|U(y)||x - y| \geq |U(y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))| > \delta.$$

We thus derive that

$$(3.6) \quad \iint_{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \times (\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega)} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq \int_{\substack{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \\ \{|U(x)-U(y)|>\delta\}}} \int_{\substack{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \\ \{|x-y|>\tau/4\}}} \frac{\delta^p}{|x-y|^{d+p}} \, dx \, dy \\ + \int_{\substack{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \\ \{|x-y|>C\delta/|U(y)|\}}} \int_{\substack{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \\ \{|x-y|>\tau/4\}}} \frac{\delta^p}{|x-y|^{d+p}} \, dx \, dy.$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$\int_{\substack{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \\ \{|x-y|>C\delta/|U(y)|\}}} \int_{\substack{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \\ \{|x-y|>\tau/4\}}} \frac{\delta^p}{|x-y|^{d+p}} \, dx \, dy \leq \int_{\Omega_\tau} dy \int_{\{|x-y|>C\delta/|U(y)|\}} \frac{\delta^p}{|x-y|^{d+p}} \, dx = C \int_{\Omega_\tau} |U(y)|^p \, dy.$$

Using (3.1), we deduce from (3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad \iint_{(\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega) \times (\Omega_\tau \setminus \Omega)} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq CI_\delta(u, \Omega) + C\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$$

A combination of (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.7) yields Claim (3.2). By applying [25, Theorem 3] and using the fact $\text{supp } v \subset \Omega_\tau$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|v\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq CI_{2\delta}(v)^{1/p} + C\delta.$$

The conclusion now follows from Claim (3.2). \square

Remark 3.1. The assumption $p > 1$ is required in (3.8).

As a consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, we obtain

Corollary 3.1. *Let $d \geq 2$, $1 < p < d$, $0 < r < R$, and $\lambda > 0$, and set*

$$\lambda D := \{\lambda x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r < |x| < R\}.$$

We have, for $1 \leq q \leq p^$,*

$$\left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u(x) - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C_{r,R} \left(\lambda^{p-d} I_\delta(u, \lambda D) + \delta^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for } u \in L^p(\lambda D),$$

where $C_{r,R}$ denotes a positive constant independent of u , δ , and λ .

Here is an application of Corollaries 3.1 which plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 3.1 below.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $d \geq 1$, $1 < p < d$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, and $0 \leq a \leq 1$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \geq a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d} \right) + \frac{1-a}{q}.$$

Let $0 < r < R$, and $\lambda > 0$ and set

$$\lambda D := \{ \lambda x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r < |x| < R \}.$$

Then, for $u \in L^1(\lambda D)$,

$$\left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(\lambda^{p-d} I_\delta(u, \lambda D) + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^q dx \right)^{(1-a)/q},$$

for some positive constant C independent of u , λ , and δ .

Proof. Let $\tau, \sigma, t > 0$, be such that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \geq \frac{a}{\sigma} + \frac{1-a}{t}.$$

We have, by a standard interpolation inequality, that

$$\left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq \left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^\sigma dx \right)^{a/\sigma} \left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^t dx \right)^{(1-a)/t}.$$

Applying this inequality with $\sigma = p^*$ and $t = q$ and using Corollary 3.1, one obtains the conclusion. \square

We also have, see [31, Theorem on page 125 and the following remarks]

Lemma 3.3 (Nirenberg's interpolation inequality). *Let $d \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, and $0 \leq a \leq 1$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \geq a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d} \right) + \frac{1-a}{q}.$$

Let $0 < r < R$, and $\lambda > 0$ and set

$$\lambda D := \{ \lambda x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r < |x| < R \}.$$

Then, for $u \in L^1(\lambda D)$,

$$\left(\int_{\lambda D} \left| u - \int_{\lambda D} u \right|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\lambda D)}^a C \|u\|_{L^q(\lambda D)}^{1-a},$$

for some positive constant C independent of u , λ , and δ .

We prove the following more general version of Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha-1}{d} \right) + (1-a) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right),$$

and, with $\gamma = a\sigma + (1-a)\beta$,

$$0 \leq \alpha - \sigma \leq 1.$$

Set, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(3.10) \quad I_\delta(k, u) := \begin{cases} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p + d - p)} \delta^p & \text{if } 1 < p < d, \\ \||x|^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have, for $u \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m < n$,

i) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset B_{2^n}$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{2^m}} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=m-1}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

ii) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d < 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{2^m}$, then

$$\left(\int_{B_{2^n}} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=m-1}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

iii) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$, $\tau > 1$, and $\text{supp } u \subset B_{2^n}$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{2^m}} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^\tau(2^{n+1}/|x|)} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=m-1}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

iv) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$, $\tau > 1$, and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{2^m}$, then

$$\left(\int_{B_{2^n}} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^\tau(2^{n+1}/|x|)} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=m-1}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)}.$$

Here C denotes a positive constant independent of u , δ , k , n , and m .

Proof. We only present the proof in the case $1 < p < d$. The proof for the other case follows similarly, however instead of using Lemma 3.2, one applies Lemma 3.3. We now assume that $1 < p < d$. Since $\alpha - \sigma \geq 0$, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \left| u - \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k) + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k} |u|^q \right)^{(1-a)/q}.$$

Using (3.9), we derive from (3.11) that

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \leq C 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau + C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p+d-p)} \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

• **Step 1:** Proof of i). Summing (3.12) with respect to k from m to n , we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \leq C \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau + C \sum_{k=m}^n \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p+d-p)} \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{1-a}{q}}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we derive that

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau \leq \frac{2^{\gamma\tau+d+1}}{1+2^{\gamma\tau+d}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^\tau + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{(1-a)\tau}{q}}.$$

It follows that, with $c = 2/(1 + 2^{\gamma\tau+d}) < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau &\leq c 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)(k+1)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^\tau \\ &+ C \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p+d-p)} \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau \leq C \sum_{k=m}^n \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p+d-p)} \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.14) yields

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \leq C \sum_{k=m-1}^n \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p+d-p)} \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Applying the inequality, for $s \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$ with $s + t \geq 1$, and for $x_k \geq 0$ and $y_k \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=m}^n x_k^s y_k^t \leq C_{s,t} \left(\sum_{k=m}^n x_k \right)^s \left(\sum_{k=m}^n y_k \right)^t,$$

to $s = a\tau/p$ and $t = (1-a)\tau/q$, we obtain from (3.15) that

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \leq C \left(\sum_{k=m}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)\tau}$$

since $a/p + (1-a)/q \geq 1/\tau$ thanks to the fact $\alpha - \sigma - 1 \leq 0$.

• **Step 2:** Proof of *ii*). The proof is in the spirit of the proof of *ii*) of Theorem 1.1. The details are left to the reader.

• **Step 3:** Proof of *iii*). Fix $\xi > 0$. Summing (3.12) with respect to k from m to n , we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} \frac{1}{\ln^{1+\xi}(\tau/|x|)} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \leq C \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{1+\xi}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau + C \sum_{k=m}^n \left(I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k, \alpha) + 2^{k(\alpha p+d-p)} \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right| + C \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{1-a}{q}}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with

$$c = \frac{(n-k+1)^\xi}{(n-k+1/2)^\xi},$$

we deduce that

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^\xi} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau \leq \frac{1}{(n-k+1/2)^\xi} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^\tau \\ + C(n-k+1)^{\tau-1-\xi} \left(2^{-(d-p)k} I_\delta(u, \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) + \delta^p \right)^{a\tau/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{(1-a)\tau}{q}}.$$

Recall that, for $k \leq n$ and $\xi > 0$,

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^\xi} - \frac{1}{(n-k+3/2)^\xi} \sim \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{\xi+1}}.$$

Taking $\xi = \tau - 1$, we derive from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^\tau} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^\tau \leq \sum_{k=m}^n C \left(I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.20), as in (3.16), we obtain

$$\int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^\tau(2^{n+1}/|x|)} |u|^\tau dx \leq C \left(\sum_{k=m}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{a\tau/p} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

• **Step 4:** Proof of *iv*). The proof is in the spirit of the proof of *iv*) of Theorem 1.1. The details are left to the reader.

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.2. For $p > 1$, we have (see [21, Theorem 4])

$$I_\delta(k, u) \leq C \int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |x|^{p\alpha} |\nabla u|^p dx \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for some positive constant C independent of k and u . This implies

$$\left(\sum_{k=m-1}^n I_\delta(k, u) \right)^{1/p} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

From Theorem 3.1, one then obtains improvement of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg's inequality for the case $0 \leq \alpha - \sigma \leq 1$ and for $1 < p < d$.

Using Theorem 3.1, we can derive that

Proposition 3.1. *Let $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, $0 < a < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha-1}{d} \right) + (1-a) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right),$$

and, with $\gamma = a\sigma + (1-a)\beta$,

$$\alpha - \sigma > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} \neq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha-1}{d}.$$

We have, for $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

i) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

ii) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d < 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^\tau dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)},$$

for some positive constant C independent of u .

Proof. The proof is in the spirit of the approach in [14] (see also [30]). Since

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \neq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}.$$

by scaling, one might assume that

$$\| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1.$$

Let $0 < a_2 < 1$ be such that

$$(3.21) \quad |a_2 - a| \text{ is small enough,}$$

and set

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2} = \frac{a_2}{p} + \frac{1 - a_2}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2 = a_2(\alpha - 1) + (1 - a_2)\beta.$$

We have

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{1}{\tau_2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{d} = a_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \right) + (1 - a_2) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right).$$

Recall that

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \right) + (1 - a) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right).$$

Since $a > 0$ and $\alpha - \sigma > 1$, it follows from (3.21) that

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau_2} = (a - a_2) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \frac{a}{d} (\alpha - \sigma - 1) > 0.$$

We first choose a_2 such that

$$(3.25) \quad a_2 < a \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d},$$

$$(3.26) \quad a < a_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} > \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}.$$

Using (3.21), (3.25) and (3.26), we derive from (3.22), and (3.23) that

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} < \frac{1}{\tau_2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{d} \right) > 0.$$

It follows from (3.24), (3.27), and Hölder's inequality that

$$\| |x|^\gamma u \|_{L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1)} \leq C \| |x|^{\gamma_2} u \|_{L^{\tau_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 (see also Remark 3.2), we have

$$\| |x|^{\gamma_2} u \|_{L^{\tau_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a_2} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a_2)} \leq C,$$

which yields

$$(3.28) \quad \| |x|^\gamma u \|_{L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1)} \leq C.$$

We next choose a_2 such that

$$(3.29) \quad a < a_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d},$$

$$(3.30) \quad a_2 < a \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} > \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}.$$

Using (3.21), (3.29) and (3.30), we derive from (3.22), and (3.23) that

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{1}{\tau_2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{d} < \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{d} \right) > 0.$$

It follows from (3.24), (3.31), and Hölder's inequality that

$$\| |x|^\gamma u \|_{L^\tau(B_1)} \leq C \| |x|^{\gamma_2} u \|_{L^{\tau_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 (see also Remark 3.2), we have

$$\| |x|^{\gamma_2} u \|_{L^{\tau_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a_2} \| |x|^\beta u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a_2)} \leq C,$$

which yields

$$(3.32) \quad \| |x|^\gamma u \|_{L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1)} \leq C.$$

The conclusion now follows from (3.28) and (3.32). \square

Remark 3.3. Using the approach in the proof of [21, Theorem 2], one can prove that, for $p > 1$,

$$(3.33) \quad I_\delta(u, \alpha) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |x|^{p\alpha} |\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \nabla u)(x)|^p d\sigma dx,$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \nabla u)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |\nabla u(x + s\sigma) \cdot \sigma| ds.$$

We claim that, for $-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p$, it holds

$$(3.34) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{p\alpha} |\mathcal{M}(\sigma, \nabla u)(x)|^p d\sigma dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{p\alpha} |\nabla u(x) \cdot \sigma|^p dx, \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

for some positive constant C independent of σ and u . Then, combining (3.33) and (3.34) yields

$$(3.35) \quad I_\delta(u, \alpha) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{p\alpha} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

as mentioned in Remark 1.2. For simplicity, we assume that $\sigma = e_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and prove (3.34). We have, for any bounded interval (a, b) and for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$

$$(3.36) \quad \int_a^b (|x'| + |s|)^{p\alpha} ds \left(\int_a^b (|x'| + |s|)^{-p\alpha/(p-1)} ds \right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

for some positive constant C independent of (a, b) and x' since $-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p$. Applying the theory of maximal functions with weights due to Muckenhoupt [20, Corollary 4] (see also [16,

Theorem 1]), which holds whenever the weight satisfies (3.36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{p\alpha} |\mathcal{M}(e_d, \nabla u)(x)|^p dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x'| + |x_d|)^{p\alpha} |\mathcal{M}(e_d, \nabla u)(x', x_d)|^p dx_d dx' \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x'| + |x_d|)^{p\alpha} |\partial_{x_d} u(x', x_d)|^p dx_d dx' \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{p\alpha} |\nabla u|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

The claim (3.34) is proved.

4. RESULTS IN BOUNDED DOMAINS

In this section, we present some results in the spirit of Theorems 1.1 and 3.1 for a smooth bounded domain Ω . As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the extension argument in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we obtain

Proposition 4.1. *Let $d \geq 1$, $1 \leq p \leq d$, $\Omega \Subset B_R$ a smooth open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , and $u \in L^p(\Omega)$. We have*

i) *if $1 \leq p < d$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq C_{\Omega} \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta^p \right),$$

ii) *if $p > d$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega} \setminus B_r$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq C_{\Omega} \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + r^{d-p} \delta^p \right),$$

iii) *if $p = d \geq 2$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B_r} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^d(2R/|x|)} dx \leq C_{\Omega} \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \ln(2R/r) \delta^d \right),$$

iv) *if $p = d \geq 2$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \Omega \setminus B_r$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \frac{|u(x)|^d}{|x|^d \ln^d(2|x|/r)} dx \leq C_{\Omega} \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \ln(2R/r) \delta^d \right),$$

Here C_{Ω} denotes a positive constant depending only on p and Ω .

Using Theorem 1.2, we derive

Proposition 4.2. *Let $d \geq 2$, $1 < p < d$, $q \geq 1$, $\tau > 0$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \in \Omega \subset B_R$ a smooth bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , and $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \right) + (1 - a) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} \right),$$

and, with $\gamma = a\sigma + (1 - a)\beta$,

$$0 \leq \alpha - \sigma \leq 1.$$

We have

i) *if $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$, then*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega, \alpha) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^{\beta} u \|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{(1-a)},$$

ii) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d < 0$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega, \alpha) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta^p \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^{\beta} u \|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{(1-a)},$$

iii) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ and $\tau > 1$, then

$$\left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_r} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^{\tau}(2R/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega, \alpha) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta^p \ln(2R/r) \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^{\beta} u \|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{(1-a)},$$

iv) if $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$, $\tau > 1$, and $\text{supp } u \subset \Omega \setminus B_r$, then

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^{\tau}(2|x|/r)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq C \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega, \alpha) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta^p \ln(2R/r) \right)^{a/p} \| |x|^{\beta} u \|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{(1-a)}.$$

Here C denotes a positive constant independent of u and δ .

Proof. Let v be the extension of u in \mathbb{R}^d as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have, since $0 \in \Omega$,

$$I_{2\delta}(v, \alpha) \leq C \left(I_{\delta}(u, \Omega, \alpha) + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right).$$

We also have, since $0 \in \Omega$,

$$\| |x|^{\beta} v \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \| |x|^{\beta} u \|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

The conclusion now follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abdellaoui, R. Bentifour, *Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities of fractional order with applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), 3998–4029 [2](#)
- [2] Adimurthi, N. Chaudhuri, M. Ramaswamy, *An improved Hardy-Sobolev inequality and its applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2001), 489–505. [4](#), [5](#)
- [3] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, *Another look at Sobolev spaces*, in *Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations. A Volume in Honor of Professor Alain Bensoussan's 60th Birthday* (eds. J. L. Menaldi, E. Rofman and A. Sulem), IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2001, 439–455. [2](#)
- [4] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, *Limiting embedding theorems for $W^{s,p}$ when $s \uparrow 1$ and applications*, J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 77–101. [2](#)
- [5] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, H-M. Nguyen, *A new estimate for the topological degree*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340** (2005), 787–791. [2](#)
- [6] J. Bourgain, H-M. Nguyen, *A new characterization of Sobolev spaces*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **343** (2006), 75–80. [2](#)
- [7] H. Brezis, *How to recognize constant functions. Connections with Sobolev spaces*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **57** (2002), 693–708. [2](#)
- [8] H. Brezis, H-M. Nguyen, *On a new class of functions related to VMO*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (2011), 157–160. [2](#)
- [9] H. Brezis, H-M. Nguyen, *The BBM formula revisited*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **27** (2016), 515–533. [2](#)
- [10] H. Brezis, H-M. Nguyen, *Two subtle convex nonlocal approximations of the BV-norm*, Nonlinear Anal. **137** (2016), 222–245. [2](#)
- [11] H. Brezis, H-M. Nguyen, *Non-convex, non-local functionals converging to the total variation*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **355** (2017), 24–27. [2](#)
- [12] H. Brezis, H-M. Nguyen, *Non-local functionals related to the total variation and connections with Image Processing*, Ann. PDE, to appear, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.08204> [2](#), [10](#)
- [13] X. Cabré, Y. Martin, *Existence versus instantaneous blowup for linear heat equations with singular potentials*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **329** (1999), 973–978. [4](#)

- [14] L.A. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, *Compositio Math.* **53** (1984), 259–275. [1](#), [5](#), [16](#)
- [15] L.A. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **35** (1982), 771–831. [1](#)
- [16] R. R. Coifman, C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximum functions and singular integrals*, *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 241–250. [4](#), [17](#)
- [17] J. Davila, *On an open question about functions of bounded variation*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **15** (2002), 519–527. [2](#)
- [18] R.L. Frank, R. Seiringer, *Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**, (2008), 3407–3430. [2](#), [4](#)
- [19] V. Mazya, T. Shaposhnikova, *On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **195** (2002), 230–238. [2](#)
- [20] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 207–226. [4](#), [17](#)
- [21] H.-M. Nguyen, *Some new characterizations of Sobolev spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **237** (2006), 689–720. [2](#), [15](#), [17](#)
- [22] H.-M. Nguyen, *Optimal constant in a new estimate for the degree*, *J. Anal. Math.* **101** (2007), 367–395. [2](#)
- [23] H.-M. Nguyen, *Γ -convergence and Sobolev norms*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **345** (2007), 679–684. [2](#)
- [24] H.-M. Nguyen, *Further characterizations of Sobolev spaces*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **10** (2008), 191–229. [2](#)
- [25] H.-M. Nguyen, *Some inequalities related to Sobolev norms*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **41** (2011), 483–509. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [11](#)
- [26] H.-M. Nguyen, *Γ -convergence, Sobolev norms, and BV functions*, *Duke Math. J.* **157** (2011), 495–533. [2](#)
- [27] H.-M. Nguyen, A. Pinamonti, M. Squassina, E. Vecchi, *New characterization of magnetic Sobolev spaces*, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear, <https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2017-0239>. [5](#)
- [28] H.-M. Nguyen, M. Squassina, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequality revisited*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **355** (2017), 447–451. [2](#)
- [29] H.-M. Nguyen, M. Squassina, *Some remarks on rearrangement for nonlocal functionals*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **162** (2017), 1–12. [2](#)
- [30] H.-M. Nguyen, M. Squassina, *Fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities*, *J. Funct. Anal.* (2017), to appear, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.07.007>. [2](#), [5](#), [16](#)
- [31] L. Nirenberg, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **13** (1959), 115–162. [12](#)
- [32] A. Pinamonti, M. Squassina, E. Vecchi, *Magnetic BV functions and the Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula*, *Adv. Calc. Var.* (2017), to appear, <https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2017-0019>. [5](#)
- [33] A. Ponce, *A new approach to Sobolev spaces and connections to Γ -convergence*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **19** (2004), 229–255. [2](#)
- [34] A. Ponce, D. Spector, *On formulae decoupling the total variation of BV functions*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **154** (2017), 241–257. [2](#)
- [35] M. Squassina, B. Volzone, *Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula for magnetic operators*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **354** (2016), 825–831. [5](#)

(H.-M. Nguyen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 EPFL SB CAMA
 STATION 8 CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: hoai-minh.nguyen@epfl.ch

(M. Squassina) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA
 UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE
 VIA DEI MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY
E-mail address: marco.squassina@unicatt.it